Michael Duane Davis, SBN 093678 Marlene Allen-Hammarlund, SBN 126418 2 GRESHAM SAVAGE NOLAN & **TILDEN, A Professional Corporation** 3750 University Avenue, Suite 250 3 Riverside, CA 92501-3335 Telephone: (951) 684-2171 4 (951) 684-2150 Facsimile: 5 Attorneys for Cross-Defendants, Service Rock Products Corporation, as successor-6 in-interest to Owl Properties, Inc., and Sheep 7 Creek Water Company, Inc. SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 10 **Judicial Council Coordination** Coordination Proceeding 11 Special Title (Rule 1550(b)) Proceeding No. 4408 12 ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 **CASES** Assigned to the Honorable Jack Komar 13 Department 17 **Including Actions:** 14 **OBJECTIONS TO [PROPOSED] CASE** Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. MANAGEMENT ORDER FOR PHASE 2 15 40 v. Diamond Farming Co. TRIAL BY CROSS-DEFENDANTS, Superior Court of California, County of Los SERVICE ROCK PRODUCTS 16 Angeles, Case No. BC 325 201 CORPORATION AND SHEEP CREEK WATER COMPANY 17 Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co. PHASE 2 TRIAL: October 6, 2008 18 Superior Court of California, County of Kern, DEPT.: LASC Dept. 1 Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348 19 JUDGE: Hon. Jack Komar Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of 20 Lancaster Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster 21 Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist. Superior Court of California, County of 22 Riverside, consolidated actions, Case Nos. RIC 23 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668 AND RELATED ACTIONS. 24 25 261 /// 27 /// 28 -1-

GRESHAM SAVAGE NOLAN & TILDEN A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 3750 UNIVERSITY AVE., SUITE 250 RIVERSIDE, CA 92501-3335 (951) 684-2171 GRESHAM SAVAGE

NOLAN & TILDEN

A Professional Corporation 3750 University Ave., Suite 250 Creek Water Company ("Sheep Creek"), submit the following objections to the [Proposed] Case Management Order for Phase 2 Trial ("Proposed Order") that was submitted by Counsel for City of Palmdale:

1. Paragraph 2 [Scope of Issues]: The Proposed Order at Paragraph 2 on Page 1.

Cross-Defendants, Service Rock Products Corporation ("Service Rock") and Sheep

- 1. Paragraph 2 [Scope of Issues]: The Proposed Order, at Paragraph 2, on Page 1, lines 21-22, is an overly broad statement of the scope of the Phase 2 Trial. Specifically it states that the "Phase 2 Trial address the characteristics of the Antelope Valley Area of Adjudication ("Basin"), including whether hydrologic sub-basins exist"; whereas the Court clearly stated that the sole issue for determination in Phase 2 is whether the Basin has any hydrologically distinct sub-basins, and that he would determine an appropriate definition of "sub-basins" based on the testimony of the experts, case authority and his experience in groundwater adjudications. It is submitted that this Paragraph should be modified to restrict the scope to "whether the Basin is composed of or contains hydrologically distinct sub-basins.
- 2. Paragraph 3 [Service and Jurisdiction]: The Proposed Order, at Paragraph 3, on Page 1, lines 23-24, reflects the Court's direction that counsel for Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 is to submit a declaration regarding status of service; however, it does not reflect the Court's instruction that counsel for Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 make a diligent effort to identify and name all of the un-named land owners not included within the two classes, as certified, to effect service on those parties as soon as possible. Further, counsel was also directed to provide served parties with the required notice of trial, including a copy of the signed Case Management Order. It is submitted that the Proposed Order should also provide that "[c]ounsel for Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 shall make a diligent effort to identify and name all of the un-named land owners not included within the two classes, as certified, and to effect service on those parties on or before September 1, 2008. Counsel or Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 shall also provide served parties with the required notice of trial, including a copy of the signed Case Management Order.

298014.1

3. Paragraph 4 [Experts / Concurrently Prepared Reports]: The Proposed Order, at Paragraph 4, on Page 2, lines 1-2, provides that the designation of any expert shall be accompanied by a copy "of any reports prepared concurrently (emphasis added) with his or her designation." The Court had already clarified this provision, stating that he expected that any reports prepared by the designated expert in connection with or for the instant action was to accompany the designation. The proposed language would allow a party designating an expert to severely restrict the production of reports by limiting the reports prepared "concurrently" with the designation. It is submitted that the Proposed Order should provide that "the designation of any expert shall be accompanied by a copy of any reports that were prepared by the designated expert in connection with or in preparation for the instant action."

