EXHIBIT 2

EXHIBIT A

1		
2		
3		
4		
5	SUPERIOR COURT O	F THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
6	COUNTY OF LOS AN	NGELES - CENTRAL DISTRICT
7		
8	Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 1550(b))	Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408
10	ANTELOPE VALLEY	Santa Clara Case No.: 1-05-CV-049053
11	GROUNDWATER CASES	Judge: The Honorable Jack Komar, Dept. 17
12		[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT AND PHYSICAL
13		SOLUTION
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	[DDAT	POSED] JUDGMENT
	[PROP	OSED] JUDUMENT

I DEG	CONDITION OF LITTICATION	
I. DES	CRIPTION OF LITIGATION	l
1. PF	ROCEDURAL HISTORY	1
1.1	Initiation of Litigation.	1
1.2	General Adjudication Commenced	2
1.3	Other Actions	3
1.4	McCarran Amendment Issues.	4
1.5	Phased Trials	4
1.6	Defaults	5
2. G	ENERAL ADJUDICATION DOES NOT APPLY TO SURFACE WATER	5
I. DEC	REE	6
3. Д	URISDICTION, PARTIES, DEFINITIONS	6
3.1	Jurisdiction.	6
3.2	Parties	6
3.3	Factual and Legal Issues	6
3.4	Need for a Declaration of Rights and Obligations for a Physical Solution	6
3.5	Definitions	7
4. SA	AFE YIELD AND OVERDRAFT	15
4.1	Safe Yield	15
4.2	Overdraft	15
5. PF	RODUCTION RIGHTS	15
5.1	Allocation of Rights to Native Safe Yield	15
5. 1	1.1 Overlying Production Rights	16
5. 1	1.2 Non-Pumper Class Rights	16
5. 1	1.3 Small Pumper Class Production Rights	17
5. 1	1.4 Federal Reserved Water Right	19
5. 1	1.5 State of California Production Rights	20
5. 1	1.6 Non-Overlying Production Rights	23
5. 1	1.7 City of Lancaster	23
	i	

5.	1.8 Antelope Valley Joint Union High School District	24
5.	1.9 Construction of Solar Power Facilities	24
5.	1.10 Production Rights Claimed by Non-Stipulating Parties	24
5.2	Rights to Imported Water Return Flows.	25
5.	2.1 Rights to Imported Water Return Flows	25
5.	2.2 Water Imported Through AVEK	25
5.	2.3 Water Not Imported Through AVEK	26
5.3	Rights to Recycled Water	26
6. IN	NJUNCTION	27
6.1	Injunction Against Unauthorized Production	27
6.2	Injunction Re Change in Purpose of Use Without Notice to The Watermaster	27
6.3	Injunction Against Unauthorized Capture of Stored Water	27
6.4	Injunction Against Transportation From Basin	28
6.5	Continuing Jurisdiction	28
III. PH	YSICAL SOLUTION	29
7. G	ENERAL	29
7.1	Purpose and Objective	29
7.2	Need For Flexibility	29
7.3	General Pattern of Operations.	29
7.4	Water Rights	30
8. R	AMPDOWN	30
8.1	Installation of Meters	30
8.2	Rampdown Period	30
8.3	Reduction of Production During Rampdown	30
8.4	Drought Program During Rampdown for Participating Public Water Suppliers	31
9. A	SSESSMENTS.	32
9.1	Administrative Assessment.	32
9.2	Replacement Water Assessment	33
	ii	

1	9.3	Balance Assessment	35
2	10. S	SUBAREAS	36
3	10.1	Central Antelope Valley Subarea	36
4	10.2	West Antelope Valley Subarea	37
5	10.3	South East Subarea	37
6	10.4	Willow Springs Subarea	37
7	10.5	Rogers Lake Subarea	37
8	11. I	NCREASE IN PRODUCTION BY THE UNITED STATES	37
9	11.1	Notice of Increase of Production Under Federal Reserved Water Right	38
10	11.2	Water Substitution to Reduce Production by United States	38
11	12. N	MOVEMENT OF PUBLIC WATER SUPPLIERS PRODUCTION FACILITIES	38
12	12.1	No Requirement to Move Public Water Suppliers' Production Wells	38
13	13. F	FEDERAL APPROVAL	39
14	14. S	STORAGE	39
15	15. (CARRY OVER	40
16	15.1	In Lieu Production Right Carry Over	40
17	15.2	Imported Water Return Flow Carry Over	41
18	15.3	Production Right Carry Over	41
19	16. Т	TRANSFERS	42
20	16.1	When Transfers are Permitted	42
21	16.2	Transfers to Non-Overlying Production Right Holders	42
22	16.3	Limitation on Transfers of Water by Antelope Valley United Mutuals Group	42
23	17. (CHANGES IN POINT OF EXTRACTION AND NEW WELLS	43
24	17.1	Notice of New Well	43
25	17.2	Change in Point of Extraction by the United States	43
26	18. V	VATERMASTER	44
27	18.1	Appointment of Initial Watermaster	44
28		iii	

1	18.2	Standard of Performance	45
2	18.3	Removal of Watermaster	45
3	18.4	Powers and Duties of the Watermaster	46
4	18.5	Watermaster Engineer	48
5	18.6	Recommendations of the Watermaster Engineer	56
6	18.7	Interim Approvals by the Court	56
7	19. A	ADVISORY COMMITTEE	56
8	19.1	Authorization	56
9	19.2	Compensation	56
10	19.3	Powers and Functions	56
11	19.4	Advisory Committee Meetings	56
12	19.5	Subarea Advisory Management Committees	57
13	20. N	MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS	58
14	20.1	Water Quality	58
15	20.2	Actions Not Subject to CEQA Regulation	58
16	20.3	Court Review of Watermaster Actions	58
17	20.4	Multiple Production Rights	59
18	20.5	Payment of Assessments	59
19	20.6	Designation of Address for Notice and Service	59
20	20.7	Service of Documents	60
21	20.8	No Abandonment of Rights	60
22	20.9	Intervention After Judgment	60
23	20.10	Judgment Binding on Successors, etc.	61
24	20.11	Costs	61
25	20.12	Headings; Paragraph References	61
26	20.13	No Third Party Beneficiaries	61
27	20.14	Severability	61
28		iv	
		- •	

1	20.15 Cooperation; Further Acts	61
2	20.16 Exhibits and Other Writings	61
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
0		
1		
12		
4		
.5		
16		
7		
8		
9		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	v	
	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED JUDGMENT	

1	INDEX OF EX	KHIBITS AND APPENDICES
2	Exhibits:	
3	Exhibit 1:	Listing of Parties Against Which a Default Judgment Has Been Entered.
4	Exhibit 2:	Map of Area Adjudicated in This Action.
5	Exhibit 3:	Non-Overlying Production Rights.
6	Exhibit 4:	Overlying Production Rights
7	Exhibit 5:	Phase 3 Trial Decision.
8	Exhibit 6:	Map of boundaries of Edwards Air Force Base.
9	Exhibit 7:	Map of boundaries of Air Force Plant 42.
10	Exhibit 8:	Rights to Produce Imported Water Return Flows.
11	Exhibit 9:	Map of the Watershed of the Basin.
12	Exhibit 10:	Map of Subareas.
13		
14	Appendices:	
15	Appendix A:	Non-Pumper Class Judgment.
16	Appendix B:	Non-Pumper Class Stipulation of Settlement.
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		vi

A number of Parties have agreed and stipulated to entry of a Judgment consistent with the terms of this Judgment and Physical Solution (hereafter "this Judgment"). The stipulations of the Parties are conditioned upon further proceedings that will result in a Judgment binding all Parties to the Action. The Court, having considered the pleadings, the stipulations of the Parties, and the evidence presented, and being fully informed in the matter, approves the Physical Solution¹ contained herein. This Judgment is entered as a Judgment binding on all Parties served or appearing in this Action, including without limitation, those Parties which have stipulated to this Judgment, are subject to prior settlement(s) and judgment(s) of this Court, have defaulted or hereafter stipulate to this Judgment.

I. DESCRIPTION OF LITIGATION

1. <u>PROCEDURAL HISTORY</u>

1.1 <u>Initiation of Litigation.</u>

On October 29, 1999, Diamond Farming Company ("Diamond Farming") filed in the Riverside County Superior Court (Case No. RIC 344436) the first complaint in what would become these consolidated complex proceedings known as the Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases. Diamond Farming's complaint names as defendants the City of Lancaster, Palmdale Water District, Antelope Valley Water Company, Palm Ranch Irrigation District, Quartz Hill Water District, Rosamond Community Services District, and Mojave Public Utility District.

On February 22, 2000, Diamond Farming filed another complaint in the Riverside County Superior Court (Case No. RIC 344468). The two Diamond Farming actions were subsequently consolidated.

On January 25, 2001, Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. ("Bolthouse") filed a complaint in the same Court against the same entities, as well as Littlerock Creek Irrigation District and Los Angeles Waterworks Districts Nos. 37 and 40 (Case No. RIC 353840).

¹ A "physical solution" describes an agreed upon or judicially imposed resolution of conflicting claims in a manner that advances the constitutional rule of reasonable and beneficial use of the state's water supply. (*City of Santa Maria v. Adam* (2012) 211 Cal. App. 4th 266, 288.) It is defined as "an equitable remedy designed to alleviate overdrafts and the consequential depletion of water resources in a particular area, consistent with the constitutional mandate to prevent waste and unreasonable water use and to maximize the beneficial use of this state's limited resource." (*California American Water v. City of Seaside* (2010) 183 Cal. App. 4th 471, 480.)

The Diamond Farming and Bolthouse complaints variously allege that unregulated pumping by these named public agencies (collectively the Public Water Suppliers) has irreparably harmed Diamond Farming and Bolthouse's rights to produce Groundwater from the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin, and interfered with their rights to put that Groundwater to reasonable and beneficial uses on property they own or lease. Diamond Farming and Bolthouse's complaints seek a determination of their water rights and to quiet title as to the same.

In 2001, the Diamond Farming and Bolthouse actions were consolidated in the Riverside County Superior Court.

In August 2002, a Phase 1 trial commenced in the Riverside County Superior Court in the consolidated Diamond Farming/Bolthouse proceedings for the purpose of determining the geographic boundary of the area to be adjudicated. That Phase 1 trial was not concluded and the Court did not determine any issues or make any factual findings at that time.

1.2 General Adjudication Commenced.

In 2004, Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 ("District No. 40") initiated a general Groundwater adjudication for the Antelope Valley Ground Water Basin by filing identical complaints for declaratory and injunctive relief in the Los Angeles and Kern County Superior Courts (Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. BC 325201 and Kern County Superior Court Case No. S-1500-CV 254348). District No. 40's complaints sought a judicial determination of the respective rights of the Parties to produce Groundwater from the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin.

On December 30, 2004, District No. 40 petitioned the Judicial Council of California for coordination of the above-referenced actions. On June 17, 2005, the Judicial Council of California granted the petition and assigned the "Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases" (Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408) to this Court (Santa Clara County Superior Court Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 (Hon. Jack Komar)).

For procedural purposes, the Court requested that District No. 40 refile its complaint as a first amended cross-complaint in the now coordinated proceedings. Joined by the

other Public Water Suppliers, District No. 40 filed a first amended cross-complaint seeking declaratory and injunctive relief and an adjudication of the rights to all Groundwater within the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin. The Public Water Suppliers' cross-complaint, as currently amended, requests an adjudication to protect the public's water supply, prevent water quality degradation, and stop land subsidence. Some of the Public Water Suppliers allege they have acquired prescriptive and equitable rights to the Groundwater in the Basin. They allege the Basin has been in overdraft for more than five consecutive Years and they have pumped water from the Basin for reasonable and beneficial purposes in an open, notorious, and continuous manner. They allege each non-public cross-defendant had actual or constructive notice of these activities, sufficient to establish prescriptive rights in their favor. In order to alleviate overdraft conditions and protect the Basin, the Public Water Suppliers also request a physical solution.

1.3 Other Actions

In response to the Public Water Suppliers first amended cross-complaint, numerous Parties filed cross-complaints seeking various forms of relief.

On August 30, 2006, Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency ("AVEK") filed a cross-complaint seeking declaratory and injunctive relief and claiming overlying rights and rights to pump the supplemental yield attributable to return flows from State Water Project water imported to the Basin.

On January 11, 2007, Rebecca Lee Willis filed a class action complaint in the Los Angeles County Superior Court (Case No. BC 364553) for herself and on behalf of a class of non-pumping overlying property owners ("Non-Pumper Class"), through which she sought declaratory relief and money damages from various public entities. Following certification, the Non-Pumper Class entered into a settlement agreement with the Public Water Suppliers concerning the matters at issue in the class complaint. On September 22, 2011, the Court approved the settlement through an amended final judgment.

On June 2, 2008, Richard A. Wood filed a class action complaint for himself and on behalf of a class of small property owners in this action ("Small Pumper Class"), *Wood v. Los*

9

10

11

8

18 19

16

17

21 22

20

24 25

23

27

28

26

Angeles Co. Waterworks Dist. 40, et al., (Case No.: BC 391869) through which he sought declaratory relief and money damages from various public entities. The Small Pumper Class was certified on September 2, 2008.

On February 24, 2010, following various orders of coordination, the Court granted the Public Water Suppliers' motion to transfer and consolidate all complaints and crosscomplaints in this matter, with the exception of the complaint in Sheldon R. Blum, etc. v. Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. (Santa Clara County Superior Court Case No. 1-05-CV-049053), which remains related and coordinated.

1.4 McCarran Amendment Issues

The Public Water Suppliers' cross-complaint names Edwards Air Force Base, California and the United States Department of the Air Force as cross-defendants, seeking the same declaratory and injunctive relief as sought against the other cross-defendants. This Judgment, or any other determination in this case regarding rights to water, is contingent on a Judgment satisfying the requirements of the McCarran Amendment, 43 U.S.C. §666. The United States reserves all rights to object or otherwise challenge any interlocutory judgment and reserves all rights to appeal a Judgment that does not satisfy the requirements of the McCarran Amendment.

1.5 **Phased Trials**

The Court has divided the trial in this matter into multiple phases, four of which have been tried.

Through the Phase 1 trial, the Court determined the geographical boundaries of the area adjudicated in this Action which is defined as the Basin. On November 3, 2006, the Court entered an order determining that issue.

Through the Phase 2 trial, the Court determined that all areas within the Basin are hydrologically connected and a single aquifer, and that there is sufficient hydraulic connection between the disputed areas and the rest of the Basin such that the Court must include the disputed areas within the adjudication area. The Court further determined that it would be premature to make

any determinations regarding, *inter alia*, claims that portions of the Basin should be treated as a separate area for management purposes. On November 6, 2008, the Court entered its Order after Phase Two Trial on Hydrologic Nature of Antelope Valley.

Through the Phase 3 trial, the Court determined the Basin is in a current state of overdraft and the safe yield is 110,000 acre-feet per Year. The Court found the preponderance of the evidence presented established that setting the safe yield at 110,000 acre-feet per Year will permit management of the Basin in such a way as to preserve the rights of the Parties in accordance with the California Constitution and California law. On July 13, 2011, the Court filed its Statement of Decision.

Through the Phase 4 trial, the Court determined the overall Production occurring in the Basin in calendar Years 2011 and 2012.

1.6 **Defaults**

Numerous Parties have failed to respond timely, or at all, to the Public Water Suppliers' cross-complaint, as amended, and their defaults have been entered. The Court has given the defaulted Parties notice of this Judgment and Physical Solution, together with the opportunity to be heard regarding this Judgment, and hereby enters default judgments against all such Parties and incorporates those default judgments into this Judgment. Pursuant to such default judgments a defaulted Party has no right to Produce Groundwater from the Basin. All Parties against which a default judgment has been entered are identified on Exhibit 1, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

2. GENERAL ADJUDICATION DOES NOT APPLY TO SURFACE WATER.

Pursuant to California law, surface water use since 1914 has been governed by the Water Code. This Judgment does not apply to surface water as defined in the Water Code and is not intended to interfere with any State permitted or licensed surface water rights or pre-1914 surface water right. The impact of any surface water diversion should be considered as part of the State Water Resources Control Board permitting and licensing process and not as part of this Judgment.

II. <u>DECREE</u>

3. <u>JURISDICTION, PARTIES, DEFINITIONS</u>.

- 3.1 <u>Jurisdiction</u>. This Action is an *inter se* adjudication of all claims to the rights to Produce Groundwater from the Basin alleged between and among all Parties. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter and Parties herein to enter a Judgment declaring and adjudicating the rights to reasonable and beneficial use of water by the Parties in the Action pursuant to Article X, section 2 of the California Constitution.
- 3.2 Parties. The Court required that all Persons having or claiming any right, title or interest to the Groundwater within the Basin be notified of the Action. Notice has been given pursuant to the Court's order. All Public Water Suppliers, landowners, Non-Pumper Class and Small Pumper Class members and other Persons having or making claims have been or will be included as Parties to the Action. All named Parties who have not been dismissed have appeared or have been given adequate opportunity to appear.
- Action frame many legal issues. The Action includes over 4,000 Parties, as well as the members of the Non-Pumper Class and the members of the Small Pumper Class. The Basin's entire Groundwater supply and Groundwater rights, extending over approximately 1390 square miles, have been brought to issue. The numerous Groundwater rights at issue in the case include, without limitation, overlying, appropriative, prescriptive, and federal reserved water rights to Groundwater, rights to return flows from Imported Water, rights to recycled water, rights to stored Imported Water subject to the Watermaster rules and regulations, and rights to utilize the storage space within the Basin. After several months of trial, the Court made findings regarding Basin characteristics and determined the Basin's Safe Yield. The Court's rulings and judgments in this case, including the Safe Yield determination, form the basis for this Judgment.
- 3.4 Need for a Declaration of Rights and Obligations for a Physical

 Solution. A Physical Solution for the Basin, based on a declaration of water rights and a formula for allocation of rights and obligations, is necessary to implement the mandate of Article X,

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	

section 2 of the California Constitution and to protect the Basin and the Parties' rights to the Basin's water resources. The Physical Solution governs Groundwater, Imported Water and Basin storage space, and is intended to ensure that the Basin can continue to support existing and future reasonable and beneficial uses. A Physical Solution requires determining individual Groundwater rights for the Public Water Suppliers, landowners, Non-Pumper Class and Small Pumper Class members, and other Parties within the Basin. The Physical Solution set forth in this Judgment: (1) is a fair and reasonable allocation of Groundwater rights in the Basin after giving due consideration to water rights priorities and the mandate of Article X, section 2 of the California Constitution; (2) provides for a reasonable sharing of Imported Water costs; (3) furthers the mandates of the State Constitution and State water policy; and (4) is a remedy that gives due consideration to applicable common law rights and priorities to use Basin water and storage space without substantially impairing such rights. Combined with water conservation, water reclamation, water transfers, water banking, and improved conveyance and distribution methods within the Basin, present and future Imported Water sources are sufficient both in quantity and quality to assure implementation of a Physical Solution. This Judgment will facilitate water resource planning and development by the Public Water Suppliers and individual water users.

- **3.5 Definitions**. As used in this Judgment, the following terms shall have the meanings set forth herein:
- 3.5.1 <u>Action</u>. The coordinated and consolidated actions included in the Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases, Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408, Santa Clara Superior Court Case No. 1-05-CV-049053.
- 3.5.2 Adjusted Native Safe Yield. The Native Safe Yield minus (1) the Production Right allocated to the Small Pumper Class under Paragraph 5.1.3, (2) the Federal Reserved Water Right under Paragraph 5.1.4, and (3) the State of California Production Right under Paragraph 5.1.5. The Adjusted Native Safe Yield as of the date of entry of this Judgment is 70,686.6 acre-feet per year.

27

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

26

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

- 10 -

- 11 -

1	3.5.30 Producer(s). A Party who Produces Groundwater.
2	3.5.31 Production. Annual amount of Groundwater Produced, stated in
3	acre-feet of water.
4	3.5.32 Production Right. The amount of Native Safe Yield that may be
5	Produced each Year free of any Replacement Water Assessment and Replacement Obligation.
6	The total of the Production Rights decreed in this Judgment equals the Native Safe Yield. A
7	Production Right does not include any right to Imported Water Return Flows pursuant to
8	Paragraph 5.2.
9	3.5.33 Pro-Rata Increase. The proportionate increase in the amount of a
10	Production Right, as provided in Paragraph 18.5.10, provided the total of all Production Rights
11	does not exceed the Native Safe Yield.
12	3.5.34 <u>Pro-Rata Reduction</u> . The proportionate reduction in the amount
13	of a Production Right, as provided in Paragraph 18.5.10, in order that the total of all Production
14	Rights does not exceed the Native Safe Yield.
15	3.5.35 Public Water Suppliers. The Public Water Suppliers are Los
16	Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40, Palmdale Water District, Quartz Hill Water District
17	Littlerock Creek Irrigation District, California Water Service Company, Desert Lake Community
18	Services District, North Edwards Water District, City of Palmdale, City of Lancaster, Palm Ranch
19	Irrigation District, Rosamond Community Services District, and West Valley County Water
20	District.
21	3.5.36 <u>Purpose of Use</u> . The broad categories of type of water use
22	including but not limited to municipal, irrigation, agricultural and industrial uses.
23	3.5.37 <u>Rampdown</u> . The period of time for Pre-Rampdown Production to
24	be reduced to the Native Safe Yield in the manner described in this Judgment.
25	3.5.38 Recycled Water . Water that, as a result of treatment of waste, is
26	suitable for a direct beneficial use or a controlled use that would not otherwise occur and is
27	therefore considered a valuable resource.
28	- 12 -

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

- 13 -

1	in the same household, they shall be treated as a single Small Pumper Class Member for purposes
2	of determining water rights.
3	3.5.46 State of California. As used herein, State of California shall mean
4	the State of California acting by and through the following State agencies, departments and
5	associations: (1) The California Department of Water Resources; (2) The California Department
6	of Parks and Recreation; (3) The California Department of Transportation; (4) The California
7	State Lands Commission; (5) The California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation; (6)
8	The 50th District Agricultural Association; (7) The California Department of Veteran Affairs; (8)
9	The California Highway Patrol; and, (9) The California Department of Military.
10	3.5.47 State Water Project. Water storage and conveyance facilities
11	operated by the State of California Department of Water Resources from which it delivers water
12	diverted from the Feather River and the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta via the California
13	Aqueduct to public agencies it has contracted with.
14	3.5.48 Stipulating Party. Any Party who has executed a Stipulation for
15	Entry of this Judgment prior to the date of approval of this Judgment by the Court.
16	3.5.49 Stored Water. Water held in storage in the Basin, as a result of
17	direct spreading or other methods, for subsequent withdrawal and use pursuant to agreement with
18	the Watermaster and as provided for in this Judgment. Stored Water does not include Imported
19	Water Return Flows.
20	3.5.50 <u>Subareas</u> . Portions of the Basin, as described in this document,
21	divided for management purposes.
22	3.5.51 <u>Total Safe Yield</u> . The amount of Groundwater that may be safely
23	pumped from the Basin on a long-term basis. Total Safe Yield is the sum of the Native Safe
24	Yield plus the Imported Water Return Flows.
25	3.5.52 <u>Watermaster</u> . The Person(s) appointed by the Court to administer
26	the provisions of this Judgment.
27	
28	<u>- 14 -</u>

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

1	
2	fir
3	ad
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	Ye
9	11
10	
11	de
12	Во
13	by
14	fro
15	an
16	aq
17	pe
18	ov
19	he
20	
21	
22	thi

3.5.53 <u>Watermaster Engineer</u>. The engineering or hydrology expert or firm retained by the Watermaster to perform engineering and technical analysis and water administration functions as provided for in this Judgment.

3.5.54 <u>District No. 40</u>. Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40.3.5.55 <u>Year</u>. Calendar year.

4. SAFE YIELD AND OVERDRAFT

- 4.1 <u>Safe Yield</u>: The Native Safe Yield of the Basin is 82,300 acre-feet per Year. With the addition of Imported Water Return Flows, the Total Safe Yield is approximately 110,000 acre-feet per Year, but will vary annually depending on the volume of Imported Water.
- 4.2 Overdraft: In its Phase 3 trial decision, the Court held that the Basin, defined by the Court's March 12, 2007 Revised Order After Hearing On Jurisdictional Boundaries, is in a state of overdraft based on estimate of extraction and recharge, corroborated by physical evidence of conditions in the Basin. Reliable estimates of the long-term extractions from the Basin have exceeded reliable estimates of the Basin's recharge by significant margins, and empirical evidence of overdraft in the Basin corroborates that conclusion. Portions of the aquifer have sustained a significant loss of Groundwater storage since 1951. The evidence is persuasive that current extractions exceed recharge and therefore that the Basin is in a state of overdraft. The Court's full Phase 3 trial decision is attached as Exhibit 5 and is incorporated herein by reference.

5. PRODUCTION RIGHTS

5.1 Allocation of Rights to Native Safe Yield. Consistent with the goals of this Judgment and to maximize reasonable and beneficial use of the Groundwater of the Basin pursuant to Article X, section 2 of the California Constitution, all the Production Rights established by this Judgment are of equal priority, except the Federal Reserved Water Right which is addressed in Paragraph 5.1.4, and with the reservation of the Small Pumper Class Members' right to claim a priority under Water Code section 106.

27

23

24

25

26

1	5.1.1 Overlying Production Rights. The Parties listed in Exhibit 4,
2	attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference, have Overlying Production Rights. Exhibit
3	4 sets forth the following for each Overlying Production Right: (1) the Pre-Rampdown
4	Production; (2) the Production Right; and (3) the percentage of the Production from the Adjusted
5	Native Safe Yield.
6	5.1.1.1 The Parties listed on Exhibit 4 have the right to Produce
7	Groundwater, on an annual basis, up to their Overlying Production Right set forth in Exhibit 4 for
8	each Party. Each Party's Overlying Production Right is subject to the following conditions and
9	limitations:
10	5.1.1.2 Pursuant to the terms of this Judgment, the Parties listed on
11	Exhibit 4 have the right to Produce their Overlying Production Right for use on land they own or
12	lease and without the need for Watermaster approval.
13	5.1.1.3 Overlying Production Rights may be transferred pursuant to
14	the provisions of Paragraph 16 of this Judgment.
15	5.1.1.4 Overlying Production Rights are subject to Pro-Rata
16	Reduction or Increase only pursuant to Paragraph 18.5.10.
17	5.1.2 Non-Pumper Class Rights. The Non-Pumper Class members
18	claim the right to Produce Groundwater from the Native Safe Yield for reasonable and beneficial
19	uses on their overlying land as provided for in this Judgment. On September 22, 2011, the Court
20	approved the Non-Pumper Class Stipulation of Settlement through an amended final judgment
21	that settled the Non-Pumper Class' claims against the Public Water Suppliers ("Non-Pumper
22	Class Judgment"). A copy of the Non-Pumper Class Judgment and the Non-Pumper Class
23	Stipulation of Settlement are attached for reference only as Appendices A and B. This Judgment
24	is consistent with the Non-Pumper Class Stipulation of Settlement and Judgment. Future
25	Production by a member of the Non-Pumper Class is addressed in the Physical Solution.
26	5.1.2.1 The Non-Pumper Class members shall have no right to
27	transfer water pursuant to this Judgment.
28	

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	l

5.1.3 Small Pumper Class Production Rights. Subject only to the
closure of the Small Pumper Class membership, the Small Pumper Class's aggregate Production
Right is 3806.4 acre-feet per Year. Allocation of water to the Small Pumper Class is set at an
average Small Pumper Class Member amount of 1.2 acre-feet per existing household or parcel
based upon the 3172 known Small Pumper Class Member parcels at the time of this Judgment.
Any Small Pumper Class Member may Produce up to and including 3 acre-feet per Year per
existing household for reasonable and beneficial use on their overlying land, and such Production
will not be subject to Replacement Water Assessment. Production by any Small Pumper Class
Member above 3 acre-feet per Year per household or parcel will be subject to Replacement Water
Assessment, as set forth in this Judgment. Administrative Assessments for unmetered Production
by Small Pumper Class Members shall be set based upon the allocation of 1.2 acre-feet per Year
per household or parcel, whichever is the case; metered Production shall be assessed in accord
with the actual Production. A Small Pumper Class Member who is lawfully, by permit, operating
a shared well with an adjoining Small Pumper Class Member, shall have all of the same rights
and obligations under this Judgment without regard to the location of the shared well, and such
shared use is not considered a prohibited transfer of a pumping right under Paragraph 5.1.3.3.

5.1.3.1 The Production of Small Pumper Class Members of up to 3 acre-feet per Year of Groundwater per household or per parcel for reasonable and beneficial use shall only be subject to reduction if: (1) the reduction is based upon a statistically credible study and analysis of the Small Pumper Class' actual Native Safe Yield Production, as well as the nature of the use of such Native Safe Yield, over at least a three Year period; and (2) the reduction is mandated by Court order after notice to the Small Pumper Class Members affording a reasonable opportunity for the Court to hear any Small Pumper Class Member objections to such reduction, including a determination that Water Code section 106 may apply so as to prevent a reduction.

5.1.3.2 The primary means for monitoring the Small Pumper Class Members' Groundwater use under the Physical Solution will be based on physical inspection by

25

26

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

the Watermaster, including the use of aerial photographs and satellite imagery. All Small Pumper Class Members agree to permit the Watermaster to subpoena the electrical meter records associated with their Groundwater wells on an annual basis. Should the Watermaster develop a reasonable belief that a Small Pumper Class Member household is using in excess of 3 acre-feet per Year, the Watermaster may cause to be installed a meter on such Small Pumper Class Member's well at the Small Pumper Class Member's expense.

5.1.3.3 The pumping rights of Small Pumper Class Members are not transferable separately from the parcel of property on which the water is pumped, provided however a Small Pumper Class Member may move their water right to another parcel owned by that Small Pumper Class Member with approval of the Court. If a Small Pumper Class Member parcel is sold, absent a written contract stating otherwise and subject to the provisions of this Judgment, the water right for that Small Pumper Class Member parcel shall transfer to the new owners of that Small Pumper Class Member parcel. The pumping rights of Small Pumper Class Members may not be aggregated for use by a purchaser of more than one Small Pumper Class Member's property.

5.1.3.4 Defaults or default judgments entered against any Small Pumper Class Member who did not opt out of the Small Pumper Class are hereby deemed non-operative and vacated *nunc pro tunc*, but only with respect to their ownership of real property meeting the Small Pumper Class definition.

5.1.3.5 The Small Pumper Class shall be permanently closed to new membership upon issuance by the Court of its order granting final approval of the Small Pumper Class Settlement (the "Class Closure Date"), after the provision of notice to the Class of the Class Closure Date. Any Person or entity that does not meet the Small Pumper Class definition prior to the Class Closure Date is not a Member of the Small Pumper Class. Similarly, any additional household constructed on a Small Pumper Class Member parcel after the Class Closure Date is not entitled to a Production Right as set forth in Paragraphs 5.1.3 and 5.1.3.1.

27

25

26

5.1.3.6 Unknown Small Pumper Class Members are defined as: (1) those Persons or entities that are not identified on the list of known Small Pumper Class Members maintained by class counsel and supervised and controlled by the Court as of the Class Closure Date; and (2) any unidentified households existing on a Small Pumper Class Member parcel prior to the Class Closure Date. Within ten (10) Court days of the Class Closure Date, class counsel for the Small Pumper Class shall publish to the Court website and file with the Court a list of the known Small Pumper Class Members.

Class during the more than five Years since the initial notice was provided to the Class, the Court finds that the number of potentially unknown Small Pumper Class Members and their associated water use is likely very low, and any Production by unknown Small Pumper Class Members is hereby deemed to be *de minimis* in the context of this Physical Solution and shall not alter the Production Rights decreed in this Judgment. However, whenever the identity of any unknown Small Pumper Class Member becomes known, that Small Pumper Class Member shall be bound by all provisions of this Judgment, including without limitation, the assessment obligations applicable to Small Pumper Class Members.

5.1.3.8 In recognition of his service as class representative, Richard Wood has a Production Right of up to five 5 acre-feet per Year for reasonable and beneficial use on his parcel free of Replacement Water Assessment. This Production Right shall not be transferable and is otherwise subject to the provisions of this Judgment.

5.1.4 Federal Reserved Water Right. The United States has a right to Produce 7,600 acre-feet per Year from the Native Safe Yield as a Federal Reserved Water Right for use for military purposes at Edwards Air Force Base and Air Force Plant 42. *See Cappaert v. United States*, 426 U.S. 128, 138 (1976); *United States v. New Mexico*, 438 U.S. 696, 700 (1978). Maps of the boundaries of Edwards Air Force Base and Plant 42 are attached hereto as Exhibits 6 and 7. The United States may Produce any or all of this water at any time for uses consistent with the purposes of its Federal Reserved Water Right. Water uses at Edwards Air Force Base and

1	Plant 42 as of the date of this Judgment are consistent with the military purposes of the facilities.
2	The Federal Reserved Water Right to Produce 7,600 acre-feet per Year is not subject to
3	Rampdown or any reduction including Pro-Rata Reduction due to Overdraft.
4	5.1.4.1 In the event the United States does not Produce its
5	entire 7,600 acre-feet in any given Year, the unused amount in any Year will be allocated to the
6	Non-Overlying Production Rights holders, except for Boron Community Services District and
7	West Valley County Water District, in the following Year, in proportion to Production Rights set
8	forth in Exhibit 3. This Production of unused Federal Reserved Water Right Production does not
9	increase any Non-Overlying Production Right holder's decreed Non-Overlying Production Right
10	amount or percentage, and does not affect the United States' ability to fully Produce its Federal
11	Reserved Water Right as provided in Paragraph 5.1.4 in any subsequent Year. Upon entry of a
12	judgment confirming its Federal Reserved Water Rights consistent with this Judgment, the United
13	States waives any rights under State law to a correlative share of the Groundwater in the Basin
14	underlying Edwards Air Force Base and Air Force Plant 42.
15	5.1.4.2 The United States is not precluded from acquiring State law
16	based Production Rights in excess of its Federal Reserved Water Right through the acquisition of
17	Production Rights in the Basin.
18	5.1.5 State of California Production Rights. The State of California
19	shall have a Production Right of 207 acre-feet per Year from the Native Safe Yield and shall have
20	the additional right to Produce Native Safe Yield as set forth in Paragraphs 5.1.5.3 and 5.1.5.4
21	below. This Production of Native Safe Yield shall not be subject to Pro-Rata Reduction. Any
22	Production by the State of California above 207 acre-feet per Year that is not Produced pursuant
23	to Paragraphs 5.1.5.3 and 5.1.5.4 below shall be subject to Replacement Assessments. All
24	Production by the State of California shall also be subject to the Administrative Assessment and
25	the Balance Assessment except in emergency situations as provided in Paragraph 5.1.5.4.3 below.

26

27

Any Production of Native Safe Yield pursuant to Paragraphs 5.1.5.3 and 5.1.5.4 below shall not

reduce any other Party's Production Rights pursuant to this Judgment.

1	5.1.5.1 The State of California's Production Right in the amount of
2	207 acre-feet per Year is allocated separately to each of the State agencies, departments, and
3	associations as listed below in Paragraph 5.1.5.2. Notwithstanding the separate allocations, any
4	Production Right, or portion thereof, of one of the State agencies, departments, and associations
5	may be transferred or used by the other State agencies, departments, and associations on parcels
6	within the Basin. This transfer shall be done by agreement between the State agencies,
7	departments, or associations without a Replacement Water Assessment and without the need for
8	Watermaster approval. Prior to the transfer of another State agency, department, or association's
9	Production Right, the State agency, department, or association receiving the ability to use the
10	Production Right shall obtain written consent from the transferor. Further, the State agency,
11	department, or association receiving the Production Right shall notify the Watermaster of the
12	transfer.
13	5.1.5.2 The Production Rights are allocated as follows and may be
14	exercised by the following nine (9) State agencies:
15	5.1.5.2.1 The California Department of Water Resources-104
16	acre- feet per Year.
17	5.1.5.2.2 The California Department of Parks and Recreation-
18	9 acre-feet per Year.
19	5.1.5.2.3 The California Department of Transportation -47
20	acre-feet per Year.
21	5.1.5.2.4 The California State Lands Commission-3 acre-feet
22	per Year
23	5.1.5.2.5 The California Department of Corrections and
24	Rehabilitation-3 acre-feet per Year.
25	5.1.5.2.6 The 50th District Agricultural Association-32 acre-
26	feet per Year.
27	
28	- 21 -

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

1	5.1.5.2.7 The California Department of Veteran Affairs-3
2	acre-feet per Year.
3	5.1.5.2.8 The California Highway Patrol -3 acre- feet per
4	Year.
5	5.1.5.2.9 The California Department of Military-3 acre-feet
6	per Year.
7	5.1.5.3 If at any time, the amount of water supplied to the State of
8	California by District No. 40, AVEK, or Rosamond Community Service District is no longer
9	available or no longer available at reasonable rates to the State of California, the State of
10	California shall have the additional right to Produce Native Safe Yield to meet its reasonable and
11	beneficial needs up to 787 acre-feet per Year, the amount provided by District No. 40, AVEK and
12	Rosamond Community Services District to the State of California in the Year 2013.
13	5.1.5.4 The following provisions will also apply to each specific
14	agency listed below:
15	5.1.5.4.1 California Department of Corrections &
16	Rehabilitation (CDCR). In addition to its Production Right pursuant to Paragraphs 5.1.5.2.5 and
17	5.1.5.3, CDCR may also pump Groundwater: (1) to the extent necessary to conduct periodic
18	maintenance of its well pumping equipment; and (2) as a supplementary source of drinking water
19	or as an emergency back-up supply as set forth in Water Code section 55338.
20	5.1.5.4.2 California Department of Water Resources (DWR).
21	In addition to its Production pursuant to Paragraphs 5.1.5.2.1 and 5.1.5.3 above, DWR may also
22	pump Native Safe Yield from the area adjacent to and beneath the California Aqueduct and
23	related facilities at a time and in an amount it determines is reasonably necessary to protect the
24	physical integrity of the California Aqueduct and related facilities from high Groundwater.
25	Further, notwithstanding provisions of this Judgment prohibiting the export of Native Safe Yield
26	from the Basin, DWR may place the Native Safe Yield that it pumps for the protection of the
27	California Aqueduct into the California Aqueduct, whether or not such Native Safe Yield is
28	- 22 -

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

- 23 -

2.5

construed as requiring Lancaster to have any responsibility for constructing, or in any way contributing to the cost of, any infrastructure necessary to deliver Recycled Water to the National Soccer Complex.

Valley Joint Union High School District is a public school entity duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of California. In addition to the amounts allocated to Antelope Valley Joint Union High School District ("AVJUHSD") and pursuant to Exhibit 4, AVJUHSD can additionally produce up to 29 acre-feet of Groundwater for reasonable and beneficial uses on its athletic fields and other public spaces. When recycled water becomes available to Quartz Hill High School (located at 6040 West Avenue L, Quartz Hill, CA 93535) which is a site that is part of AVJUHSD, at a price equal to or less than the lowest cost of any of the following:

Replacement Obligation, Replacement Water, or other water that is delivered to AVJUHSD at Quartz Hill High School, AVJUHSD will stop producing the 29 acre-feet of Groundwater allocated to it and use recycled water as a replacement to its 29 acre-feet production. AVJUHSD retains its production rights and allocation pursuant to Exhibit 4 of this Judgment.

Groundwater in excess of its Production Right allocated to it in Exhibit 4 for the purpose of constructing a facility located on land overlying the Basin that will generate, distribute or store solar power through and including December 31, 2016 and shall not be charged a Replacement Water Assessment or incur a Replacement Obligation for such Production in excess of its Production Rights. Any amount of such production in excess of the Production Right through and including December 31, 2016 shall be reasonable to accomplish such construction but shall not exceed 500 acre-feet per Year for all Parties using such water.

5.1.10 Production Rights Claimed by Non-Stipulating Parties. Any claim to a right to Produce Groundwater from the Basin by a Non-Stipulating Party shall be subject to procedural or legal objection by any Stipulating Party. Should the Court, after taking evidence, rule that a Non-Stipulating Party has a Production Right, the Non-Stipulating Party

1 shall be subject to all provisions of this Judgment, including reduction in Production necessary to 2 implement the Physical Solution and the requirements to pay assessments, but shall not be 3 entitled to benefits provided by Stipulation, including but not limited to Carry Over pursuant to 4 Paragraph 15 and Transfers pursuant to Paragraph 16. If the total Production by Non-Stipulating 5 Parties is less than seven percent (7%) of the Native Safe Yield, such Production will be addressed when Native Safe Yield is reviewed pursuant to Paragraph 18.5.9. If the total 6 7 Production by Non-Stipulating Parties is greater than seven percent (7%) of the Native Safe 8 Yield, the Watermaster shall determine whether Production by Non-Stipulating Parties would 9 cause Material Injury, in which case the Watermaster shall take action to mitigate the Material 10 Injury, including, but not limited to, imposing a Balance Assessment, provided however, that the 11 Watermaster shall not recommend any changes to the allocations under Exhibits 3 and 4 prior to 12 the redetermination of Native Safe Yield pursuant to Paragraph 18.5.9. In all cases, however, 13 whenever the Watermaster re-determines the Native Safe Yield pursuant to Paragraph 18.5.9, the 14 Watermaster shall take action to prevent Native Safe Yield Production from exceeding the Native

Safe Yield on a long-term basis.

5.2 <u>Rights to Imported Water Return Flows.</u>

5.2.1 Rights to Imported Water Return Flows. Return Flows from Imported Water used within the Basin which net augment the Basin Groundwater supply are not a part of the Native Safe Yield. Subject to review pursuant to Paragraph 18.5.11, Imported Water Return Flows from Agricultural Imported Water use are 34% and Imported Water Return Flows from Municipal and Industrial Imported Water use are 39% of the amount of Imported Water used.

5.2.2 Water Imported Through AVEK. The right to Produce Imported Water Return Flows from water imported through AVEK belongs exclusively to the Parties identified on Exhibit 8, attached hereto, and incorporated herein by reference. Each Party shown on Exhibit 8 shall have a right to Produce an amount of Imported Water Return Flows in any Year equal to the applicable percentage multiplied by the average amount of Imported Water used

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

26

by that Party within the Basin in the preceding five Year period (not including Imported Stored Water in the Basin). Any Party that uses Imported Water on lands outside the Basin but within the watershed of the Basin shall be entitled to Produce Imported Water Return Flows to the extent such Party establishes to the satisfaction of the Watermaster the amount that its Imported Water Return Flows augment the Basin Groundwater supply. This right shall be in addition to that Party's Overlying or Non-Overlying Production Right. Production of Imported Water Return Flows is not subject to the Replacement Water Assessment. All Imported Water Return Flows from water imported through AVEK and not allocated to Parties identified in Exhibit 8 belong exclusively to AVEK, unless otherwise agreed by AVEK. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Boron Community Services District shall have the right to Produce Imported Water Return Flows, up to 78 acre-feet annually, based on the applicable percentage multiplied by the average amount of Imported Water used by Boron Community Services District outside the Basin, but within its service area in the preceding five Year period (not including Imported Stored Water in the Basin) without having to establish that the Imported Water Return Flows augment the Basin Groundwater supply.

Judgment, a Party other than AVEK that brings Imported Water into the Basin from a source other than AVEK shall notify the Watermaster each Year quantifying the amount and uses of the Imported Water in the prior Year. The Party bringing such Imported Water into the Basin shall have a right to Produce an amount of Imported Water Return Flows in any Year equal to the applicable percentage set forth above multiplied by the average annual amount of Imported Water used by that Party within the Basin in the preceding five Year period (not including Imported Stored Water in the Basin).

5.3 Rights to Recycled Water. The owner of a waste water treatment plant operated for the purpose of treating wastes from a sanitary sewer system shall hold the exclusive right to the Recycled Water as against anyone who has supplied the water discharged into the waste water collection and treatment system. At the time of this Judgment those Parties that

1	produce Recycled Water are Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts No. 14 and No. 20,
2	Rosamond Community Services District, and Edwards Air Force Base. Nothing in this Judgment
3	affects or impairs this ownership or any existing or future agreements for the use of Recycled
4	Water within the Basin.
5	6. <u>INJUNCTION</u>
6	6.1 <u>Injunction Against Unauthorized Production</u> . Each and every Party, its
7	officers, directors, agents, employees, successors, and assigns, except for the United States, is
8	ENJOINED AND RESTRAINED from Producing Groundwater from the Basin except pursuant
9	to this Judgment. Without waiving or foreclosing any arguments or defenses it might have, the
10	United States agrees that nothing herein prevents or precludes the Watermaster or any Party from
11	seeking to enjoin the United States from Producing water in excess of its 7,600 acre-foot per Year
12	Reserved Water Right if and to the extent the United States has not paid the Replacement
13	Assessments for such excess Production or entered into written consent to the imposition of
14	Replacement Assessments as described in Paragraph 9.2.
15	6.2 Injunction Re Change in Purpose of Use Without Notice to The

6.2 Injunction Re Change in Purpose of Use Without Notice to The Watermaster. Each and every Party, its officers, directors, agents, employees, successors, and assigns, is ENJOINED AND RESTRAINED from changing its Purpose of Use of Groundwater at any time without notifying the Watermaster.

6.3 Injunction Against Unauthorized Capture of Stored Water. Each and every Party, its officers, directors, agents, employees, successors and assigns, is ENJOINED AND RESTRAINED from claiming any right to Produce the Stored Water that has been recharged in the Basin, except pursuant to a Storage Agreement with the Watermaster, and as allowed by this Judgment, or pursuant to water banking operations in existence and operating at the time of this Judgment as identified in Paragraph 14. This Paragraph does not prohibit Parties from importing water into the Basin for direct use, or from Producing or using Imported Water Return Flows owned by such Parties pursuant to Paragraph 5.2.

27

26

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

	II
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	۱

order of the Court, each and every Party, its officers, agents, employees, successors and assigns, is ENJOINED AND RESTRAINED from transporting Groundwater hereafter Produced from the Basin to areas outside the Basin except as provided for by the following. The United States may transport water Produced pursuant to its Federal Reserved Water Right to any portion of Edwards Air Force Base, whether or not the location of use is within the Basin. This injunction does not prevent Saint Andrew's Abbey, Inc., U.S. Borax and Tejon Ranchcorp/Tejon Ranch Company from conducting business operations on lands both inside and outside the Basin boundary, and transporting Groundwater Produced consistent with this Judgment for those operations and for use on those lands outside the Basin and within the watershed of the Basin as shown in Exhibit 9. This injunction also does not apply to any California Aqueduct protection dewatering Produced by the California Department of Water Resources. This injunction does not apply to the recovery and use of stored Imported Water by any Party that stores Imported Water in the Basin pursuant to Paragraph 14 of this Judgment.

Export by Boron and Phelan Piñon Hills Community Services

Districts.

6.4.1.1 The injunction does not prevent Boron Community Services

District from transporting Groundwater Produced consistent with this Judgment for use outside
the Basin, provided such water is delivered within its service area.

6.4.1.2 The injunction does not apply to any Groundwater Produced within the Basin by Phelan Piñon Hills Community Services District and delivered to its service areas, so long as the total Production does not exceed 1,200 acre-feet per Year, such water is available for Production without causing Material Injury, and the District pays a Replacement Water Assessment pursuant to Paragraph 9.2, together with any other costs deemed necessary to protect Production Rights decreed herein, on all water Produced and exported in this manner.

Continuing Jurisdiction. The Court retains and reserves full jurisdiction, power and authority for the purpose of enabling the Court, upon a motion of a Party or Parties

noticed in accordance with the notice procedures of Paragraph 20.6 hereof, to make such further or supplemental order or directions as may be necessary or appropriate to interpret, enforce, administer or carry out this Judgment and to provide for such other matters as are not contemplated by this Judgment and which might occur in the future, and which if not provided for would defeat the purpose of this Judgment.

III. PHYSICAL SOLUTION

7. **GENERAL**

- Purpose and Objective. The Court finds that the Physical Solution incorporated as part of this Judgment: (1) is a fair and equitable basis for satisfaction of all water rights in the Basin; (2) is in furtherance of the State Constitution mandate and the State water policy; and (3) takes into account water rights priorities, applicable public trust interests and the Federal Reserved Water Right. The Court finds that the Physical Solution establishes a legal and practical means for making the maximum reasonable and beneficial use of the waters of the Basin by providing for the long-term Conjunctive Use of all available water in order to meet the reasonable and beneficial use requirements of water users in the Basin. Therefore, the Court adopts, and orders the Parties to comply with this Physical Solution.
- 7.2 <u>Need For Flexibility</u>. This Physical Solution must provide flexibility and adaptability to allow the Court to use existing and future technological, social, institutional, and economic options in order to maximize reasonable and beneficial water use in the Basin.
- Solution is that all Parties may Produce sufficient water to meet their reasonable and beneficial use requirements in accordance with the terms of this Judgment. To the extent that Production by a Producer exceeds such Producer's right to Produce a portion of the Total Safe Yield as provided in this Judgment, the Producer will pay a Replacement Water Assessment to the Watermaster and the Watermaster will provide Replacement Water to replace such excess production according to the methods set forth in this Judgment.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

8.

Imported Water to the Basin.

7.4

RAMPDOWN

8.1 <u>Installation of Meters</u>. Within two (2) Years from the entry of this Judgment all Parties other than the Small Pumper Class shall install meters on their wells for monitoring Production. Each Party shall bear the cost of installing its meter(s). Monitoring or metering of Production by the Small Pumper Class shall be at the discretion of the Watermaster, subject to the provisions of Paragraph 5.1.3.2.

Water Rights. A Physical Solution for the Basin based upon a declaration

of water rights and a formula for allocation of rights and obligations is necessary to implement

the mandate of Article X, section 2 of the California Constitution. The Physical Solution requires

quantifying the Producers' rights within the Basin in a manner which will reasonably allocate the

Native Safe Yield and Imported Water Return Flows and which will provide for sharing Imported

Water costs. Imported Water sources are or will be available in amounts which, when combined

existing water supplies, taking into account water rights priorities, within the Basin and as among

with water conservation, water reclamation, water transfers, and improved conveyance and

distribution methods within the Basin, will be sufficient in quantity and quality to assure

implementation of the Physical Solution. Sufficient information and data exists to allocate

the water users. The Physical Solution provides for delivery and equitable distribution of

- 8.2 **Rampdown Period.** The "Rampdown Period" is seven Years beginning on the January 1 following entry of this Judgment and continuing for the following seven (7) Years.
- 8.3 **Reduction of Production During Rampdown.** During the first two Years of the Rampdown Period no Producer will be subject to a Replacement Water Assessment. During Years three through seven of the Rampdown Period, the amount that each Party may Produce from the Native Safe Yield will be progressively reduced, as necessary, in equal annual increments, from its Pre-Rampdown Production to its Production Right. Except as is determined to be exempt during the Rampdown period pursuant to the Drought Program provided for in

Likewise, no Production by a Drought Program Participant will be considered excess

Groundwater Production exempt from a Replacement Water Assessment under this Drought Program in any Year in which the Drought Program Participant has placed water from such sources described in this Paragraph 8.4.2 into storage or has transferred such water to another Person or entity.

8.4.3 During the Rampdown period, the Drought Program Participants will be exempt from the requirement to pay a Replacement Water Assessment for Groundwater Production in excess of their respective rights to Produce Groundwater under this Judgment up to a total of 40,000 acre-feet over the Rampdown Period with a maximum of 20,000 acre-feet in any single Year for District No. 40 and a total of 5,000 acre-feet over the Rampdown Period for all other Drought Program Participants combined. During any Year that excess Groundwater is produced under this Drought Program, all Groundwater Production by the Drought Program Participants will be for the purpose of a direct delivery to customers served within their respective service areas and will not be transferred to other users within the Basin.

8.4.4 Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Drought Program Participants remain subject to the Material Injury limitation as provided in this Judgment.

8.4.5 Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Drought Program Participants remain subject to a Balance Assessment as provided in Paragraph 9.3 of this Judgment.

9. ASSESSMENTS.

Administrative Assessment. Administrative Assessments to fund the Administrative Budget adopted by the Watermaster shall be levied uniformly on an annual basis against (1) each acre foot of a Party's Production Right as described in Paragraph 5.1, (2) each acre foot of a Party's right to Produce Imported Water Return Flows as determined pursuant to Paragraph 5.2, (3) each acre foot of a Party's Production for which a Replacement Water Assessment has been imposed pursuant to Paragraph 9.2, and (4) during the Rampdown, each acre foot of a Party's Production in excess of (1)-(3), above, excluding Production from Stored Water and/or Carry Over water, except that the United States shall be subject to the Administrative Assessment only on the actual Production of the United States. During the

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

9.2 **Replacement Water Assessment.** In order to ensure that each Party may fully exercise its Production Right, there will be a Replacement Water Assessment. Except as is determined to be exempt during the Rampdown period pursuant to the Drought Program provided for in Paragraph 8.4, the Watermaster shall impose the Replacement Water Assessment on any Producer whose Production of Groundwater from the Basin in any Year is in excess of the sum of such Producer's Production Right and Imported Water Return Flow available in that Year, provided that no Replacement Water Assessment shall be imposed on the United States except upon the United States' written consent to such imposition based on the appropriation by Congress, and the apportionment by the Office of Management and Budget, of funds that are available for the purpose of, and sufficient for, paying the United States' Replacement Water Assessment. The Replacement Water Assessment shall not be imposed on the Production of Stored Water, In-Lieu Production or Production of Imported Water Return Flows. The amount of the Replacement Water Assessment shall be the amount of such excess Production multiplied by the cost to the Watermaster of Replacement Water, including any Watermaster spreading costs. All Replacement Water Assessments collected by the Watermaster shall be used to acquire Imported Water from AVEK, Littlerock Creek Irrigation District, Palmdale Water District, or other entities. AVEK shall use its best efforts to acquire as much Imported Water as possible in a timely manner. If the Watermaster encounters delays in acquiring Imported Water which, due to cost increases, results in collected assessment proceeds being insufficient to purchase all Imported Water for which the Assessments were made, the Watermaster shall purchase as much water as the proceeds will allow when the water becomes available. If available Imported Water is

insufficient to fully meet the Replacement Water obligations under contracts, the Watermaster

14

15

11

16 17

18 19

20 21

> 22 23

24 25

26

27 28 shall allocate the Imported Water for delivery to areas on an equitable and practicable basis pursuant to the Watermaster rules and regulations.

The Non-Pumper Class Stipulation of Settlement, executed by its 9.2.1 signatories and approved by the Court in the Non-Pumper Class Judgment, specifically provides for imposition of a Replacement Water Assessment on Non-Pumper Class members. This Judgment is consistent with the Non-Pumper Class Stipulation of Settlement and Judgment. The Non-Pumper Class members specifically agreed to pay a replacement assessment if that member produced "more than its annual share" of the Native Safe Yield less the amount of the Federal Reserved Right. (See Appendix B at paragraph V., section D. Replacement Water.) In approving the Non-Pumper Class Stipulation of Settlement this Court specifically held in its Order after Hearing dated November 18, 2010, that "the court determination of physical solution cannot be limited by the Class Settlement." The Court also held that the Non-Pumper Class Stipulation of Settlement "may not affect parties who are not parties to the settlement."

9.2.2 Evidence presented to the Court demonstrates that Production by one or more Public Water Suppliers satisfies the elements of prescription and that Production by overlying landowners during portion(s) of the prescriptive period exceeded the Native Safe Yield. At the time of this Judgment the entire Native Safe Yield is being applied to reasonable and beneficial uses in the Basin. Members of the Non-Pumper Class do not and have never Produced Groundwater for reasonable beneficial use as of the date of this Judgment. Pursuant to Pasadena v. Alhambra (1949) 33 Cal 2d 908, 931-32 and other applicable law, the failure of the Non-Pumper Class members to Produce any Groundwater under the facts here modifies their rights to Produce Groundwater except as provided in this Judgment. Because this is a comprehensive adjudication pursuant to the McCarran Amendment, consistent with the California Supreme Court decisions, including In Re Waters of Long Valley Creek Stream System (1979) 25 Cal. 3d 339, this Court makes the following findings: (1) certainty fosters reasonable and beneficial use of water and is called for by the mandate of Article X, section 2; (2) because of this mandate for certainty and in furtherance of the Physical Solution, any New Production, including that by a

member of the Non-Pumper Class must comply with the New Production Application Procedure specified in Paragraph 18.5.13; (3) as of this Judgment no member of the Non-Pumper Class has established a Production Right to the reasonable and beneficial use of Groundwater based on their unexercised claim of right to Produce Groundwater; (4) if in the future a member of the Non-Pumper Class proposes to Produce Groundwater for reasonable and beneficial use, the Watermaster as part of the New Production Application Procedure, has the authority to determine whether such a member has established that the proposed New Production is a reasonable and beneficial use in the context of other existing uses of Groundwater and then-current Basin conditions; and (5) the Watermaster's determinations as to the approval, scope, nature and priority of any New Production is reasonably necessary to the promotion of the State's interest in fostering the most reasonable and beneficial use of its scarce water resources. All provisions of this Judgment regarding the administration, use and enforcement of the Replacement Water Assessment shall apply to each Non-Pumper Class member that Produces Groundwater. Prior to the commencement of Production, each Producing Non-Pumper Class member shall install a meter and report Production to the Watermaster. The Court finds that this Judgment is consistent with the Non-Pumper Stipulation of Settlement and Judgment.

may fully exercise its Production Right, there may be a Balance Assessment imposed by the Watermaster. The Balance Assessment shall be assessed on all Production Rights, excluding the United States' actual Production, but including that portion of the Federal Reserved Right Produced by other Parties, in an amount determined by the Watermaster. A Balance Assessment may not be imposed until after the end of the Rampdown. In determining whether to adopt a Balance Assessment, and in what amount, the Watermaster Engineer shall consider current Basin conditions as well as then-current pumping existing after Rampdown exclusive of any consideration of an effect on then-current Basin conditions relating to Production of Groundwater pursuant to the Drought Program which occurred during the Rampdown, and shall only assess a

27

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 36
[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

northeast of the extension of the Buttes Fault, and northwest of an unnamed fault historically identified from Groundwater level differences, as shown on Exhibit 10.

- 10.2 <u>West Antelope Valley Subarea</u>. The West Antelope Valley Subarea is the second largest subarea. The area is characterized by a lack of surficial lake bed deposits, and little evidence of widespread subsurface lake beds, and thick alluvial deposits. The Western Antelope Valley Subarea is defined to be south of the Willow Springs-Cottonwood Fault and west of a largely buried ridge of older granitic and tertiary rocks that are exposed at Antelope Buttes and Little Buttes, and continue to Tropico Hill, as shown on Exhibit 10.
- buttes to the north, shallow granitic rocks in the southwest, and a lack of lake bed deposits. The South East Subarea is defined to encompass the remainder of the Basin from the unnamed fault between the Central and South East subareas, to the county-line boundary of the Basin. Notably, this area contains Littlerock and Big Rock creeks that emanate from the mountains to the south and discharge onto the valley floor.
- 10.4 <u>Willow Springs Subarea.</u> The Willow Springs Subarea is separated from the West Antelope Subarea primarily because the Willow Springs fault shows some signs of recent movement and there is substantial Groundwater hydraulic separation between the two adjacent areas, suggesting that the fault significantly impedes Groundwater flow from the Willow Springs to the lower West Antelope Subarea. Otherwise, the Willow Springs Subarea is comparable in land use to the West Antelope Subarea, with some limited agricultural land use and no municipal development, as shown on Exhibit 10.
- **Rogers Lake Subarea**. The Rogers Lake Subarea is characterized by surficial pluvial Lake Thompson and playa deposits, and a narrow, fault-bound, central trough filled with alluvial deposits. The area is divided into north and south subareas on opposite sides of a buried ridge of granite rock in the north lake, as shown on Exhibit 10.

11. <u>INCREASE IN PRODUCTION BY THE UNITED STATES.</u>

11.1 Notice of Increase of Production Under Federal Reserved Water

Right. After the date of entry of this Judgment, the United States shall provide the Watermaster with at least ninety (90) days advanced notice if Production by the United States is reasonably anticipated to increase more than 200 acre-feet per Year in a following 12 month period.

States agrees that maximizing Imported Water is essential to improving the Basin's health and agrees that its increased demand can be met by either increasing its Production or by accepting deliveries of Imported Water of sufficient quality to meet the purpose of its Federal Reserved Water Right under the conditions provided for herein. Any Party may propose a water substitution or replacement to the United States to secure a reduction in Groundwater Production by the United States. Such an arrangement would be at the United States' sole discretion and subject to applicable federal law, regulations and other requirements. If such a substitution or replacement arrangement is agreed upon, the United States shall reduce Production by the amount of Replacement Water provided to it, and the Party providing such substitution or replacement of water to the United States may Produce a corresponding amount of Native Safe Yield free from Replacement Water Assessment in addition to their Production Right.

12. MOVEMENT OF PUBLIC WATER SUPPLIERS PRODUCTION FACILITIES.

12.1 <u>No Requirement to Move Public Water Suppliers' Production Wells.</u>

One or more of the Public Water Suppliers intend to seek Federal or State legislation to pay for all costs related to moving the Public Water Suppliers Production wells to areas that will reduce the impact of Public Water Supplier Production on the United States' current Production wells. The Public Water Suppliers shall have no responsibility to move any Production wells until Federal or State legislation fully funding the costs of moving the wells is effective or until required to do so by order of this Court which order shall not be considered or made by this Court until the seventeenth (17th) Year after entry of this Judgment. The Court may only make such an order if it finds that the Public Water Supplier Production from those wells is causing Material

25

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2627

Injury. The Court shall not impose the cost of moving the Public Water Supplier Production Facilities on any non-Public Water Supplier Party to this Judgment.

- This Judgment is contingent on final approval by the 13. FEDERAL APPROVAL. Department of Justice. Such approval will be sought upon final agreement of the terms of this Judgment by the settling Parties. Nothing in this Judgment shall be interpreted or construed as a commitment or requirement that the United States obligate or pay funds in contravention of the Anti-Deficiency Act, 31 U.S.C. § 1341, or any other applicable provision of law. Nothing in this Judgment, specifically including Paragraphs 9.1, 9.2 and 9.3, shall be construed to deprive any federal official of the authority to revise, amend, or promulgate regulations. Nothing in this Judgment shall be deemed to limit the authority of the executive branch to make recommendations to Congress on any particular piece of legislation. Nothing in this Judgment shall be construed to commit a federal official to expend federal funds not appropriated by Congress. To the extent that the expenditure or advance of any money or the performance of any obligation of the United States under this Judgment is to be funded by appropriation of funds by Congress, the expenditure, advance, or performance shall be contingent upon the appropriation of funds by Congress that are available for this purpose and the apportionment of such funds by the Office of Management and Budget and certification by the appropriate Air Force official that funding is available for this purpose, and an affirmative obligation of the funds for payment made by the appropriate Air Force official. No breach of this Judgment shall result and no liability shall accrue to the United States in the event such funds are not appropriated or apportioned.
- 14. STORAGE. All Parties shall have the right to store water in the Basin pursuant to a Storage Agreement with the Watermaster. If Littlerock Creek Irrigation District or Palmdale Water District stores Imported Water in the Basin it shall not export from its service area that Stored Water. AVEK, Littlerock Creek Irrigation District or Palmdale Water District may enter into exchanges of their State Water Project "Table A" Amounts. Nothing in this Judgment limits or modifies operation of preexisting banking projects (including AVEK, District No. 40, Antelope Valley Water Storage LLC, Tejon Ranchcorp and Tejon Ranch Company, Sheep Creek Water

preexisting exchange agreements of the Parties. The Watermaster shall promptly enter into Storage Agreements with the Parties at their request. The Watermaster shall not enter into Storage Agreements with non-Parties unless such non-Parties become expressly subject to the provisions of this Judgment and the jurisdiction of the Court. Storage Agreements shall expressly preclude operations which will cause a Material Injury on any Producer. If, pursuant to a Storage Agreement, a Party has provided for pre-delivery or post-delivery of Replacement Water for the Party's use, the Watermaster shall credit such water to the Party's Replacement Water Obligation at the Party's request. Any Stored Water that originated as State Water Project water imported by AVEK, Palmdale Water District or Littlerock Creek Irrigation District may be exported from the Basin for use in a portion of the service area of any city or public agency, including State Water Project Contractors, that are Parties to this action at the time of this Judgment and whose service area includes land outside the Basin. AVEK may export any of its Stored State Project Water to any area outside its jurisdictional boundaries and the Basin provided that all water demands within AVEK's jurisdictional boundaries are met. Any Stored Water that originated as other Imported Water may be exported from the Basin, subject to a requirement that the Watermaster make a technical determination of the percentage of the Stored Water that is unrecoverable and that such unrecoverable Stored Water is dedicated to the Basin.

Co., Rosamond Community Services District and Palmdale Water District) or performance of

15. CARRY OVER

Paragraph 5.1.1, 5.1.5 and 5.1.6 can utilize In Lieu Production by purchasing Imported Water and foregoing Production of a corresponding amount of the annual Production of Native Safe Yield provided for in Paragraph 5 herein. In Lieu Production must result in a net reduction of annual Production from the Native Safe Yield in order to be entitled to the corresponding Carry Over benefits under this paragraph. In Lieu Production does not make additional water from the Native Safe Yield available to any other Producer. If a Producer foregoes pumping and uses Imported Water In Lieu of Production, the Producer may Carry Over its right to the unproduced portion of

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
0	
1	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

its Production Right for up to ten (10) Years. A Producer must Produce its full current Year's Production Right before any Carry Over water is Produced. Carry Over water will be Produced on a first-in, first-out basis. At the end of the Carry Over period, the Producer may enter into a Storage Agreement with the Watermaster to store unproduced portions, subject to terms and conditions in the Watermaster's discretion. Any such Storage Agreements shall expressly preclude operations, including the rate and amount of extraction, which will cause a Material Injury to another Producer or Party, any subarea or the Basin. If not converted to a Storage Agreement, Carry Over water not Produced by the end of the tenth Year reverts to the benefit of the Basin and the Producer no longer has a right to the Carry Over water. The Producer may transfer any Carry Over water or Carry Over water stored pursuant to a Storage Agreement.

Imported Water Return Flow Carry Over. If a Producer identified in Paragraph 5.1.1, 5.1.5 and 5.1.6 fails to Produce its full amount of Imported Water Return Flows in the Year following the Year in which the Imported Water was brought into the Basin, the Producer may Carry Over its right to the unproduced portion of its Imported Water Return Flows for up to ten (10) Years. A Producer must Produce its full Production Right before any Carry Over water, or any other water, is Produced. Carry Over water will be Produced on a first-in, first-out basis. At the end of the Carry Over period, the Producer may enter into a Storage Agreement with the Watermaster to store unproduced portions, subject to terms and conditions in the Watermaster's discretion. Any such Storage Agreements shall expressly preclude operations, including the rate and amount of extraction, which will cause a Material Injury to another Producer or Party, any subarea or the Basin. If not converted to a Storage Agreement, Carry Over water not Produced by the end of the tenth Year reverts to the benefit of the Basin and the Producer no longer has a right to the Carry Over water. The Producer may transfer any Carry Over water or Carry Over water stored pursuant to a Storage Agreement.

15.3 <u>Production Right Carry Over</u>. If a Producer identified in Paragraph 5.1.1, 5.1.5 and 5.1.6 fails to Produce its full Production Right in any Year, the Producer may Carry Over its right to the unproduced portion of its Production Right for up to ten (10) Years. A

Producer must Produce its full Production Right before any Carry Over water, or any other water, is Produced. Carry Over water will be Produced on a first-in, first-out basis. At the end of the Carry Over period, the Producer may enter into a Storage Agreement with the Watermaster to store unproduced portions, subject to terms and conditions in the Watermaster's discretion. Any such Storage Agreements shall expressly preclude operations, including the rate and amount of extraction, which will cause a Material Injury to another Producer or Party, any subarea or the Basin. If not converted to a Storage Agreement, Carry Over water not Produced by the end of the tenth Year reverts to the benefit of the Basin and the Producer no longer has a right to the Carry Over water. The Producer may transfer any Carry Over water or Carry Over water stored pursuant to a Storage Agreement.

16. <u>TRANSFERS</u>.

- Mhen Transfers are Permitted. Pursuant to terms and conditions to be set forth in the Watermaster rules and regulations, and except as otherwise provided in this Judgment, Parties may transfer all or any portion of their Production Right to another Party so long as such transfer does not cause Material Injury. All transfers are subject to hydrologic review by the Watermaster Engineer.
- Transfers to Non-Overlying Production Right Holders. Overlying Production Rights that are transferred to Non-Overlying Production Right holders shall remain on Exhibit 4 and be subject to adjustment as provided in Paragraph 18.5.10, but may be used anywhere in the transferee's service area.
- Group. After the date of this Judgment, any Overlying Production Rights pursuant to Paragraph 5.1.1, rights to Imported Water Return Flows pursuant to Paragraph 5.2, rights to Recycled Water pursuant to Paragraph 5.3 and Carry Over water pursuant to Paragraph 15 (including any water banked pursuant to a Storage Agreement with the Watermaster) that are at any time held by any member of the Antelope Valley United Mutuals Group may only be transferred to or amongst other members of the Antelope Valley United Mutuals Group, except as provided in Paragraph

- 16.3.1. Transfers amongst members of the Antelope Valley United Mutuals Group shall be separately reported in the Annual Report of the Watermaster pursuant to Paragraphs 18.4.8 and 18.5.17. Transfers amongst members of the Antelope Valley United Mutuals Group shall not be deemed to constitute an abandonment of any member's non-transferred rights.
- 16.3.1 Nothing in Paragraph 16.3 shall prevent Antelope Valley United Mutuals Group members from transferring Overlying Production Rights to Public Water Suppliers who assume service of an Antelope Valley United Mutuals Group member's shareholders.
- 16.4 Notwithstanding section 16.1, the Production Right of Boron Community Services District shall not be transferable. If and when Boron Community Services District permanently ceases all Production of Groundwater from the Basin, its Production Right shall be allocated to the other holders of Non-Overlying Production Rights, except for West Valley County Water District, in proportion to those rights.
- change the point of extraction for any Production Right to another point of extraction so long as such change of the point of extraction does not cause Material Injury. A replacement well for an existing point of extraction which is located within 300 feet of a Party's existing well shall not be considered a change in point of extraction.
- Notice of New Well. Any Party seeking to construct a new well in order to change the point of extraction for any Production Right to another point of extraction shall notify the Watermaster at least 90 days in advance of drilling any well of the location of the new point of extraction and the intended place of use of the water Produced.
- 27.2 Change in Point of Extraction by the United States. The point(s) of extraction for the Federal Reserved Water Right may be changed, at the sole discretion of the United States, and not subject to the preceding limitation on Material Injury, to any point or points within the boundaries of Edwards Air Force Base or Plant 42. The point(s) of extraction for the Federal Reserved Water Right may be changed to points outside the boundaries of

2.5

1]
2	(
3	5
4	1
5	(
6	,
7	1

Edwards Air Force Base or Plant 42, provided such change in the point of extraction does not cause Material Injury. In exercising its discretion under this Paragraph 17.2, the United States shall consider information in its possession regarding the effect of Production from the intended new point of extraction on the Basin, and on other Producers. Any such change in point(s) of extraction shall be at the expense of the United States. Nothing in this Paragraph is intended to waive any monetary claim(s) another Party may have against the United States in federal court based upon any change in point of extraction by the United States.

18. WATERMASTER

18.1 <u>Appointment of Initial Watermaster.</u>

Watermaster. The Watermaster shall be a five (5) member board composed of one representative each from AVEK and District No. 40, a second Public Water Supplier representative selected by District No. 40, Palmdale Water District, Quartz Hill Water District, Littlerock Creek Irrigation District, California Water Service Company, Desert Lake Community Services District, North Edwards Water District, City of Palmdale, City of Lancaster, Palm Ranch Irrigation District, and Rosamond Community Services District, and two (2) landowner Parties, exclusive of public agencies and members of the Non-Pumper and Small Pumper Classes, selected by majority vote of the landowners identified on Exhibit 4 (or their successors in interest) based on their proportionate share of the total Production Rights identified in Exhibit 4. The United States may also appoint a non-voting Department of Defense (DoD) Liaison to the Watermaster committee to represent DoD interests. Participation by the DoD Liaison shall be governed by Joint Ethics Regulation 3-201. The opinions or actions of the DoD liaison in participating in or contributing to Watermaster proceedings cannot bind DoD or any of its components.

18.1.2 Voting Protocol for Watermaster Actions:

18.1.2.1 The Watermaster shall make decisions by unanimous vote for the purpose of selecting or dismissing the Watermaster Engineer.

18.4	<u>Powers and Duties of the Watermaster</u> . Subject to the continuing
supervision and contr	ol of the Court, the Watermaster shall have and may exercise the following
express powers and d	uties, together with any specific powers and duties set forth elsewhere in
this Judgment or orde	red by the Court:

- **18.4.1 Selection of the Watermaster Engineer.** The Watermaster shall select the Watermaster Engineer with the advice of the Advisory Committee described in Paragraph 19.
- appropriate rules and regulations prepared by the Watermaster Engineer and proposed by the Watermaster for conduct pursuant to this Judgment. Before proposing rules and regulations, the Watermaster shall hold a public hearing. Thirty (30) days prior to the date of the hearing, the Watermaster shall send to all Parties notice of the hearing and a copy of the proposed rules and regulations or amendments thereto. All Watermaster rules and regulations, and any amendments to the Watermaster rules and regulations, shall be consistent with this Judgment and are subject to approval by the Court, for cause shown, after consideration of the objections of any Party.
- **18.4.3 Employment of Experts and Agents.** The Watermaster may employ such administrative personnel, engineering, legal, accounting, or other specialty services, and consulting assistants as appropriate in carrying out the terms of this Judgment.
- 18.4.4 Notice List. The Watermaster shall maintain a current list of Parties to receive notice. The Parties have an affirmative obligation to provide the Watermaster with their current contact information. For Small Pumper Class Members, the Watermaster shall initially use the contact information contained in the list of Small Pumper Class members filed with the Court by class counsel.
- 18.4.5 Annual Administrative Budget. The Watermaster shall prepare a proposed administrative budget for each Year. The Watermaster shall hold a public hearing regarding the proposed administrative budget and adopt an administrative budget. The administrative budget shall set forth budgeted items and Administrative Assessments in sufficient

- 47 -

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

accordance with the procedures and schedules determined by the Watermaster. Any assessment which becomes delinquent, as defined by rules and regulations promulgated by the Watermaster shall bear interest at the then current real property tax delinquency rate for the county in which the property of the delinquent Party is located. The United States shall not be subject to payment of interest absent congressional waiver of immunity for the imposition of such interest. This interest rate shall apply to any said delinquent assessment from the due date thereof until paid. The delinquent assessment, together with interest thereon, costs of suit, attorneys fees and reasonable costs of collection, may be collected pursuant to (1) motion by the Watermaster giving notice to the delinquent Party only; (2) Order to Show Cause proceeding, or (3) such other lawful proceeding as may be instituted by the Watermaster or the Court. The United States shall not be subject to costs and fees absent congressional waiver of immunity for such costs and fees. The delinquent assessment shall constitute a lien on the property of the Party as of the same time and in the same manner as does the tax lien securing county property taxes. The property of the United States shall not be subject to any lien. The Watermaster shall annually certify a list of all such unpaid delinquent assessments. The Watermaster shall include the names of those Parties and the amounts of the liens in its list to the County Assessor's Office in the same manner and at the same time as it does its Administrative Assessments. Watermaster shall account for receipt of all collections of assessments collected pursuant to this Judgment, and shall pay such amounts collected pursuant to this Judgment to the Watermaster. The Watermaster shall also have the ability to seek to enjoin Production of those Parties, other than the United States, who do not pay assessments pursuant to this Judgment.

Watermaster Engineer. The Watermaster Engineer shall have the following duties:

18.5.1 Monitoring of Safe Yield. The Watermaster Engineer shall monitor all the Safe Yield components and include them in the annual report for Court approval. The annual report shall include all relevant data for the Basin.

27

22

23

24

25

26

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

Replacement Water and apply subsequent assessments towards the costs of such pre-purchases. The Watermaster Engineer shall reasonably and equitably actively manage the Basin to protect and enhance the health of the Basin.

18.5.8 Water Quality. The Watermaster Engineer shall take all reasonable steps to assist and encourage appropriate regulatory agencies to enforce reasonable water quality regulations affecting the Basin, including regulation of solid and liquid waste disposal, and establishing Memorandums of Understanding with Kern and Los Angeles Counties regarding well drilling ordinances and reporting.

Year Rampdown period, in the seventeenth (17th) Year, or any time thereafter, the Watermaster Engineer may recommend to the Court an increase or reduction of the Native Safe Yield. The Watermaster Engineer shall initiate no recommendation to change Native Safe Yield prior to the end of the seventeenth (17th) Year. In the event the Watermaster Engineer recommends in its report to the Court that the Native Safe Yield be revised based on the best available science, the Court shall conduct a hearing regarding the recommendations and may order a change in Native Safe Yield. Watermaster shall give notice of the hearing pursuant to Paragraph 20.3.2. The most recent Native Safe Yield shall remain in effect until revised by Court order according to this paragraph. If the Court approves a reduction in the Native Safe Yield, it shall impose a Pro-Rata Reduction as set forth herein, such reduction to be implemented over a seven (7) Year period. If the Court approves an increase in the Native Safe Yield, it shall impose a Pro-Rata Increase as set forth herein, such increase to be implemented immediately. Only the Court can change the Native Safe Yield.

Safe Yield. In the event the Court changes the Native Safe Yield pursuant to Paragraph 18.5.9, the increase or decrease will be allocated among the Producers in the agreed percentages listed in Exhibits 3 and 4, except that the Federal Reserved Water Right of the United States is not subject to any increase or decrease.

2

8 9 10

13 14 15

11

12

17 18 19

16

20 21

23

24

22

25

26 27

28

Percentages. Ten (10) Years following the end of the Rampdown, in the seventeenth (17th) Year, or any time thereafter, the Watermaster Engineer may recommend to the Court an increase or decrease of Imported Water Return Flow percentages. The Watermaster Engineer shall initiate no recommendation to change Imported Water Return Flow percentages prior to end of the seventeenth (17th) Year. In the event the Watermaster Engineer recommends in its report to the Court that Imported Water Return Flow percentages for the Basin may need to be revised based on the best available science, the Court shall conduct a hearing regarding the recommendations and may order a change in Imported Water Return Flow percentages. Watermaster shall give notice of the hearing pursuant to Paragraph 20.6. The Imported Water Return Flow percentages set forth in Paragraph 5.2 shall remain in effect unless revised by Court order according to this Paragraph. If the Court approves a reduction in the Imported Water Return Flow percentages, such reduction shall be implemented over a seven (7) Year period. Only the Court can change the Imported Water Return Flow percentages.

18.5.12 **Production Reports**. The Watermaster Engineer shall require each Producer, other than unmetered Small Pumper Class Members, to file an annual Production report with the Watermaster. Producers shall prepare the Production reports in a form prescribed by the rules and regulations. The Production reports shall state the total Production for the reporting Party, including Production per well, rounded off to the nearest tenth of an acre foot for each reporting period. The Production reports shall include such additional information and supporting documentation as the rules and regulations may reasonably require.

18.5.13 **New Production Application Procedure.** The Watermaster Engineer shall determine whether a Party or Person seeking to commence New Production has established the reasonableness of the New Production in the context of all other uses of Groundwater in the Basin at the time of the application, including whether all of the Native Safe Yield is then currently being used reasonably and beneficially. Considering common law water rights and priorities, the mandate of certainty in Article X, section 2, and all other relevant

1	factors, the Watermaster Engineer has authority to recommend that the application for New
2	Production be denied, or approved on condition of payment of a Replacement Water Assessment.
3	The Watermaster Engineer shall consider, investigate and recommend to the Watermaster
4	whether an application to commence New Production of Groundwater may be approved as
5	follows:
6	18.5.13.1 All Parties or Person(s) seeking approval from the
7	Watermaster to commence New Production of Groundwater shall submit a written application to
8	the Watermaster Engineer which shall include the following:
9	18.5.13.1.1 Payment of an application fee sufficient to recover
10	all costs of application review, field investigation, reporting, and hearing, and other associated
11	costs, incurred by the Watermaster and Watermaster Engineer in processing the application for
12	New Production;
13	18.5.13.1.2 Written summary describing the proposed quantity,
14	sources of supply, season of use, Purpose of Use, place of use, manner of delivery, and other
15	pertinent information regarding the New Production;
16	18.5.13.1.3 Maps identifying the location of the proposed New
17	Production, including Basin Subarea;
18	18.5.13.1.4 Copy of any water well permits, specifications and
19	well-log reports, pump specifications and testing results, and water meter specifications
20	associated with the New Production;
21	18.5.13.1.5 Written confirmation that the applicant has obtained
22	all applicable Federal, State, County, and local land use entitlements and other permits necessary
23	to commence the New Production;
24	18.5.13.1.6 Written confirmation that the applicant has complied
25	with all applicable Federal, State, County, and local laws, rules and regulations, including but not
26	limited to, the California Environmental Quality Act (Public Resources Code §§ 21000, et. seq.);
27	
28	- 52 -

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

1	18.5.13.1.7 Preparation of a water conservation plan, approved
2	and stamped by a California licensed and registered professional civil engineer, demonstrating
3	that the New Production will be designed, constructed and implemented consistent with
4	California best water management practices.
5	18.5.13.1.8 Preparation of an analysis of the economic impact of
6	the New Production on the Basin and other Producers in the Subarea of the Basin;
7	18.5.13.1.9 Preparation of an analysis of the physical impact of
8	the New Production on the Basin and other Producers in the Subarea of the Basin;
9	18.5.13.1.10 A written statement, signed by a California licensed
10	and registered professional civil engineer, determining that the New Production will not cause
11	Material Injury;
12	18.5.13.1.11 Written confirmation that the applicant agrees to pay
13	the applicable Replacement Water Assessment for any New Production.
14	18.5.13.1.12 Other pertinent information which the Watermaster
15	Engineer may require.
16	18.5.13.2 Finding of No Material Injury. The Watermaster Engineer
17	shall not make recommendation for approval of an application to commence New Production of
18	Groundwater unless the Watermaster Engineer finds, after considering all the facts and
19	circumstances including any requirement that the applicant pay a Replacement Water Assessment
20	required by this Judgment or determined by the Watermaster Engineer to be required under the
21	circumstances, that such New Production will not cause Material Injury. If the New Production is
22	limited to domestic use for one single-family household, the Watermaster Engineer has the
23	authority to determine the New Production to be de minimis and waive payment of a Replacement
24	Water Assessment; provided, the right to Produce such de minimis Groundwater is not
25	transferable, and shall not alter the Production Rights decreed in this Judgment.
26	
27	
28	52

18.5.13.3 **New Production**. No Party or Person shall commence New Production of Groundwater from the Basin absent recommendation by the Watermaster Engineer and approval by the Watermaster.