4. Paragraphs 4 and 13 [Non-Expert Witnesses]: The Proposed Order, at Paragraph 4, on Page 2, lines 3-5, purports to require parties to identify and make available "non-expert witnesses," by posting their names and statements of availability for deposition on the Court's website on August 15, 2008. In apparent conflict with this provision of Paragraph 4, the Proposed Order, at Paragraph 13, on Page 4, lines 4-9, requires parties to post a list of their witnesses along with a short summary of testimony and a time estimate, on September 15, 2008. Not only does this conflict need to be resolved, the Court's directives at the hearing focused on expert (not non-expert) designations by August 15. It is therefore submitted that the portion of Paragraph 4, on Page 2, lines 3-5, that purports to require parties to identify and make available "non-expert witnesses," by posting their names and statements of availability for deposition on the Court's website on August 15, 2008 be stricken or that the date be changed to September 15, 2008.

**5. Paragraph 8 [Witness Testimony]:** The Proposed Order, at Paragraph 8, on Page 3, lines 11-12, purports to give a party the ability to "notice" a date for an expert witness deposition, in the event the parties cannot agree to a date, then seek to have that witness excluded from testifying at trial. No recognition is given to the Court's clear directive that the parties

1	CONCURRED IN / JOINED IN
2	Antelope Valley Groundwater Agreement Association:
3	BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP
4	
5	By: Male 18th
6	Michael T. Fife, Attorneys for Antelope Valley Groundwater Agreement Association
7	
8	Diamond Farming Company and Crystal Organic Farms, LLC:
9	LEBEAU-THELEN, LLP
10	
11	By:
12	Bob H. Joyce, Attorneys for Diamond Farming Company and Crystal Organic Farms, LLC
13	
14	Bolthouse Properties, LLC and Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc.:
15	CLIFFORD & BROWN, APC
16	
16	
17	By:
	By: Richard G. Zimmer, Attorneys for Bolthouse Properties, LLC and Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc.
17	By: Richard G. Zimmer, Attorneys for Bolthouse Properties, LLC and Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc.
17 18 19	By:
17 18	Properties, LLC and Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc.
17 18 19 20	Properties, LLC and Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc.  Van Dam Family:
17 18 19 20 21	Properties, LLC and Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc.  Van Dam Family:  YOUNG WOOLDRIDGE, LLP  By:
17 18 19 20 21 22	Properties, LLC and Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc.  Van Dam Family:  YOUNG WOOLDRIDGE, LLP  By:  Scott K. Kuney, Attorneys for Craig Van Dam, Delmar D. Van Dam, Gary Van Dam and
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Properties, LLC and Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc.  Van Dam Family:  YOUNG WOOLDRIDGE, LLP  By: Scott K. Kuney, Attorneys for Craig Van Dam,
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Properties, LLC and Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc.  Van Dam Family:  YOUNG WOOLDRIDGE, LLP  By:  Scott K. Kuney, Attorneys for Craig Van Dam, Delmar D. Van Dam, Gary Van Dam and
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Properties, LLC and Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc.  Van Dam Family:  YOUNG WOOLDRIDGE, LLP  By:  Scott K. Kuney, Attorneys for Craig Van Dam, Delmar D. Van Dam, Gary Van Dam and

GRESHAM SAVAGE NOLAN & TILDEN A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 3750 UNIVERSITY AVE., SUITE 250 RIVERSIDE, CA 92501-3335 (951) 684-2171