18.5.13.4 **Court Review.** Court review of a Watermaster decision on a New Production application shall be pursuant to Paragraph 20.3.

18.5.14 **Storage Agreements**. The Watermaster shall adopt uniformly applicable rules for Storage Agreements. The Watermaster Engineer shall calculate additions, extractions and losses of water stored under Storage Agreements and maintain an Annual account of all such water. Accounting done by the Watermaster Engineer under this Paragraph shall be considered ministerial.

18.5.15 **Diversion of Storm Flow**. No Party may undertake or cause the construction of any project within the Watershed of the Basin that will reduce the amount of storm flows that would otherwise enter the Basin and contribute to the Native Safe Yield, without prior notification to the Watermaster Engineer. The Watermaster Engineer may seek an injunction or to otherwise impose restrictions or limitations on such project in order to prevent reduction to Native Safe Yield. The Party sought to be enjoined or otherwise restricted or limited is entitled to notice and an opportunity for the Party to respond prior to the imposition of any restriction or limitation. Any Person may take emergency action as may be necessary to protect the physical safety of its residents and personnel and its structures from flooding. Any such action shall be done in a manner that will minimize any reduction in the quantity of Storm Flows.

18.5.16 Data, Estimates and Procedures. The Watermaster Engineer shall rely on and use the best available science, records and data to support the implementation of this Judgment. Where actual records of data are not available, the Watermaster Engineer shall rely on and use sound scientific and engineering estimates. The Watermaster Engineer may use preliminary records of measurements, and, if revisions are subsequently made, may reflect such revisions in subsequent accounting.

1	18.5.17 Filing of Annual Report. The Watermaster Engineer shall prepare
2	an Annual Report for filing with the Court not later than April 1 of each Year, beginning April 1
3	following the first full Year after entry of this Judgment. Prior to filing the Annual Report with
4	the Court, Watermaster shall notify all Parties that a draft of the Annual Report is available for
5	review by the Parties. Watermaster shall provide notice to all Parties of a public hearing to
6	receive comments and recommendations for changes in the Annual Report. The public hearing
7	shall be conducted pursuant to rules and regulations promulgated by the Watermaster. The notice
8	of public hearing may include such summary of the draft Annual Report as Watermaster may
9	deem appropriate. Watermaster shall distribute the Annual Report to any Parties requesting
10	copies.
11	18.5.18 Annual Report to Court. The Annual Report shall include an
12	Annual fiscal report of the preceding Year's operation; details regarding the operation of each of
13	the Subareas; an audit of all Assessments and expenditures; and a review of Watermaster
14	activities. The Annual Report shall include a compilation of at least the following:
15	18.5.18.1 Replacement Obligations;
16	18.5.18.2 Hydrologic Data Collection;
17	18.5.18.3 Purchase and Recharge of Imported Water;
18	18.5.18.4 Notice List;
19	18.5.18.5 New Production Applications
20	18.5.18.6 Rules and Regulations;
21	18.5.18.7 Measuring Devices, etc;
22	18.5.18.8 Storage Agreements;
23	18.5.18.9 Annual Administrative Budget;
24	18.5.18.10 Transfers;
25	18.5.18.11 Production Reports;
26	18.5.18.12 Prior Year Report;
27	18.5.18.13 Amount of Stored Water owned by each Party;
28	- 55 -

- 55 -[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

1	18.5.18.14 Amount of Stored Imported Water owned by each Party;
2	18.5.18.15 Amount of unused Imported Water Return Flows owned by
3	each Party;
4	18.5.18.16 Amount of Carry Over Water owned by each Party;
5	18.5.18.17 All changes in use.
6	18.6 <u>Recommendations of the Watermaster Engineer</u> . Unless otherwise
7	determined pursuant to Paragraph 18.1.2.2, all recommendations of the Watermaster Engineer
8	must be approved by unanimous vote of all members of the Watermaster. If there is not
9	unanimous vote among Watermaster members, Watermaster Engineer recommendations must be
10	presented to the Court for action and implementation.
11	Interim Approvals by the Court. Until the Court approves rules and
12	regulations proposed by the Watermaster, the Court, upon noticed motion, may take or approve
13	any actions that the Watermaster or the Watermaster Engineer otherwise would be authorized to
14	take or approve under this Judgment.
15	19. <u>ADVISORY COMMITTEE</u>
16	Authorization. The Producers are authorized and directed to cause a
17	committee of Producer representatives to be organized and to act as an Advisory Committee.
18	19.2 <u>Compensation</u> . The Advisory Committee members shall serve without
19	compensation.
20	19.3 <u>Powers and Functions</u> . The Advisory Committee shall act in an advisory
21	capacity only and shall have the duty to study, review, and make recommendations on all
22	discretionary determinations by Watermaster. Parties shall only provide input to the Watermaster
23	through the Advisory Committee.
24	Advisory Committee Meetings. The Advisory Committee shall 1) meet
25	on a regular basis; 2) review Watermaster's activities pursuant to this Judgment on at least a
26	semi-annual basis; and 3) receive and make advisory recommendations to Watermaster.
27	Advisory Committee Meetings shall be open to all members of the public. Edwards Air Force
28	- 56 -

study, review and make recommendations on all discretionary determinations made or to be made hereunder by Watermaster Engineer which may affect that subarea.

20. <u>MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS.</u>

- **20.1 Water Quality.** Nothing in this Judgment shall be interpreted as relieving any Party of its responsibilities to comply with State or Federal laws for the protection of water quality or the provisions of any permits, standards, requirements, or orders promulgated thereunder.
- Actions Not Subject to CEQA Regulation. Nothing in this Judgment or the Physical Solution, or in the implementation thereof, or the decisions of the Watermaster acting under the authority of this Judgment shall be deemed a "project" subject to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). See e.g., California American Water v. City of Seaside (2010) 183 Cal.App.4th 471, and Hillside Memorial Park & Mortuary v. Golden State Water Co. (2011) 205 Cal.App.4th 534. Neither the Watermaster, the Watermaster Engineer, the Advisory Committee, any Subarea Management Committee, nor any other Board or committee formed pursuant to the Physical Solution and under the authority of this Judgment shall be deemed a "public agency" subject to CEQA. (See Public Resources Code section 21063.)
- **20.3** <u>Court Review of Watermaster Actions.</u> Any action, decision, rule, regulation, or procedure of Watermaster or the Watermaster Engineer pursuant to this Judgment shall be subject to review by the Court on its own motion or on timely motion by any Party as follows:
- **20.3.1 Effective Date of Watermaster Action.** Any order, decision or action of Watermaster or Watermaster Engineer pursuant to this Judgment on noticed specific agenda items shall be deemed to have occurred on the date of the order, decision or action.
- **20.3.2 Notice of Motion.** Any Party may move the Court for review of an action or decision pursuant to this Judgment by way of a noticed motion. The motion shall be served pursuant to Paragraph 20.7 of this Judgment. The moving Party shall ensure that the Watermaster is served with the motion under that Paragraph 20.7 or, if electronic service of the

of Watermaster activity may file a waiver of notice in a form to be provided by Watermaster. At all times, Watermaster shall maintain a current list of Parties to whom notices are to be sent and their addresses for purpose of service. Watermaster shall also maintain a full current list of said names and addresses of all Parties or their successors, as filed herein. Watermaster shall make copies of such lists available to any requesting Person. If no designation is made, a Party's designee shall be deemed to be, in order of priority: (1) the Party's attorney of record; (2) if the Party does not have an attorney of record, the Party itself at the address on the Watermaster list; (3) for Small Pumper Class Members, after this Judgment is final, the individual Small Pumper Class Members at the service address maintained by the Watermaster.

- 20.7 <u>Service of Documents</u>. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, delivery to or service to any Party by the Court or any Party of any document required to be served upon or delivered to a Party pursuant to this Judgment shall be deemed made if made by e-filing on the Court's website at www.scefiling.org. All Parties agree to waive service by mail if they receive notifications via electronic filing at the above identified website.
- 20.8 No Abandonment of Rights. In the interest of the Basin and its water supply, and the principle of reasonable and beneficial use, no Party shall be encouraged to Produce and use more water in any Year than is reasonably required. Failure to Produce all of the Groundwater to which a Party is entitled shall not, in and of itself, be deemed or constitute an abandonment of such Party's right, in whole or in part, except as specified in Paragraph 15.
- 20.9 Intervention After Judgment. Any Person who is not a Party or successor to a Party and who proposes to Produce Groundwater from the Basin, to store water in the Basin, to acquire a Production Right or to otherwise take actions that may affect the Basin's Groundwater is required to seek to become a Party subject to this Judgment through a noticed motion to intervene in this Judgment prior to commencing Production. Prior to filing such a motion, a proposed intervenor shall consult with the Watermaster Engineer and seek the Watermaster's stipulation to the proposed intervention. A proposed intervenor's failure to consult

1	with the Watermaste	er Engineer may be grounds for denying the intervention motion. Thereafter,
2	if approved by the C	ourt, such intervenor shall be a Party bound by this Judgment.
3	20.10	Judgment Binding on Successors, etc. Subject to specific provisions
4	hereinbefore contain	ed, this Judgment applies to and is binding upon, and inures to the benefit of
5	the Parties to this Ac	etion and all their respective heirs, successors-in-interest and assigns.
6	20.11	<u>Costs</u> . Except subject to any existing court orders, each Party shall bear its
7	own costs and attorn	eys fees arising from the Action.
8	20.12	<u>Headings; Paragraph References</u> . Captions and headings appearing in
9	this Judgment are in	serted solely as reference aids for ease and convenience; they shall not be
10	deemed to define or	limit the scope or substance of the provisions they introduce, nor shall they
11	be used in construing	g the intent or effect of such provisions.
12	20.13	No Third Party Beneficiaries. There are no intended third party
13	beneficiaries of any	right or obligation of the Parties.
14	20.14	Severability . Except as specifically provided herein, the provisions of this
15	Judgment are not sev	verable.
16	20.15	Cooperation; Further Acts . The Parties shall fully cooperate with one
17	another, and shall tal	ke any additional acts or sign any additional documents as may be necessary,
18	appropriate or conve	nient to attain the purposes of this Judgment.
19	20.16	Exhibits and Other Writings. Any and all exhibits, documents,
20	instruments, certifica	ates or other writings attached hereto or required or provided for by this
21	Judgment, if any, sha	all be part of this Judgment and shall be considered set forth in full at each
22	reference thereto in t	his Judgment.
23		
24	Dated:	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
25		
26		
27		
28		- 61 -

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

DEFAULTS ENTERED

	Name of Roe Cross-Defendant	Default Entered	Default Posted
3	Jacqueline Ackermann	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
4	ADVINCULA, CENON S	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
5	ADVINCULA, OLIVA M	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
6	ALDAIS, MARWAN M.	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
7	AGUSTINES, ANTONIO U	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
7	Allen Alevy	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
8	ARCHER, GEORGINE J.	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
8	Allen Alevy and Alevy Family Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
	ARCHER GEORGINE J as Trustee for the		
9	Georgine J. Archer Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
10	BARKS, GUSS A. JR.	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
11	BRONSTON, LEROY DANIEL	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
12	BAYANI, ILDEFONSO S.	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
13	Castle Butte Dev. Corp	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
13	BAYANI, NILDA V.	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
16	FUNK, JOAN A	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
19	GENUS L P	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
24	Illy King	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
24	Melinda E Cameron	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
25	Illy King Family Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
25	Catellus Development Corporation	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
26	KUTU INVESTMENT CO - Suspended	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
26	BONG S. CHANG	9/17/2015	10/16/2015
27	LAI, EVA	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
27	CHANG, JEANNA Y.	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
28	LAI, PAUL	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
28	MOON S. CHANG	9/17/2015	10/16/2015
29	CHETRIT, JACOB	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
31	Pei Chi Lin	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
31	Lee Shiow Chiou	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
32	CHUNG, M S	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
35	COLE, C.C. THELMA	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
36	COLE, J.	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
36	RUDNICK, OSCAR	9/16/2015	10/16/2015
37	RUDNICK, REBECCA	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
<u> </u>	J. & C. C. Thelma Cole and T. J. Cole Trust (J.	0/20/2012	0,0,20.0
37	Cole as Trustee for the T. J. Cole Trust)	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
41	CUMMING, RUTH A	9/17/2015	10/16/2015
43	DAVIS, CATHARINE M	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
44	Milton S. Davis	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
46	Sarkis Djanibekyan	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
47	DONG, HONG	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
48	DONG, YING X.	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
51	FOROUGHI, MORTEZA	9/17/2015	10/16/2015
<u> </u>	MORTEZA M. FOROUGHI AND FOROUGHI	3/11/2010	10, 10, 2010
52	FAMILY TRUST	9/17/2015	10/16/2015
53	Lewis Friedrichsen	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
	Lewis Friedrichsen as Trustee of the Friedrichsen	5, 20, 20 12	5/5/2010
54	Family Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
55	Aurora P Gabuya	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
58	Betty Gluckstein	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
50			
50	Llocoph H Clucketoin	U/1 //:)/\16	111/16/71116
59 60	Joseph H Gluckstein GLUCKSTEIN,MORRIS	9/17/2015 3/23/2012	10/16/2015 5/8/2013

DEFAULTS ENTERED

Doe/Roe No.	Name of Roe Cross-Defendant	Default Entered	Default Posted
66	GORRINDO, L.	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
71	HAUKE,ANDREAS	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
72	HAUKE, MARILYN	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
75	HIGELMIRE,DONNA	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
76	Michael N. Higelmire	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
78	Hooshpack Dev Inc	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
79	Chi S Huang	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
80	HUANG, SÜCHU T.	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
81	Hypericum Interest LLC	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
82	IRANINEZHAD, DARYUSH	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
83	IRANINEZHAD, MINOO	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
84	KADIVAR,ESFANDIAR	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
	KADIVAR FAMILY TRUST (Esfandiar Kadivar as		
85	Trustee of the Kadivar Family Trust)	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
88	Cheng Lin Kang	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
94	YOSHIMATSU, KAZUKO	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
95	Billy H. Kim	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
106	LAWRENCE, CHARLES TRUST	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
108	Light Andrew & Youngnam	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
109	Man C Lo	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
110	SHIUNG, RU	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
111	Lyman C. Miles	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
	Lyman C. Miles as Trustee for the Miles Family	0/20/2012	0/0/2010
112	Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
114	Mission Bell Ranch Development	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
118	M R Nasir	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
119	Souad R Nasir	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
121	Simin C. Neman	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
123	Frank T. Nguyen	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
124	Juanita R Nichols	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
125	Oliver Nichols	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
123		3/23/2012	3/0/2013
400	Oliver Nichols as Trustee of the Nichols Family	2/22/2042	E/0/0040
126	Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
128	POULSEN,NORMAN L	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
130	Victoria Rahimi	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
132	Veronika Reinelt	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
133	Reinelt Rosenloecher Corp PSP	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
140	Rosemount Equities LLC Series	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
141	Royal Investors Group	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
	ROYAL WESTERN PROPERTIES LLC - ACTIVE	0/05/55/5	
142		3/23/2012	5/8/2013
145	Daniel Saparzadeh	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
149	SCHWARTZ, MARTIN	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
151	SEVEN STAR UNITED LLC	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
155	Donna L Simpson	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
156	Gareth L Simpson	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
	Simpson Family Trust (Gareth L. Simpson as		
157	Trustee of the Simpson Family Trust)	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
	GEORGE L STIMSON JR TRUST (George L.		
	Stimson, Jr. as Trustee of the George L. Stimson,		
164	Jr. Trust)	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
167	TIU TIONG D.	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
172	Wilma D. Trueblood	3/23/2012	5/8/2013

Doe/Roe No.	Name of Roe Cross-Defendant	Default Entered	Default Posted
	Wilma D. Trueblood as Trustee of the Trueblood		
173	Family Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
177	WALES, KEITH E.	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
180	Alex Wodchis	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
181	WONG, ELIZABETH	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
182	WONG, MARY	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
183	WU, MIKE M.	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
	WU FAMILY (MIKE M. WU AS TRUSTEE OF		
184	THE WU FAMILY TRUST)	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
	GREEN GROVE MUTUAL WATER COMPANY,		
202	INC.	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
206	LLANO FARMS MUTUAL WATER COMPANY	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
208	PIUTE MUTUAL WATER COMPANY	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
210	Wilsona Gardens Mutual Water Company	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
211	Edgemont Acres Mutual Water Company	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
213	ROSAMOND MUTUAL WATER COMPANY	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 234	Aceh Capital LLC	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 235	Ehsan Afaghi	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 237	Bruce Allen	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 238	Ana Verde Canyon Limited	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 240	Clinton Edwards Andrews	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 244	AV Foothills LLC	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 246	C and P Lancaster Properties, L.L.C.	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 248	California Springs Land & Development, Inc.	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 250	Capital Pacific Homes	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 253	Moon S. Chang and Bong S. Chang, Trustees	9/17/2015	10/16/2015
	Theodore His-En and Wen-Hui C. Chen, as Co-		
	Trustees of the Chen Family Trust (Established		
Roe 254	October 27, 1989)	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 255	Andrew J. Chitiea	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 256	Joan K Chitiea	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 257	Myron Z. Chlavin, Trustee	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 259	Richard L. Clark and Elaine M. Clark, Trs.	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
	,		
	Menandro M. Marcelo and Ofelia or their		
	Successors, as Trustees of the Menandro and		
Roe 260	Ofelia Marcelo Family Trust Dated June 2, 2006	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 261	CPH Tehachapi 280 LLC	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 264	Cyrstalaire Country Club	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 266	Kristeen Cua	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 267	Lita Davies	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 268	Richard Daniel De La Matyr	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 269	Long Deng	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 270	Dr Horton Los Angeles Holding, Inc.	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 272	Discountland Inc.	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 273	Dowhen Family	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 274	Mohammed Naji Elhayek	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 276	Farhad Alnd	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 277	Vera V. Farwell	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 279	Hersell Alnd	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
	James H. Gisbrecht and Mary L. Gisbrecht,		
Roe 281	Trustees	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 282	Harry C. Godshall, Trustee	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 284	Sam Haskins	3/23/2012	5/8/2013

Doe/Roe No.	Name of Roe Cross-Defendant	Default Entered	Default Posted
Roe 285	Yoram Hassid and Yael Hassid, Trustees	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 286	David J. Hester, Trustee	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 287	Jack D. Hilton	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 288	Rita Hilton	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 289	Clement L. Hirsch, Jr., Trustee	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 290	Carol A. Hooper	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 291	Thomas J. Hooper	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 292	David W. Hopkins	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 293	Gerald P Hopkins	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 294	Sumei P Hsi Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 295	Ja Bin Hsu, Co-Trustee	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 296	Kangle Huang	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 297	Yiling Lin	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 299	James A. Hunter	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 300	Cyrus Serry	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 301	J and J General Partnership	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 302	J P Eliopulos Enterprises Inc.	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 303	Jensen Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 304	Thomas Jones, Trustee	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 305	Joshua Ranch Development Inc	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 309	Kathryn T. Karlakis	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 310	James Kim	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 311	Glenn K. Kim Family LLC	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 312	Rose M Kolstad	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 313	Korda	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 314	Sarah Korda	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 315	Lancaster and 120 111 LLC	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 317	George R. Lazenby	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 318	Samuel Lee	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 319	Youngsin Lee	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 320	Leona Valley Hunting Club	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 321	Sue Levine	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 322	Phillip W. Lewis, Co-Trustee	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 323	David H. Li	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 325	Michael Lin	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 326	Linda L. Yang	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 330	Lucky 360 Investments LLC	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 331	Janet L Lyman	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 332	S. K. Madan	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 333	Laurie F. Magbanua	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 339	Lim S Mov	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 340	MRN Family Limited Partnership	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 341	Gay E Naiditch	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 343	Chester Nigra, Co-Trustee	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 344	Richard J. Nigra, Sr., Custodian	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 345	Neil Nissing	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 346	Masaaki Okamoto	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 347	Keiko Okamoto	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 348	Noriyuki Okamoto	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 349	Shoji Okamoto	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 350	Pacific American Inv Ltd Inc	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 352	Palmdale 1000 Associates LLC	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 352	Marvin R Perriseau		5/8/2013
1706 334	INIAI VIII N FEITISEAU	3/23/2012 3/23/2012	5/8/2013

Doe/Roe No.	Name of Roe Cross-Defendant	Default Entered	Default Posted
Roe 356	Frank W. Pritchard	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 357	Margaret F Pritchard	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 358	Petersen Properties	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 359	Thang D Pham	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 361	John W. Phelps	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 362	James S. Phelps	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 365	Efren Reyes	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 366	RMG Property Holding Two LLC	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 367	Steffany J Rohn	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 369	Melvin K. Rust, Trustee	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 370	San Ho Huang	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
	Chi Shiou Huang - Published as "Chi Shious		
Roe 371	Huang"	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 373	SCS Family Limited Partnership	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 374	Thomas P. Sherrill	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 375	Rachel M. Sherrill	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 376	Patricia C. Simi, Trustee	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 379	Columbia M. Stenberg, Trustee	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 382	Christopher S. Sun, Trustee	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 383	John S. Sun, Trustee	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 385	Alyce A Togonotti	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 389	USA Golden Land Investment LLC	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 392	Roy C. Wang	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 393	Lucy B. Wang	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 394	Warm Springs Investments Ltd.	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 398	West Coast Land Corporation	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 399	Laurie S. Whicher	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 400	Joyce P. Whiteside, Trustee	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 401	Harry Z. Wilson	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 403	ABC Diamonds Inc.	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 404	Alesso Lawrence V & Mardean Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 405	Charles A. Amento	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 406	Sheila D. Amento	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 407	Sigitas F. Babusis	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 408	Banducci Enterprises	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 409	Banducci Land, L.L.C.	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 410	Janet Starr Berkey	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 411	Leslie C. Blenkhorn	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 412	Cherilyn M. Blenkhorn	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 414	Mark F. Bramlett	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 422	Sallie Lynne Chatterton	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 423	Michael C. Cheiky	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 424	Charity S. Cheiky	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 425	Chitiea Family Trust	9/21/2015	10/13/2015
Roe 426	Joel Chitiea	9/17/2015	10/16/2015
Roe 427	Vivian A. Chitiea	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 428	Yong See Cho	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 429	CJH Real Properties LLC	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 431	William Cordova	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 432	Virginia C. Cordova	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 433	Eric M Coyle	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 434	CPH Rosamond LP	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 435	Susan Elise Simonelli Crockett	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
1106 400	Jeannette Damron	3/23/2012	5/8/2013

Doe/Roe No.	Name of Roe Cross-Defendant	Default Entered	Default Posted
Roe 439	De Pietro Limited	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 441	Dora Land	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 442	Duncan M.B. Separate Prop Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 443	Carol A. Durst, Trustee	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 444	Eagle Meadows of No Edwards 435 LLC	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 445	East Kern Prop LLC	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 446	East West Land Invs. Inc.	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 447	George M. Eastley	9/17/2015	10/16/2015
Roe 449	Sammy L. Edwards	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 450	Linda D. Edwards	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 454	Nancy H Evans	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 459	Farm Estates of the World	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 460	Fernandez Family Liv Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 462	Fischer Grandchildrens Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 463	Fogler, Ronald & Irene P. Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 468 Roe 469	Mansoor Ghaneeian and Fariba Ghaneeian Trust Gill Family Trust 1999	3/23/2012 3/23/2012	5/8/2013 5/8/2013
Roe 470	Gleason Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 471	Gold Sky Prop. LLC	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 471	Guerrant Family Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 473	Jose Guzman	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 474	Norma Guzman	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 478		3/23/2012	
	Mary Lou Byerly Harrell Sam Haskins Trust		5/8/2013
Roe 481		3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 482	Bob D. Helton Living Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 483	Herrmann Family Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 484	HET 2440 LLC	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 485	Susan B. Hills Family Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 486	Ho Giang	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 487	Mylinh Phan	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 488	Jennifer Chang Ho Family Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 489	Fela Holzman	9/17/2015	10/16/2015
Roe 490	Jerome I. Holzman	9/17/2015	10/16/2015
Roe 491	H.J. Holzman	9/17/2015	10/16/2015
Roe 492	Horizon Sumitt LLC	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 493	James T Hsu	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 494	H Huffnagle	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 495	Maynard R Huffnagle	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 498	Iglesia De Dio Pentecostla Mi	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 499	Invescorp Ltd	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 503	Javid Investments, L.L.C.	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 504	Emma Lou Johnson	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 505	Annette F. Kam	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 509	Richard M. & Sandra A Lang Family Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 512	Daniel Bronston Leroy	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 513	Mary Ann Lewis	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 514	Lien Family Survivors Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 515	Christine Lin	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 516	Los Angeles Land Investment	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 517	Loyola Marymount University	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 518	Clark C Lu	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 519	Danny C Lu	3/23/2012	5/8/2013

Doe/Roe No.	Name of Roe Cross-Defendant	Default Entered	Default Posted
Roe 520	Douglas R. McAvoy and Amy M. McAvoy Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 521	Roberta Merry Family Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 522	Hans Peter Meyer	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 523	Ipbi Kim Meyer	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 525	S Huth-Tanner	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 526	Jamie Miller	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 527	Mojave & Tropico LLC	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 530	Elaine L. Morales	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 531	Mary B Mower	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 533	Louise Nichols	9/17/2015	10/16/2015
Roe 535	Joan D. Perkolup	9/17/2015	10/16/2015
Roe 536	Florence A. Perkolup	9/17/2015	10/16/2015
Roe 537	Fred Piwenitzky	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 538	Sachiko Piwenitzky	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 539	Pledge Investment LLC	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 540	Lulu Edna Pollock	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 541	Popinjay Corp. N V	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 542	Donald L. Purviance	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 544	Ronald A Ralphs	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 545	Ramos Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 547	Edgar Reinoso	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 551	Lori March Scourby	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 552	Sellsite & United LLC	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 554	SF Pacific Properties Inc	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 557	Theodore H Sims, Jr.	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 559	Mi R Song	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 560	John Stern and Eleanor Stern Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 561	Helen H. Stookey	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 563	John Su	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 564	Chen Su	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 565	Supermed Health Inc.	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 566	Sylvan Vista Development Co.	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 567	Tamkin Family Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
5	Tazman, A Limited Liability Company	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 569 Roe 571	United Customhouse Brokers Inc.	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 574	Francom G. Watson, Jr.	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 575	A. Watson	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 576	Wells Fargo Bank NA	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 577	Richard A. White and Valerie K. White Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 578	Wood Family Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 580	Yeh Vivian Hwa	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 581	Lincoln Chu Kuen Yung	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 583	American Landmark Group LLC	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 585	190 th Avenue West, LLC	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 589	John S. Alesso Jr.	9/17/2015	10/16/2015
Roe 596	Karla Bushnell	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 597	David Bushnell	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 601	Dorothy Etta Delia	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 602	John P Rusk	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 603	EPIC	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 604	Smith Development Co.	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 606	Hamid Ameri	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 607	Lutz Issleib	3/23/2012	5/8/2013

Doe/Roe No.	Name of Roe Cross-Defendant	Default Entered	Default Posted
Roe 609	Erlinda Koo	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 610	Twyla Lake	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 612	Frank A Lane	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 613	High Desert Investments LLC.	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 614	Sol LeShin	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 615	Carl Proctor Jr.	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 616	Qwest Engineering Inc.	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 617	Retlaw Enterprises LLC	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 619	Robert A. Stoner Properties	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 620	Ronald H. Carter/Audrey M. Carter Family Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 621	Clarence E Shetler	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 625	1st and 41st West LLC	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 626		3/23/2012	
	20th Street Properties		5/8/2013
Roe 629	Mehran Abolmoluki	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 630	Antonio Acosta	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 631	Miriam Adams	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 632	Arnold Adicoff	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 633	James Agalsoff	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 635	Carlito Aguilar	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 636	Carmen Aguilar	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 638	Valentin Aguilar	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 639	Yolanda Aguilar	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 641	Martha Akin	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 642	Jack Albright	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 644	Casey Alesso	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 645	Donald Alexander	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 647	Betty Allen	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 648	Brunette Allen	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 649	George Allen	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 650	Guadalupe Allen	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 651	Ronald Allen	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 652	Paul Allison	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 653	Yvonne Allison	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 654	Deborah Alluis	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 655	Jack Alluis	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 656	Mary Almarez	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 657	Jorge Alonso	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 658	Laura Alonso	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 659	ALP Equipment Sales Inc	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 660	Felipe Alvarez	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 661	Roberto Alvarez	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 663		3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 664	Mary Alvidrez Richard Alvidrez	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 666	An Van Phan Tr		
		3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 667	Beatrice Anderson	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 670	Renee Anderson	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 671	Franklin Andrews	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 672	Treba Andrews	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 674	Sharon Annis	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 676	Antelope Valley Allied Arts Assn	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 677	Antelope Valley Florist Inc	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 684	Keiko Aoki	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 685	Jovencio Apostol	3/23/2012	5/8/2013

Doe/Roe No.	Name of Roe Cross-Defendant	Default Entered	Default Posted
Roe 686	Frances Appleby	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 687	Thomas Appleby	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 689	Benedicto Arevalo	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 690	Nora Arevalo	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 693	Florence Arnold	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 694	Lucita Arquileta	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 695	Rufino Arquileta	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 697	Arroyo Family Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 698	Patricia Artigas	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 699	Noboru Asato	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 700	Jesus Ascencio	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 701	Aliza Asher	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 702	Shaul Asher	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 705	Gerard Auyong	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 706	Jane Aveni	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 707	Lloyd Avery	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 708	Alan Avrick	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 711	Jack Baerlein	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 716	Maria Balice	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 718	Emiliano Ballesteros	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 719	Rafael Banales	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 720	Bernardo Banuelos	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 721	Rosario Banuelos	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 721	Ron Banuk	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 725	Irene Barbeau	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 725	Ann Barnes	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 727		3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 728	Wayne Barnes Terri Baron	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 729	I .	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
	Joseph Bartfay		
Roe 730	Selma Bartfay Basrock Woodcreek Gardens	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 731	I .	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 732	Francisco Batino	9/21/2015	10/13/2015
Roe 733	Nancy Bauer	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 734	A Beasley	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 735	l eresa Becarra	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 737	Ikuko Becker	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 738	James Becker	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 739	Betty Bederio	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 740	Beatriz Belisario	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 741	Luis Belisario	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 742	Bell Tr	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 743	Beverly Bellanca	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 744	Cecilia Beltran	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 745	Victoria Benner	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 746	Bensky Living Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 748	Nancy Benz	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 750	Gaylyn Berglund	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 751	Kenneth Berglund	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 752	Amante Bermundo	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 754	Ary Biers	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 755	Robert Biers	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 756	Sylvia Bigornia	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 758	Alfons Bimbiris	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 759	Vera Bimbiris	3/23/2012	5/8/2013

Doe/Roe No.	Name of Roe Cross-Defendant	Default Entered	Default Posted
Roe 760	Melvin Bittner	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 763	Catherine Black	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 764	Anita Blanchard	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 767	Betty Bliley	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 768	Eugene Bliley	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 770	Jose Bocanegra	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 771	James Bodkin	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 772	Frank Bodolai	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 773	Magdalena Bodolai	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 775	Minh Bosque	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 776	Gayle Bovee	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 777	Vicki Bovee	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 778	Donna Boyer	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 781	BPP Valley Central	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 784	Bradley Family Tr	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 785	Dennis Braly	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 787	Brasel Family Tr	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 788	Flora Braun	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 789	Joe Brewer	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 794	Patricia Brooks	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 795	Mary Brosky	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 796	Vera Brown	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 797	Evelyn Bruno	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 798	Thomas Bryk	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 799	Eugene Buckley	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 800	Jeanne Buckley	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 801	Philip Bucknor	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 802	Donald Buhrmann	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 803	June Buhrmann	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 804	Washington Bumanglag	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 805	Walter Bunch	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 807	Karen Burgess	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 808	Randy Burgess	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 809	Raymond Burns	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 810	Doretha Burrell	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 814	Buytkus Family Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 815	Daniel Byrne	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 816	David Byrne	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 820	Belva Caldwell	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 824	Marvin Calmeson	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 825	Herminia Camacho	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 826	Julian Camacho	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 827	Ricardo Camarena	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 828	Cambridge Homes, Inc.	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 829	Bonnie Cameron	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 830	James Cameron	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 832	Erika Campbell	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 837	Lynda Capel	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 839	Barbara Carey	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 840	Donald Carey	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 841	Ernest Caringi	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 842	Violet Carlisle	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
	I VIOIOL CALIIGIC	J/ Z J/ Z U Z	J/ U/ Z U I J
Roe 843	Timothy Carney	3/23/2012	5/8/2013

Doe/Roe No.	Name of Roe Cross-Defendant	Default Entered	Default Posted
Roe 847	Toribio Carrasco	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 848	Irene Carroll	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 849	James Carroll	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 850	Bera Carruthers	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 851	James B Caskey	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 852	Ruby J Caskey	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 853	Eugenia Carter	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 855	Terry Carter	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 856	Gary Castelan	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 857	Sharon Castelan	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 861	Jose Castillo	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 862	Remedios Castillo	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 864	Robert & Norma Caudle	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 865	Aurelia Cayetano	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 866	Edgardo Cayetano	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 867	Julia Cecil	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 868	Ken Cecil	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 869	Gilbert Ceniceros	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 870	Edward Cernicky	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 871	Florence Cernicky	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 872	Marc Chachuat	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 873	Sukhdev Chahal	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 877	Siu Chan	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 878	Beverly Chandler	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 879	Burton Chandler	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 880	Moon Chang	9/17/2015	10/16/2015
Roe 881	Py Chao	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 883	Christopher Cheung	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 886	Hu Chi Yu	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 887	Chou Chiang	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 888	Tim Chiu	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 889	Mi Choe	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 890		3/23/2012	5/8/2013
	Pyong Choe Kenneth Choi		
Roe 891		3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 892	Edwin Chong	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 894	Boniface Choy	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 898	John Christie	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 900	Ardathe Christopher	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 901	Daphne Chu	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 905	Fred Chung	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 906	Gabrielle Chung	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 907	John Cinfio	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 908	James Cipollone	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 909	Richard Clark	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 911	Russell Clawson	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 912	Gail Clutter	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 913	Ralph Clutter	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 914	Lap Co	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 916	Marc Cole	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 918	William Collicutt	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 919	Beatrice Collins	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 921	Charles Colton	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 922	Larry Connelly	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 923	Leo Connelly	3/23/2012	5/8/2013

Doe/Roe No.	Name of Roe Cross-Defendant	Default Entered	Default Posted
Roe 925	Alan Cook	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 926	Regina Cooley	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 927	Denise Cope	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 928	Thomas Cope	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 929	Ruby Corder	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 930	Alfredo Corrales	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 932	Calvin Cox	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 935	Ronald Cronk	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 937	Cora Cruz	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 938	Felina Cruz	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 939	Nicasio Cruz	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 940	Roger Cruz	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 941	Mike Culha	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 944	Florin D Souza	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 945	Anita Dacles	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 946	Simplicio Dacles	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 949	Helga Dalley	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 950	Manfred Dalley	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 956	Mohammad Daood	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 957	Saleem Daood	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 958	Adib Daoud	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 959	Donna Daugherty	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 960	Anita Davalos	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 961	Dominador Davalos	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 962	Alfred David	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 965	Douglas Davis	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 966	James Davis	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 968	Davis Sibs Inc	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 977	Sefey Debotoun	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 978	Angelito Dedios	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 979	Bruno Deluca	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 981	Olin Derrick	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 982	Deneen Deschene	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 983	Desert Lake L P	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 984	Juan Diaz	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 987	Gary Dicks	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 988	Nick Digiulio	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 989	Richard Dioli	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 990	Lonzo Dixon	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 991	Mae Dixon	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 992	Adelaida Dizon	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 994	Esteban Donis	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 995	Mauro Donis	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 996	Rosalina Donis	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 997	Virginia Donis	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 999	Michael Douglas	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1000	Katherine Douglass	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1001	Borom Douk	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1002	S&B Douk	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1003	Sokhom Douk	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1004	James Downing	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1005	Gary Downs	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1006	Romnia Drever	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1009	Eliseo Dumbrique	3/23/2012	5/8/2013

Doe/Roe No.	Name of Roe Cross-Defendant	Default Entered	Default Posted
Roe 1010	Cynthia Dunlop	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1011	James Dunn	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1012	Raymond Dunning	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1013	Loc Duong	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1014	Harold Dykstra	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1015	Teresa Dykstra	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1016	Wilbur Dykstra	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1017	Dykstra Family Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1018	E I C Group et al	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1019	Dorothy Earl	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1020	Jack Earl	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1021	David Earwood	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1022	Benjamin Easter	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1023	Joanne Ebert	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1024	David Eckberg	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1025	Paula Eckberg	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1026	Dale Eckles	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1027	Jean Economou	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1028	John Edmonds	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1034	Theodore Elness	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1035	Rosa Elumba	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1036	Zenaida Emms	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1037	Rosario Empert	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1037	Lorin Ensminger	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1039	Catherine Erazim	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1040	Catherine Erazim	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1041	John Escobar	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1043	Rose Esparza	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1044 Roe 1045	Filomena Espiritu	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1046	William Espiritu	3/23/2012	5/8/2013 5/8/2013
Roe 1047	Basilio Esquivel	3/23/2012	5/6/2013
Dec 1010	Irma Koburn as Beneficiary of the Estate of Zelda C Schliske Decd	2/22/2042	E/0/0040
Roe 1048		3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1049	Edelmira Estrada	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1050	Hervi Estrada	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1053	Carl Fabrizio	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1054	Fairview Development LLC	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1058	Richard Faria	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1060	Deborah Feliciano	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1061	Denese Felts	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1062	Douglas Felts	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1068	Ruth Fike	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1069	Claudia Finkel	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1071	Mary Florito	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1073	Joanne Fletcher	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1074	Gayle Flores	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1075	Maria Flores	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1077	Herbert Floyd	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1078	Larry Fogleman	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1079	Alejandro Fontillas	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1080	John Ford	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1082	Antonia Fowler	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1084	Kevin Frane	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1085	Laurel Frane	3/23/2012	5/8/2013

Doe/Roe No.	Name of Roe Cross-Defendant	Default Entered	Default Posted
Roe 1086	Stephenie Fredrick	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1087	Esther Friedman	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1088	Joanne Fu	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1089	Yoshi Fujisawa	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1090	Michi Fukumoto	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1093	Jeff Galieti	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1094	Jose Galvez	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1095	Betty Gambone	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1099	Martha Garcia	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1101	Rodolfo Garcia	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1103	Eduardo Garde	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1104	Russellend Garde	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1105	Garde Fmly Rev Tr	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1107	Hung Gee	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1111	Stefan Ghika Budesti	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1112	Paul Giang	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1114	Gilbraltar Homes LLC	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1116	George Gillingham	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1117	Gisele Schroeder Liv Tr	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1117	Drena Glauser	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1119	Gary Glenn	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1120	Paul Glessner	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1120	Benito Gonzales		
	I .	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1123	Erminio Gonzales	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1125	Quach Gonzales	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1126	Carlos Conzalez	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1127	Frances Gonzalez	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1128	Gloria Gonzalez	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1130	Roque Gonzalez	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1131	Tina Gonzalez	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1135	Vicente Gose	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1136	Christina Goya	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1142	William Grant	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1144	Barbara Green	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1145	Hilda Green	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1147	Donna Greenman	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1148	Pierre Grember	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1150	John Griffin	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1154	Gerald Groff	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1155	Marian Groff	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1156	Lillian Groom	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1158	Marge Groven	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1159	Lucena Guiang	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1160	Cristoval Guillen	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1162	Alvaro Gutierrez	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1164	Santos Gutierrez	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1165	Socorro Gutierrez	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1166	Ovidio Guzman	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1167	Jin Ha	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1168	Young Ha	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1170	Susan Hahn	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1171	Homa Hamidi	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1172	Carrie Hamson	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1173	David Hamson	3/23/2012	5/8/2013

	Name of Roe Cross-Defendant	Default Entered	Default Posted
Roe 1174	Dean Hanano	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1175	James Hanlon	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1176	James Hanlon	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1177	Harald Hansen	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1178	Christine Hanson	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1180	Willis Hard	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1181	Joseph Harnik	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1182	David Harper	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1183	Diane Harris	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1184	James Harris	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1185	Karen Harris	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1186	Karen Hart	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1187	Harvell Family Tr	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1188	Gary Hathaway	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1190	Donald Haydon	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1191	Fusako Hazama	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1192	Hideo Hazama	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1193	Alice Heggen	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1195	James Hemming	9/21/2015	10/13/2015
Roe 1197	Corine Henninger	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1198	Antonio Hernandez	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1199	Carol Herr	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1200	Ronald Hetzner	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1201	Geraldine Heynen	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1202	HGJ LLC	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1207	Eric Hillerman	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1208	Marilyn Hinck	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1212	Thong Ho	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1214	Albert Hobayan	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1215	Violeta Hobayan	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1216	Paul Hodges	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1217	Debra Hodsdon	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1218	Steve Hodsdon	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1219	Wilbert E. Decd Est of Hoffman	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1220	Soledad Holguin	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1221	Clarissia Holland	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1225	Linda Homan	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1226	Mathew Homan	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1227	Che Hong	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1229	Khai Hong	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1231	Tony Hong	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1232	Martha Hooper	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1233	Gary Hoover	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1234	Marilyn Hoover	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1235	J Hopper	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1240	William Hoskins	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1243	Janette Hourani	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1244	Leslie Howe	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1245	Hsiaoni Chang	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1247	Marie Hubbard	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1248	Cresencio Huerta	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1249	Romeo Hughes	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1251	Norman Hines	9/21/2015	10/13/2015
Roe 1252	Robert Hunt	3/23/2012	5/8/2013

Doe/Roe No.	Name of Roe Cross-Defendant	Default Entered	Default Posted
Roe 1256	Amy Hwang	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1258	Hyun Chul Lee	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1259	Kiyoshi Ige	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1260	Isidro Ignacio	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1262	Veronica Ingersoll	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1263	Martin Ingram	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1265	Pasquale loele	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1266	Joy Irish	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1267	Robert Irish	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1271	Fukuyo Twamoto	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1274	Dietra Jackson	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1276	Arnold Jacobsen	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1277	Jagatri L and Xantha Dhawan	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1278	Richard James	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1279	David Jauregui	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1280	Isaura Jauregui	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1283	Enid Jeffrey	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1286	Bobby Jennings	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1287	Patricia Jennings	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1289	Enrique Jimenez	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1291	Henry Johnson	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1294	Betty Jones	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1294	Christine Jones	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1295	David Jones	3/23/2012	
			5/8/2013
Roe 1297	Esther Jones	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1298	Harold Jones Morton Juhl	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1301		3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1302	K A Investment Co LLC	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1303	Isako Kagehiro	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1304	Kenichi Kagehiro	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1305	Mitsue Kanamori	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1307	Katsuko Kariya	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1308	Katsumi Kariya	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1309	Nicholas Karthas	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1310	Colleen Kasper	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1311	Gordon Katsion	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1312	Kaufler Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1313	Wayne Kawamoto	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1314	Nancy Kawamura	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1315	Yasuko Kawamura	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1316	Keast Fmly Tr	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1317	Keck Fmly Tr	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1319	Arpineh Keklikian	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1320	Missak Keklikian	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1321	Marie Kendrick	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1324	Joel Kettenring	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1325	Pauline Kettenring	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1326	Harriet Kettles	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1327	Sandra Key	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1331	Fadi Khater	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1332	Lorna Kila	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1334	Soo Kim	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1337	Carol Kinat	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1338	Chun King	3/23/2012	5/8/2013

Doe/Roe No.	Name of Roe Cross-Defendant	Default Entered	Default Posted
Roe 1339	William King	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1341	Freda Kirkland	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1345	Howard Klekar	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1346	Frank Klojda	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1348	Irene Knapp	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1349	Kobayashi Family Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1351	Josephine Kollar	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1352	William Kooken	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1353	John Kostszewa	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1354	Marlene Kostszewa	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1356	Pamela Kousen	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1358	Robert Kramer	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1359	David Ku	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1360	Sou Ku	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1362	Terry Kuchta	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1363	Seishi Kumagai	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1364	Wei Kung	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1366	Julia Kyle	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1367	Jean La Porte	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1368	Lilia Laguerta	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1369	Deloris Lambert	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1370	Nancy Lambert	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1371	Lancaster Blvd	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1372	Lancaster Blvd and 42nd St West	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1373	Lancaster New Horizons	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1374	Pearl Landau	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1375	William Landau	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1376	Jesus Landeverde	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1378	Roberto Landeros	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1382	William Lanier	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1383	Ann Lanktree	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1386	Bonnie Large	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1387	Robert Large	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1388	Samson Larranaga	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1390	Alton Law	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1391	Michael Lawrence	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1392	Louie Laymance	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1395	Conrado Lazo	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1396	Elizabeth Lazo	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1398	Loi Le	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1400	Suong Le	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1401	Yen Le	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1402	Cornelius Leary	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1404	Margaret Lebrecht	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1405	Chi Lee	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1406	Delano Lee	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1407	Jim Lee	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1408	Mai Lee	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1409	Ting Lee	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1412	Aurelia Legaspi	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1413	Felimon Legaspi	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1415	Leighton Leno	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1416	Nancy Leno	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
1100 1410	Mariane Lesiak	3/23/2012	5/8/2013

Doe/Roe No.	Name of Roe Cross-Defendant	Default Entered	Default Posted
Roe 1419	Max Lesiak	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1420	Ramie Leung	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1425	Rudolfo Libed	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1426	Lien Family Survivors Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1427	Michael Liggett	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1428	Camila Lim	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1429	Leonore Limos	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1430	Tachung Lin	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1431	Donald Linde	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1432	Ling Ling Fang	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1433	Lilla Liong	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1434	Chih Liu	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1435	Suh Liu	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1436	Shirley Lizotte	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1437	Edith Llanda	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1438	Lloyd F Avery Tr	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1441	Carmelita Locsin	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1442	Hilario Locsin	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1443	Mary Logue	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1444	Thanh Loi	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1445	Lola R Johnson Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1446	Lombardo Fmly Rev Liv Tr	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1448	Thomas Lopac	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1449	Mark Lopez	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1450	Olivia Lopez	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1451	Victor Lopez	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1452	Bernard Los Banos	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1455	Charles Lowery	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1458	Eva Lubbers	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1459	Robert Lucero	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1460	Maria Ludovico	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1461	Mike Ly	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1462	Patricia Lynch	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1464	Christi Lyons	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
	John Lyons	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1466	M14 Development LLC	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1467	Bernadette Macadaeg	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1468	Jeanenne Mace	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1469	Mark Mace	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1470	Antonio Macias	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1471	Benjamin Macias	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1472	Martina Macias	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1473	James Mackel	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1474	Antonio Madrigal	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1475	Cecilia Magalona	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1476	Pale Mageo	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1477	Patrocinio Maglaya	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1477	Robert Magliano	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1478	Rosalin Magliano	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1479	Rolado Magtaas	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1482	Vanna Mak	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
	Angela Malay	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Doc 1/00	LALUEIA IVIAIAV	3/23/2012	3/0/ZUT3
Roe 1483 Roe 1484	Narciso Malit	3/23/2012	5/8/2013

Doe/Roe No.	Name of Roe Cross-Defendant	Default Entered	Default Posted
Roe 1486	Luzviminda Mandac	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1487	David Manery	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1488	Carol Mannino	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1489	Charito Manuel	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1492	Charles Manzo	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1493	Rudolph Maravich	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1494	Maree J De Lano Tr.	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1496	Helen Marotta	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1497	Alfredo Marquez	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1498	Manuela Marquez	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1501	Mary Marsh	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1503	Byrn Marshall	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1506	Alma Martin	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1507	Arthur Martin	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1508	Barbara Martin	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1509	Thomas Martin	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1510	Francisco Martinez	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1512	Jesus Martinez	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1514	Maria Martinez	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1515	Robert Martinez	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1523	Mildred Mason	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1524	Donald Masters	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1526	Rosario Mata	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1528	Sumiko Matsushima	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1529	Fulton Matthews	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1530	Matthew Maurice	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1531	Shirley Maxilom	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1532	Catherine Maxwell	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1535	Gustauo Mazariegos	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1536	Linda Mazariegos	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1539	Raymond Mc Kay	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1540	B Mc Laren	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1541	Brian Mc Laughlin	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1542	Rae McAllister	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1543	Julienne McCalman	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1544	Walter McCalman	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1545	Loreta McClain	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1546	Susan McCline	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1547	Donan McClung	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1548	Frederick McCool	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1549	Rita McCool	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1550	Jerrie McDowell	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1551	Patty McGahan	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1553	Edwin McNinch	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1554	Margaret McNinch	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1557	Julian Medina	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1558	Juana Mejia	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1559	Leonard Mellow	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1560	Alfredo Mendoza	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1561	Cuauhtemoc Mendoza	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1563	Herminia Messier	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1564	Leonard Messier	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1565	David Meyer	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1566	Lisa Meyer	3/23/2012	5/8/2013

Doe/Roe No.	Name of Roe Cross-Defendant	Default Entered	Default Posted
Roe 1567	Meyer Crest Ltd	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1568	Meyer Crest Ltr	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1571	Hisao Mihara	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1572	Molly Mikel	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1573	Sam Mikel	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1575	Linda Miller	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1576	Nancy Miller	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1577	Raymond Miller	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1578	Richard Miller	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1579	Steven Miller	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1582	Roldan Mina	9/17/2015	10/16/2015
Roe 1583	Lieu Minh	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1584	Van Minh	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1585	Salvador Miranda	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1586	Morteza Mirkazemi	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1587	Susan Mirkazemi	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1590	Janice Mitsushima	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1593	Chiung Mo	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1595	Bjorn Moene	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1596	Celina Molina	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1598	Patricio Moneda	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1599	Saeed Monfared	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1600	Eusebio Montemayor	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1601	Maria Montes	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1602	Judith Moore	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1603	Thomas Moore	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1604	William Moore	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1605	Michael Moreno	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1606	Ritsuko Mori	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1607	John Morris	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1609	Mary Moses	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1610	Firouzeh Motavvef	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1611	Mansoor Motavvef	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1612	Girard Moughalian	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1613	Renate Moughalian	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1614	Marilyn Mudgett	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1615	Brandon Mullins	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1616	Maia Mulvena	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1618	Emma Mungia	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1620	Dan Munz	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1623	Bronwyn Murdock	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1624	Estela Muro	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1626	Murtaugh Survivors Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1628	Duk Myung	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1629	Hyun Myung	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1631	Mary Nadwodny	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1635	Satoye Nakamichi	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1636	Yoshito Nakashima	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1637	Shizuko Nakawatase	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1638	Yoshitaka Nakawatase	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1639	Jim Nanamkin	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1640	David Naputi	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1642	Nationwide Asset Management LP	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1643	Charyl Naval	3/23/2012	5/8/2013

Doe/Roe No.	Name of Roe Cross-Defendant	Default Entered	Default Posted
Roe 1645	Andrea Navarro	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1647	Jose Navarro	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1651	Dorwin Newman	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1652	Shirley Newman	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1653	Newsom Family Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1654	Binh Ngo	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1655	Anthony Nguyen	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1656	Danny Nguyen	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1657	Frank Nguyen	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1658	Ngoc Nguyen	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1659	Nguyet Nguyen	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1660	Sonnie Nguyen	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1661	Thanh Nguyen	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1665	Gerard Nicholson	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1666	Marie Nicholson	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1668	Eric Nishida	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1670	Lydia Nixon	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1672	Dixie Noel	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1674	Mark Noterman	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1675	Yvette Noterman	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1676	Nancy Nou	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1677	Cipriano Noveloso	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1678	Dolores Noveloso	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1679	Henry Nozaki	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1680	Naomi Nozaki	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1681	Mary Nutter	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1682	Merle Oberg	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1683	Timothy Oconnor	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1684	Jessie Ohta	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1685	Haruto Okihara	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1687	Rick Olivas	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1688	Olson Family Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1691	Adoracion Orara	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1692	Francisco Orara	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1694	Evelyn Ortega	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1695	Joe Mari Ortega	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1696	Pedro Ortega	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1698	Doris Oshiro	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1699	Firooz Oskooi	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1705	Charlene Padgett	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1706	Roy Padgett	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1707	Marta Padilla	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1708	Paz Padilla	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1709	Claire Padua	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1711	Leonida Pagdilao	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1712	Julio Palacio	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1714	Palmdale 5th Street West LLC	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1715	Palmdale Family Housing	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1716	Palmdale Lodging Associates LLC	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1717	Palmdale Mobile Park LLC	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1719	Eugenio Paredes	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1713	Choon Park	9/21/2015	10/13/2015
Roe 1721	Inyoung Park	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1728	Jose Pastrano	3/23/2012	5/8/2013

Doe/Roe No.	Name of Roe Cross-Defendant	Default Entered	Default Posted
Roe 1730	Sally Patino	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1732	Adrian Pauling	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1738	Vicenta Pena	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1740	Michael Pereira	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1741	Rosa Perez	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1742	PH Rosamond LLC	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1743	Orrin Phillips	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1744	Paul Pieratt	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1748	Arthur Pizano	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1750	Tavil Ployngam	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1751	Perla Pollard	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1752	Robert Pollard	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1755	Poole Family Tr	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1756	Dora Porcari	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1760	Robert Potter	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1762	Waraya Pratanthip	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1764	Romano Procida	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1765	Bruno Prodan	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1766	Rosa Prodan	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1767	PVK Family Limited Ptn	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1768	Quan Quoc Pham	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1769	Quantumcue Inc	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1770	Bella Questin	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1773	Mary Quinlan	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1773	Theodore Rabena	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1774	Armando Raguine	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1778	Gay Ralphs	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1779	Fred Rampe	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1779	Felicitas Ranada	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1782	I .		
	Mahmud Rawjee	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1783	Fereidoun Razavi	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1784	Clarence Razer	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1785	Jean Razer	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1786	Joan Reach	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1788	Robert Reed	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1789	Barbara Reff	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1790	Herbert Reff	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1791	Mary Reid	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1795	Concepcion Reyes	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1796	Elizabeth Reyes	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1797	Ernesto Reyes	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1798	Vicente Reyes	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1801	Sei Rhee	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1803	Susan Rhoda	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1804	Michele Rhoden	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1805	Morris Richards	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1807	Ronald Ricketts	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1810	Anita Rider	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1811	Florence Rimando	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1812	Ruben Rimando	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1813	Maria Rios	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1814	Nicolas Rios	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1815	Joyce Ripperda	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1816	James Rippon	3/23/2012	5/8/2013

Doe/Roe No.	Name of Roe Cross-Defendant	Default Entered	Default Posted
Roe 1818	George Rivera	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1820	David Robbie	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1821	Kinue Robbie	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1822	Tracy Roberson	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1824	Charles Robertson	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1825	Albert Rodarte	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1826	Concepcion Rodriguez	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1827	Guadalupe Rodriguez	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1828	Ignacio Rodriguez	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1829	John Rodriguez	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1831	Roquemore Tr	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1832	Russell Rosenberry	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1835	Robert Rosenthal	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1836	Ross Rebar Co., Inc.	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1837	Richard Rottgering	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1838	Trisha Rowe	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1839	Marshall Rowen	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1840	Myra Rowland	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1841	Sidney Ru	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1842	James Rubenstein	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1846	Dewey Runkle	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1847	Lynn Ruona	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1848	Frederick Ruopp	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1849	Berna Russell	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1850	Helen Russell	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1851	Patricia Ruston	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1852	Tom Ruston	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1854	I .	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1860	Hermogenes Sacman Katsuji Saito	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
	Gabriel Salazar		
Roe 1861		3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1862	Hoger Saleh	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1863	Betty Sallen	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1864	Joseph Sallen	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1865	San Diego French American	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1867	Francisco Sanchez	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1868	Maria Sanchez	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1869	Gregorio Santos	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1870	Jose Saromines	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1872	Sasaki Family Trust 1995	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1873	Karen Sauer	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1874	Amy Say	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1875	William Schad	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1876	Alice Schaeffer	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1877	Bud Schaeffer	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1884	Judith Schlegel	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1885	Stephen Schlegel	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1887	Hazel Schoepflin	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1888	Niel Schoepflin	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1891	John Schulte	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1892	Philip Schultz	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1893	Betty Scidmore	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1894	Robert Scott	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1895	Patricia Scruggs	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1897	Henry Segrove	3/23/2012	5/8/2013

Doe/Roe No.	Name of Roe Cross-Defendant	Default Entered	Default Posted
Roe 1898	Florence Seibert	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1899	Alvin Selnick	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1901	Carl Semotan	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1903	Ralph Sexton	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1904	Eugenia Shadd	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1905	William Shannon	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1908	Catherine Shearer	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1909	Shearer Marital Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1911	Earnest Sherman	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1912	Hajime Shibuya	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1913	Kyoko Shibuya	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1914	Lupe Shimabukuro	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1915	Rodney Shimabukuro	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1916	Yoshiaki Shimizu	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1917	Shogo Shimomura	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1918	Alves Shiu	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1919	Benjamin Shlomi	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1920	Behrouz Shokri	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1921	Fariba Shokri	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1924	Lolita Sicat	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1925	Jakob Siccama	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1928	Sierra Gateway Resolution LLC	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1930	Edward Simon	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1932	Gora Singh	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1933	Tina Singh	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1935	Esther Siville	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1936	Siville Family Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1937	Charles Skaggs	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1938	Rebecca Skaggs	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1939	Georgette Skiadas	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1941	Charles Skinner	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1942	Sharren Skinner	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1943	Frank Small	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1945	Chong Smith	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
	Jack Smith	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1947	James Smith	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1948	Larry Smith	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1951	Robert Smith	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1953	Gary Snyder	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1956	Konstantinos Soteropoulos	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1957	Juan Soto	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1958	James South	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1961	Edward Sovich	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1963	T Spenard	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1964	Francis Sperling	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1965	David Sserunkuma	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1967	Virginia Stadler	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1969	Alan Stenerson	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1969	Teresita Sterkel	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1971	Nicole Stetson	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
	I control of the cont	3/23/2012	
Roe 1975	Stipancic Tr		5/8/2013
Roe 1976	Brian Stone	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1977	Lois Stover	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1980	Wilma Stremel	3/23/2012	5/8/2013


Doe/Roe No.	Name of Roe Cross-Defendant	Default Entered	Default Posted
Roe 1981	Thomas Striegler	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1982	Steve Stubner	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1983	Guzel Sturm	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1987	Jordan Sugarman	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1988	Ruth Sugarman	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1991	Eugene Summers	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1993	Queenie Summers	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1995	Frana Sunjka	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1996	Joseph Sunjka	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1997	Sunlight Townhome LLC	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 1998	Lenny Supa	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2000	Fadia Sweis	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2001	Samir Sweis	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2002	Ethel Szeto	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2003	T L Squared LLC	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2005	Takashi Takagi	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2006	Reiko Takashita	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2008	Grover Talley	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2009	Herbert Tam	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2010	Cres Tamayo	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2011	Macario Tamayo	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2012	Corazon Tan	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2013	Fidelino Tan	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2014	Alice Tanaka	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2015	Roy Tanaka	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2017	Robin Taniguchi	3/23/2012	3/12/2014
Roe 2018	Chi-Kwang Tao	3/23/2012	3/12/2014
Roe 2019	Ting-Ning Tao	3/23/2012	3/12/2014
Roe 2020	George Tapia	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2021	F Taylor	3/23/2012	3/12/2014
Roe 2026	Fumio Teruya	3/23/2012	3/12/2014
Roe 2027	Hisako Teruya	3/23/2012	3/12/2014
Roe 2032	Mary Thompson	3/23/2012	3/12/2014
Roe 2033	William Thompson	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2036	Thyra Retzke Family Trust	3/23/2012	3/12/2014
Roe 2037	Ronald Tichauer	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2038	Louise Tiendas	3/23/2012	3/12/2014
Roe 2039	Tertius Tiendas	3/23/2012	3/12/2014
Roe 2041	Chiang Ting	3/23/2012	3/12/2014
Roe 2042	Insurance Title	3/23/2012	3/12/2014
Roe 2043	Title Insurance and Trust Company	3/23/2012	3/12/2014
Roe 2044	Lynn Tivens	3/23/2012	3/12/2014
Roe 2045	Arthur Tobin	3/23/2012	3/12/2014
Roe 2046	Hilda Tobin	3/23/2012	3/12/2014
Roe 2047	Today Investment Group LLC	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2050	Alice Tomei	3/23/2012	3/12/2014
Roe 2051	Ralph Tomei	3/23/2012	3/12/2014
Roe 2052	Glen Tomkiewicz	3/23/2012	3/12/2014
Roe 2053	Jill Tomkiewicz	3/23/2012	3/12/2014
Roe 2054	Benny Tomlinson	3/23/2012	3/12/2014
Roe 2055	Dalisay Torres	3/23/2012	3/12/2014
Roe 2056	Edilberto Torres	3/23/2012	3/12/2014
Roe 2057	Nerio Torres	3/23/2012	3/12/2014
	Shirley Torres	3/23/2012	3/12/2014

Doe/Roe No.	Name of Roe Cross-Defendant	Default Entered	Default Posted
Roe 2059	Victor Torres	3/23/2012	3/12/2014
Roe 2061	Felipe Tovar	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2063	Huynh Tran	3/23/2012	3/12/2014
Roe 2064	Jeannie Tran	3/23/2012	3/12/2014
Roe 2065	Sharon Tremblay	3/23/2012	3/12/2014
Roe 2066	Emma Trochim	3/23/2012	3/12/2014
Roe 2069	Jenny Truong	3/23/2012	3/12/2014
Roe 2071	Liu Fang Tsen	3/23/2012	3/12/2014
Roe 2073	Gail Tsuhako	3/23/2012	3/12/2014
Roe 2074	John Tsuhako	3/23/2012	3/12/2014
Roe 2078	Arthur Ulat	3/23/2012	3/12/2014
Roe 2079	Eldena Ulat	3/23/2012	3/12/2014
Roe 2080	Richard Unfried	3/23/2012	3/12/2014
Roe 2081	Hoa Uong	3/23/2012	3/12/2014
Roe 2083	Leslie Urban	3/23/2012	3/12/2014
Roe 2084	Civ Ushigome	3/23/2012	3/12/2014
Roe 2086	Amelia Uyehara	3/23/2012	3/12/2014
Roe 2087	Eddie Uyehara	3/23/2012	3/12/2014
Roe 2090	Elpidio Valdez	3/23/2012	3/12/2014
Roe 2092	Max Van Runkle	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2093	Evangeline Vance	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2094	Donna Vandergroen	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2095	Ronald Vandergroen	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2096	Victor Varela	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2097	Danny Vaughn	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2098	Gil Velchez	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2099	Lolita Velchez	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2100	Velur Properties LLC	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2101	Venture Industrial LLC	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2102	Crispino Vicari	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2103	Isaias Vicens	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2104	Bertha Villagomez	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2105	Jose Villalpando	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2106	Norma Villarente	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2107	Doris Villegas	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2108	Gregario Villegas	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2109	Margarita Viloria	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2110	Edward Vilt	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2111	Rodger Virtue	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2112	Danny Visitacion	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2113	Sally Visitacion	9/21/2015	10/13/2015
Roe 2117	Richard Vonborcke	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2118	Elisa Vondra	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2119	James Vondra	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2121	Robert Wade	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2124	Daniel Walden	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2125	Cecil Walker	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2126	Grace Walker	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2127	Patricia Wallace	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2128	William Wallace	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2134	Wong Wang	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
1100 2 104	William Warmington	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Rne 2135			
Roe 2135 Roe 2136	James Warner	3/23/2012	5/8/2013

Doe/Roe No.	Name of Roe Cross-Defendant	Default Entered	Default Posted
Roe 2138	Amy Watson	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2139	Elizabeth Weaver	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2140	George Webb	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2141	Cecilia Wei	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2142	Chung Wei	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2143	Suhmei Wei	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2145	Ival West	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2147	Richard Wheaton	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2148	Betty White	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2149	Edward White	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2150	James White	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2151	Loretta White	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2152	Vivian White	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2153	Walt White	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2158	Gary Wilcox	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2160	Cynthia Williams	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2161	Ronlld Williams	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2162	Williams Fmly Tr	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2164	Donald Wilson	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2168	Wilson Family Tr	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2169	Donald Winkler	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2170	Susan Winkler	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2171	Theresa Winters	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2171	WKR360-6 LLC	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2172	Heatwig Wloczyk	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2175	Margaret Wolfe	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2176	Otis Wolfe	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2176	Gary Wong	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2177	Mai Wong	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
	Karen Wonnell	3/23/2012	
Roe 2180			5/8/2013
Roe 2182	Robert Woodall	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2185	Mary Wray	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2186	Emiko Wright	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2189	Robert Wright	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2192	Roobik Yaghoubi	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2193	Antonio Yago	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2194	Grace Yamada	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2195	Maria Yanez	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2196	Wendy Yang	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2197	Joseph Yankovich	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2198	Monica Yeomans	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2199	Tammy Yin	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2201	Barney Yoshino	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2202	Carlos Young	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2203	Julie Young	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2204	Kim Young	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2205	Bob Yu	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2206	Kyu Yu	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2207	Brian Yung	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2210	Coral Zedicher	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2211	Donald Zedicher	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2212	Hao Zhan	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2213	Stanley Zimmerman	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2214	Milton Zucker	3/23/2012	5/8/2013

Doe/Roe No.	Name of Roe Cross-Defendant	Default Entered	Default Posted
Roe 2215	Natalie Zucker	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2218	Charlotte Zwinger	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2219	Mark McNerney	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2221	Jon Safranek	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2224	Robert Jones	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2225	James Jones	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2226	Adriana Balderra	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2231	Donald Johnson	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2232	Richard Peters	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2239	Sam Sarieddine	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2240	Mitchell Truesdale	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2241	Keith Calhoun	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2242	Barbara Schultz	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2243	Bruce Sylvies	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2244	Philip Schultz	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2245	Dralle	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2248	Alba Castillo	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2249	Selton Phillips	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2250	Moises Merestela	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2251	Diana Burke	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2253	Stanley Vong	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2254	Larry Wilborn	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2255	Michie Wilborn	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2256	John Lazarus	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2257	Lambartha Vandenberg Tr	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2260	George Sack	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2261	Palmdale Mobile Frank LLC	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2265	John Griffin	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2267	Porter Sprolls	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2268	Albert Gaba	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2269	Delia Gaba	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2270	Audrey Sprolls	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2272	Francisco Batino	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2274	Bar Or Carmit	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2275	Joseph Kinkoopf	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2276	Tina Kinkoopf	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2277	Jerry F. Shotbolt / Shotbolt Family Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2279	Benjamin C Both	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2280	Christina D Both	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2283	Manuel Ariliano	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2284	Leodegaria A Ariliano	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2285	Sandra Pastor	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2286	Erik R. Hermann / Hermann Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2287	Julia A Hermann / Hermann Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2288	Albert T Rodriguez	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2289	Edelmira B Rodriguez	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2292	Juan A Valenzuela	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2294	Vicki Atkins / Atkins Trust	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2295	Stephen D Wahl	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2296	Mettler Valley Mutual Water Co.	3/23/2012	5/8/2013
Roe 2302	John P. Starros	8/11/2015	8/21/2015
Roe 2305	Frank A. Small	8/11/2015	8/21/2015
Roe 2316	Joshua Acres Mutual Water Company	8/11/2015	8/21/2015
Roe 2318	40th St Mutual Water Company	2/17/2015	4/1/2015

Doe/Roe No.	Name of Roe Cross-Defendant	Default Entered	Default Posted
Roe 2323	Lucky 18 on Rosamond, LLC	2/17/2015	4/1/2015



Producer Name	Non-Overlying Production Rights (in Acre-Feet)	Percentage Share of Adjusted Native Safe Yield
Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40	6,789.26	9.605%
Palmdale Water District	2,769.63	3.918%
Little Rock Creek Irrigation District	796.58	1.127%
Quartz Hill Water District	563.73	0.798%
Rosamond Community Services District	404.42	0.572%
Palm Ranch Irrigation District	465.69	0.659%
Desert Lake Community Services District	73.53	0.104%
California Water Service Company	343.14	0.485%
North Edwards Water District	49.02	0.069%
Boron Community Services District	50.00	0.071%
West Valley County Water District	40.00	0.057%
Total Acre Feet:	12,345.00	

Producer Name	Pre-Rampdown Production	Overlying Production Rights	Percentage Share of Adjusted Native Safe Yield
60th Street Association Water System	2.16	2.16	0.003%
Adams Bennett Investments, LLC	0.00	0.00	0.000%
Antelope Park Mutual Water Company	208.75	169.89	0.240%
Antelope Valley Joint Union High School District	71.74	41.00	0.058%
Antelope Valley Mobile Estates	19.88	8.75	0.012%
Antelope Valley Water Storage LLC	1772.00	1772.00	2.507%
Aqua-J Mutual Water Company	44.90	44.35	0.063%
AV Solar Ranch 1, LLC	96.00	96.00	0.136%
AVEK	4000.00	3550.00	5.022%
Averydale Mutual Water Company	257.95	254.35	0.360%
Gene Bahlman	5,25	5.00	0.007%
Baxter Mutual Water Company	44.75	35.02	0.050%
Mark W. and Nancy L. Benz	1.00	1.00	0.001%
Big Rock Mutual Water Company	0.00	0.00	0.001%
Bleich Flat Mutual Water Company	33.50	33.50	0.000%
Sheldon R. Blum, Trustee of the 1998 Sheldon R.	33.30	33.30	0.047%
Blum Family Trust	50.00	50.00	0.071%
Bolthouse Properties LLC	16805.89	9945.00	14.069%
Thomas and Julie Bookman 2007 Trust	272.50	136.00	0.192%
James and Elizabeth Bridwell	1.00	1.00	0.192%
Brittner Trust, Glen Brittner, Trustee Burrows/300 A40 H LLC	4.00 295.00	4.00 295.00	0.006% 0.417%
John A. Calandri; Calandri Water Company, LLC; John A. Calandri and Shannon C. Calandri as cotrustees of "The John and Shannon Calandri 1992 Trust"; Katherine J. Calandri Nelson, Trustee of "The Katherine J. Calandri Nelson 2008 Trust"	3803.00	1776.00	2.512%
Sal and Connie Cardile	1.00	1.00	0.001%
Irma Ann Carle Trust, Irma-Anne Carle, Trustee	1.00	1.00	0.001%
Effren Chavez	44.00	44.00	0.062%
C. Louise R. Close Living Trust	1.00	1.00	0.001%
Colorado Mutual Water Co.	25.90	25.54	0.036%
Copa De Oro Land Company	325.00	325.00	0.460%
County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles #14 and 20	8000.00	3400.00	4.810%
Del Sur Ranch LLC	600.00	600.00	0.849%
Diamond Farming Co. LLC/Crystal Organic		1000 ==	
LLC/Grimmway/Lapis	3354.00	1986.00	2.810%
Randall and Billie Dickey	1.00	1.00	0.001%
El Dorado Mutual Water Company	276.05	272.16	0.385%
eSolar Inc.; Red Dawn Suntower LLC	150.00	150.00	0.212%
eSolar, Inc.; Sierra Sun Tower, LLC	5.76	3.00	0.004%
eSolar Inc.; Tumbleweed Suntower LLC	0.00	0.00	0.000%
Lawrence Dean Evans, Jr. and Susan Evans	1.00	1.00	0.001%

Producer Name	Pre-Rampdown Production	Overlying Production Rights	Percentage Share of Adjusted Native Safe Yield
Evergreen Mutual Water Company	69.50	68.54	0.097%
Ruth C. Findley	1.00	1.00	0.001%
First Mutual Water Company	15.62	5.25	0.007%
Leah Frankenberg	1.00	1.00	0.001%
Denise Godde, Steven F. Godde, Pamela M. Godde and Gary M. Godde; Denise Godde and Steven Godde as Trustees of the D & S Godde Trust	1461.50	683.00	0.966%
Gorrindo Resourceful LLC	629.00	629.00	0.890%
Granite Construction Company (Big Rock Facility)	126.00	126.00	0.178%
Granite Construction Company (Little Rock Sand and Gravel, Inc.)	400.00	234.00	0.331%
LAURA GRIFFIN, trustee of the FAMILY BYPASS TRUST created under the LEONARD W. GRIFFIN AND LAURA GRIFFIN TRUST, dated July 9, 1993	1170.00	668.00	0.945%
H & N Development Co. West Inc.	1799.75	808.00	1.143%
Jane Healy and Healy Enterprises Inc.	700.00	700.00	0.990%
Gailen W. Kyle and Julie Kyle, Trustees of The Kyle Revocable Living Trust	9275.00	3670.00	5.192%
Land Projects Mutual Water Co.	622.50	613.54	0.868%
Landale Mutual Water Co.	157.75	155.57	0.220%
Landinv Inc	2000.00	969.00	1.371%
Lands of Promise Mutual Water Company	64.61	21.69	0.031%
G. Lane Family (Frank and Yvonne Lane 1993 Family Trust, Little Rock Sand and Gravel, Inc., George and Charlene Lane Family Trust) [Does not include water pumped on land leased to Granite Construction]	1402.00	773.00	1.094%
James M. Leer, III and Diana Leer	1.00	1.00	0.001%
Littlerock Aggregate Co., Inc., Holliday Rock Co., Inc.	405.00	151.00	0.214%
Llano Del Rio Water Company	572.65	279.00	0.395%
Llano Mutual Water Company	0.00	0.00	0.000%
City of Los Angeles, Department of Airports	7851.00	3975.00	5.623%
Jose M. Maritorena & Marie P. Maritorena, Trustees of the Maritorena Living Trust Dated	3800.55	1775.00	2.511%
March 16, 1993 Dennis M. and Diane K. McWilliams	1.00	1.00	0.001%
Richard Miner	1089.40	1.00 999.00	1.413%
Miracle Improvement Corporation dba Golden	1009.40	999.00	1.413/0
Sands Mobile Home Park dba Golden Sands Trailer Park	45.40	27.00	0.038%
Barry and Sharon Munz 2014 Revocable Trust, Terry A. & Kathleen M. Munz	5.00	5.00	0.007%
Eugene B. Nebeker	4016.00	1775.00) [110/
Lugene D. Nebekei	4016.00	1//5.00	2.511%

Producer Name	Pre-Rampdown Production	Overlying Production Rights	Percentage Share of Adjusted Native Safe Yield
Richard Nelson, Willow Springs Co.	180.65	135.00	0.191%
Northrop Grumman Systems Corporation	2.00	2.00	0.003%
NRG Solar Alpine, LLC	64.21	38.00	0.054%
R AND M RANCH, INC.	1458.00	686.00	0.970%
John and Adrienne Reca	501.45	251.00	0.355%
Suzanne J. Richter	1.00	1.00	0.001%
Rosamond High School	586.40	202.23	0.286%
Rosamond Ranch, LP	598.00	598.00	0.846%
Rose Villa Apartments	22.72	7.62	0.011%
Sahara Nursery and Farm	22.18	22.00	0.031%
Saint Andrew's Abbey, Inc.	175.00	102.00	0.144%
Lawrence J. Schilling and Mary P. Schilling, Trustees of the L&M Schilling 1992 Family Trust	4.00	4.00	0.006%
Lilia Mabel Selak, TTEE; Barbara Aznarez Decd Trust and Selak, Mabel Trust	150.00	150.00	0.212%
Service Rock Products, L.P.	503.00	267.00	0.378%
SGS Antelope Valley Development, LLC	57.00	57.00	0.081%
Shadow Acres Mutual Water Company	52.60	51.74	0.073%
Sheep Creek Water Co.	0.00	0.00	0.000%
Jeffrey and Nancee Siebert	200.00	106.00	0.150%
Sonrise Ranch, LLC	662.00	0.00	0.000%
Southern California Edison Company	17.75	8.00	0.011%
Sundale Mutual Water Company	472.23	472.23	0.668%
Sunnyside Farms Mutual Water Company, Inc.	75.40	74.26	0.105%
Tejon Ranchcorp and Tejon Ranch Co.	3414.00	1634.00	2.312%
Tierra Bonita Mutual Water Company	40.75	40.32	0.057%
Tierra Bonita Ranch	505.00	430.00	0.608%
Triple M Property Co.	15.00	15.00	0.021%
Turk Trust dated December 16, 1998	1.00	1.00	0.001%
Marie A. Unini and Robert J. LeClair	1.00	1.00	0.001%
U.S. Borax	1905.00	1905.00	2.695%
Craig Van Dam, Marta Van Dam, Nick Van Dam,			
Janet Van Dam	1037.00	640.00	0.905%
Gary Van Dam, Gertrude Van Dam, Delmar Van			
Dam, Delmar D. Van Dam and Gertrude J. Van Dam,			
as Trustees of the Delmar D. and Gertrude J. Van	0024 50	2245.00	4.5.400/
Dam Family Trust – 1996, Craig Van Dam, Marta	9931.50	3215.00	4.548%
Van Dam, High Desert Dairy Partnership, High			
Desert Dairy			
Vulcan Materials Co., Vulcan Lands Inc.,			
Consolidated Rock Products Co., Calmat Land Co.,	519.10	260.00	0.368%
and allied Concrete & Materials			
WAGAS Land Company LLC	984.15	580.00	0.821%
WDS California II, LLC	2397.00	1159.00	1.640%
Michael and Dolores A. Weatherbie	1.00	1.00	0.001%

Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408

Producer Name	Pre-Rampdown Production	Overlying Production Rights	Percentage Share of Adjusted Native Safe Yield
West Side Park Mutual Water Co.	280.75	276.86	0.392%
White Fence Farms Mutual Water Co.	783.05	772.13	1.092%
Donna Wilson	10.00	7.00	0.010%
William Fisher Memorial Water Company	4.53	4.53	0.006%
Totals	105878.08	58322.23	

OF ORIGINAL FILED
Los Angeles Superior Court

JUL 18 2011

John A Clarke, Executive Officer Cler By Dept. Dept.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES

Included Consolidated Actions:

Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co.

Superior Court of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

16 County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 325 201

Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co.

Superior Court of California, County of Kern,

Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348

Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster

Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist.

Superior Court of California, County of

Riverside, consolidated actions, Case Nos.

RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668

Rebecca Lee Willis v. Los Angeles County

Waterworks District No. 40

Superior Court of California, County of Los

Angeles, Case No. BC 364 553

Richard A. Wood v. Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40

Superior Court of California, County of Los

Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408

Lead Case No. BC 325 201

STATEMENT OF DECISION PHASE THREE TRIAL

Judge: Honorable Jack Komar

Antelope Valley Groundwater Litigation (Consolidated Cases) Los Angeles County Superior Court, Lead Case No. BC 325 201 The standard for a statement of decision as set forth in Code of Civil Procedure section 632 requires a court to explain "... the legal and factual basis for its decision as to each of the principal controverted issues at trial...." Case law is clear that a court must provide the factual and legal basis for the decision on those issues only closely related to the ultimate issues on the case. (See *People v. Casa Blanca Convalescent Homes* (1984) 159 Cal. App. 3d 509, 523-524.) It is also clear that a court need not respond to requests that are in the nature of "interrogatories." (See *id.* at pp. 525-526.)

The only issues at this phase of the trial were simply to determine whether the adjudication area aquifer is in a current state of overdraft and as part of that adjudication to determine the safe yield. This Statement of Decision focuses solely on those issues.

Cross-complainants Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40, City of Palmdale, Palmdale Water District, Littlerock Creek Irrigation District, Palm Ranch Irrigation District, Quartz Hill Water District, California Water Service Company, Rosamond Community Service District, Phelan Piñon Hills Community Services District, Desert Lake Community Services District, North Edwards Water District (collectively, the "Public Water Producers") brought an action for, *inter alia*, declaratory relief, alleging that the Antelope Valley adjudication area groundwater aquifer was in a state of overdraft and required judicial intervention to provide for management of the water resources within the aquifer to prevent depletion of the aquifer and damage to the Antelope Valley basin.

Several of the cross-defendant parties (collectively, the "Land Owner Group") also sought declaratory relief in their various independent (now coordinated and consolidated) actions.

¹ The United States and the City of Los Angeles, though not water suppliers in the Antelope Valley adjudication area, joined with the Public Water Producers. Rosamond Community Services District joined with the Land Owner Group.

 The first issues to be decided in the declaratory relief cause of action are the issues of overdraft and safe yield. The remaining causes of action and issues are to be tried in a subsequent phase or phases.

This Phase Three trial commenced on January 4, 2011 and continued thereafter on various days based upon the needs of the various parties and the Court's availability. Appearances of counsel are noted in the minutes of the Court.

At the conclusion of the evidence, the Court offered counsel the opportunity to provide written final arguments and the invitation was declined by all counsel. On April 13, 2011, the Court heard oral argument and the matter was ordered submitted.

The Public Water Producers (and others) have alleged that the basin is in a condition of overdraft and have requested that the Court determine a safe yield and consider imposition of a physical solution or other remedy to prevent further depletion of the water resource and degradation of the condition of the aquifer.

Several parties in opposition to the request of the Public Water Producers have contended that while there may have been overdraft in the past, currently the aquifer has recovered and is not in overdraft. These same parties contend that it is not possible to establish a single value for safe yield; instead they have requested that the Court determine a range of values for safe yield.

The Court concludes that the Public Water Producers have the burden of proof and that the burden must be satisfied for this phase and purpose by a preponderance of the evidence. This burden of proof may or may not be appropriate to other phases of this trial. And since the findings here have no application to other phases, such as prescription or rights of appropriators, and the parties have not briefed those or other issues, the Court makes no conclusions as to what standard of proof might be applicable to such other issues or phases of trial.

The law defines overdraft as extractions in excess of the "safe yield" of water from an aquifer, which over time will lead to a depletion of the water supply within a groundwater basin as well as other detrimental effects, if the imbalance between pumping and extraction continues. (City of Los Angeles v. City of San Fernando (1975) 14 Cal. 3d 199; City of

Pasadena v. City of Alhambra (1949) 33 Cal. 2d 908, 929; Orange County Water District v. City of Riverside (1959) 173 Cal. App. 2d 137.) "Safe yield" is the amount of annual extractions of water from the aquifer over time equal to the amount of water needed to recharge the groundwater aquifer and maintain it in equilibrium, plus any temporary surplus. Temporary surplus is defined as that amount of water that may be pumped from an aquifer to make room to store future water that would otherwise be wasted and unavailable for use.

Determination of safe yield and overdraft requires the expert opinions of hydrologists and geologists.² Experts in the field of hydrogeology routinely base their opinions and conclusions concerning groundwater basin overdraft on evidence of long-term lowering of groundwater levels, loss of groundwater storage, declining water quality, seawater intrusion (not an issue in this case), land subsidence, and the like. Experts also conduct a sophisticated analysis of precipitation and its runoff, stream flow, and infiltration into the aquifer, including such things as evapotranspiration, water from other sources introduced into the aquifer (artificial recharge), as well as the nature and quantity of extractions from the aquifer and return flows therefrom.