1	CONCURRED IN / JOINED IN
2	Antelope Valley Groundwater Agreement Association:
3	BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP
4	
5	By:
6	Michael T. Fife, Attorneys for Antelope Valley Groundwater Agreement Association
7	
8	Diamond Farming Company and Crystal Organic Farms, LLC:
9	LEBEAU-THELEN, LLP
10	056
11	By:
12	Bob H. Joyce, Attorneys/for Diamond Farming Company and Crystal Organic Farms, LLC
13	
14	Bolthouse Properties, LLC and Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc.:
15	CLIFFORD & BROWN, APC
16	
17	By: Richard G. Zimmer, Attorneys for Bolthouse
18	Richard G. Zimmer, Attorneys for Bolthouse Properties, LLC and Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc.
19	
20	Van Dam Family:
21	YOUNG WOOLDRIDGE, LLP
22	
23	By: Scott K. Kuney, Attorneys for Craig Van Dam,
24	Delmar D. Van Dam, Gary Van Dam and Gertrude J. Van Dam
25	
26	
27	
28	-5-

GRESHAM SAVAGE
NOŁAN & TILDEN
A PROFESIONAL CORTORATION
3750 UNIVERSITY AVE, SUITE 250
RIVERSIDE, CA 92501-3335
(951) 684-2171

OBJECTIONS TO [PROPOSED] CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER FOR PHASE 2 TRIAL BY CROSS-DEFENDANTS, SERVICE ROCK PRODUCTS CORP. AND SHEEP CREEK WATER COMPANY

CONCORRED IN / JOINED IN
Antelope Valley Groundwater Agreement Association:
BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP
By:
Michael T. Fife, Attorneys for Antelope Valley Groundwater Agreement Association
Diamond Farming Company and Crystal Organic Farms, LLC:
LEBEAU-THELEN, LLP
·
By:  Bob H. Joyce, Attorneys for Diamond Farming Company and Crystal Organic Farms, LLC
Company and Crystal Organic Farms, LLC
Bolthouse Properties, LLC and Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc.:
CLIFFORD & BROWN, APC
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Ву:
Richard G. Zimmer, Attorneys for Bolthouse Properties, LLC and Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc.
,
Van Dam Farms:
YOUNG WOOLDRIDGE, LLP
Stall (
By:
Scott K. Kuney, Attorneys for Craig Van Dam, Delmar D. Van Dam, Gary Van Dam and
Gertrude J. Van Dam
74
<b>-5-</b>

GRESHAM SAVAGE NOLAN & TILDEN 3. PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 750 UNIVERSITY AVE., SUITE 250 RIVERSIDE, CA. 92501-3335 (951) 684-2271 OBJECTIONS TO [PROPOSED] CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER FOR PHASE 2 TRIAL BY CROSS-DEFENDANTS, SERVICE ROCK PRODUCTS CORP. AND SHEEP CREEK WATER COMPANY Willis Class:

KRAUSE KALFAYAN BENINK & SLAVENS, LLP

•

Ralph B. Kalfayan, Esq.

David B. Zlotnick, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff, Redecca Lee Willis

and the Class

-6-

GRESHAM SAVAGE NOLAN & TILDEN A PROFESSIONAL CORFORATION 3750 UNIVERSITY AVE., SUITE 250 RIVERSIDE CA 92501-3335 (951) 684-2171 OBJECTIONS TO [PROPOSED] CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER FOR PHASE 2 TRIAL BY CROSS-DEFENDANTS, SERVICE ROCK PRODUCTS CORP. AND SHEEP CREEK WATER COMPANY

## PROOF OF SERVICE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

Re: ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES

Los Angeles County Superior Court Judicial Council Coordinated

Proceedings No. 4408; Santa Clara County Superior Court Case No. 1-05-CV-049053

I am employed in the County of Riverside, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action; my business address is: 3750 University Avenue, Suite 250, Riverside, CA 92501-3335.

On August 12, 2008, I served the foregoing document(s) described as OBJECTIONS TO [PROPOSED] CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER FOR PHASE 2 TRIAL BY CROSS-DEFENDANTS, SERVICE ROCK PRODUCTS CORPORATION AND SHEEP CREEK WATER COMPANY on the interested parties in this action in the following manner:

(X) BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE – I posted the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Court website, <a href="http://www.scefiling.org">http://www.scefiling.org</a>, in the action of the Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases,

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on August 12, 2008, at Riverside, California.

TERI D. GALLAGHER

GRESHAM SAVAGE
NOLAN & TILDEN
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
3750 UNIVERSITY AVE., SUITE 250
RIVERSIDE, CA 92501-3335
(951) 684-2171