Generally, neither overdraft nor safe yield can be determined by looking at a groundwater basin in a single year but must be determined by evaluating the basin conditions over a sufficient period of time to determine whether pumping rates have or will lead to eventual permanent lowering of the water level in the aquifer and ultimately depletion of the water supply or other harm. Recharge must equal discharge over the long term. (City of Los Angeles v. City of San Fernando, supra, 14 Cal. 3rd at pp. 278-279.) But having heard evidence about the aquifer as a whole, the Court is not making historical findings that would be applicable to specific areas of the aquifer or that could be used in a specific way to determine water rights in particular areas of the aquifer.

² All the experts offer estimates. The American Heritage College Dictionary, Third Edition, defines an "estimate" as, *inter alia*, "[a] rough calculation, as of size" or "[a] judgment based on one's impressions; an opinion."

The location of the Antelope Valley adjudication area boundaries was the subject of the Phase One and Two trials in this matter. The Court defined the boundaries of the valley aquifer based upon evidence of hydro-connection within the aquifer. If there was no hydro-connectivity with the aquifer, an area was excluded from the adjudication. The degree of hydro-connectivity within the Antelope Valley adjudication area varies from area to area. Some areas seemingly have fairly small or nominal hydro-connectivity but must be included in this phase of the adjudication unless the connection is *de minimis*. Pumping in those parts of the aquifer may be shown to have *de minimis* effect on other parts of the aquifer while pumping in other areas within the basin appear to have material impacts on adjacent parts of the basin. All areas were included within the adjudication area because they all have some level of hydro-connection, some more and some less. How to deal with those differences is ultimately a basin management decision that is well beyond the scope of this phase of trial.

Overdraft

The preponderance of the evidence presented establishes that the adjudication area aquifer is in a state of overdraft. Reliable estimates of the long-term extractions from the basin have exceeded reliable estimates of the basin's recharge by significant margins, and empirical evidence of overdraft in the basin corroborates that conclusion. Portions of the aquifer have sustained a significant loss of groundwater storage since 1951. While pumping in recent years has reduced and moderated, the margin between pumping and recharge as cultural conditions have changed and precipitation has increased (with the appearance of wetter parts of the historical cycle), pumping in some areas of the aquifer is continuing to cause harm to the basin. The evidence is persuasive that current extractions exceed recharge and therefore that the basin is

Antelope Valley Groundwater Litigation (Consolidated Cases) Los Angeles County Superior Court, Lead Case No. BC 325 201

³ The court may exclude truly de minimis connectivity areas based upon evidence in later phases of the trial if shown to have virtually no impact on the aquifer.

in a state of overdraft. Since 1951⁴ there is evidence of periods of substantial pumping (principally agricultural in the early years of the period) coinciding with periods of drought, with almost continuous lowering of water levels and severe subsidence in some areas extending to the present time, with intervals of slight rises in water levels in some areas.

Areas of increased pumping, with concomitant lowering of water levels, can have a serious effect on water rights in other areas, caused by cones of depression, which alter natural water flow gradients, causing the lowering of water levels in adjacent areas, with resulting subsidence and loss of aquifer storage capacity. Given population growth, and agricultural and industrial changes, the valley is at risk of being in an even more serious continuing overdraft in the future unless pumping is controlled.

While the lowering of current water levels has slowed, and some levels in wells in some areas have risen in recent years, significant areas within the aquifer continue to show declining levels, some slightly so, but many with material lowering of water levels.

Thus, the Antelope Valley adjudication area is in a state of overdraft based on estimates of extraction and recharge, corroborated by physical evidence of conditions in the basin, and while the annual amount of overdraft has lessened in recent years with increased precipitation and recharge, the effects of overdraft remain and are in danger of being exacerbated with increased pumping and the prospective cyclical precipitation fluctuations shown by the historical record. The physical evidence establishes that there was significant subsidence occurring in parts of the adjudication area ranging from two to six feet or more in certain areas of the valley caused by such pumping and that measurable water levels fell in a substantial part of the valley. While some of the ongoing subsidence may be attributable to residual subsidence (from earlier periods of shortfall) that would not seem to be an explanation for the extent of continued subsidence. The evidence establishes that ground water extractions in excess of recharge are a cause as well.

⁴ Precipitation and well records prior to that year are too sketchy to be relied upon.

Safe Yield

A calculation of safe yield is necessary to manage the basin or create a physical solution to a potential or actual continuing overdraft. A determination of safe yield requires an initial determination of average annual natural or native recharge to the aquifer from all sources. The only source of natural or native recharge for the Antelope Valley is precipitation that recharges the aquifer and it is therefore necessary to ascertain average annual precipitation. The calculation of annual average precipitation can only be determined by using a baseline study period that covers precipitation in periods of drought and periods of abundant precipitation over a sufficient period of time that a reliable estimate of average future recharge based on precipitation can be made.

It has been suggested that safe yield could be based on using shorter base periods or more than one base period, (the total time span of which was considerably less than the 50 year period the Court believes is more credible). If the purpose of selecting a base period is to determine average recharge over time based on precipitation, choosing two consecutive periods of time with two different average numbers would not serve that purpose and would preclude estimating a single safe yield. Likewise, selecting a base period that does not have completely representative precipitation cycles over time would not provide an accurate evaluation of conditions in the valley. A base period that calculates average precipitation over a representative period of time permits reliable predictions about future natural recharge based on regular recurring precipitation cycles. A period of precipitation fluctuations from 1951 to 2005 satisfies that standard. Shorter periods do not.

The Court finds that current extraction of water from the aquifer by all pumping ranges from 130,000 to 150,000 acre feet a year, but in any event, is in excess of average annual recharge. The major area of dispute between the parties is the average amount of natural recharge, which also involves disputes concerning return flows, the amount of native vegetation water needs, evapotranspiration, stream flow, runoff, groundwater infiltration, specific yield, lag

Antelope Valley Groundwater Litigation (Consolidated Cases)
Los Angeles County Superior Court, Lead Case No. BC 325 201

time, bedrock infiltration, agricultural crop needs, and the like. Other sources of recharge to the basin, including artificial recharge-water pumped into the aquifer from external sources are not in dispute.

Evidence established that during the entire historical period presented, populations increased within the valley and water use changed in a variety of ways. There has been a shift in some areas to urban uses and away from agriculture although in recent years agricultural pumping has also increased. The nature of agricultural duties has changed as well. The type of irrigation used by farmers has become more efficient and less water is needed per acre (depending on the crops grown) with more efficient uses of water. But there has also been an increase as well as a change in the nature of the type of agriculture in the valley in material quantities in recent years. More of such changes may occur and it is important to both current and future generations to ensure that the water resources within the basin are managed prudently.

The Court heard from a very large number of experts, some of whom have provided opinion testimony of what constitutes safe yield. All the experts testifying acknowledged that changes in the selection of a base study period, lag time, agricultural water duties, evapotranspiration, specific yield, runoff quantities, well level contours, bedrock infiltration, return flows, playa evaporation relating to run off and bedrock infiltration, chloride measurements, satellite imaging, and agricultural and municipal pumping estimates, among others, would affect the ultimate opinion of natural recharge and return flows.

The opinions of all the experts are estimates, based upon their professional opinion. All of the opinions were critiqued by other experts who often had different opinions. The Court recognizes the imprecision of the various estimates and the fact that an estimate by definition is imprecise. But the fact that estimates lack precision does not mean that the Court cannot rely upon such estimates. The scientific community relies upon such estimates in the field of hydrogeology and the Court must do the same.

Reasonable experts can differ as to reasonable estimates of natural recharge and virtually all other components of water budgets, computations of change of storage, and the

like, all the while using the same formulae and scientific principles to reach their conclusion. For example, all the experts could agree on the definition of "Darcy's Law" and the physics principle of "conservation of mass" but still reach different conclusions.

Some of the experts opined that the basin was not in overdraft and that recharge was in excess of or in balance with extractions so that there was a surplus in the aquifer. One expert opined that loss of storage was merely space for temporary storage. Observable conditions in the valley are inconsistent with those conclusions. If there were a surplus, even in the shortened base periods used by the some experts, there should not be subsidence of land, nor the need to drill for water at deeper and deeper levels in those parts of the aquifer most affected by the overdraft. The physical condition of the valley is inconsistent with those estimates that there is and has been a surplus of water in the aquifer.

The selection of a safe yield number for an aquifer the size of the Antelope Valley is made difficult because of not only its size but because of the complexity of its geology. As reflected above, hydro-connectivity and conductivity varies considerably between various parts of the aquifer. The hydro-connectivity between some portions of the adjudication area aquifer and others is so slight as to be almost (apparently) nonexistent. Pumping in those areas may have little or no effect on other areas of the aquifer. The Antelope Valley basin is not like a bathtub where lowering and raising of water levels is equal in all parts of the "tub."

Therefore, assigning a safe yield number (what quantity of pumping from the basin will maintain equilibrium in the aquifer) may require different numbers for different parts of the aquifer (and clearly may also provide for some level of separate management). No attempt has been made in this phase of trial to define geological differences in the valley that would justify different safe yield numbers for different parts of the valley in light of the decision in Phase Two regarding connectivity (the Phase Two trial focused on hydro-connectivity for purposes of determining necessary parties to the action).

Weighing the various opinions of the experts, however, the Court finds by a preponderance of the evidence that conservatively setting a safe yield at 110,000 acre feet a


year will permit management of the valley in such a way as to preserve the rights of all parties in accordance with the Constitution and laws of the State of California. Some portions of the aquifer receive more recharge than others and pumping requirements vary. These differences require management decisions that respect the differences in both the geology and the cultural needs of the diverse parts of the valley.


It should not be assumed that the safe yield management number may not change as climate circumstances and pumping may change, or as the empirical evidence based on experience in managing the basin suggests it is either too high or too low.

	JUL	13	2011	
Dated:				

Høn. Jack Komar

Judge of the Superior Court





Rights to Produce Imported Water Return Flows

A.V. MATERIALS, INC.

ANTELOPE VALLEY COUNTRY CLUB

ANTELOPE VALLEY EAST-KERN WATER AGENCY

ANTELOPE VALLEY WATER COMPANY

ANTELOPE VALLEY WATER STORAGE, LLC

BORON COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PARKS

CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE COMPANY

COPA DE ORO LAND COMPANY, A CALIFORNIA GENERAL PARTNERSHIP

CRYSTAL ORGANIC FARMS, LLC

DESERT LAKE COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT

DIAMOND FARMING COMPANY

EDGEMONT ACRES MWC

EL DORADO MUTUAL WATER COMPANY

EYHERABIDE, RAY/EYHERABIDE SHEEP CO.

GEORGE LANE, AS TRUSTEE OF THE GEORGE AND CHARLENE LANE

FAMILY TRUST, DATED 12/19/2007

GOODE, FORREST G. 1998 TRUST

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY

GRIMMWAY ENTERPRISES, INC.

H & N DEVELOPMENT CO. WEST

HARTER, SCOTT

LANDALE MUTUAL WATER CO.

LITTLEROCK CREEK IRRIGATION DISTRICT

LITTLEROCK SAND AND GRAVEL, INC.

LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40

PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT

PALM RANCH IRRIGATION DISTRICT

QUARTZ HILL WATER DISTRICT

ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT

1-05-CV-049053

SAINT ANDREW'S ABBEY, INC.

SHADOW ACRES MUTUAL WATER COMPANY.

SUNNYSIDE FARMS MUTUAL WATER COMPANY, INC.


TEJON RANCHCORP/TEJON RANCH CO.

U.S. BORAX & CHEMICAL CO.


WARNACK, A.C. AS TRUSTEE OF THE A.C. WARNACK TRUST

WEST SIDE PARK MUTUAL WATER CO.

WHITE FENCE FARMS MUTUAL WATER CO.



SUBAREAS





1 FILED Superior Court of California 2 County of Los Angeles SEP 29 2015 « 3 Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk 4 Baston A. Barton 5 6 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 9 JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION ANTELOPE VALLEY 10 PROCEEDING NO. 4408 **GROUNDWATER CASES** 11 CASE NO. BC 364553 This Pleading Relates to Included Action: 12 REBECCA LEE WILLIS, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, 13 Plaintiff, 14 [PROPOSED] SECOND AMENDED FINAL JUDGMENT APPROVING WILLIS VS. 15 CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS) 16 DISTRICT NO. 40; CITY OF LANCASTER;) DOCUMENT FILED NUNC PRO TUNC CITY OF PALMDALE; PALMDALE 17 WATER DISTRICT; LITTLEROCK CREEK) AS OF SEPTEMBER 22, 2011 IRRIGATION DISTRICT; PALM RANCH 18 IRRIGATION DISTRICT; QUARTZ HILL WATER DISTRICT; ANTELOPE VALLEY 19 WATER CO.; ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT; and DOES 1 through 20 Judge: Hon. Jack Komar 1,000: Coordination Trial Judge 21 Defendants. 22 23 This matter has come before the Court on the Motion of Plaintiff Rebecca Lee Willis 24 (Willis) for Final Approval of the Proposed Class Action Settlement between and among 25 Rebecca Lee Willis and the Willis Class, on the one hand; and Los Angeles County Waterworks 26 District No. 40, City of Palmdale Water District, Littlerock Creek Irrigation District, Palm 27 Ranch Irrigation District, Quartz Hill Water District, California Water Service Company, 28 1

| [Proposed] Second Amended Final Judgment 1-05-CV-049053

BC 364553

Rosamond Community Service District, Phelan Pinon Hills Community Services District, Desert Lake Community Services District, and North Edwards Water District (collectively, the "Settling Defendants"), on the other hand.

By Order dated November 18, 2010, this Court granted Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of the Proposed Settlement of this action and directed the sending of Notice to the Willis Class. After considering all arguments and submissions for and against final approval of the proposed settlement, and being fully advised of the premises, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS, PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 382 AND 664.6 OF THE CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE:

- 1. For over 10 years, a number of actions have been pending in the Los Angeles County Superior Court and other California courts seeking an adjudication of various parties' respective rights to the groundwater underlying the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin (the "Basin").
- 2. A number of cases raising such issues were coordinated by a July 11, 2005 Order of Judicial Council and assigned to the Honorable Jack Komar of the Superior Court for the County of Santa Clara (the "Court").
- 3. The Court held an initial phase of trial on October 2006 with respect to the boundaries of the Basin and issued an Order on November 3, 2006 defining the Basin for purposes of the litigation.
- 4. The Willis Class Action was filed on or about January 11, 2007 to contest certain public entities' claims that those entities had obtained prescriptive rights to a portion of the Basin's groundwater. The Willis case was subsequently coordinated with the Coordinated Cases.
 - 5. By Order dated September 11, 2007, the Court certified the Willis Class. As

amended by Orders dated May 22, 2008 and September 2, 2008, the Willis Class is defined as follows:

"All private (i.e., non-governmental) persons and entities that own real property within the Basin, as adjudicated, that are not presently pumping water on their property and have not done so at any prior time ("the Class"). The Class includes the successors-in-interest by way of purchase, gift, inheritance, or otherwise of such landowners.

The Class excludes the defendants herein, any person, firm, trust, corporation, or other entity with which any defendant has a controlling interest or which is related to or affiliated with any of the defendants, and the representatives, heirs, affiliates, successors-in-interest or assigns of any such excluded party. The Class also excludes all persons to the extent their properties are connected and receive service from a municipal water system, public utility, or mutual water company. The Class shall [further] exclude all property(ies) that are listed as 'improved' by Los Angeles or Kern County Assesor's' office, unless the owners of such properties declare under penalty of perjury that they do not pump and have never pumped water on those properties. The Class shall [further] exclude all persons to the extent they own properties within the Basin on which they have pumped water at any time."

- 6. Notice of the Pendency of this action was sent to the Wilis Class in or about January 1, 2009 and the opt-out period (as extended) expired on August 30, 2009. Certain persons who opted out were subsequently permitted to rejoin the Class.
- 7. The persons listed on Exhibit 1 hereto validly excluded themselves from the Class in accordance with this Court's prior Orders (and have not re-joined the Class) and are not bound by the Settlement or this Judgment.
- 8. Counsel for the Willis Class engaged in settlement discussions with Defendants' counsel during mid 2009. On September 2, 2009, counsel participated in mediation session before the Honorable Ronald Robie. That mediation resulted in an agreement in principle amoung counsel for the Settling Parties to settle the litigation between and among their respective clients, subject to appropriate approvals.
- 9. By Order dated October 28, 2009, the Court stated its intent to consolidate the various Actions that were coordinated as part of JCCP No. 4408, including the Willis action.

On February 19, 2010, the Court entered an Order Transferring and Consolidating [the Coordinated] Actions for All Purposes. As provided in the Consolidation Order, this Final Judgment shall not be construed to prejudice the rights of any of the Non-Settling Parties in the Consolidated Actions nor shall it prejudice the claims and defenses that the Settling Parties may assert with respect to such Non-Settling Partties.

- 10. By Order dated November 18, 2010, this Court granted preliminary approval to the proposed settlement of this action and directed that Notice of the Proposed Settlement be sent to the Class.
- 11. Notice of the Proposed Settlement has been sent to the Willis Class by first class mail in accordance with the Court's Preliminary Approval Order. Such Notice fully and accurately informed the Class of all material terms of the proposed settlement and the opportunity to object to or comment on the Settlement. The Notice was given in an adequate and sufficient manner, constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and satisfied due process.
- 12. The Settling Parties and each class member have irrevocably submitted to the jurisdiction of this Court for any suit, action, proceeding or dispute arising out of the Settlement Agreement.
- 13. It is in the best interest of the parties and the Class Members and consistent with principles of judicial economy that any dispute between any class member (including any dispute as to whether any person is a class member) and any Settling Defendant which is in any way related to the applicability or scope of the Settlement Agreement or the Final Judgment should be presented to this Court for resolution.
- 14. The Stipulation of Settlement submitted by the Settling Parties is hereby finally approved as fair, reasonable, and in the best interests of the Class, and the parties are directed to consummate the Settlement in accordance with its terms. A true and correct copy of the Stipulation of Settlement is attached as Exhibit 2.
 - 15. The Complaint in the Willis Action shall be deemed dismissed with prejudice as

 soon as the Final Judgment becomes effective under the terms of the Settlement Stipulation.

- 16. For purposes of this Final Judgment, "Released Parties" means Plaintiff Rebecca Lee Willis and the Willis Class, as well as Defendants Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40; The City of Palmdale; Palmdale Water District; Littlerock Creek Irrigation District; Palm Ranch Irrigation District; Quartz Hill Water District; California Water Service Company; Rosamond Community Service District; Phelan Pinon Hills Community Services District; Desert Lake Community Services District; and North Edwards Water District.
- 17. The Court hereby orders that the Released Parties are released and forever discharged from the Released Claims as more specifically provided in the Stipulation of Settlement.
- 18. The Class members and their heirs, executors, administrators, successors, and assigns are hereby permanently barred and enjoined from instituting, commencing, prosecuting, or continuing to prosecute, either directly or indirectly, any Released Claim against any of the Released Parties in any form, other than claims to enforce the terms of the Settlement. Each Class member may hereafter discover facts other than or different from those which he or she knows or believes to be true with respect to the Released Claims. Nevertheless, each member of the Class (except those who timely opted out) waive and fully, finally and forever settle and release, upon the Settlement Agreement becoming final, any known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, contingent or noncontingent Released Claim, whether or not concealed or hidden, without regard to the subsequent discovery or existence of such different or additional facts.
- 19. The Settling Defendants and their heirs, executors, administrators, successors, and assigns are hereby permanently barred and enjoined from instituting, commencing, prosecuting, or continuing to prosecute, either directly or indirectly, any Released Claim against any of the Class Members in any forum, other than claims to enforce the terms of the Settlement. Each Settling Defendant may hereafter discover facts other than or different from those which he or she knows or believes to be true with respect to the Released Claims. Nevertheless, each Settling Defendant waives and fully, finally and forever settles and releases, upon the Settlement

Agreement becoming final, any known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, contingent or noncontingent Released Claim, whether or not concealed or hidden, without regard to the subsequent discovery or existence of such different or additional facts.

- 20. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment, the Court hereby reserves and retains jurisdiction over this Settlement, including the administration and consummation of the Settlement, as well as any action or proceeding brought to enforce the Settlement. In addition, without affecting the finality of this Judgment, the Court retains jurisdiction over the Parties for purposes of incorporating and merging this Judgment into a physical solution or other Judgment that may ultimately be entered in the Consolidated Actions. The Settling Parties are hereby deemed to have submitted irrevocably to the exclusive jurisdiction of this Court for any suit, action, proceeding or dispute arising out of or relating to this Judgment or the Settlement.
- 21. The Court after considering the pleadings on file herein, and the arguments of counsel, awards the Willis Class attorneys fees in the amount of \$1,839,494, an incentive award for Ms. Rebecca Willis in the amount of \$10,000, costs in the amount of \$65,057.68, and supplemental attorneys fees in the amount of \$160,622.50. Judgment in the amount of \$2,075,174.18 is hereby entered for the Willis Class against Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40, City of Palmdale, Palmdale Water District, Littlerock Creek Irrigation District, Palm Ranch Irrigation District, Quartz Hill Water District, California Water Service Company, Rosamond Community Service District, Phelan Pinon Hills Community Services District, Desert Lake Community Services District, and North Edwards Water District.

Date: 9129-2015

Judge of the Superior Court Honorable Jack Komar

1 2 3 4 5 6	Ralph B. Kalfayan (SBN 133464) Lynne M. Brennan (SBN 149131) KRAUSE KALFAYAN BENINK & SLAVENS, LLP 550 West C Street, Suite 530 San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: (619) 232-0331 Fax: (619) 232-4019 Class Counsel for the Willis Class	
7		
8		THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	FOR THE COUN	NTY OF LOS ANGELES
10	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES	RELATED CASE TO JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION PROCEEDING NO. 4408
11	This Pleading Relates to Included Action:	BROOF OF SERVICE
12	REBECCA LEE WILLIS and DAVID ESTRADA, on behalf of themselves and	PROOF OF SERVICE
14	all others similarly situated,	
15	Plaintiffs,	
16	v.	
17	LOS ANGELES COUNTY	
18	WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40; CITY OF LANCASTER; CITY OF	
19	PALMDALE; PALMDALE WATER	
20	DISTRICT; LITTLEROCK CREEK IRRIGATION DISTRICT; PALM	
21	RANCH IRRIGATION DISTRICT; QUARTZ HILL WATER DISTRICT;	
22	ANTELOPE VALLEY WATER CO.; ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICE	
23	DISTRICT; PHELAN PINON HILL COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT;	
24	and DOES 1 through 1,000;	
25	Defendants.	
26		
27		
28		

1-05-CV-049053

Judgment and Physical Solution

Exhibit 1

	LAST	FIRST	MIDDLE
1	190TH AVENUE WEST LLC		
2	1ST AND 41ST WEST LLC		
3	20TH STREET PROPERTIES		
4	300 A 40 H LLC		
5	3M PROPERTY INVESTMENT CO		
6	88 317 4 PARTNERSHIP		
7	A V FOOTHILLS LLC		
8	ABC DIAMONDS INC		
9	ABOLMOLUKI	MEHRAN	D
10	ACEH CAPITAL		
11	ACEH CAPITAL GROUP LLC		
12	ACEH CAPITAL LLC		
13	ACEH CAPITAL LLC 401K AND PROFIT		
	ACOSTA	ANTONIO	Т
15	ADAMS	MIRIAM	
	ADICOFF	ARNOLD	
	AGALSOFF	JAMES	N
	AGRICULTURAL AND ANIMAL WASTE	020	
	AGUILAR	CARLITO	R
	AGUILAR	CARMEN	C
	AGUILAR	VALENTIN	
	AGUILAR	YOLANDA	
	AKIN	MARTHA	
	ALARCON	CYNTHIA	E
	ALARCON	JOHN	
	ALBRIGHT	JACK	R
	ALESSO	CASEY	Н
	ALESSO	JOHN	S
	ALESSO	LAWRENCE	V
	ALESSO	LAWRENCE	V
	ALEXANDER	DONALD	R
	ALLEN	BETTY	A
	ALLEN	BRUCE	
	ALLEN	BRUNETTE	Н
	ALLEN	GEORGE	F
	ALLEN	GUADALUPE	
	ALLEN		
	ALLISON	RONALD PAUL	l _D
	ALLISON	YVONNE	R
	ALLUIS		D
	ALLUIS	DEBORAH	J
		JACK	С
	ALMAREZ	MARY	В
	ALND	FARHAD	
	ALONSO	HERSELL	
	ALONSO	JORGE	D
	ALONSO	LAURA	В
	ALP EQUIPMENT SALES INC		
	ALVAREZ	FELIPE	
	ALVIDREZ	MARY	M
	ALVIDREZ	RICHARD	
51	AMENTO	CHARLES	Α

52	AMENTO	SHEILA	TD
	AMERI	HAMID	+
	AMERICAN LANDMARK GROUP LLC		
	AN VAN PHAN TR	 	+
	ANAVERDE LLC		
	ANDERSON	BEATRICE	К
	ANDERSON	DONNA	
	ANDERSON	KEITH	
	ANDERSON	RENEE	s
	ANDREWS	FRANKLIN	DR
	ANDREWS	TREBA	
	ANIEVAS	ARMANDO	
	ANNIS	SHARON	A
L	ANTELOPE VALLEY ALLIED ARTS ASSN		
	ANTELOPE VALLEY EAST KERN WATER		
	ANTELOPE VALLEY EAST KERN WATER AGENCY		
	ANTELOPE VALLEY FLORIST INC		
	ANTELOPE VALLEY JOINT UNION HIGH		
	ANTELOPE VALLEY WATER STORAGE LLC		+
	AOKI	KEIKO	1
	APOSTOL	JOVENCIO	A
	APPLEBY	FRANCES	R
	APPLEBY	THOMAS	N
	AREVALO	BENEDICTO	L
	AREVALO	NORA	IM
	ARKLIN	PHILIP	1
	ARKLIN	1	H
	ARKLIN BROTHERS ENTERPRISES	PHILIP	Н
	ARNOLD	FLORENCE	I D
		FLORENCE	В
	ARQUILETA	LUCITA	G
	ARQUILETA	RUFINO	0
	ARROYO FAMILY TRUST	Nopopu	-
	ASATO	NOBORU	
	ASCENCIO	JESUS	
	ASHER	ALIZA	
	ASHER	SHAUL	1
	AUYONG	GERARD	HW
	AV FOOTHILLS LLC	<u> </u>	
	AVENI	JANE	С
	AVERY	LLOYD	F
	AVRICK	ALAN	М
	BAERLEIN	JACK	
	BAHLMAN	GENE	Т
	BAKER	EDNA	L
	BALICE	MARIA	M
	BALICE	NORMAN	E
	BALLESTEROS	EMILIANO	Q
	BANALES	RAFAEL	
	BANDUCCI ENTERPRISES		
	BANDUCCI LAND LLC		
	BANGLOY	JUANITA	S
103	BANGLOY	LUANITA	S

104	BANUELOS	BERNARDO	
	BANUELOS	ROSARIO	
	BANUK	PATRICIA	A
	BANUK	RON	
	BAR	OR	CARMIT
	BARBEAU	IRENE	07.0.0011
	BARNES	ANN	
	BARNES	WAYNE	L
	BARON	TERRI	J
	BARTFAY	JOSEPH	V
	BARTFAY	SELMA	•
	BATINO	FRANCISCO	В
	BAUER	NANCY	L
	BEASLEY	A	L
	BECARRA	TERESA	-
	BECK	WILLIAM	A
	BECKER	IKUKO	
	BEDERIO	BETTY	J
	BELISARIO	BEATRIZ	S
	BELISARIO	LUIS	В
	BELL TR	EUIS	P
	BELLANCA	BEVERLY	J
	BELTRAN	CECILIA	J
	BENNER	VICTORIA	IA
	BENSKY LIVING TRUST	VICTORIA	A
	BENZ	MARK	- lw
	BENZ		VV
	BERGLUND	NANCY	
	BERGLUND	GAYLYN	
	BERGLUND	KENNETH	G
	BERKEY	KENNETH	OTABB
		JANET	STARR
	BERMUNDO	AMANTE	В
	BIERS	ARY	E
	BIERS	ROBERT	G
138		KUEN	
	BIMBIRIS	ALFONS	
	BIMBIRIS	VERA	
	BITTNER	MELVIN	S
	BLACK	CATHERINE	LUELLA
	BLANCHARD	ANITA	MAY
	BLILEY	BETTY	J
	BLILEY	EUGENE	E
	BLOCK	EUGENE	S
	BOCANEGRA	JOSE	Α
	BODKIN	JAMES	R
	BODOLAI	FRANK	
	BODOLAI	MAGDALENA	
	BORON COMMUNITY SERVICE DIST		
	BORUCHIN	DORA	
	BORUCHIN	JOHN	
	BOSQUE	MINH	М
155	BOVEE	GAYLE	

156 BOVEE VICKI	
157 BOYLE FLOYD	F
158 BOYLE MARIA	C
159 BPP VALLEY CENTRAL	
160 BRADLEY KATHLENE	K
161 BRADLEY MONROE	10
162 BRADLEY FAMILY TR	
163 BRALY DENNIS	1
164 BRAMLETT MARK	F
165 BRAMLETT MARK	F
166 BRASEL FAMILY TR	1
167 BRAUN FLORA	
168 BREWER JOE	
169 BREWER SHARON	E
170 BREWER STEVEN	T
171 BROOKS PATRICIA	D
172 BROSKY MARY	H
173 BROWN VERA	П
174 BRUNO EVELYN	T
	M C
	M
	С
179 BUHRMANN JUNE	
180 BUJULIAN BROTHERS INC	
181 BUMANGLAG WASHINGTON	M
182 BUNCH WALTER	
183 BURDICK DONALD	0
184 BURGESS KAREN	
185 BURGESS RANDY	
186 BURNS RAYMOND	J
187 BURRELL DORETHA	
188 BURTON ALICE	
189 BUYTKUS FAMILY TRUST	
190 BYRNE DANIEL	R
191 BYRNE DAVID	E
192 BYRNE LEONA	
193 CABAHUG ARLENE	A
194 CABAHUG JAIME	M
195 CAL GOLF INC	
196 CALANDRI SON RISE FARMS L P	
197 CALDWELL BELVA	A
198 CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE CO	
199 CALKINS CHRISTINA	J
200 CALKINS JAMES	F
201 CALMAT LAND CO	
202 CALMESON MARVIN	
203 CAMACHO HERMINIA	Т
204 CAMACHO JULIAN	G
205 CAMARENA RICARDO	C
206 CAMERON BONNIE	
207 CAMERON JAMES	M

208	CAMERON	WILLIAM	Н
209	CAMPBELL	ERIKA	
210	CANTE	RAFAEL	
211	CAPEL	LYNDA	В
	CAREY	BARBARA	J
213	CAREY	DONALD	W
214	CARINGI	ERNEST	J
215	CARLISLE	VIOLET	E
216	CARNEY	TIMOTHY	G
217	CARRANZA	ROSENDO	
218	CARRASCO	TORIBIO	G
219	CARRLE	META	
220	CARROLL	IRENE	F
221	CARROLL	JAMES	K
222	CARRUTHERS	BERA	E
	CARTER	AUDREY	М
224	CARTER	EUGENIA	
225	CARTER	LESLIE	A
226	CARTER	RONALD	Н
	CARTER	TERRY	
	CASTELAN	GARY	E
	CASTELAN	SHARON	
	CASTELLANOS	CANDACE	M
	CASTELLANOS	EDDY	M
	CASTILLO	JOSE	A
	CASTILLO	REMEDIOS	c
	CATANESE	ANA	
	CATANESE	CHARLES	A
	CAYETANO	AURELIA	
	CAYETANO	EDGARDO	
	CECIL	JULIA	
	CECIL	KEN	0
	CENICEROS	GILBERT	В
	CERNICKY	EDWARD	
	CERNICKY	FLORENCE	
	CHACHUAT	MARC	
	CHAHAL	SUKHDEV	S
	CHAN	BEE	HONG
	CHAN	HAWK	NIN
	CHAN	MON	KYI
	CHAN	SIU	HANG
	CHANDLER	BEVERLY	
	CHANDLER	BURTON	
	CHANEY	BONITA	
	CHANEY	ROBERT	G
	CHANG	JENSEN	JS
	CHAO	PY	
	CHARLES TAPIA FAMILY TRUST		
	CHATTERTON	SALLIE	LYNNE
	CHEIKY	CHARITY	S
	CHEIKY	MICHAEL	C
	CHEUNG	CHRISTOPHER	В

260	CHI YU	HU	Т
	CHIANG	СНОИ	
	CHITIEA	ANDREW	J
	CHITIEA	JOAN	K
	CHITIEA	JOEL	
	CHITIEA	VIVIAN	A
	CHITIEA	VIVIAN	
	CHIU	TIM	TUNG
	CHLAVIN		TUNG
	CHLAVIN	MYRON	D
	CHLAVIN	MYRON MYRON	В
			Z
	CHOE	MI	RAN
	CHOE	PYONG	S
	CHOI	KENNETH	Υ
	CHONG	EDWIN	Υ
	CHOSTNER	LOUIS	E
	СНОҮ	BONIFACE	Т
	CHRISTIANSEN	CHARLES	W
	CHRISTIANSEN	MAXINE	J
	CHRISTIE	JOHN	S
280	CHRISTOPHER	ARDATHE	E
281	CHU	DAPHNE	M
282	CHUNG	FRED	Н
	CHUNG	GABRIELLE	F
284	CINFIO	JOHN	
285	CIPOLLONE	JAMES	
286	CLARK	ELAINE	M
287	CLARK	RICHARD	L
288	CLARK	RICHARD	В
289	CLAWSON	RUSSELL	М
290	CLUTTER	GAIL	M
291	CLUTTER	RALPH	W
292	CO	LAP	DU
	COGER	GEORGE	F
	COLBATH	EDWARD	Н
	COLE	MARC	L
	COLEMAN	DONALD	w
	COLLICUTT	WILLIAM	F
	COLLINS	BEATRICE	-
	COLOMBO	CHRISTINA	
	COLTON	CHARLES	
	CONNELLY	LARRY	J
	CONNELLY	LEO	P
	CONTE	SIRPUHE	'
	CONTRERAS	MARTIN	
	COOK	ALAN	
	COOLEY	REGINA	FRANCOISI
	COPE	DENISE	M
	COPE	THOMAS	J
	CORDER	RUBY	J
	CORDOVA	VIRGINIA	C
	CORDOVA		<u> </u>
<u> </u>	CONDOVA	WILLIAM	L

312	CORRALES	ALFREDO	<u> </u>
	COUNTY COUNTY SANITATION NO 14	7.6.11.250	
	COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT NO 20		
	COX	CALVIN	J
	COYLE	ERIC	M
	CPH ROSAMOND LP		141
	CRAIN	ELLIE	
	CRAIN	JERRY	
	CROCKETT	SUSAN	ELISE SIMO
	CRONK	RONALD	E
	CROSBY	ALICE	В
	CRUZ	CORA	D
	CRUZ	FELINA	E
	CRUZ	NICASIO	<u> </u>
	CRUZ	ROGER	
	CRYSTAL ORGANIC FARMS LLC	I COLI	
	CUA	KRISTEEN	
	CULHA	MIKE	М
	CULLEN	PETER	141
	CURRY	DANNY	G
	D C FAMILY TRUST	DAINIT	G
	D R HORTON LOS ANGELES HOLDING		
	D SOUZA	FLORIN	
	DACLES	ANITA	S
	DACLES	SIMPLICIO	M
	DALLEY	HELGA	101
	DALLEY	MANFRED	H
	DAMAN	LEONA	L
	DAMRON	AMY	
	DAMRON	JEANETTE	L
	DANG	TAN	JAMES
	DAOOD	MOHAMMAD	UNIVIEO
	DAOOD	SALEEM	A
	DAOUD	ADIB	G
	DAUGHERTY	DONNA	L
	DAVALOS	ANITA	L
	DAVALOS	DOMINADOR	M
	DAVID	ALFRED	L
	DAVIES	LITA	-
	DAVIS	DOUGLAS	G
	DAVIS	JAMES	
	DAVIS SIBS INC	O, WILO	
	DE LANO	ELIZABETH	
	DE PIETRO LIMITED	LEIZ/IDE III	
	DEAN REV LIV TR		-
	DEBOTOUN	SEFEY	
	DEDIOS	ANGELITO	G
	DEL SUR RANCH LLC	MOLLITO	
	DELUCA	BRUNO	M
	DENG	LONG	IVI
	DENGER	SYBIL	M
	DERRICK	OLIN	141
303	DENNION	OLIN	

364	DESCHENE	DENEEN	IM
	DESERT LAKE L P		
	DIAZ	JUAN	A
	DICKS	GARY	
	DIGIULIO	NICK	
	DIOLI	RICHARD	A
	DISCOUNTLAND INC		
	DIXON	LONZO	W
	DIXON	MAE	l l
373	DIZON	ADELAIDA	R
	DIZON	HONORATO	C
375	DONIS	ESTEBAN	C
	DONIS	MAURO	
	DONIS	ROSALINA	G
378	DONIS	VIRGINIA	
379	DORA	LAND	
380	DORA LAND		
381	DOUGHERTY	TERESA	
382	DOUGLAS	MICHAEL	R
383	DOUGLASS	KATHERINE	G
384	DOUK	BOROM	
385	DOUK	S&B	
386	DOUK	SOKHOM	
387	DOWNING	JAMES	W
388	DOWNING	JAMES	W
389	DOWNS	GARY	W
390	DREVER	ROMNIA	Н
391	DRUMMOND	JOHN	Т
392	DRUMMOND	MARILYN	М
393	DUMBRIQUE	ELISEO	С
394	DUNLOP	CYNTHIA	R
395	DUNN	JAMES	D
396	DUNNING	RAYMOND	E
397	DUONG	LOC	T
398	DURST	CAROL	А
399	DYKSTRA	HAROLD	
400	DYKSTRA	TERESA	
401	DYKSTRA	WILBUR	
402	DYKSTRA FAMILY TRUST		
403	E C WHEELER LLC		
404	E I C GROUP ET AL		
	EARL	DOROTHY	Α
	EARL	JACK	В
	EARWOOD	DAVID	BRIAN
408	EAST KERN PROP LLC		
	EAST WEST LAND INVS INC		
	EASTER	BENJAMIN	
	EASTLEY	GEORGE	М
412	EASTLEY	PHILIP	G
	EBERT	JOANNE	F
	ECKBERG	DAVID	L
415	ECKBERG	PAULA	K

416	ECKLES	DALE	Н
	ECONOMOU	JEAN	F
	EDMONDS	JOHN	' <u>'</u> H
	EDWARDS	AVIS	M
	EDWARDS	LINDA	IVI
	EDWARDS	SAMMY	1
	EKSTROM		L I
	ELNESS	MARY	E
	ELUMBA	THEODORE	0
	EMMS	ROSA	V
	EMPERT	ZENAIDA	С
		ROSARIO	0
· — ·	ENSMINGER	LORIN	
	ENXCO DEV		
	ENXCO DEV CORP		
	ENXCO DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION		-
	ERAZIM	CATHERINE	Α
	ESCOBAR	JOHN	M
	ESPARZA	ROBERTO	С
	ESPARZA	ROSE	
	ESPIRITU	WILLIAM	1
	ESQUIVEL	BASILIO	
	EST OF ZELDA C SCHLISKE DECD		
	ESTRADA	EDELMIRA	
	ESTRADA	HERVI	
440	EVANS	NANCY	Н
441	EYHERABIDE	JUANITA	
442	EYHERABIDE	RAYMOND	
443	EYHERABIDE SHEEP CO		
444	FABER	GEORGINA	LISA
445	FABRIZIO	CARL	
446	FAIRVIEW DEVELOPMENT LLC		
447	FALK	DALE	
448	FANKHAUSER	ERNEST	
449	FANKHAUSER	HERTA	
450	FARIA	RICHARD	М
451	FARM ESTATES OF THE WORLD		
452	FELTS	DENESE	L
453	FELTS	DOUGLAS	D
	FENNELL	OTILIA	E
	FIKE	RUTH	E
	FINKEL	CLAUDIA	
	FINKEL	GARY	
	FIORITO	MARY	
	FISCHER GRANDCHILDRENS TRUST		
	FLORES	GAYLE	
	FLORES	MARIA	
	FLOYD	HERBERT	F
	FOGLEMAN	LARRY	- '
	FOGLER	IRENE	Р
	FOGLER	RONALD	D
	FONTILLAS	ALEJANDRO	
	FORD	JOHN	R
40/1	I VIND	POLIN	lu l

468	FOWLER	ANTONIA	В
	FRANE	KEVIN	J
	FRANE	LAUREL	A
	FREDRICK	STEPHENIE	J
	FREEMAN	JEFF	
	FRIEDMAN	ESTHER	E
	FRIEDRICHSEN	LEWIS	<u> </u>
474	<u></u>		- V
	FUJISAWA	JOANNE	Υ
		YOSHI	
	FUKUMOTO	MICHI	
	FULLER	RUSSELL	E
	GABUYA	AURORA	Р
	GABUYA	RODRIGO	L
	GALIETI	JEFF	Α
	GALVEZ	JOSE	L
	GAMBONE	BETTY	L
	GARCIA	MARTHA	I
	GARCIA	RODOLFO	
	GARDE	EDUARDO	
	GARDE	RUSSELLEND	
	GARDE FMLY REV TR		
	GARY J RAFFERTY TRUST		
490	GATEWAY TRIANGLE DEVELOPMENT II		
491	GATEWAY TRIANGLE PROPERTIES		
	GEE	HUNG	GIT
493	GENZ DEV INC		
494	GENZ DEVELOPMENT INC		
	GHIKA BUDESTI	STEFAN	
	GIANG	PAUL	
497	GILBRALTAR HOMES LLC		
	GILL FAMILY TRUST 1999		
499	GILLINGHAM	GEORGE	Н
500	GISELE SCHROEDER LIV TR		
501	GLAUSER	DRENA	M
502	GLENN	GARY	А
503	GLESSNER	PAUL	Т
504	GODSHALL	HARRY	С
505	GOLD SKY PROPERTY LLC		
	GOLDEN SANDS TRAILER PARK		
507	GONZALES	BENITO	S
	GONZALES	ERMINIO	
	GONZALES	QUACH	E
	GONZALEZ	ARMANDO	C
	GONZALEZ	ARMANDO	
	GONZALEZ	CARLOS	j
	GONZALEZ	FRANCES	R
	GONZALEZ	GLORIA	1,,
	GONZALEZ	RAMON	
	GONZALEZ	ROQUE	
	GONZALEZ	TINA	J
	GORRINDO	ROBERT	
	GOSE	VICENTE	
		TAIGHTE	

5	20 GOYA	CHRISTINA	IA
	21 GRAHAM	JOHN	C
	22 GRANT	WILLIAM	М
	23 GRAY	WESLEY	Н
	24 GREEN	BARBARA	MARIE
	25 GREEN	HILDA	1777 11 11
	26 GREENMAN	DONNA	J
	27 GREMBER	PIERRE	
	28 GRIFFIN	JOHN	R
	29 GRIFFIN	LAURA	
	BO GRIFFIN	LAURA	
	31 GRIFFIN	LEONARD	W
	32 GROFF	GERALD	L
	33 GROFF	MARIAN	J
	34 GROOM	LILLIAN	C
	35 GROVEN		<u> </u>
	36 GROVEN	DENNIS	
	37 GUERRANT FMLY TR	MARGE	
	88 GUIANG	LUCENA	
		LUCENA	F
	9 GUILLEN	CRISTOVAL	
	O GUINTO	CLARITA	
	1 GUTIERREZ	ALVARO	
	2 GUTIERREZ	SANTOS	Α
	3 GUZMAN	JOSÉ	
	4 GUZMAN	JOSE	
	5 GUZMAN	NORMA	
	6 GUZMAN	OVIDIO	
	7 H & N DEVELOPMENT CO INC		
	8 HA	JIN	S
	9 HA	YOUNG	K
	0 HADDOX	WILLIS	
	1 HAHN	SUSAN	J
	2 HAMIDI	HOMA	
	3 HAMSON	CARRIE	M
	4 HAMSON	DAVID	E
	5 HANANO	DEAN	K
	6 HANLON	JAMES	WF
	7 HANLON	JAMES	F
	8 HANSEN	HARALD	
	9 HANSON	CHRISTINE	
	0 HANTMAN	JOSEPH	
	1 HANTMAN	JOYCE	
	2 HARD	WILLIS	Н
56	3 HARNIK	JOSEPH	Н
56	4 HARPER	DAVID	С
56	5 HARRELL	MARY LOU	BYERLY
56	6 HARRIS	DIANE	M
56	7 HARRIS	JAMES	Р
	8 HARRIS	KAREN	JANE
	9 HARRIS	STEVEN	
	0 HART	KAREN	s
	1 HARTER	KAY	В
		1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	

572	HARTER	IKAY	T
	HARTER	SCOTT	+
	HARTER	SCOTT	S
	HARVELL FAMILY TR	100011	-
	HASKINS	SAM	
	HASSID	YAEL	
	HASSID	YORAM	
	HATHAWAY	GARY	
	HATHAWAY		
	HAYDON	PATRICIA	
	HAZAMA	DONALD	С
	HAZAMA	FUSAKO	
	HEGGEN	HIDEO	1
		ALICE	L
	HELTON	BOB	D
	HEMMING	JAMES	
	HENNINGER	CORINE	L
	HERNANDEZ	ANTONIO	
	HERR	CAROL	E
	HESS	CHRISTIAN	
	HETZNER	RONALD	
	HEYNEN	GERALDINE	А
	HGJ LLC		
	HIGH DESERT INVESTMENTS LLC		
595	HILL	JACK	M
596	HILL	MICHELE	
597	HILLERMAN	ERIC	V
598	HINCK	MARILYN	E
599	HINES	NORMAN	M
600	HINES	RICKI	
601	НО	GIANG	
602	НО	THONG	Н
603	HOA	NGAN	1
604	HOBAYAN	ALBERT	Н
605	HOBAYAN	VIOLETA	Р
606	HODGES	PAUL	
607	HODSDON	DEBRA	J
	HODSDON	STEVE	W
	HOEHN	ELISABETH	
	HOEHN	MICHAEL	
	HOFFMAN	WILBERT E DECD EST OF	&
	HOGAN	KIM	M
	HOGAN	PATRICK	J
	HOLGUIN	SOLEDAD	
	HOLLAND	CLARISSIA	E
	HOMAN	LINDA	
	HOMAN	MATHEW	L
	HONG	CHE	C
	HONG	KHAI	<u> </u>
	HONG	TONY	-
	HOOPER	MARTHA	W
	HOOVER	GARY	VV
	HOOVER		
023	IOOVEIX	MARILYN	L

624	HOPPER	IJ	A
	HOSKINS	WILLIAM	1
	HOST	CHERYL	A
	HOST	THOMAS	A
	HOURANI	JANETTE	
	HOWE	LESLIE	D
	HSI		B
	HSIAONI CHANG	SUMEI	P
	HSU HSU	10	DIN
	1.	JA	BIN
	HSU	JAMES	T
	HUANG	CHI	S
	HUANG	CHI	SHIOU
	HUANG	KANGLE	
	HUANG	SUCHU	Т
	HUBBARD	MARIE	E
	HUERTA	CRESENCIO	
	HUGHES	ROMEO	
	HUI SUK KIM TR		
	HUNT	ROBERT	W.
	HUNTER	JAMES	Α
	HUTH	CLINTON	С
645	HUTH-TANNER	S	
646	HUTSON	HARLEY	M
647	HWANG	AMY	С
648	HYATT	CLARENCE	L
649	HYPERICUM INTEREST LLC		
650	HYPERICUM INTERESTS LLC		
651	HYUN CHUL LEE		
652		KIYOSHI	
	IGLESIA DE DIOS PENTECOSTAL MI		
	IGNACIO	ISIDRO	
	ILES	ELLEN	М
	INGERSOLL	VERONICA	
	INGRAM	MARTIN	
	INVESTCO AV8 LLC	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	
	IOELE	PASQUALE	S
	IRISH	JOY	C
	IRISH	ROBERT	L
	ISSAKHANIA	J	L
	ISSAKHANIAN	MARTIN	
	IWAMOTO	FUKUYO	
	J P ELIOPULOS ENTERPRISES INC	I ONO IO	
	JABLONSKI	HELENA	
	JACKS	ALFRED	
	JACKSON	DIETRA	H F
	JACOBSEN		F
	JAGATRI L AND XANTHA DHAWAN	ARNOLD	
		DICHARD	
	JAMES	RICHARD	D
	JAUREGUI	DAVID	
	JAUREGUI	ISAURA	
	JAVID INVESTMENTS LLC		
675	JEFFREY	ENID	С

676	JENG	CHERNPORN	
	7 JENG	THIENCHAI	
	JENNINGS	BOBBY	G
	JENNINGS	PATRICIA	L
	JENSEN FAMILY TR		
	JEONG	WALLACE	D
	Z JIBILIAN	ARMEN	
	JIMENEZ	ENRIQUE	U
	JOHNSON	HENRY	
	JOHNSON	MARGARET	С
	JONES	BETTY	J
	JONES	CHRISTINE	D
	JONES	DAVID	L
	JONES	ESTHER	D
	JONES	HAROLD	C
	JONES	ROBERT	A
	JORDAN	MARGLEEN	J
	JOSHUA RANCH DEVELOPMENT INC	WAROELEN	0
	JUHL	MORTON	S
	K A INVESTMENT CO L L C	IVIORTON	- 3
	KAGEHIRO	ISAKO	
	KAGEHIRO	KENICHI	
	KAM	ANNETTE	F
	KAM	ANNETTE	FUNG HAU
	KANAMORI	MITSUE	FONG HAU
	KANEASTER	CHARLOTTE	M
	KANG	YUN	H
	KARIYA	KATSUKO	
	KARIYA	KATSUMI	
	KARLAKIS	THEODORE	
	KARTHAS	NICHOLAS	
	KASPER	COLLEEN	
	KATSION	GORDON	D A
	KAUFLER TRUST	GORDON	U
	KAWAMOTO	WAYNE	T
	KAWAMURA	YASUKO	IRA
	KEAST FMLY TR	TASUKU	IRA
	KEKLIKIAN	ARPINEH	
	KEKLIKIAN	MISSAK	
	KENDRICK	MARIE	С
	KERNROSS ESTATES	IVIARIE	
	KETTENRING	JOEL	S
	KETTENRING		
	KETTLES	PAULINE HARRIET	J
	KEY	SANDRA	
	KHATER	FADI	N
	KILA	LORNA	N
	KIM		M
	KIM	MI	JUNG
	KINAT	SOO	H
	KING	CAROL	Α
	KING	CHUN	
121	MNG	CHUN	С

728	KING	WILLIAM	T
	KING	WILLIAM	c
	KIRKLAND	FREDA	D
	KLECHEFSKI	C	DIANE
	KLECHEFSKI	GEORGE	E
	KLEKAR	HOWARD	R
	KLODJA	FRANK	
	KLUMP	HAROLD	P
	KNAPP	IRENE	KENT
	KOBAYASHI FAMILY TRUST		IXEIVI
	KOLLAR	JOSEPHINE	Р
	KOLSTAD	ROSE	M
	KOO	ERLINDA	L
	KOOKEN	WILLIAM	R
	KOOTENAI PROPERTIES INC	VVICEIAIVI	IX.
	KORDA	SARAH	+
	KOSTSZEWA	JOHN	1
	KOSTSZEWA	MARLENE	L
	KOUSEN	KAREL	
	KOUSEN	PAMELA	
	KRAMER	ALICE	
	KRAMER		С
749		ROBERT	S
		DAVID	Н
751	KUBIAK	SOU	CHIN
		MICHAEL	J
	KUCHTA	TERRY	W
	KUMAGAI	SEISHI	
	KUNG	WEI	
	KYLE	GAILEN	
	KYLE	GAILEN	W
	KYLE	JAMES	W
	KYLE	JULIA	
	KYLE	WANDA	Е
	L A CO SANITATION DIST NO 14		
	L A CO SANITATION DIST NO 20		
	LA PORTE	JEAN	D
	LAGUERTA	LILIA	R
	LAI	TSUI	W
	LAMBERT	DELORIS	G
	LAMBERT	NANCY	L
	LANCASTER AND 120 111 LLC		
	LANCASTER BLVD		
	LANCASTER BLVD AND 42ND ST WEST		
	LANCASTER NEW HORIZONS		
	LAND BUSINESS CORP		
	LANDALE MUTUAL WATER CO		
	LANDAU	PEARL	
775			
	LANDAU	WILLIAM	
	LANDAVERDE	JESUS	
777	LANDAVERDE LANDAVERDE		
777 778	LANDAVERDE	JESUS	

780	LANG	PAULETTE	М
781	LANIER	WILLIAM	В
782	LANKTREE	ANN	R
783	LARGE	BONNIE	P
784	LARGE	ROBERT	W
785	LARRANAGA	SAMSON	
786	LAW	ALTON	В
	LAWRENCE	MICHAEL	F
788	LAYMANCE	LOUIE	A
789	LAZO	CONRADO	V
790	LAZO	ELIZABETH	N
791	LE	DUONG	T
792		LOI	
793		SUONG	N
794		YEN	S
	LEARY	CORNELIUS	W
	LEBRECHT	MARGARET	M
797		CHI	HSIUNG
798		DELANO	11010110
799		JIM	
800		MAI	
801		TING	PIE
	LEGASPI	AURELIA	V
	LEGASPI	FELIMON	E
	LEISTNER	LEO	
	LENO	LEIGHTON	
	LENO	NANCY	E
	LEONA VALLEY HUNTING CLUB	INAINCT	Α
	LESIAK	MADIANE	
	LESIAK	MARIANE	
	LEUNG	MAX	M
	LEWIS	RAMIE	1247
		PHILLIP	W
	LIBED	RUDOLFO	Р
	LIEN FAMILY SURVIVORS TRUST		
	LIGGETT	MICHAEL	
815	·	CAMILA	L
816		SENG	MOV
	LIMOS	LEONORE	C
818		MICHAEL	
819		TACHUNG	
	LINDE	DONALD	L
821		KWONG	S
	LING LING FANG		
	LIONG	LILLA	
	LITTLE ROCK SAND AND GRAVEL INC		
	LITTLEROCK CREEK IRRIGATION DIST		
	LITTLEROCK SAND AND GRAVEL INC		
827		CHIH	CHUNG
828		SUH	JEN
	LIZOTTE	SHIRLEY	
830	LANDA	EDITH	А
831	LOYD F AVERY TR		

832	LO	MAN	Ic
	LO	SHIUNG	RU
	LOCSIN	CARMELITA	N
	LOCSIN	HILARIO	S
	LOGUE	MARY	R
	LOI	THANH	LE
	LOLA R JOHNSON TRUST	THANT	
	LOMBARDO FMLY REV LIV TR		
	LONG DENG		
	LOOKBAUGH	STEPHEN	c
	LOPAC	THOMAS	A
	LOPEZ	MARK	
	LOPEZ	OLIVIA	L
	LOPEZ		E
		VICTOR	М
	LOS ANGELES COUNTY SANITATION LOS BANOS	DEDLIABB	
		BERNARD	
	LOVEN	ROBERTA	
	LOVING	ROBERT	A
	LOWERY	CHARLES	W
	LOWERY	NINA	M
	LOYOLA MARYMOUNT UNIVERSITY		
	LOZANO	JOSE	G
	LOZANO	MARIA	Т
855		CLARK	DANNY C
856		CLARK	С
	LUBBERS	EVA	F
	LUCERO	ROBERT	
	LUCKY 360 INVESTMENTS LLC		
	LUDOVICO	MARIA	C
861		MIKE	
	LYMAN	JANET	L
	LYMAN	JANET	L
	LYNCH	PATRICIA	L
	LYON	ALICE	J
	LYONS	CHRISTI	L
	LYONS	JOHN	D
	M14 DEVELOPMENT LLC		, ,
	MACADAEG	BERNADETTE	
	MACE	JEANENNE	K
	MACE	MARK	E
872	MACIAS	ANTONIO	
873	MACIAS	BENJAMIN	
874	MACIAS	MARTINA	
875	MADAN	S	K
876	MADAN	SHOBHANA	
877	MADRIGAL	ANTONIO	
878	MAGALONA	CECILIA	М
	MAGEO	PALE	
	MAGLAYA	PATROCINIO	M
	MAGLIANO	ROBERT	
	MAGLIANO	ROSALIN	
	MAGTAAS	ROLADO	G
		1.0000	

884	MAK	VANNA	
	MALAY	ANGELA	L
	MALIT	NARCISO	G
	MANAY	LORIE	
	MANDAC	LUZVIMINDA	В
	MANERY	DAVID	
	MANNINO	CAROL	A
	MANUEL	CHARITO	M
	MANUEL	EUFEMIA	141
	MANUEL	RICHMOND	В
	MANUEL	RICHMOND	P
	MANZO	CHARLES	J
	MARAVICH	RUDOLPH	J
	MARCELO	MENANDRO	
	MARCELO MAREE J DE LANO TR	OFELIA	
		1005	
	MARITORENA INVINCITALIO	JOSE	
	MARITORENA LIVING TRUST	11=:=::	
	MAROTTA	HELEN	P
	MARQUEZ	ALFREDO	
	MARQUEZ	MANUELA	
	MARQUEZ	MARIA	
	MARQUEZ	MARTIN	
	MARSH	MARY	L
	MARSH	RACHEL	E
	MARSHALL	BYRN	HOWARD
	MARTIN	ALMA	
	MARTIN	ARTHUR	С
	MARTIN	BARBARA	D
	MARTIN	THOMAS	
914	MARTINEZ	FRANCISCO	J
915	MARTINEZ	JESUS	Α
916	MARTINEZ	MARIA	D
917	MARTINEZ	ROBERT	L
918	MARTINS	ELSIE	
919	MARTINS	LAWRENCE	
920	MARTINS	LAWRENCE	
921	MASON	DAVID	S
922	MASON	DAVID	
923	MASON	FRANCES	Н
924	MASON	KERRI	
925	MASON	MILDRED	
	MASTERS	DONALD	L
	MATA	ROSARIO	G
	MATE	TERESITA AND	
	MATSUI	JEANNE	
	MATSUSHIMA	SUMIKO	
	MATTHEWS	FULTON	L
	MAURICE	MATTHEW	
	MAXILOM	SHIRLEY	A
	MAXWELL	CATHERINE	
	MAZARIEGOS	GUSTAUO	
900		JOGGIAGO	

936	MAZARIEGOS	LINDA	
	MC AVOY	AMY	М
	B MC AVOY	DOUGLAS	R
	MC INTYRE	THOMAS	R
	MC KAY	RAYMOND	E
	MC LAREN	B	IA
	MC LAUGHLIN	BRIAN	M
	MCALLISTER	RAE	
	MCANDREW		K
	MCCALMAN	LORRAINE	R
	MCCALMAN	JULIENNE	
		WALTER	
	MCCLAIN	LORETA	Y
	MCCLINE	SUSAN	R
	MCCOOL	FREDERICK	W
	MCCOOL	RITA	
	MCDOWELL	JERRIE	E
	MCGAHAN	PATTY	J
	MCNINCH	EDWIN	
	MCNINCH	MARGARET	
	MEDINA	JULIAN	
	MEJIA	JUANA	L
	MELLOW	LEONARD	
	MENDOZA	ALFREDO	В
	MENDOZA	CUAUHTEMOC	
	MERRY	ROBERTA	
	MESSIER	HERMINIA	
	MESSIER	LEONARD	
	METTLER VALLEY MUTUAL WATER CO		
	MEYER	DAVID	В
	MEYER	HANS	PETER
	MEYER	IPBI	K
	MEYER	IPBI	KIM
	MEYER	LISA	
	MEYER CREST LTD		
	MEYER CREST LTR		
	MIHARA	HISAO	
	MIKEL	MOLLY	
	MIKEL	SAM	
	MILLER	JAMIE	
	MILLER	LINDA	M
	MILLER .	NANCY	
	MILLER	NANCY	
	MILLER	RAYMOND	С
	MILLER	RICHARD	D
980	MILLER	STEVEN	S
	MINA	MARIA	D
	MINA	ROLDAN	С
983	MINER	RICHARD	Н
984	MINH	LIEU	NGOC VO
985	MINH	VAN	DINH
986	MIRANDA	SALVADOR	
987	MIRKAZEMI	MORTEZA	S

988	MIRKAZEMI	ISUSAN	lg .
	MISSION BELL RANCH DEVELOPMENT	000/114	
	MITSUSHIMA	JANICE	С
	MO	CHIUNG	Н
	MOENE	BJORN	1 1
	MOJAVE & TROPICO LLC	3001111	
	MOLINA	CELINA	
	MONARREZ	CANDICE	J
	MONASEBIAN	FARSHAD	S
	MONEDA	PATRICIO	A
	MONFARED	SAEED	F
	MONTEMAYOR	EUSEBIO	R
	MONTES	MARIA	
	MOORE	JUDITH	ANNE
	MOORE	ROBERT	A
	MOORE	SHIRLEY	M
	MOORE	THOMAS	A
	MORALES	ELAINE	L
	MORENO	MICHAEL	P
	MORI	RITSUKO	
	MORRIS	JOHN	
	MOSES	MARY	
	MOTAVVEF	FIROUZEH	L B
	MOTAVVEF	MANSOOR	P
	MOUGHALIAN	GIRARD	
	MOUGHALIAN	RENATE	
	MOWER	MARY	D
	MUDGETT	MARILYN	В
	MULLINS	BRANDON	
	MULVENA	MAIA	1
	MUMFORD	DON	L HAZEN
	MUNGIA	EMMA	
	MUNGIA	EMMA	G G
	MUNZ	BARRY	S
	MUNZ	DAN	W
	MUNZ	KATHLEEN	
	MUNZ	REVA	M
	MURDOCK	BRONWYN	R
	MURO		
	MURPHY	ESTELA PATTY	
	MURTAUGH SURVIVORS TRUST	FAILT	A
	MYERS FAMILY TRUST ET AL		
	MYUNG	DHK	
	MYUNG	DUK HYUN	Y
	NADWODNY		S
	NAIDITCH	MARY	L
	NAKAMICHI	GAY	E
	NAKASHIMA	SATOYE	
	NAKAWATASE	YOSHITO	
	NAKAWATASE NAKAWATASE	SHIZUKO	
	NANAMKIN	YOSHITAKA	
		JIM	L
1039	NAPUTI	DAVID	Α

1040	NATIONAL CEMENT CO OF CALIF		T
	NATIONAL CLIMENT CO OF CALIF		
	NAVAL	CHARYL	Y
	NAVARRO	ALEJANDRA	1
	NAVARRO	ANDREA	
	NAVARRO	FRANCISCO	В
	NAVARRO		В
	NAVARRO	JOSE	
	NEBEKER	MARTA EUGENE	
	NELLIE TAPIA FAMILY TRUST	EUGENE	В
	NELLIE TAPIA FAMILY TROST		
	NEMAN	O IN A IN I	
		SIMIN	
	NEWMAN	DORWIN	
	NEWMAN	SHIRLEY	
	NEWSOM FAMILY TRUST		
	NGO	BINH	
	NGUYEN	ANTHONY	<u> </u>
	NGUYEN	DANNY	Т
	NGUYEN	NGOC	Α
	NGUYEN	NGUYET	М
1060	NGUYEN	SONNIE	Н
1061	NGUYEN	THANH	M
1062	NIBBELINK FAMILY TR		
1063	NICHOLS	JUANITA	R
1064	NICHOLSON	GERARD	Н
1065	NICHOLSON	MARIE	A
1066	NISHIDA	ERIC	M
	NISHIDA	ERIC	М
1068	NISSING	NEIL	
	NIXON	LYDIA	A
	NOEL	DIXIE	LEE
	NONA M RAFFERTY TRUST		1
	NORTHROP GRUMMAN CORP		
	NORTHROP GRUMMAN SYSTEMS CORP		
	NOTERMAN	MARK	A
	NOTERMAN	YVETTE	M
	NOU	NANCY	M
	NOVELOSO	CIPRIANO	INI
	NOVELOSO	DOLORES	
	NOZAKI	HENRY	A
	NOZAKI	NAOMI	iF
	NUSS		
		STEVEN	В
	NUTTER	MARY	A
	OBERG	MERLE	L
	OCONNOR	TIMOTHY	J
	OHTA	JESSIE	T
	ОКАМОТО	KEIKO	
	OKAMOTO	MASAAKI	
	OKIHARA	HARUTO	
	OLIVAS	RICK	D
	OLSON FAMILY TR		
1091	OLSSON	BETTY	Α

1092 OLSSON	JOHN	В
1093 ORARA	ADORACION	М
1094 ORARA	FRANCISCO	
1095 ORTEGA	PEDRO	0
1096 OSHIRO	DORIS	Υ
1097 OSKOOI	FIROOZ	R
1098 OSSA	GUNTRAM	R
1099 OSSA	LIANE	D
1100 OWL PROPERTIES INC		
1101 PADDEN	BRETT	R
1102 PADGETT	CHARLENE	E
1103 PADGETT	ROY	В
1104 PADILLA	MARTA	
1105 PADILLA	PAZ	R
1106 PADUA	CLAIRE	М
1107 PAGDILAO	LEONIDA	Р
1108 PALACIO	JULIO	
1109 PALACIO	LUZ	
1110 PALMDALE 1000 ASSOCIATES LLC		
1111 PALMDALE 5TH STREET WEST LLC		
1112 PALMDALE FAMILY HOUSING		
1113 PALMDALE HILLS PROPERTY		
1114 PALMDALE HILLS PROPERTY LLC		
1115 PALMDALE LODGING ASSOCIATES LL	C	
1116 PALMDALE MOBILE FRANK LLC		
1117 PAQUIN	JOSEPH	R
1118 PAREDES	EUGENIO	
1119 PARK	CHOON	s
1120 PARK	INYOUNG	- 13
1121 PARK	JEAN	c
1122 PARKER	HAROLD	В
1123 PARKER	JUEL	A
1124 PASTRANO	JOSE	D
1125 PATINO		ID I
	SALLY	1.55
1126 PAULING	ADRIAN	LEE
1127 PAYNE	CHARLES	A
1128 PAYNE	IMA	J
1129 PEARSON	DIANA	J
1130 PEARSON	DIANA	JO
1131 PEDENKO	LEO	
1132 PENA	VICENTA	A
1133 PEREIRA	MICHAEL	Р
1134 PEREZ	ROSA	1
1135 PERRISEAU	KAREN	
1136 PERRISEAU	KAREN	L
1137 PERRISEAU	MARVIN	R
1138 PETERSEN PROPERTIES		
1139 PH ROSAMOND LLC		
1140 PHAM	THANG	DUC
1141 PHAN	MYLINH	
1142 PHILLIPS	ORRIN	
1143 PIANE	TOM	

1145 PIKE	1144	PIERATT	PAUL	M
1146 PIWENITZKY		<u>L </u>		1
1147 PIWENITZKY SACHIKO 1148 PIZANO ARTHUR 1149 PIZANO HERLINDA 1150 PLEDGE INVESTMENT LLC 1151 PLOYNGAM TAVIL 1152 POLLARD PERLA C 1153 POLLARD ROBERT A C 1155 POLLARD ROBERT A C 1155 POMEROY KIMBERLEY A C 1155 POMEROY KIMBERLEY A C 1156 POMEROY VANCE D C C C C C C C C C				W
1148 PIZANO				-
1149 PIZANO		<u></u>		
1150 PLEDGE INVESTMENT LLC				
1151 PLOYNGAM		L		
1152 POLLARD PERLA C 1153 POLLARD ROBERT A 1154 POMEROY KIMBERLEY 1155 POMEROY KIMBERLY A 1156 POMEROY VANCE 1157 POMEROY VANCE 1158 POOLE FAMILY TR 1159 PORCARI DORA A 1160 POULSEN NORMAN L 1161 POWELL CHARLES P 1162 PRATANTHIP WARAYA 1163 PROCIDA ROMANO 1164 PRODAN BRUNO 1165 PRODAN BRUNO 1166 PVK FAMILY LIMITED PTN 1167 QARMOUT ELIAS 1168 QUADIR ABU 1169 QUANTUMCUE INC 1171 QUESTIN BELLA S 1172 QUIGLEY RACHEL 1174 QUINLAN MARY R 1175 QWEST ENGINEERING INC 1176 RAND M RANCH 1177 RABENA THEODORE R 1180 RALPHS GAY A 1181 RALPHS GAY A 1182 RAMOS FAMILY TRUST 1184 RANADA FELICITAS T 1185 RAWUEE MAHMUD 1186 RAZAVI FEREIDOUN			TAVII	
1153 POLLARD ROBERT A 1154 POMEROY KIMBERLEY				C
1154 POMEROY				
1155 POMEROY				
1156 POMEROY				A
1157 POMEROY VANCE D				
1158				D
1160 POULSEN	1158	POOLE FAMILY TR		
1160 POULSEN	1159	PORCARI	DORA	A
1161 POWELL	1160	POULSEN		
1162 PRATANTHIP WARAYA 1163 PROCIDA ROMANO 1164 PRODAN BRUNO 1165 PRODAN ROSA 1166 PVK FAMILY LIMITED PTN ELIAS 1167 QARMOUT ELIAS 1168 QUADIR ABU 1169 QUAN QUOC PHAM ABU 1170 QUESTIN BELLA S 1171 QUIGLEY RACHEL 1173 QUIGLEY RACHEL 1174 QUINLAN MARY R 1175 QWEST ENGINEERING INC MARY R 1176 R AND M RANCH THEODORE R 1177 RABENA THEODORE R 1178 RAGUINE ARMANDO M 1179 RAHGAN REAL ESTATE DEV CO A A 1180 RALPHS GAY A 1181 RALPHS RONALD A 1182 RAMOS FAMILY TRUST R 1184 RANADA FELICITAS T 1185 RAWJEE				
1163 PROCIDA ROMANO 1164 PRODAN BRUNO 1165 PRODAN ROSA 1166 PVK FAMILY LIMITED PTN 1167 QARMOUT ELIAS 1168 QUADIR ABU 1170 QUAN QUOC PHAM 1171 QUESTIN BELLA S 1172 QUIGLEY RACHEL 1174 QUINLAN MARY R 1175 QWEST ENGINEERING INC 1176 R AND M RANCH 1177 RABENA THEODORE R 1178 RAGUINE ARMANDO M 1179 RAHGAN REAL ESTATE DEV CO 1180 RALPHS GAY A 1181 RALPHS RONALD A 1182 RAMOS FAMILY TRUST 1185 RAWJEE MAHMUD 1186 RAZAVI FEREIDOUN				
1164				
1165 PRODAN ROSA 1166 PVK FAMILY LIMITED PTN ELIAS 1167 QARMOUT ELIAS 1168 QUADIR ABU 1169 QUAN QUOC PHAM Inc 1170 QUANTUMCUE INC BELLA 1171 QUESTIN BELLA 1172 QUIGLEY RACHEL 1174 QUINLAN MARY R 1175 QWEST ENGINEERING INC R 1176 RAND M RANCH THEODORE R 1177 RABENA THEODORE R 1178 RAGUINE ARMANDO M 1179 RAHGAN REAL ESTATE DEV CO GAY A 1180 RALPHS GAY A 1181 RALPHS RONALD A 1182 RAMOS FAMILY TRUST FRED T 1183 RAMPE FRED FRED 1184 RANADA FELICITAS T 1185 RAWJEE MAHMUD FEREIDOUN	1164	PRODAN		
1167 QARMOUT ELIAS 1168 QUADIR ABU 1169 QUAN QUOC PHAM I170 QUANTUMCUE INC 1171 QUESTIN BELLA S 1172 QUIGLEY RACHEL I173 QUIGLEY 1174 QUINLAN MARY R 1175 QWEST ENGINEERING INC I176 R AND M RANCH I177 RABENA THEODORE R 1178 RAGUINE ARMANDO M I179 RAHGAN REAL ESTATE DEV CO M I180 RALPHS GAY A 1181 RALPHS RONALD A I182 RAMOS FAMILY TRUST T I183 RAMPE FRED T 1184 RANADA FELICITAS T T I185 RAWJEE MAHMUD FEREIDOUN	1165	PRODAN		
1167 QARMOUT ELIAS 1168 QUADIR ABU 1169 QUAN QUOC PHAM I170 QUANTUMCUE INC 1171 QUESTIN BELLA S 1172 QUIGLEY RACHEL I173 QUIGLEY 1174 QUINLAN MARY R 1175 QWEST ENGINEERING INC I176 R AND M RANCH I177 RABENA THEODORE R 1178 RAGUINE ARMANDO M I179 RAHGAN REAL ESTATE DEV CO M I180 RALPHS GAY A 1181 RALPHS RONALD A I182 RAMOS FAMILY TRUST T I183 RAMPE FRED T 1184 RANADA FELICITAS T T I185 RAWJEE MAHMUD FEREIDOUN	1166	PVK FAMILY LIMITED PTN		
1169 QUAN QUOC PHAM 1170 QUANTUMCUE INC 1171 QUESTIN BELLA 1172 QUIGLEY 1173 QUIGLEY RACHEL 1174 QUINLAN MARY 1175 QWEST ENGINEERING INC 1176 R AND M RANCH THEODORE 1177 RABENA THEODORE 1178 RAGUINE ARMANDO 1179 RAHGAN REAL ESTATE DEV CO GAY 1180 RALPHS GAY 1181 RALPHS RONALD 1182 RAMOS FAMILY TRUST FRED 1184 RANADA FELICITAS T 1185 RAWJEE MAHMUD 1186 RAZAVI FEREIDOUN			ELIAS	
1169 QUAN QUOC PHAM 1170 QUANTUMCUE INC 1171 QUESTIN BELLA 1172 QUIGLEY 1173 QUIGLEY RACHEL 1174 QUINLAN MARY 1175 QWEST ENGINEERING INC 1176 R AND M RANCH THEODORE 1177 RABENA THEODORE 1178 RAGUINE ARMANDO 1179 RAHGAN REAL ESTATE DEV CO GAY 1180 RALPHS GAY 1181 RALPHS RONALD 1182 RAMOS FAMILY TRUST FRED 1184 RANADA FELICITAS T 1185 RAWJEE MAHMUD 1186 RAZAVI FEREIDOUN	1168	QUADIR	ABU	1
1171 QUESTIN BELLA S 1172 QUIGLEY RACHEL 1173 QUIGLEY RACHEL 1174 QUINLAN MARY R 1175 QWEST ENGINEERING INC 1176 R AND M RANCH THEODORE R 1177 RABENA THEODORE R 1178 RAGUINE ARMANDO M 1179 RAHGAN REAL ESTATE DEV CO GAY A 1180 RALPHS GAY A 1181 RALPHS RONALD A 1182 RAMOS FAMILY TRUST FRED T 1184 RANADA FELICITAS T 1185 RAWJEE MAHMUD T 1186 RAZAVI FEREIDOUN	1169	QUAN QUOC PHAM		
1172 QUIGLEY RACHEL 1173 QUINLAN MARY R 1175 QWEST ENGINEERING INC Inc Inc 1176 R AND M RANCH Inc Inc 1177 RABENA THEODORE R 1178 RAGUINE ARMANDO M 1179 RAHGAN REAL ESTATE DEV CO Inc Inc 1180 RALPHS GAY A 1181 RALPHS RONALD A 1182 RAMOS FAMILY TRUST Inc FRED 1184 RANADA FELICITAS T 1185 RAWJEE MAHMUD Inc 1186 RAZAVI FEREIDOUN	1170	QUANTUMCUE INC		
1173 QUIGLEY RACHEL 1174 QUINLAN MARY R 1175 QWEST ENGINEERING INC R THEODORE R 1176 R AND M RANCH THEODORE R 1178 RAGUINE ARMANDO M 1179 RAHGAN REAL ESTATE DEV CO GAY A 1180 RALPHS GAY A 1181 RALPHS RONALD A 1182 RAMOS FAMILY TRUST FRED T 1183 RAMPE FELICITAS T 1184 RANADA FELICITAS T 1185 RAWJEE MAHMUD 1186 RAZAVI FEREIDOUN	1171	QUESTIN	BELLA	s
1174 QUINLAN MARY R 1175 QWEST ENGINEERING INC <	1172	QUIGLEY		
1175 QWEST ENGINEERING INC 1176 R AND M RANCH 1177 RABENA THEODORE 1178 RAGUINE ARMANDO 1179 RAHGAN REAL ESTATE DEV CO 1180 RALPHS GAY A 1181 RALPHS RONALD A 1182 RAMOS FAMILY TRUST FRED 1183 RAMPE FRED 1184 RANADA FELICITAS T 1185 RAWJEE MAHMUD 1186 RAZAVI FEREIDOUN	1173	QUIGLEY	RACHEL	
1176 R AND M RANCH 1177 RABENA THEODORE R 1178 RAGUINE ARMANDO M 1179 RAHGAN REAL ESTATE DEV CO GAY A 1180 RALPHS GAY A 1181 RALPHS RONALD A 1182 RAMOS FAMILY TRUST FRED 1183 RAMPE FRED 1184 RANADA FELICITAS T 1185 RAWJEE MAHMUD 1186 RAZAVI FEREIDOUN	1174	QUINLAN	MARY	R
1177 RABENA THEODORE R 1178 RAGUINE ARMANDO M 1179 RAHGAN REAL ESTATE DEV CO GAY A 1180 RALPHS GAY A 1181 RALPHS RONALD A 1182 RAMOS FAMILY TRUST FRED T 1183 RAMPE FRED T 1184 RANADA FELICITAS T 1185 RAWJEE MAHMUD T 1186 RAZAVI FEREIDOUN	1175	QWEST ENGINEERING INC		
1178 RAGUINE ARMANDO M 1179 RAHGAN REAL ESTATE DEV CO GAY A 1180 RALPHS GAY A 1181 RALPHS RONALD A 1182 RAMOS FAMILY TRUST FRED T 1183 RAMPE FELICITAS T 1185 RAWJEE MAHMUD T 1186 RAZAVI FEREIDOUN	1176	R AND M RANCH		
1179 RAHGAN REAL ESTATE DEV CO 1180 RALPHS GAY A 1181 RALPHS RONALD A 1182 RAMOS FAMILY TRUST FRED 1183 RAMPE FRED 1184 RANADA FELICITAS T 1185 RAWJEE MAHMUD 1186 RAZAVI FEREIDOUN	1177	RABENA	THEODORE	R
1180 RALPHS GAY A 1181 RALPHS RONALD A 1182 RAMOS FAMILY TRUST FRED Image: Control of the control of t	1178	RAGUINE	ARMANDO	М
1181 RALPHS RONALD A 1182 RAMOS FAMILY TRUST FRED 1183 RAMPE FRED 1184 RANADA FELICITAS T 1185 RAWJEE MAHMUD 1186 RAZAVI FEREIDOUN	1179	RAHGAN REAL ESTATE DEV CO		
1182 RAMOS FAMILY TRUST 1183 RAMPE 1184 RANADA 1185 RAWJEE 1186 RAZAVI FEREIDOUN FEREIDOUN			GAY	Α
1183 RAMPE FRED 1184 RANADA FELICITAS T 1185 RAWJEE MAHMUD 1186 RAZAVI FEREIDOUN			RONALD	Α
1184 RANADA FELICITAS T 1185 RAWJEE MAHMUD 1186 RAZAVI FEREIDOUN				
1185 RAWJEE MAHMUD 1186 RAZAVI FEREIDOUN			FRED	
1186 RAZAVI FEREIDOUN			FELICITAS	Т
			MAHMUD	
4407 DAZED			FEREIDOUN	
			CLARENCE	L
1188 RAZER JEAN L			JEAN	L
1189 REACH JOAN E			JOAN	E
1190 RECA JOHN B			JOHN	В
1191 REED ROBERT L				L
1192 REFF BARBARA			BARBARA	
1193 REFF HERBERT				
1194 REGALADO RAY Y			RAY	Υ
1195 REICH FRED	1195 F	REICH	FRED	

1197 REINOSO	1196	REID	IMARY	L
1198 RETZ				
1199 REYES CONCEPCION 1200 REYES EFREN 1201 REYES EFREN 1202 REYES ELIZABETH 1202 REYES ERNESTO S 1203 REYES VICENTE		<u> </u>		F
1200 REYES				
1201 REYES				
1202 REYES		<u> </u>		
1203 REYES				S
1204 REYNOLDS				
1205 REYNOLDS				
1206 RHIEE			OLIVIA	
1207 RHODA				С
1208 RHODEN		<u> </u>		
1209 RICHARD A WHITE TRUST 1210 RICHARD M LANG FAMILY TRUST 1211 RICHARDS D NORRIS D 1212 RICKETTS RONALD M M 1213 RIDDLE NANCY J J 1214 RIDER ANITA E 1215 RIGGINS PATRICIA J J 1216 RIMANDO FLORENCE L L L L L L L L L			MICHELE	
1210 RICHARD M LANG FAMILY TRUST 1211 RICHARDS MORRIS D 1212 RICKETTS RONALD M M 1213 RIDDLE NANCY J 1214 RIDER ANITA E 1215 RIGGINS PATRICIA J 1216 RIMANDO FLORENCE L 1217 RIMANDO RUBEN B MICHAEL J 1218 RINALDI MICHAEL J 1219 RIOS MARIA T 1220 RIOS MICHAEL J J J J J J J J J				
1211 RICHARDS MORRIS D 1212 RICKETTS RONALD M 1213 RIDDLE NANCY J 1214 RIDER ANITA E 1215 RIGGINS PATRICIA J 1216 RIMANDO FLORENCE L 1217 RIMANDO RUBEN B 1218 RINALDI MICHAEL J 1219 RIOS MARIA T 1220 RIOS MARIA T 1221 RIPPERDA JOYCE 1222 RIPPON JAMES 1223 RITTER EDGAR C 1224 RITTER EDGAR C 1225 RIVERA GEORGE R 1226 RIMG PROPERTY HOLDING FOUR LLC 1227 RIMG PROPERTY HOLDING TWO LLC 1228 ROBBIE KINUE REV 1230 ROBBERSON TRACY A 1231 ROBERSON TRACY A 1232 RODRIGUEZ GUADALUPE 1233 RODRIGUEZ GUADALUPE 1234 RODRIGUEZ GUADALUPE 1235 RODRIGUEZ GUADALUPE 1236 RODRIGUEZ GUADALUPE 1237 ROQUEMORE TR 1238 ROSEMOUNT EQUITIES LLC 1240 ROSENBERRY RUSSELL F 1241 ROSENBERRY RUSSELL F 1242 ROSENBERRY RUSSELL F 1244 ROSENBERRY RUSSELL F 1245 ROSENBERRY RUSSELL F 1246 ROSENBERRY RUSSELL F 1247 ROSENBERRY RUSSELL F 1248 ROSENBERRY RUSSELL F 1249 ROSENBERRY RUSSELL F 1244 ROSENBERRY RUSSELL F 1245 ROSENBERRY RUSSELL F 1246 ROWE TRISHA A				
1212 RICKETTS RONALD M 1213 RIDDLE NANCY J 1214 RIDER ANITA E 1215 RIGGINS PATRICIA J 1216 RIMANDO FLORENCE L 1217 RIMANDO RUBEN B 1218 RINALDI MICHAEL J 1219 RIOS MARIA T 1220 RIOS NICOLAS 1221 RIPPERDA JOYCE 1222 RIPPERDA JOYCE 1223 RITTER EDGAR C 1224 RITTER EDGAR C 1225 RIVERA GEORGE R 1226 RIMG PROPERTY HOLDING FOUR LLC 1227 RMG PROPERTY HOLDING TWO LLC 1228 ROBBIE DAVID L 1229 ROBBIE KINUE REV 1230 ROBERSON TRACY A 1231 ROBERTSON CHARLES T 1232 RODARTE ALBERT 1233 RODRIGUEZ GUADALUPE 1234 RODRIGUEZ GUADALUPE 1235 ROSMOND LAND TRUST 1236 ROSSMOND LAND TRUST 1237 ROQUEMORE TR 1238 ROSSMOND LAND TRUST 1239 ROSSENDALE STEPHEN 1241 ROSENDALE STEPHEN 1242 ROSENDALE STEPHEN 1243 ROSERTHAL ROBERT 1244 ROSENDALE STEPHEN 1245 ROTTGERING RICHARD W 1246 ROWE TRISHA A			MORRIS	D
1213 RIDDLE NANCY J 1214 RIDER ANITA E 1215 RIGGINS PATRICIA J 1216 RIMANDO FLORENCE L 1217 RIMANDO RUBEN B 1218 RINALDI MICHAEL J 1219 RIOS MARIA T 1220 RIOS NICOLAS 1221 RIPPERDA JOYCE 1222 RIPPEND JAMES 1223 RITTER EDGAR C 1224 RITTER EDGAR C 1225 RIVERA GEORGE R 1226 RIWERA GEORGE R 1227 RIME PROPERTY HOLDING FOUR LLC 1228 ROBBIE KINUE REV 1230 ROBERSON TRACY A 1231 ROBERTSON CHARLES T 1232 RODARTE ALBERT 1233 RODRIGUEZ GUADALUPE 1236 RODRIGUEZ GUADALUPE 1237 RODRIGUEZ GUADALUPE 1238 ROSBIGUEZ GUADALUPE 1239 ROSEMONT EQUITIES LLC 1240 ROSENBERRY RUSSELL F 1241 ROSENDALE CRYSTAL L 1242 ROSENDALE STEPHEN 1243 ROSENDALE STEPHEN 1244 ROSENDALE STEPHEN 1245 ROSTIGERING RICHARD W 1246 ROWE TRISHA A				
1214 RIDER				
1215 RIGGINS				
1216 RIMANDO		<u></u>		
1217 RIMANDO RUBEN B 1218 RINALDI MICHAEL J 1219 RIOS MARIA T 1220 RIOS NICOLAS 1221 RIPPERDA JOYCE 1222 RIPPON JAMES 1223 RITTER EDGAR C 1224 RITTER PAULA E 1225 RIVERA GEORGE R 1226 RMG PROPERTY HOLDING FOUR LLC 1227 RMG PROPERTY HOLDING TWO LLC 1228 ROBBIE DAVID L 1229 ROBBIE KINUE REV 1230 ROBERSON TRACY A 1231 ROBERTSON CHARLES T 1232 RODARTE ALBERT 1233 RODRIGUEZ CONCEPCION 1234 RODRIGUEZ GUADALUPE 1235 RODRIGUEZ GUADALUPE 1236 RODRIGUEZ GUADALUPE 1237 ROQUEMORE TR 1238 ROSEMOND LAND TRUST 1239 ROSEMOND LE CRYSTAL L 1240 ROSENBERRY RUSSELL F 1241 ROSENDALE STEPHEN 1242 ROSENDALE STEPHEN 1243 ROSENBERCO INC 1244 ROSS REBAR CO INC 1245 ROTTGERING RICHARD W 1246 ROWE TRISHA A				
1218 RINALDI		L	RUBEN	
1219 RIOS				
1221 RIPPERDA				
1221 RIPPERDA	1220	RIOS	NICOLAS	
1222 RIPPON JAMES 1223 RITTER EDGAR C 1224 RITTER PAULA E 1225 RIVERA GEORGE R 1226 RIMG PROPERTY HOLDING FOUR LLC C C 1227 RIMG PROPERTY HOLDING TWO LLC DAVID L 1228 ROBBIE KINUE REV 1230 ROBERSON TRACY A 1231 ROBERSON CHARLES T 1232 RODARTE ALBERT CONCEPCION 1233 RODRIGUEZ GUADALUPE CONCEPCION 1234 RODRIGUEZ IGNACIO IGNACIO 1235 RODRIGUEZ JOHN M 1236 RODRIGUEZ JOHN M 1237 ROQUEMORE TR T RUSSELL F 1238 ROSAMOND LAND TRUST C C C 1239 ROSEMBERRY RUSSELL F C 1241 ROSENDALE		L		
1223 RITTER	1222	RIPPON		
1224 RITTER	1223	RITTER		С
1225 RIVERA GEORGE R 1226 RMG PROPERTY HOLDING FOUR LLC 1227 RMG PROPERTY HOLDING TWO LLC 1228 ROBBIE DAVID L 1229 ROBBIE KINUE REV 1230 ROBERSON TRACY A 1231 ROBERTSON CHARLES T 1232 RODARTE ALBERT 1233 RODRIGUEZ CONCEPCION 1234 RODRIGUEZ GUADALUPE 1235 RODRIGUEZ IGNACIO 1236 RODRIGUEZ JOHN M 1237 ROQUEMORE TR 1238 ROSAMOND LAND TRUST 1239 ROSEMOUNT EQUITIES LLC 1240 ROSENBERRY RUSSELL F 1241 ROSENDALE STEPHEN 1242 ROSENDALE STEPHEN 1243 ROSENTHAL ROBERT 1244 ROSS REBAR CO INC 1246 ROWE TRISHA A	1224	RITTER		
1226 RMG PROPERTY HOLDING FOUR LLC 1227 RMG PROPERTY HOLDING TWO LLC 1228 ROBBIE DAVID 1229 ROBBIE KINUE 1230 ROBERSON TRACY 1231 ROBERTSON CHARLES 1232 RODARTE ALBERT 1233 RODRIGUEZ CONCEPCION 1234 RODRIGUEZ GUADALUPE 1235 RODRIGUEZ IGNACIO 1236 RODRIGUEZ JOHN M 1237 ROQUEMORE TR ISAS ROSAMOND LAND TRUST 1238 ROSAMOND LAND TRUST ISAS ROSEMOUNT EQUITIES LLC 1240 ROSENBERRY RUSSELL F 1241 ROSENDALE CRYSTAL L 1242 ROSENDALE STEPHEN ISAS REBAR CO INC 1245 ROTTGERING RICHARD W 1246 ROWE TRISHA A	1225	RIVERA	GEORGE	R
1228 ROBBIE DAVID L 1229 ROBBIE KINUE REV 1230 ROBERSON TRACY A 1231 ROBERTSON CHARLES T 1232 RODARTE ALBERT I 1233 RODRIGUEZ CONCEPCION I 1234 RODRIGUEZ GUADALUPE I 1235 RODRIGUEZ IGNACIO I 1236 RODRIGUEZ JOHN M 1237 ROQUEMORE TR I I 1238 ROSAMOND LAND TRUST I I 1239 ROSEMOUNT EQUITIES LLC I I 1240 ROSENBERRY RUSSELL F 1241 ROSENDALE CRYSTAL L 1242 ROSENDALE STEPHEN I 1243 ROSENTHAL ROBERT I 1244 ROSS REBAR CO INC I I 1245 ROTTGERING RICHARD W 1246 ROWE TRISHA A	1226	RMG PROPERTY HOLDING FOUR LLC		
1229 ROBBIE KINUE REV 1230 ROBERSON TRACY A 1231 ROBERTSON CHARLES T 1232 RODARTE ALBERT T 1233 RODRIGUEZ CONCEPCION CONCEPCION 1234 RODRIGUEZ GUADALUPE GUADALUPE 1235 RODRIGUEZ IGNACIO M 1236 RODRIGUEZ JOHN M 1237 ROQUEMORE TR T T 1238 ROSAMOND LAND TRUST T T 1239 ROSEMOUNT EQUITIES LLC T T 1240 ROSENBERRY RUSSELL F 1241 ROSENDALE CRYSTAL L 1242 ROSENDALE STEPHEN T 1243 ROSENTHAL ROBERT ROBERT 1244 ROSS REBAR CO INC T T 1245 ROTTGERING RICHARD W 1246 ROWE TRISHA A	1227	RMG PROPERTY HOLDING TWO LLC		
1230 ROBERSON TRACY A 1231 ROBERTSON CHARLES T 1232 RODARTE ALBERT ALBERT 1233 RODRIGUEZ CONCEPCION CONCEPCION 1234 RODRIGUEZ GUADALUPE GUADALUPE 1235 RODRIGUEZ IGNACIO M 1236 RODRIGUEZ JOHN M 1237 ROQUEMORE TR TUSTAL TUSTAL 1238 ROSAMOND LAND TRUST TUSTAL TUSTAL 1240 ROSENBERRY RUSSELL F 1241 ROSENDALE CRYSTAL L 1242 ROSENDALE STEPHEN TEPHEN 1243 ROSENTHAL ROBERT TRISHA A 1245 ROTTGERING RICHARD W 1246 ROWE TRISHA A	1228	ROBBIE	DAVID	L
1231 ROBERTSON CHARLES T 1232 RODARTE ALBERT 1233 RODRIGUEZ 1234 RODRIGUEZ GUADALUPE 1235 RODRIGUEZ IGNACIO 1236 RODRIGUEZ JOHN M 1237 ROQUEMORE TR 1238 ROSAMOND LAND TRUST 1239 ROSEMOUNT EQUITIES LLC 1239 ROSEMOUNT EQUITIES LLC CRYSTAL F 1241 ROSENBERRY RUSSELL F 1242 ROSENDALE STEPHEN CRYSTAL L 1243 ROSENTHAL ROBERT ROBERT 1244 ROSS REBAR CO INC RICHARD W 1245 ROTTGERING RICHARD W 1246 ROWE TRISHA A	1229	ROBBIE	KINUE	REV
1232 RODARTE ALBERT 1233 RODRIGUEZ CONCEPCION 1234 RODRIGUEZ GUADALUPE 1235 RODRIGUEZ IGNACIO 1236 RODRIGUEZ JOHN M 1237 ROQUEMORE TR 1238 ROSAMOND LAND TRUST ISSELL F 1239 ROSEMOUNT EQUITIES LLC CRYSTAL L 1240 ROSENBERRY RUSSELL F 1241 ROSENDALE CRYSTAL L 1242 ROSENDALE STEPHEN 1243 ROSENTHAL ROBERT 1244 ROSS REBAR CO INC RICHARD W 1245 ROTTGERING RICHARD W 1246 ROWE TRISHA A	1230	ROBERSON	TRACY	Α
1233 RODRIGUEZ CONCEPCION 1234 RODRIGUEZ GUADALUPE 1235 RODRIGUEZ IGNACIO 1236 RODRIGUEZ JOHN M 1237 ROQUEMORE TR Image: Control of the con	1231	ROBERTSON	CHARLES	T
1234 RODRIGUEZ GUADALUPE 1235 RODRIGUEZ IGNACIO 1236 RODRIGUEZ JOHN M 1237 ROQUEMORE TR IOHN M 1238 ROSAMOND LAND TRUST IOHN IOHN IOHN 1239 ROSEMOUNT EQUITIES LLC IOHN IOHN F 1240 ROSENBERRY RUSSELL F IOHN			ALBERT	
1234 RODRIGUEZ GUADALUPE 1235 RODRIGUEZ IGNACIO 1236 RODRIGUEZ JOHN 1237 ROQUEMORE TR IMM 1238 ROSAMOND LAND TRUST IMM 1239 ROSEMOUNT EQUITIES LLC IMM 1240 ROSENBERRY RUSSELL F 1241 ROSENDALE CRYSTAL L 1242 ROSENDALE STEPHEN IMM 1243 ROSENTHAL ROBERT IMM 1245 ROTTGERING RICHARD W 1246 ROWE TRISHA A	1233	RODRIGUEZ	CONCEPCION	
1236 RODRIGUEZ JOHN M 1237 ROQUEMORE TR	1234	RODRIGUEZ		
1237 ROQUEMORE TR 1238 ROSAMOND LAND TRUST 1239 ROSEMOUNT EQUITIES LLC 1240 ROSENBERRY RUSSELL F 1241 ROSENDALE CRYSTAL L 1242 ROSENDALE STEPHEN L 1243 ROSENTHAL ROBERT ROBERT 1244 ROSS REBAR CO INC RICHARD W 1246 ROWE TRISHA A			IGNACIO	
1238 ROSAMOND LAND TRUST 1239 ROSEMOUNT EQUITIES LLC 1240 ROSENBERRY RUSSELL F 1241 ROSENDALE CRYSTAL L 1242 ROSENDALE STEPHEN STEPHEN 1243 ROSENTHAL ROBERT W 1245 ROTTGERING RICHARD W 1246 ROWE TRISHA A			JOHN	M
1239 ROSEMOUNT EQUITIES LLC 1240 ROSENBERRY RUSSELL F 1241 ROSENDALE CRYSTAL L 1242 ROSENDALE STEPHEN STEPHEN 1243 ROSENTHAL ROBERT STEPHEN 1244 ROSS REBAR CO INC RICHARD W 1246 ROWE TRISHA A				
1240 ROSENBERRY RUSSELL F 1241 ROSENDALE CRYSTAL L 1242 ROSENDALE STEPHEN 1243 ROSENTHAL ROBERT 1244 ROSS REBAR CO INC RICHARD W 1245 ROTTGERING RICHARD W 1246 ROWE TRISHA A				
1241 ROSENDALE CRYSTAL L 1242 ROSENDALE STEPHEN 1243 ROSENTHAL ROBERT 1244 ROSS REBAR CO INC 1245 ROTTGERING RICHARD W 1246 ROWE TRISHA A				
1242 ROSENDALE STEPHEN 1243 ROSENTHAL ROBERT 1244 ROSS REBAR CO INC INCHARD 1245 ROTTGERING RICHARD 1246 ROWE TRISHA			RUSSELL	F
1243 ROSENTHAL ROBERT 1244 ROSS REBAR CO INC RICHARD 1245 ROTTGERING RICHARD 1246 ROWE TRISHA			CRYSTAL	L
1244 ROSS REBAR CO INC RICHARD W 1245 ROTTGERING RICHARD W 1246 ROWE TRISHA A			STEPHEN	
1245 ROTTGERING RICHARD W 1246 ROWE TRISHA A			ROBERT	
1246 ROWE TRISHA A				
	1245	ROTTGERING	RICHARD	W
1247 ROWEN MARSHALL	1246	ROWE	TRISHA	Α
	1247	ROWEN	MARSHALL	

1248	ROWLAND	MYRA	
	ROYAL INVESTORS GROUP	TWITTON	
	ROYAL INVESTORS GROUP LLC		
	ROYAL WESTERN PROPERTIES		
	ROYAL WESTERN PROPERTIES LLC		
	RUBENSTEIN	JAMES	
	RUFF	DORIS	E
	RUFF	EDWARD	L
	RUNDT	EDNA	V
	RUNKLE	DEWEY	R
	RUONA	LYNN	M
	RUOPP	FREDERICK	J
	RUSSELL	BERNA	
	RUSSELL	HELEN	В
	RUSTON	PATRICIA	
	RUSTON	TOM	F
	SACMAN	HERMOGENES	V
	SAITO	KATSUJI	V
	SALAZAR	GABRIEL	
	SALEH	HOGER	R
	SALLEN	BETTY	IX.
	SALLEN	JOSEPH	
	SALOMON	FRANKIE	H
	SAN DIEGO FRENCH AMERICAN	TOTAL	
	SANCHEZ	FRANCISCO	
	SANCHEZ	MARIA	F
	SANTANA	DIGNA	S
	SANTANA	PEDRO	
	SANTOS	GREGORIO	R
	SAPARZADEH	DANIEL	
	SAROMINES	JOSE	Α
	SARRIS	GUSTAVE	
	SASAKI FAMILY TRUST 1995	00017.12	
	SAUER	KAREN	
1282		AMY	Y
	SCATTAGLIA	FRANCES	
	SCATTAGLIA	FRANCES	M
	SCHAD	WILLIAM	0
	SCHAEFFER	ALICE	M
	SCHAEFFER	BUD	S
	SCHEMENAUER	STACY	J
	SCHILLING	LAWRENCE	<u> </u>
	SCHILLING	MARY	
	SCHIPPER	SYLVIA	J
	SCHLEGEL	JUDITH	Ā
	SCHLEGEL	STEPHEN	J
	SCHMIDT	HERMAN	A
	SCHOEPFLIN	HAZEL	E
	SCHOEPFLIN	NIEL	
	SCHULTE	JOHN	L
	SCHULTZ	PHILIP	
	SCIDMORE	BETTY	
	-		

1300	SCOTT	ROBERT	ID
	SCRUGGS	PATRICIA	L
	SCS FAMILY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP		
	SEGROVE	HENRY	Н
	SEIBERT	FLORENCE	G
	SELLSITE & UNITED LLC	1 20.12.102	
	SELNICK	ALVIN	A
	SEMERAU	DEWILLO	F F
	SEMOTAN	CARL	- Iw
	SERVICE ROCK PRODUCTS CORP	OARE	
	SEVEN STAR UNITED LLC		
	SEXTON	RALPH	IF.
	SF PACIFIC PROPERTIES INC	IVALITI	
	SHADD	EUGENIA	
	SHAKIB	KAMRAM	S
	SHANNON	WILLIAM	
	SHEARER		J
		CATHERINE	L
	SHEARER MARITAL TRUST SHELTON	EDWARD	10.4
		EDWARD	W
	SHERMAN	EARNEST	G
	SHIBUYA	HAJIME	
	SHIBUYA	КҮОКО	
	SHIMABUKURO	LUPE	
	SHIMABUKURO	RODNEY	
	SHIMIZU	YOSHIAKI	
	SHIMOMURA	SHOGO	
	SHIU	ALVES	
	SHLOMI	BENJAMIN	
	SHOKRI	BEHROUZ	
	SHOKRI	FARIBA	
	SHOKRIAN	ELLIAS	
	SHOKRIAN	SHIRLEY	
	SIAM INV CORP		
	SIAM INVESTMENT CORP		
1334	SICAT	LOLITA	D
	SICCAMA	JAKOB	K
	SIEBERT	JEFFREY	L
	SIEBERT	NANCEE	J
1338	SIERRA GATEWAY RESOLUTION LLC		
1339	SIMON	EDWARD	В
	SIMPSON	DONNA	L
1341	SIMPSON	GARETH	L
1342	SIMS	TAFFIE	J
1343	SIMS	THEODORE	Н
1344	SINGH	GORA	
	SINGH	TINA	С
1346	SIREX	LESLIE	Α
	SIVILLE	ESTHER	M
	SIVILLE FAMILY TRUST		
	SKAGGS	CHARLES	R
	SKAGGS	REBECCA	s
	SKIADAS	GEORGETTE	

1352	SKINNER	CHARLES	
	SKINNER	SHARREN	
	SMALL	FRANK	A
	SMEJKAL	EUGENE	F
	SMITH	CHONG	H
	SMITH	JAMES	C
	SMITH	LARRY	A
	SMITH	PATRICIA	A
	SMITH	ROBERT	WAYNE
	SMUTZ	GLEN	R
	SNEED	ODIS	
	SOARING VISTA PROPERTIES INC		
	SONG	MI	R
	SORRENTO WEST PROPERTIES INC		
	SOTEROPOULOS	KONSTANTINOS	
	SOTO	JUAN	M
	SOUTH	JAMES	T
	SOUTHCOTT	BERNEICE	В
	SOUTHERN CA EDISON CO	DEIMEIOL	
	SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON		
	SOVICH	EDWARD	s
	SOWERSBY	KIM	- 3
	SPERLING	FRANCIS	F
	SSERUNKUMA	DAVID	
	ST JUDES RANCH FOR CHILDREN INC	DAVID	
	STADLER	VIRGINIA	
	STAMBACK	LINDA	
	STATHATOS	HELEN	
	STATHATOS	SAVAS	
	STENBERG	COLUMBIA	M
	STENERSON	ALAN	G
	STEPHENSON	DEBORAH	- 6
	STERKEL	TERESITA	C
_	STERN	ELEANOR	C
	STERN	JOHN	
	STERNBERG	RICHARD	R
	STIPANCIC TR	NOTARU	
	STONE	BRIAN	ID ID
	STOVER	LOIS	P A
	STRAWMYER	CLEO	P
	STREMEL	WILMA	
	STUBNER	STEVE	MJ
	STURM	GUZEL	J
1394			
	SUGARMAN	JOHN	IN A
	SUGARMAN	JORDAN	M
	SULPACIO	RUTH CARMELITA	G
	SULPACIO	ROMEO	R
	SUMMERS SUMMERS	EUGENE	W
		JUANITA	В
	SUMMERS	QUEENIE	
1403	OUN	CHRISTOPHER	S

1404		JOHN	S
	SUNJKA	FRANA	
1406	SUNJKA	JOSEPH	
1407	SUNLIGHT TOWNHOME LLC		
1408	SUPA	LENNY	С
1409	SUPERMED HEALTH INC		
1410	SWEIS	FADIA	М
1411	SWEIS	SAMIR	S
1412	SZETO	ETHEL	
1413	T L SQUARED LLC		
1414	TAFT	ALAN	R
1415	TAFT	TERIANNE	N
1416	TAKAGI	TAKASHI	
1417	TAKASHITA	REIKO	
1418	TALLEY	GROVER	L
1419	TAM	HERBERT	H
	TAMAYO	CRES	s
	TAMAYO	MACARIO	S
1422	TAN	CORAZON	D
1423		FIDELINO	M
	TANAKA	ALICE	T
	TANAKA	ROY	T
	TANIGUCHI	JOHN	M
	TANIGUCHI	ROBIN	LEE
1428		CHI-KWANG	
1429		TING-NING	
1430		GEORGE	
	TAYLOR	F	CATHERIN
	FAZMAN		OATTIERIN
	TEJON RANCH CO		
	TEJON RANCHCORP		
	TEJON RANCHERO LAND CO LLC		
	TEJON RANCHO CO		
	TERAYAMA	SADAKO	M
	FERUYA	FUMIO	IVI
	TERUYA	HISAKO	
1440 7		NICHOLAS	J
	THOMPSON	LARRY	
	THOMPSON	LARRY	L
	THOMPSON	MARY	A L
	THOMPSON	WILLIAM	A
	HORNTON	LYDIA	
	HORNTON	LYDIA	N
	HORNTON		
		ROBERT	S
	HORNTON	ROBERT	
	THREE ARKLIN LLC		
	HROAT APPLES INC PROFIT SHARING		
	HYRA RETZKE FAMILY TRUST	BONALB	
	TCHAUER	RONALD	
	TENDAS	LOUISE	
	TENDAS	TERTIUS	Α
1/455IT	TERRA BONITA RANCH COMPANY	1	1

1456 TI	NG	CHIANG	CHANG
1457 TI	TLE	INSURANCE	1
1458 TI	TLE INS AND TR CO		1
1459 TI	VENS	LYNN	В
1460 Th	(ATCH	IVAN	0
1461 TO	DBIAS	BEVERLY	J
1462 TO	DBIN	ARTHUR	1
1463 TO	DBIN	HILDA	В
1464 TO	DDAY INVESTMENT GROUP LLC		
1465 TC	DMEI	ALICE	Α
1466 TO	OMEI	RALPH	Υ
1467 TC	DMKIEWICZ	GLEN	R
1468 TO	DMKIEWICZ	JILL	С
1469 TO	DMLINSON	BENNY	N
1470 TC	DRRES	DALISAY	S
1471 TO		EDILBERTO	С
1472 TC	DRRES	NERIO	$\overline{}$
1473 TC		SHIRLEY	D
1474 TC	DRRES	VICTOR	J
1475 TC		DONATO	
1476 TC	OVAR	FELIPE	Р
1477 TR	RAN	HUYNH	V
1478 TR	RAN	JEANNIE	N
1479 TR	REACY	PATRICK	J
	REMBLAY	SHARON	
1481 TR	ROCHIM	EMMA	<u> </u>
1482 TR	ROCHIM	WALDEMAR	
1483 TR		JENNY	Р
1484 TS	EN	LIU FANG	
1485 TS	UHAKO	GAIL	S
1486 TS	UHAKO	JOHN	I
1487 UL	AT	ARTHUR	Т
1488 UL	AT	ELDENA	R
1489 UN	IFRIED	RICHARD	Н
1490 UN	IISON INVESTMENT COMPANY LLC		
1491 UC	DNG	HOA	1
1492 UP	PDEGRAFT	JERRY	P
1493 UR	RBAN	LESLIE	
1494 US	A GOLDEN LAND INVESTMENT LLC		
1495 US	HIGOME	CIV	K
1496 UY	EHARA	AMELIA	T
1497 UY	EHARA	EDDIE	K
1498 VA		ELLEN	Т
1499 VA	LDEZ	ELPIDIO	0
1500 VA	LENTINE	ROLAND	М
1501 VA	N DAM	CRAIG	
1502 VA	N DAM	CRAIG	A
1503 VA	N DAM	DELMAR	
1504 VA		DELMAR	D
1505 VA		GARY	L
1506 VA		GARY	
1507 VA	N DAM	GERTRUDE	

1508 VA	ANCE	EVANGELINE	S
	ANDERGROEN	DONNA	L
	ANDERGROEN	RONALD	E
1511 VA		VICTOR	
1512 VA		DANNY	М
1513 VE		GIL	V
1514 VE		LOLITA	A
	ELUR PROPERTIES LLC	1202117	/ 1
1516 VI		CRISPINO	
1517 VI		ISAIAS	V
	LLAGOMEZ	BERTHA	V
	LLARENTE	NORMA	G
	LLARUEL	PERFECTO	M
1520 VIL			IVI
1521 VIL		DORIS	
		GREGARIO	_
1523 VIL		MARGARITA	G
1524 VIL		EDWARD	E
1525 VIF		RODGER	
	SITACION	DANNY	
	SITACION	SALLY	J
	NBORCKE	RICHARD	
1529 VO		ELISA	K
1530 VC		JAMES	∟
	JLCAN LANDS INC		
1532 W/	ADE	ROBERT	L
1533 WA	AGAS LAND COMPANY		
1534 WA	AKEHAM	BARBARA	P
1535 WA	ALDEN	DANIEL	С
1536 WA	ALKER	CECIL	
1537 WA	ALKER	GRACE	
1538 WA	ALLACE	PATRICIA	
1539 WA	ALLACE	WILLIAM	
1540 WA		JOSEPHINE	
1541 WA		LUCY	В
1542 WA		WONG	YUH-HUA
	ARM SPRINGS INVESTMENTS LTD		
	ARMINGTON	WILLIAM	
1545 WA		A	C
1546 WA		A	C
1547 WA		JAMES	L
1547 WA		LEIGH	J
1549 WA		AMY	S
			C
1550 WE		ELIZABETH	
1551 WE		GEORGE	0
	EBB TRUST		
	BB TRUST	0501114	
1554 WE		CECILIA	
1555 WE			H
1556 WE		SUHMEI	L
1557 WE			V
4 5 5 6 1 1 4 1	HEATON	RICHARD	
1558 WF		LAURIE	S

1560	WHICHER	LAURIE	S
1561	WHITE	BETTY	J
1562	WHITE	EDWARD	Α
1563	WHITE	JAMES	E
1564	WHITE	LORETTA	М
1565	WHITE	RICHARD	Α
1566	WHITE	VIVIAN	L
	WHITE	WALT	
1568	WHITTAKER	JOSEPH	L
	WILCOX	GARY	J
	WILLIAMS	CYNTHIA	JEAN
	WILLIAMS	RONLLD	<u> </u>
	WILLIAMS FMLY TR	1	
_	WILSON	DONALD	D
	WILSON	HARRY	Z
	WILSON	MARIE	J
	WILSON FAMILY TR	INACCE	13
	WINDHAM	WALTER	
	WINKLER	DONALD	D
	l		D
	WINKLER	SUSAN	Н
	WINTERS	THERESA	
	WKR360-6 LLC		_
	WLOCZYK	HEATWIG	В
	WOLFE	MARGARET	J
	WOLFE	OTIS	V
	WONG	GARY	ALAN
	WONG	JOHNNY	
	WONG	MAI	Т
	WONNELL	KAREN	E
	WOOD FAMILY TR		
	WOODALL	ROBERT	М
	WOODWARD	RUSSELL	G
1592	WRAY	MARY	
1593	WRIGHT	EMIKO	Т
1594	WRIGHT	ROBERT	R
1595	WU	MIKE	М
1596	YAGO	ANTONIO	
1597	YAMADA	GRACE	
1598	YANEZ	MARIA	F
	YANG	WENDY	
	YANKOVICH	JOSEPH	E
1601		VIVIAN	HWA
	YEOMANS	MONICA	A
1603		TAMMY	Р
	YOSHINO	BARNEY	
1004		CARLOS	A
	I TOUNG		
1605			Υ
1605 1606	YOUNG	JULIE	Υ
1605 1606 1607	YOUNG YOUNG	JULIE KIM	J
1605 1606 1607 1608	YOUNG YOUNG YU	JULIE KIM BOB	J H
1605 1606 1607	YOUNG YOUNG YU YU	JULIE KIM	J

1612	YUNG	LINCOLN	CHU KUEN
1613	ZEDICHER	CORAL	K
1614	ZEDICHER	DONALD	L
1615	ZHAN	HAO	
1616	ZIMMERMAN	STANLEY	М
1617	ZUCKER	MILTON	0
1618	ZUCKER	NATALIE	V
1619	ZUMEL	ELENA	
1620	ZUMEL	RICHARD	
1621	ZWINGER	CHARLOTTE	

Exhibit 2

	1 2 3 4 5	RALPH B. KALFAYAN, Bar No. 133464 DAVID B. ZLOTNICK, Bar No. 195607 KRAUSE, KALFAYAN, BENINK & SLAVENS LLP 625 Broadway, Ste. 635 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 232-0331 Fax: (619) 232-4019 Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class						
LLP UITE 400	6 7 8 9 10	ERIC L. GARNER, Bar No. 130665 Eric.Garner@bbklaw.com JEFFREY V. DUNN, Bar No. 131926 Jeffrey.Dunn@bbklaw.com BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 3750 University Avenue, Suite 400 P.O. Box 1028 Riverside, California 92502 Telephone: (951) 686-1450 Facsimile: (951) 686-3083 Attorneys for Defendant						
SS OF IEGER L NUE, SU 028	12	(ADDITIONAL COUNSEL ARE LISTED ON SIGNATURE PAGES)						
PFICE P KRI E, CA 1	13	Superior Court of the State of California						
SEST SSITY SSITY SO E	14	County of Los Angeles						
LAW BEST BE 3750 UNIVERS P.C RIVER	15 16	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES	JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION PROCEEDING NO. 4408					
	17 18	This Pleading Relates to Included Action: REBECCA LEE WILLIS, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,	Case No. BC 364553					
	19	Plaintiff,						
	20	v.	WILLIS CLASS STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT					
	21	LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40; CITY OF LANCASTER;						
	22	CITY OF LOS ANGELES; CITY OF PALMDALE; PALMDALE WATER						
	23	DISTRICT; LITTLEROCK CREEK IRRIGATION DISTRICT; PALM RANCH						
	24	IRRIGATION DISTRICT; PALM RANCH						
	25	IRRIGATION DISTRICT; QUARTZ HILL WATER DISTRICT; ANTELOPE VALLEY						
	26	WATER CO.; ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT; and DOES 1 through 1,000;						
	27	Defendants.						
	28							
		SETTLEMENT STIPULATION - 1 -						
	Įį.							

1-05-CV-049053 Judgment and Physical Solution

APPENDIX A

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

This Stipulation of Settlement (the "Stipulation" or "Agreement") is entered into this 13 day of 2010 by and between California Water Service Company, City of Palmdale, Littlerock Creek Irrigation District, Los Angeles Waterworks District No. 40 ("District 40"), Palmdale Water District, Palm Ranch Irrigation District, Phelan Pinon Hills Community Services District, Quartz Hill Water District, and Rosamond Community Services District, Desert Lake Community Services District and North Edwards Water District (collectively, "Settling Defendants"), on the one hand, and Rebecca Lee Willis and the Willis Class (as more fully defined below), which consists of certain persons who own property(ies) that overly the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin (the "Basin") on which they do not and have not pumped groundwater, on the other hand. Settling Defendants, Rebecca Lee Willis, and the Willis Class are collectively referred to as the "Settling Parties," or individually a "Settling Party." This Stipulation and the Exhibits hereto set forth the terms of a settlement (the "Settlement") between and among the Settling Parties compromising and dismissing the claims and defenses they have asserted in the above-captioned action. The Settlement is subject to approval by the Superior Court of California for Los Angeles County; in the event such approval is denied, cannot be obtained, or is reversed on appeal, this Stipulation shall have no further force or effect, and the Settling Parties shall be returned to their respective positions in the litigation prior to execution of this Stipulation.

I. THE SETTLING PARTIES

A. The Settling Plaintiffs are Rebecca Lee Willis and the members of the Willis Class, as defined in paragraph II, D below.

- B. The Settling Defendants are as follows:
- California Water Service Company is a California corporation which extracts groundwater from the Basin to serve customers within the Basin.
- 2. The City of Palmdale is a municipal corporation in the County of Los Angeles which receives water from the Basin.
- 3. Littlerock Creek Irrigation District is a public agency which produces groundwater from the Basin to serve customers within the Basin.

SETTLEMENT STIPULATION

-2-

1-05-CV-049053

Judgment and Physical Solution

4	4.	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 ("District 40") is a public
agency governe	d by t	he Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors. District 40 has been lawfully
organized to per	rform	various functions, including producing water from the Basin, which it
provides to mor	e than	65,000 residential and commercial customers in the Basin.

- 5. Palmdale Water District is an irrigation district organized and operating under Division 11 of the California Water Code, which produces groundwater from the Basin to serve customers within the Basin.
- 6. Palm Ranch Irrigation District is a public agency which produces groundwater from the Basin to serve customers within the Basin.
- 7. Rosamond Community Services District is a public agency which produces water from the Basin which it provides to customers within the Basin.
- 8. Quartz Hill Water District is a county water district organized and operating under Division 12 of the California Water Code. It produces water from the Basin.
- 9. Phelan Pinon Hills Community Services District is a public water supplier which produces water from the Basin.
- 10. Desert Lake Community Services District is a public agency which produces groundwater from the Basin.
- 11. North Edwards Water district is a public agency which produces groundwater from the Basin.

II. RECITALS

A. On or about November 29, 2004, District 40 commenced a civil action against Overlying Owners (more specifically defined in III. M) in the Basin, which is now pending in the Superior Court for Los Angeles County, seeking, inter alia, an adjudication of their respective rights to produce groundwater from the Basin. On or about July 11, 2005, that case was coordinated with several quiet title actions that had been brought by Basin landowners, which also sought a declaration of the parties' rights to produce and use the Basin's groundwater.

Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases, No. 1-05-CV049053 (JCCP 4408) (hereinafter the "Coordinated Actions"). The Coordinated Actions are pending before the Honorable Jack Komar.

	B.	On or about October 10, 2006, the Court held an initial phase of trial with respect
to the	boundar	ies of the Basin. The Court issued an Order on November 3, 2006, defining the
Basin	for purp	oses of this litigation.

- C. On or about January 11, 2007 Plaintiff, Rebecca Lee Willis ("Willis"), filed a class action complaint in the Superior Court of the State of California for Los Angeles County (No. BC 364553) (the "Willis Action") in which she alleged that certain Public Water Suppliers had wrongfully claimed prescriptive rights to the Basin's groundwater. Willis sought, inter alia, a declaration that the Settling Defendants had not obtained prescriptive rights as to her or Willis Class Members (more specifically defined in III.X). On or about April 10, 2007, the Willis Action was coordinated as part of the Coordinated Actions.
- D. By Order dated September 11, 2007 (as amended by Orders dated May 22, 2008 and September 2, 2008), the Court certified Willis as the representative of a Class of certain Overlying Owners (more specifically defined in if III.M. below) pursuant to Section 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure and Division 7, Chapter 6 of the Rules of Court.
- E. In early January 2009, Notice of the Pendency of the Willis Action was sent by first class mail to all Willis Class Members (more specifically defined in III.X below) who could be identified with reasonable effort and a summary notice was published. The deadline for putative Willis Class Members to exclude themselves (as extended) expired on August 30, 2009. The Court has made various orders allowing certain parties to rejoin the Willis Class.
- F. The Settling Parties have actively discussed potential settlement for much of this year. On or about September 2, 2009, the Settling Parties engaged in mediation before the Honorable Ronald Robie during the course of which counsel for most of the parties reached an agreement in principle to settle the Willis Action, subject to the negotiation of a final settlement agreement, client approvals, and approval by the Court.
- G. On or about February 19, 2010, the Court entered an Order Transferring and
 Consolidating Actions for All Purposes (hereinafter the "Consolidated Actions").
- H. Over the course of the last three years, the Settling Plaintiffs' counsel have conducted a thorough investigation of the facts and law relating to the matters at issue in the SETTLEMENT STIPULATION

 4 -

APPENDIX A

- 1. The Settling Defendants contend that they have prescriptive rights to substantially more than 15% of the Basin's Native Safe Yield. The Settling Plaintiffs contend that the Settling Defendants have no such prescriptive rights as to them. This Settlement reflects a compromise between the Settling Parties and shall not (1) be construed as an admission or concession by any Settling Party of the truth of any allegation or the validity of any claim or defense asserted in any of the pleadings, (2) be construed to prejudice the rights, claims, or defenses of any persons who are not Settling Parties, or (3) be construed to prejudice the rights, claims, or defenses (whether asserted or potential) of any Settling Party vis-à-vis any non-settling party.
- J. The United States owns property within the Basin as to which it claims a Federal Reserved Right to produce groundwater.

III. DEFINITIONS

The following terms used in this Stipulation shall have the meanings set forth below:

- A. "Assessments" means any monetary or other levy or charge imposed as part of a Physical Solution.
- B. "Basin" means the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin as defined in the Court's Order of November 3, 2006.
- C. "Consolidated Actions" means all actions that have been or subsequently were coordinated as part of Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408 and all actions that have been or subsequently were consolidated pursuant to the Court's Order from February 19, 2010.
- D. "Correlative Rights" means the principle of California law, articulated in Katz v.

 Walkinshaw (1903) 141 Cal. 116 and subsequent cases, that Overlying Owners may make
 reasonable and beneficial use of the water in a Basin and that, if the supply of water is insufficient

 SETTLEMENT STIPULATION

 -5-

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

for all reasonable and beneficial needs, each Overlying Owner is entitled to a fair and just proportion of the water available to the Overlying Owners.

- E. "Court" means the Honorable Jack Komar, sitting by designation as a Judge of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County or such other Judge as may be designated by the Judicial Conference to hear JCCP No. 4408.
- F. "Effective Date" means the date on which the Court's Judgment granting final approval to the Settlement becomes final and not subject to further appeal.
- G. "Federal Reserved Right" is the principle originally articulated in Winters v. United States (1908) 207 U.S. 564 and more recently in Cappaert v. United States (1976) 426 U.S. 128, which holds that when the Federal Government reserves land from the public domain, it impliedly reserves sufficient water to serve the purposes for which the lands were reserved, and the quantity of reserved water is limited to the amount necessary to fulfill the purposes of the reserved land. The United States contends that the Federal Reserved Right entitles the United States to a prior and paramount right to a portion of the Native Safe Yield.
- H. "Federally Adjusted Native Safe Yield" for any given year means the Basin's Native Safe Yield less the actual annual production of the United States' during the prior year pursuant to its Federal Reserved Right.
- I. "Final Judgment" means a final judgment to be entered by the Court in the above matter, which approves the terms and provisions of this Stipulation, and is substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- J. "Imported Water" means water that enters the Basin and that originates outside the Basin that is not part of the Basin's Native Safe Yield, and that, absent human intervention, would not recharge or be used in the Basin. Imported Water does not include water purchased by the Watermaster with Replacement Assessments or bottled water.
- "Native Safe Yield" means the amount of pumping, which under a given set of K. land use and other prevailing cultural conditions, generates Return Flows that, when combined with naturally occurring groundwater recharge to the Basin, results in no long-term depletion of

SETTLEMENT STIPULATION

- 6 -

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Basin groundwater storage. Pumping of the Settling Parties' share of Native Safe Yield is not subject to any Replacement Assessment.

- L. "Overlying Right" means the appurtenant right of an Overlying Owner to use groundwater from the Native Safe Yield for overlying reasonable and beneficial use.
- M. "Overlying Owners" means owners of land overlying the Basin who hold an Overlying Right.
- N. "Physical Solution" means a mechanism that comprehensively resolves the competing claims to the Basin's water and provides for the management of the Basin. The Settling Parties anticipate that this Settlement will later be incorporated into a Physical Solution.
- O. "Preliminary Approval Order" means the Court's Order granting preliminary approval to the Settlement set forth herein, directing the manner in which notice of the Settlement shall be provided to the Willis Class, and scheduling a final Hearing for the Court to consider whether to approve the Settlement. The Settling Parties will submit a proposed Preliminary Approval Order in the form appended as Exhibit B hereto.
- P. "Recycled Water" means water which, as a result of treatment of waste, is suitable for a direct beneficial use or a controlled use that would not otherwise occur and is therefore considered a valuable resource..
- O. "Replacement Assessment" means the charge imposed on any Settling Party by the Watermaster for producing more water than it is entitled to produce from the Basin under the terms of this Settlement or pursuant to such further orders as the Court may enter in the Coordinated Actions.
- "Replacement Water" means water purchased by the Watermaster to offset R. production in excess of a Settling Party's share of Total Safe Yield.
- S. "Return Flows" means the amount of water that is put to reasonable and beneficial agricultural, municipal or other use and thereafter returns to the Basin and is part of the Basin's Total Safe Yield.
 - T. "Settlement" means this Stipulation, including the Exhibits appended hereto.

SETTLEMENT STIPULATION

-7-

U.	"Total Safe Yield" means the amount of pumping, which under a given set of land
use and other	prevailing cultural conditions generates Return Flows that, when combined with
naturally occu	arring groundwater recharge to the Basin and Return Flows derived from Imported
Water, results	in no long-term depletion of Basin groundwater storage.

- V. "Transition Period" means the period of time provided for in the Physical Solution during which the parties' right to produce water from the Native Safe Yield free from Replacement Assessment will decrease to amounts that total no more than that party's share of Native Safe Yield.
- W. "Watermaster" means the person or entity appointed by the Court to monitor and manage the Basin's groundwater, subject to oversight by the Court.
- X. "Willis Class" or "Willis Class Members" means the Willis Class as defined in the Court's Order of September 11, 2007, as amended by the Court's Orders of May 22, 2008, and September 2, 2008, but shall exclude all persons who timely excluded themselves from the Willis Class and have not rejoined the Willis Class. The Willis Class consists of the following:

"All private (i.e., non-governmental) persons and entities that own real property within the Basin, as adjudicated, that are not presently pumping water on their property and have not done so at any prior time ("the Class"). The Class includes the successors-in-interest by way of purchase, gift, inheritance, or otherwise of such landowners.

The Class excludes the defendants herein, any person, firm, trust, corporation, or other entity in which any defendant has a controlling interest or which is related to or affiliated with any of the defendants, and the representatives, heirs, affiliates, successors-in-interest or assigns of any such excluded party. The Class also excludes all persons to the extent their properties are connected and receive service from a municipal water system, public utility, or mutual water company. The Class shall [further] exclude all property(ies) that are listed as 'improved' by the Los Angeles County or Kern County Assesor's' office, unless the owners of such properties declare under penalty of perjury that they do not pump and have never pumped water on those properties."

IV. SETTLEMENT TERMS

In consideration of the covenants and agreements set forth herein, and of the releases and dismissals described below, the Settling Parties agree to settle and compromise the claims that have been asserted or that could have been asserted between and among the Willis Class and the

SETTLEMENT STIPULATION

-8-

Settling Defendants, subject to Court approval, on the following terms and conditions:

A. Native Safe Yield.

Settling Defendants and the United States contend that the best estimate of the Basin's Native Safe Yield is 82,300 acre-feet per year. The Willis Class agrees not to challenge or otherwise contest the Native Safe Yield proposed by the Settling Defendants as long as it is at least 82,300 acre-feet per year. The Settling Parties understand and agree that, in the absence of stipulation by all parties in the Coordinated Actions, the Court will decide the Basin's Native Safe Yield following trial, and the Settling Parties agree to be bound by the Court's determination in that regard even if some or all of them do not participate in such a trial.

B. Total Safe Yield.

The Settling Defendants contend that the best estimate of the Basin's Total Safe Yield is 110,500 acre-feet per year. The Willis Class agrees not to challenge or otherwise contest that estimate. The Settling Parties understand and agree that, in the absence of stipulation by all parties in the Coordinated Actions, the Court will decide the Basin's Total Safe Yield following trial, and the Settling Parties agree to be bound by the Court's determination in that regard even if some or all of them do not participate in such a trial.

C. Federal Reserved Right.

The United States contends that it is entitled to a Federal Reserved Right. The Settling

Parties agree that the Federal Government has a Federal Reserved Right to use a portion of the

Native Safe Yield. The Settling Parties agree that the Court will decide the amount of the Federal

Reserved Right and they agree to be bound by the Court's determination.

D. Allocation Of Federally Adjusted Native Safe Yield.

The Settling Parties agree to be bound by the Court's determination of the amounts of the Basin's Native Safe Yield and the United States' Federal Reserved Right. The Basin's Federally Adjusted Native Safe Yield shall be the Basin's Native Safe Yield less the prior year's production of water by the United States (not to exceed the Federal Reserved Right). The Settling Parties agree that the Settling Defendants and the Willis Class Members each have rights to produce groundwater from the Basin's Federally Adjusted Native Safe Yield.

SETTLEMENT STIPULATION

-9-

1. Settling Defendants' Water Rights

Settling Defendants have asserted in the Coordinated Actions that they have obtained prescriptive rights to the Basin's Native Safe Yield. This Stipulation shall neither be construed to recognize prescriptive rights nor to limit the Settling Defendants' prescriptive claims vis-a-vis the Basin or any non-settling parties, but rather as an agreement to fairly allocate the Settling Parties' respective rights to use the Basin's water. The Settling Parties agree that the Settling Defendants collectively have the right to produce up to 15% of the Basin's Federally Adjusted Native Safe Yield free of any Replacement Assessment. The Willis Class will not take any positions or enter into any agreements that are inconsistent with the exercise of the Settling Defendants' rights.

2. Willis Class Members' Pumping Rights

The Settling Parties agree that the Willis Class Members have an Overlying Right to a correlative share of 85% of the Federally Adjusted Native Safe Yield for reasonable and beneficial uses on their overlying land free of any Replacement Assessment. The Settling Defendants will not take any positions or enter into any agreements that are inconsistent with the exercise of the Willis Class Members' Overlying Right to produce and use their correlative share of 85% of the Basin's Federally Adjusted Native Safe Yield.

a. Safe Harbor.

The Willis Class Members acknowledge that the Settling Defendants may at trial prove prescriptive rights against all groundwater pumping in the Basin during a prior prescriptive period. If the Settling Defendants do prove prescriptive rights, Settling Defendants shall not exercise their prescriptive rights to diminish the Willis Class Members' Overlying Right below a correlative share of 85% of the Basin's Federally Adjusted Native Safe Yield. If the Settling Defendants fail to prove any prescriptive rights, this Agreement shall not diminish at all the rights of Willis Class Members to make reasonable and beneficial use of a correlative share of the Basin's Federally Adjusted Native Safe Yield. In no event shall this Agreement require the Willis Class Members to give to the Settling Defendants more than 15% of any rights to use the Basin's groundwater that they may obtain by way of settlement or judgment. If there is a subsequent Court decision whereby the Court determines that the Willis Class Members do not SETTLEMENT STIPULATION

-10-

have Overlying Rights, this Agreement shall not require Settling Defendants to give the Willis Class Members any right to pump from the Native Safe Yield.

3. Correlative Rights Of Overlying Landowners

The Willis Class Members recognize that other Overlying Owners may have the right to pump correlatively with them 85% of the Federally Adjusted Native Safe Yield of the Basin for reasonable and beneficial uses on their overlying land.

4. Return Flows From Imported Water

a. The Settling Parties acknowledge and agree that they all have the right to recapture Return Flows from Imported Water that they put to reasonable and beneficial use in the Basin, consistent with California law. The Settling Parties will not be subject to any Replacement Assessment for their production of an amount equal to the Return Flows from Imported Water that they put to reasonable and beneficial use in the Basin.

b. Settling Defendants believe that the best estimates of Return Flows from Imported Water are (a) 25% of the water used for agricultural purposes and (b) 28% of the water used for municipal and industrial purposes. Settling Defendants further believe that the best estimate of total annual Return Flows from Imported Water is 28,200 acre-feet of which 25,100 acre-feet is from municipal and industrial use and 3,100 acre-feet is from agricultural use. The Willis Class agrees not to contest those estimates, and all Settling Parties agree to be bound by any findings that may later be made by the Court with respect thereto.

V. MANAGEMENT OF THE BASIN

A. General

The Settling Parties agree that the Basin has limited water resources and that they should use their best efforts to conserve and maximize reasonable and beneficial use. The Settling Parties further agree that there is a need to create a groundwater management plan to ensure that pumping from the Basin does not exceed the Basin's Total Safe Yield and that the Court should appoint a Watermaster to oversee the management of the Basin's water resources.

B. Physical Solution

The Settling Parties expect and intend that this Stipulation will become part of a Physical SETTLEMENT STIPULATION

- 11 -

APPENDIX A

1-05-CV-049053

Solution entered by the Court to manage the Basin and that the Court will retain jurisdiction in the Coordinated Actions. The Settling Parties agree to be part of such a Physical Solution to the extent it is consistent with the terms of this Stipulation and to be subject to Court-administered rules and regulations consistent with California and Federal law and the terms of this Stipulation. The Settling Parties agree that the Physical Solution may require installation of a meter on any groundwater pump by a Willis Class Member before a Willis Class Member may produce groundwater. The responsibility for the cost of such meters will be determined by the Court.

C. Transition Period.

The Settling Parties agree that net groundwater production from the Basin needs to be reduced over a period of time from current levels to no more than the Basin's Total Safe Yield. This can be accomplished by reducing pumping and/or purchasing Replacement Water. The Settling Parties agree that the Transition Period should begin at the date of entry of Final Judgment in the Coordinated Actions and should last seven years. During the first two years of the Transition Period no effort will be made to curtail groundwater pumping and no Replacement Assessments will be made. By the end of the seventh year of the Transition Period, groundwater pumping from the Basin without Replacement Assessment for Replacement Water will not exceed the Native Safe Yield.

D. Replacement Water.

The Settling Parties recognize the right of any Settling Party to produce groundwater from the Basin above their share of the Native Safe Yield, subject to the Physical Solution and to any Replacement Assessment. The Settling Parties agree to provide or purchase Imported Water for all groundwater pumping that exceeds a Settling Party's share of the Federally Adjusted Native Safe Yield. The Settling Parties agree that any Settling Party who produces more than its annual share of the Federally Adjusted Native Safe Yield in any year will be responsible to provide Replacement Water or pay a Replacement Assessment to the Watermaster so that the Watermaster can purchase Imported Water to recharge the Basin.

E. Water Storage

The Settling Parties agree that water storage in the Basin offers significant benefits and SETTLEMENT STIPULATION

- 12 -

should be encouraged. The Settling Parties further recognize that there is a limit on the Basin's available storage space and that the storage of water for uses within the Basin should have priority over storage for use outside the Basin. Subject to those general principles, the Settling Parties agree that water storage should be permitted and encouraged and agree to support appropriate provisions in the Physical Solution.

F. Recycled Water

The Settling Parties agree that it is important to encourage the treatment and use of Recycled Water. The Willis Class agrees not to challenge or otherwise contest Settling Defendants' claims to Return Flows from Recycled Water that was reclaimed by the Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County.

VI. PROCEDURES FOR CLASS NOTICE AND HEARING ON MOTIONS FOR PRELIMINARY AND FINAL APPROVAL OF STIPULATION

A. Preliminary Approval Motion and Settlement Notice.

Settling Plaintiffs shall file a motion for preliminary approval ("Preliminary Approval Motion") of the terms of the Settlement as soon as practicable following execution of this Stipulation by all Settling Parties. The Preliminary Approval Motion will seek entry of an Order Preliminarily Approving Class Action Settlement. The Preliminary Approval Motion shall include a proposed form of notice describing this Stipulation (the "Settlement Notice") to be disseminated to the Willis Class as well as a description of the procedures to be used in disseminating the Settlement Notice. The Settlement Notice shall be disseminated to all Willis Class Members by or under the supervision of counsel for District 40, with the expenses to be borne by District 40. The Settling Parties will attempt to agree upon the language for the Settlement Notice, but agree to be bound by the Court's determination in the event they have any disputes or disagreements in that regard. The Settling Parties agree to use their best efforts to have the Preliminary Approval Motion heard as promptly as is practical.

B. Final Approval Hearing.

The Settlement Notice will advise Willis Class Members of the date and time set for a

Hearing on the Settling Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of the Stipulation, including

SETTLEMENT STIPULATION

- 13 -

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

advising them of their rights to submit statements in support of or opposition to the Stipulation. The Final Approval Motion shall request that this Court find that the Stipulation and Proposed Final Judgment are fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Willis Class and shall seek entry of a Final Judgment substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A.

VII. RELEASES AND DISMISSALS

A. Release By Settling Plaintiffs

1. In addition to the effect of any Final Judgment entered in accordance with this Stipulation, upon this Stipulation becoming final as set out in Section VIII, Paragraph G of this Stipulation, and in consideration for the settlement consideration set forth above, and for other valuable consideration, the Settling Plaintiffs shall completely release, acquit and forever discharge the Settling Defendants from any and all claims, demands, actions, suits, causes of action, whether class, individual, or otherwise in nature that Settling Plaintiffs, or each of them, ever had, now has, or hereafter can, shall, or may have on account of or in any way arising out of, any and all known or unknown, foreseen or unforeseen, suspected or unsuspected injuries, damages, and the consequences thereof in any way arising out of or relating in any way to the matters at issue in the Willis Action ("Released Claims"). Each Settling Plaintiff may hereafter discover facts other than or different from those which he, she, or it knows or believes to be true with respect to the claims which are the subject matter of this Stipulation, but each Settling Plaintiff hereby expressly waives and fully, finally, and forever, settles and releases, upon this Stipulation becoming final, any known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, contingent or noncontingent claim with respect to the subject matter of the Stipulation, whether or not concealed or hidden, without regard to the subsequent discovery or existence of such different or additional facts. As provided in the Release set forth above, the Settling Plaintiffs, including any of Settling Plaintiffs' representatives, successors, agents, affiliates, employees, supervisors, officers, directors, or shareholders, agree to waive and release all rights and benefits which they might otherwise have pursuant to Section 1542 of the California Civil Code with regard to the release of such unknown, unanticipated or misunderstood claims, causes of action, liabilities, indebtedness and obligations.

SETTLEMENT STIPULATION

- 14 -

2. The Release set forth in Paragraph VII.A, above, does not include claims by any of the Settling Plaintiffs other than the claims set forth therein. In particular, the Settling Parties recognize that many persons own more than one parcel of land within the Basin. The foregoing Release only binds Willis Class Members and only with respect to those properties within the Basin on which they have not pumped water.

B. Release By Settling Defendants

In addition to the effect of any Final Judgment entered in accordance with this Stipulation, upon this Stipulation becoming final as set out in Paragraph VIII.G of this Stipulation, and in consideration of the settlement consideration set forth above, and for other valuable consideration, the Settling Defendants completely release, acquit and forever discharge Settling Plaintiffs and the Willis Class Members from any and all claims, demands, actions, suits, causes of action, whether class, individual, or otherwise in nature that Settling Defendants, or any of them, ever had, now has, or hereafter can, shall, or may have arising from or relating in any way to the matters at issue in the Willis Action ("Released Claims"). Each Settling Defendant may hereafter discover facts other than or different from those which he, she, or it knows or believes to be true with respect to the claims which are the subject matter of this Stipulation, but each Settling Defendant hereby waives any right to relief from the provisions of this Stipulation in such event, and fully, finally, and forever, settles and releases, upon this Stipulation becoming final, any known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, contingent or non-contingent claim with respect to the subject matter of the Stipulation, whether or not concealed or hidden, and without regard to the subsequent discovery or existence of such different or additional facts.

1. As provided in the Release set forth in Paragraph VII.B, above, the Settling Defendants, including any of Settling Defendants' representatives, successors, agents, affiliates, employees, supervisors, officers, directors, or shareholders, agree to waive and release all rights and benefits which they might otherwise have pursuant to Section 1542 of the California Civil Code with regard to the release of such unknown, unanticipated or misunderstood claims, causes of action, liabilities, indebtedness and obligations.

VIII. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

SETTLEMENT STIPULATION

- 15 -

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

A. No Concession By Any Settling Party

It is understood and agreed that this Stipulation represents the compromise of disputed positions with respect to the relevant facts and law. This Stipulation shall not be deemed a concession by any Settling Party as to any fact or the validity or invalidity of any claim or defense.

B. Best Efforts and Mutual Cooperation.

Settling Plaintiffs and Settling Defendants shall use their best efforts to effectuate this Stipulation and its purpose, and secure the prompt, complete, and final dismissal with prejudice of the Willis Action. The Settling Parties agree to take any and all reasonable steps that may be necessary in that regard, as long as those steps do not require any material deviations from the terms of this Stipulation or impose material new obligations beyond those contemplated by this Stipulation.

The Settling Parties recognize that not all parties to the Coordinated Actions have entered into this Stipulation and that a trial may be necessary as against non-settling parties. The Settling Parties agree to cooperate and coordinate their efforts in any such trial or hearing so as to obtain entry of judgment consistent with the terms of this Stipulation; this provision, however, will not require Willis Class counsel to participate in any such trial or render any efforts absent written agreement of Settling Defendants to compensate them for such efforts. Nor shall this Stipulation preclude Settling Plaintiffs from participating in any further proceedings that may affect their rights.

C. Adjustments Of Settling Parties' Estimates

In the event that the Court enters findings of fact that vary from the estimated amounts that the Settling Parties have agreed to for purposes of this Stipulation (including the length of the Transition Period described in Paragraph V.C.), the Court's findings will be determinative and will supplant the amounts set forth in this Stipulation. For example, if the Court should determine following trial that the Basin's Total Safe Yield is, in fact, 120,000 acre-feet per year (or some other amount), the Court's findings will control.

D. Fees And Costs Of Settling Plaintiff's Counsel SETTLEMENT STIPULATION - 16 -

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The Settling Parties understand that Willis Class counsel intend to seek an award of their fees and costs from the Court. Any such awards will be determined by the Court unless agreed to by the Settling Parties. Settling Defendants will likely oppose the motion for fees and costs. If Willis Class Counsel obtain an award of fees, Settling Defendants agree to exercise their best efforts to pay any fee award within a reasonable period of time or as required pursuant to Court order. Willis Class Counsel agree that they will not seek any attorneys' fees and/or costs from Settling Defendants for any efforts Willis Class Counsel undertake after the Court's entry of Final Judgment approving the Settlement, except with respect to the following: (a) any reasonable and appropriate efforts by Willis Class Counsel to enforce the terms of this Stipulation against Settling Defendants in the event Settling Defendants fail to comply with a provision of this Stipulation; (b) any reasonable and appropriate efforts by Willis Class Counsel to defend against any new or additional claims or causes of action asserted by Settling Defendants against the Willis Class in pleadings or motions filed in the Consolidated Actions; (c) any reasonable and appropriate efforts by Willis Class Counsel that are undertaken in response to a written Court order stating that, pursuant to this provision, Class counsel may seek additional fees for specified efforts from Settling Defendants pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5; (d) any reasonable and appropriate efforts by Willis Class Counsel that are undertaken in response to a written request by Settling Defendants executed by counsel for all Settling Defendants that Class Counsel participate in future aspects of the Consolidated Actions (e.g., the negotiation of a Physical Solution); or (e) any reasonable and appropriate efforts that Willis Class Counsel render to defend a fee award in their favor in the event the Settling Defendants appeal such a fee award and the Court of Appeal affirms the fee award in the amount of 75 percent or more of the fees awarded by the Superior Court. Willis Class Counsel remain free to seek an award of fees from other parties to the litigation.

E. Retention Of Jurisdiction

The Superior Court of the State of California for Los Angeles County shall retain jurisdiction over the implementation, enforcement, and performance of this Stipulation, and shall have exclusive jurisdiction over any suit, action, proceeding, or dispute arising out of or relating SETTLEMENT STIPULATION

- 17 -

to this Stipulation or the applicability of this Stipulation.

F. Choice Of Law

This Stipulation shall be governed and construed by the substantive laws of the State of California.

G. Finality

a. This Stipulation shall be effective on the Effective Date, which shall occur when the Court has entered a Final Judgment approving this Stipulation and one of the following events occurs; (i) if an appeal is taken, the date of final affirmance of the Final Judgment, or if petition for review is granted by California Supreme Court or writ of certiorari is granted by United States Supreme Court, the date of final affirmance of the Final Judgment following review pursuant to such grant; or (ii) the date of final dismissal of any appeal from Final Judgment or the final dismissal of any proceedings on petition to review the Final Judgment; or (iii) if no appeal is filed, the expiration date of the time for filing or noticing of any appeal from the Final Judgment, i.e., sixty (60) days after notice of entry of the Final Judgment.

b. In the event that the Court refuses to approve this Stipulation, or any material part hereof, or if such approval is materially modified or set aside on appeal, or if the Final Judgment is not entered in accordance with this Stipulation, appellate review is sought, and on such review, such Final Judgment is not affirmed as to all material parts, then any of the Settling Parties to the Stipulation have the option to rescind this Stipulation in its entirety. Written notice of the exercise of any such right to rescind shall be made according to the terms of this Paragraph VIII.L below within thirty (30) days of the triggering event.

H. Integrated Agreement

This Stipulation constitutes the entire, complete and integrated agreement among the Settling Parties, and supersedes all prior or contemporaneous undertakings of the Settling Parties in connection herewith. This Stipulation may not be modified or amended except in writing executed by the Settling Parties and approved by the Court. It shall be construed and interpreted to effectuate the intent of the Settling Parties which is to provide, through this Stipulation, for a complete resolution of the relevant claims between the Settling Parties on the terms provided in SETTLEMENT STIPULATION

this Stipulation. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Settling Parties intend and agree that this Stipulation will later be incorporated into a Physical Solution, as defined above, which is consistent with the terms of this Stipulation.

I. Waiver

The waiver by any Settling Party of its rights under any provision of this Stipulation or of any breach of this Stipulation shall not be deemed a waiver of any other provision or subsequent breach of this Stipulation.

J. Intended Beneficiaries

This Stipulation shall be binding upon, and inure to the benefit of, the heirs, successors and assigns of the Settling Plaintiffs and Settling Defendants. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, this Stipulation shall bind each and every subsequent property owner who acquires property in the Basin from a Willis Class Member as well as persons who subsequently acquire such properties.

K. Interpretation and Construction

The terms of this Stipulation have been arrived at by negotiation and mutual agreement, with consideration of and participation by all Settling Parties and with the advice of counsel.

Neither Settling Plaintiffs nor Settling Defendants shall be considered to be the drafter of this Stipulation or any of its provisions for the purpose of any statute, case law, or rule of interpretation or construction that would or might cause any provision to be construed against the drafter of this Stipulation (including but not limited to Civil Code Section 1654). The descriptive headings of any paragraphs or sections of this Stipulation are inserted for convenience only and do not constitute a part of this Stipulation.

L. Notices

Where this Stipulation requires either party to provide notice or any other communication or document to the other, such notice shall be in writing, and such notice, communication, or document shall be provided by personal delivery, facsimile transmission, overnight delivery, or letter sent by United States mail with delivery confirmation. Notice may be provided to the Settling Parties through their counsel of record at the following addresses:

SETTLEMENT STIPULATION

- 19 -

	1		
	2	California Water Service Company:	Attn: President
			California Water Service Company
	3		1720 North First Street
	4	-	San Jose, California 95112
	5	with a copy to:	John Tootle
	6		California Water Service Company
	7		2632 West 237th Street
			Torrance, California 90505
	8	City of Palmdale:	Attn: City Manager
	9		38300 Sierra Highway
R LLP SUITE 400	10		Palmdale, California 93550
LP	11	with a copy to:	James Markman
CES OF RIEGER L FENUE, SC 7 1028 CA 92502	12		Richards, Watson & Gerson
			355 South Grand Avenue, 40th Floor
ΥΑΥ BOX DE, O	13		Los Angeles, California 90071
INCERSITY P.O. F	14	Littlerock Creek Irrigation District:	Attn: General Manager
	15	*	35141 87th Street East
	16		Littlerock, California 93543
3750	17		
	18	with a copy to:	Wayne Lemieux
			Lemieux & O'Neill
	19	ř	2393 Townsgate Rd., Suite 201
	20		Westlake Village, California 91361
	21	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No.	Attn: Director
	22	40:	260 East Avenue K-8
	23		Lancaster, California 93535
	1	with a copy to:	Michael Moore
	24		Los Angeles county Counsel Office
	25		648 Kenneth Hahn Hall of
	26		Administration 500 West Temple Street
	27		Los Angeles, California 90012
	28	with a copy to:	Eric L. Garner
		SETTLEMENT STIPULATION - 2	0 -

	4	
		Palmdal
	5	
	6	
	7	with a co
	8	
	9	
P TE 400	10	Palm Ra
SUITE	11	
S OF EGER NUE, 228	12	
LAW OFFICES OF T BEST & KRIEGEF ERSITY AVENUE, P.O. BOX 1028 VERSIDE, CA 9256	13	with cop
AW OR BEST BEST P.O. B	14	
LA BEST E UNIVER	15	
8 3750 U	16	Quartz I
<u>8</u>	17	
	18	
	19	with cop
	20	
	21	
	22	Phelan P
	23	District:

	Best Best & Krieger LLP
	3750 University Avenue
	P.O.B 1028
	Riverside, California 92502
Palmdale Water District:	Attn: General Manager
	2029 E. Avenue Q
	Palmdale, California 93550
with a copy to:	Thomas Bunn III
	Lagerlof, Senecal, Gosney & Kruse, LLP
	301 North Lake Avenue, 10th floor
	Pasadena, California 91101-4108
Palm Ranch Irrigation District:	Attn: General Manger
	4871 West Avenue M. (Colombia Way)
	Quartz Hill, California 93536
with copy to:	Wayne Lemieux
	Lemieux & O'Neill
	2393 Townsgate Rd., Suite 201
	Westlake Village, California 91361
Quartz Hill Water District:	Attn: General Manager
	42141 N. 50th Street West
	Quartz Hill, California 93536
with copy to:	Bradley Weeks
**	Charlton Weeks LLP
	107 West Avenue M-14, Suite A
	Palmdale, California 93551
Phelan Pinon Hills Community Services	Attn: General Manager
District:	4037 Phelan Road, Suite C-1
	Phelan, California 92371
with copy to:	Francis Logan
	Law Office of Susan Trager
	19712 MacArthur Blvd. #120
	Irvine, California 92612
Rosamond Community Services District:	Attn: General Manager

LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 3750 UNIVERSITY AVENUE, SUITE 400 P.O. BOX 1028 RIVERSIDE, CA 92502
--

	3179 35th Street W
	Rosamond California 93560
with a copy to:	Eric L. Garner
	Best Best & Krieger LLP
	3750 University Avenue
	P.O.Box 1028
	Riverside, California 92502
Willis Class:	Rebecca Lee Willis
With a copy to:	Ralph Kalfayan
	Krause Kalfayan Benink & Slavens LLP
	625 Broadway, Ste. 635
	San Diego, CA 92101

or to such other address as any Settling Party shall, from time to time, specify in the manner provided herein.

M. No Admissions

Neither this Stipulation, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of this Stipulation is or may be deemed to be or may be used as an admission of, or evidence of, (i) the validity of any claim or defense; or (ii) the appropriateness or inappropriateness of any Willis Class Member or other representational capacity, whether contemporaneously with this Stipulation or at any time in the future.

N. Execution

This Stipulation may be executed in counterparts by Settling Plaintiffs and Settling Defendants, and a facsimile signature shall be deemed an original signature for purposes of executing this Stipulation. Each of the undersigned persons represents that he or she is fully authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of and to execute this Stipulation by the party for which he or she has signed the Stipulation.

IN WITNESS HEREOF, the undersigned being duly authorized, have executed this Stipulation on the dates shown below.

Rebecca Lee Willis

Approved as to form by: Ralph Kalfayan

SETTLEMENT STIPULATION

- 22 -

-		,
-		
•	J	
(3	C
h	_	d

	1	By: Rebecca Lee Wells	By: Kaepe Kalfange
	2	California Water Service	Approved as to form by: John Tootle
	4	Cantonna water Service	Approved as to form by: John Tootle
	5	Ву:	Ву:
	6	City of Palmdale	Approved as to form by: James Markman
	7	Ву:	D _W
	8	By.	Ву:
ICES OF KRIEGER LLP VENUE, SUITE 400 X 1028 CA 92502	9	Littlerock Creek Irrigation District	Approved as to form by: Wayne Lemieux
	10	Ву:	Ву:
	11	7	
	12 13	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40	Approved as to form by: Andrea Sheridan Ordin, County Counsel
ST &	14	De ni	· 6/1/27_
ST BE IVERS P.C RIVER	15	By: Clear, Board of Supervisors	By: Warren R. Wellen, Principal Deputy County Counsel
W Z	16		County Counses
3750	17		Approved as to form by: Eric L. Garner
	18	(土)	
	19		Ву:
	20	Attest:	
	21	Sachi A. Hamai, Executive Officer-Clerk Of the Board of	
	22	Supervisors	
	23	By JaChelle Smitherman	
	24	DEPUTY	
	25	Palmdale Water District	Approved as to form by: Tom Bunn
	26	Den	D ₂₁ ,
	27	Ву:	Ву:
	28		
		SETTLEMENT STIPULATION	- 23 -

1-05-CV-049053


Judgment and Physical Solution

APPENDIX A

		1 By:	By:
	4	2	
	3	California Water Service	Approved as to form by: John Tootle
	4	Name of the state	and the second of the second o
	5	By;	Ву:
	6	City of Palmdale	Approved as to form by: James Markman
	7	g .	
	8	By:	Ву:
	9	Littlerock Creek Irrigation District	Approved as to form by: Wayne Lemieux
8	10	By: B) Bones	By: The Gentles
LP JITE 40	11	b). 10 100 vece	By. Haryry Arriving
LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & RRIEGER LLP 3750 UNIVERSITY AVENUE, SUITE 400 P.O. BOX 1028 RIVEHSIDE, CA 92502	12	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40	Approved as to form by: Andrea Sheridan Ordin, County Counsel
OFFICES OF T & KRIEGER IY AVENUE, BOX 1028 DE, CA 925	13	District 140. 40	Andrea Sheridan Ordin, County Counsel
LAW OFF EST BEST & NIVERSITY / P.O. BO RIVERSIDE,	14	By: Chair, Board of Supervisors	By: Warren R. Wellen, Principal Deputy
SE UN OS	15	Chair, Board of Supervisors	County Counsel
m L	16		
	17		Approved as to form by: Eric L. Garner
	18		
	19		Ву:
	20	Attest:	
	21	Sachi A. Hamai, Executive Officer-Clerk Of the Board of	
	22	Supervisors	
	23	Ву:	
	24		
	25	Palmdale Water District	Approved as to form by: Tom Bunn
	26		
	27	Ву:	Ву:
	28		
		SETTLEMENT STIPULATION	- 23 -
	B		

			_
	1	By:	Ву:
	2	California Water Service	Approved as to form by: John Tootle
	4		
	5	Ву:	Ву:
	6	City of Palmdale	Approved as to form by: James Markman
	7	Ву:	Ву:
	8		
00	9	Littlerock Creek Irrigation District	Approved as to form by: Wayne Lemieux
JITE 400	11	Ву:	By:
SGER 1 GGER 1 UE, SI 228 92502	12	Los Angeles County Waterworks	Approved as to form by:
AVEN OX 10	13	District No. 40	Approved as to form by: Andrea Sheridan Ordin, County Counsel
AW OF SEST 8 SSITY SO, B	14	By: C. r.ir, Board or Supervisors	By: Warren R. Wellen, Principal Deputy
BEST BUNNIE	15	C. ar, Board of Supervisors	Warren R. Wellen, Principal Deputy County Counsel
3750 U	16	A TOP S	
m	17	No service of the ser	Approved as to form by: Eric L. Garner
	18	- A	Ву:
	19		
	20	Attest: Sachi A. Hamai,	
	21	Executive Officer-Clerk Of the Board of Supervisors	
	23	Let 14 A : Ha	
	24	DEPUTY	
	25	Palmdale Water District	Approved as to form by: Tom Bunn
	26	DAA	1/ /-
	27	By: Aluis Kallunix	By: Thomas A. 12 11
	28		
		SETTLEMENT STIPULATION	- 23 -

	1		
	2		
	3	Palm Ranch Irrigation District	Approved as to form by: Wayne Lemieux
	4	Ву:	By:
	5	_	
	6	Phelan Pinon Hills Community Services District	Approved as to form by: Francis Logan
	7	Ву:	R _V ,
	8	h /) .	By:
^	9	Quartz Hill Water District	Approved as to form by: Brad Weeks
E 400	10	By: Collect Shielse.	By: Deller
SO2	11	,	
ZES OF SIEGET ENUE, 1028	12	Rosamond Community Services Districts	Approved as to form by: Eric L. Garner
7 & K. 17 & K. 17 & K. 180 X	13	~~	
LAW BEST BEST 3750 UNIVERST P.O. RIVERSI	14	By:	By:
	15		
	16 17		
	18		
	19		
	20		
	21		
	22	4	
	23		
	24		
	25		
	26		
	27		
	28		
		SETTLEMENT STIPULATION	- 24 -
	-		



- 24 -

SETTLEMENT STIPULATION

	1		
	2	Palm Ranch Irrigation District	Approved as to form by: Wayne Lemieux
	4	Ву:	Ву:
	5	3	A many day to Comp for Power's Target
	6	Phelan Pinon Hills Community Services District	Approved as to form by: Francis Logan
	7	Ву:	Ву:
	8		
	9	Quartz Hill Water District	Approved as to form by: Brad Weeks
0	10	Ву:	Ву:
라 6	11		-
LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST X KRIEGER ILLP UNIVERSITY AVENUE, SUITE 400 P.O. BOX 1028 RIVERSIDE, CA 92502	12	Rosamond Community Services Districts	Approved as to form by: Eric L. Garner
OFFICES T & KRIE IY AVENI BCX 10 DE, CA 9	13	Districts	
LAW OFF BEST BEST & 3750 UNIVERSITY A P.O. BO RIVERSIDE,	14	Ву:	Ву:
BEST O UNIN	15		A 7 7 7 7 7 7
375	16	Desert Lake Community Services District	Approved as to form by: Wayne Lemieux
	17	Ву:	Ву:
	18	Бу.	Dy.
	19		A
	20	North Edwards Water District	Approved as to form by: Wayne Lemieux
	21	By Jollie N. Kestopoulas	- James James
	22	BYA (NIW 14: 1) USULGOODES	Ву; - Чіўтю — (11 00)
	23		
	24		
	25		
	26		
	27		
	28	A	
		SETTLEMENT STIPULATION	- 24 -

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

This Stipulation of Settlement (the "Stipulation" or "Agreement") is entered into this 13 day of 2010 by and between California Water Service Company, City of Palmdale, Littlerock Creek Irrigation District, Los Angeles Waterworks District No. 40 ("District 40"), Palmdale Water District, Palm Ranch Irrigation District, Phelan Pinon Hills Community Services District, Quartz Hill Water District, and Rosamond Community Services District, Desert Lake Community Services District and North Edwards Water District (collectively, "Settling Defendants"), on the one hand, and Rebecca Lee Willis and the Willis Class (as more fully defined below), which consists of certain persons who own property(ies) that overly the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin (the "Basin") on which they do not and have not pumped groundwater, on the other hand. Settling Defendants, Rebecca Lee Willis, and the Willis Class are collectively referred to as the "Settling Parties," or individually a "Settling Party." This Stipulation and the Exhibits hereto set forth the terms of a settlement (the "Settlement") between and among the Settling Parties compromising and dismissing the claims and defenses they have asserted in the above-captioned action. The Settlement is subject to approval by the Superior Court of California for Los Angeles County; in the event such approval is denied, cannot be obtained, or is reversed on appeal, this Stipulation shall have no further force or effect, and the Settling Parties shall be returned to their respective positions in the litigation prior to execution of this Stipulation.

I. THE SETTLING PARTIES

- A. The Settling Plaintiffs are Rebecca Lee Willis and the members of the Willis Class, as defined in paragraph II, D below.
 - B. The Settling Defendants are as follows:
- 1. California Water Service Company is a California corporation which extracts groundwater from the Basin to serve customers within the Basin.
- 2. The City of Palmdale is a municipal corporation in the County of Los Angeles which receives water from the Basin.
- 3. Littlerock Creek Irrigation District is a public agency which produces groundwater from the Basin to serve customers within the Basin.

SETTLEMENT STIPULATION

- 2 -

4. Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 ("District 40") is a public
agency governed by the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors. District 40 has been lawfully
organized to perform various functions, including producing water from the Basin, which it
provides to more than 65,000 residential and commercial customers in the Basin.
5. Palmdale Water District is an irrigation district organized and operating

- 5. Palmdale Water District is an irrigation district organized and operating under Division 11 of the California Water Code, which produces groundwater from the Basin to serve customers within the Basin.
- 6. Palm Ranch Irrigation District is a public agency which produces groundwater from the Basin to serve customers within the Basin.
- 7. Rosamond Community Services District is a public agency which produces water from the Basin which it provides to customers within the Basin.
- 8. Quartz Hill Water District is a county water district organized and operating under Division 12 of the California Water Code. It produces water from the Basin.
- 9. Phelan Pinon Hills Community Services District is a public water supplier which produces water from the Basin.
- 10. Desert Lake Community Services District is a public agency which produces groundwater from the Basin.
- 11. North Edwards Water district is a public agency which produces groundwater from the Basin.

II. RECITALS

A. On or about November 29, 2004, District 40 commenced a civil action against Overlying Owners (more specifically defined in III. M) in the Basin, which is now pending in the Superior Court for Los Angeles County, seeking, inter alia, an adjudication of their respective rights to produce groundwater from the Basin. On or about July 11, 2005, that case was coordinated with several quiet title actions that had been brought by Basin landowners, which also sought a declaration of the parties' rights to produce and use the Basin's groundwater.

Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases, No. 1-05-CV049053 (JCCP 4408) (hereinafter the "Coordinated Actions"). The Coordinated Actions are pending before the Honorable Jack Komar.

SETTLEMENT STIPULATION

- 3 -

APPENDIX B

	B.	On or about October 10, 2006, the Court held an initial phase of trial with respect
to	the boundar	ries of the Basin. The Court issued an Order on November 3, 2006, defining the
Ва	sin for purp	poses of this litigation.

- C. On or about January 11, 2007 Plaintiff, Rebecca Lee Willis ("Willis"), filed a class action complaint in the Superior Court of the State of California for Los Angeles County (No. BC 364553) (the "Willis Action") in which she alleged that certain Public Water Suppliers had wrongfully claimed prescriptive rights to the Basin's groundwater. Willis sought, inter alia, a declaration that the Settling Defendants had not obtained prescriptive rights as to her or Willis Class Members (more specifically defined in III.X). On or about April 10, 2007, the Willis Action was coordinated as part of the Coordinated Actions.
- D. By Order dated September 11, 2007 (as amended by Orders dated May 22, 2008 and September 2, 2008), the Court certified Willis as the representative of a Class of certain Overlying Owners (more specifically defined in if III.M. below) pursuant to Section 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure and Division 7, Chapter 6 of the Rules of Court.
- E. In early January 2009, Notice of the Pendency of the Willis Action was sent by first class mail to all Willis Class Members (more specifically defined in III.X below) who could be identified with reasonable effort and a summary notice was published. The deadline for putative Willis Class Members to exclude themselves (as extended) expired on August 30, 2009. The Court has made various orders allowing certain parties to rejoin the Willis Class.
- F. The Settling Parties have actively discussed potential settlement for much of this year. On or about September 2, 2009, the Settling Parties engaged in mediation before the Honorable Ronald Robie during the course of which counsel for most of the parties reached an agreement in principle to settle the Willis Action, subject to the negotiation of a final settlement agreement, client approvals, and approval by the Court.
- G. On or about February 19, 2010, the Court entered an Order Transferring and Consolidating Actions for All Purposes (hereinafter the "Consolidated Actions").
- H. Over the course of the last three years, the Settling Plaintiffs' counsel have conducted a thorough investigation of the facts and law relating to the matters at issue in the SETTLEMENT STIPULATION

 4 -

Consolidated Actions and have evaluated the merits of all Settling Parties' contentions and the
impact this Settlement will have on the Willis Class Members. After evaluating the foregoing, the
Settling Plaintiffs and counsel are satisfied that the terms and conditions of this Stipulation are
fair, reasonable, and adequate, and that the Settlement is in the best interest of the Willis Class
Members.
The Cattline Defendants contend that they have a single or interesting the state of

- I. The Settling Defendants contend that they have prescriptive rights to substantially more than 15% of the Basin's Native Safe Yield. The Settling Plaintiffs contend that the Settling Defendants have no such prescriptive rights as to them. This Settlement reflects a compromise between the Settling Parties and shall not (1) be construed as an admission or concession by any Settling Party of the truth of any allegation or the validity of any claim or defense asserted in any of the pleadings, (2) be construed to prejudice the rights, claims, or defenses of any persons who are not Settling Parties, or (3) be construed to prejudice the rights, claims, or defenses (whether asserted or potential) of any Settling Party vis-à-vis any non-settling party.
- J. The United States owns property within the Basin as to which it claims a Federal Reserved Right to produce groundwater.

III. DEFINITIONS

The following terms used in this Stipulation shall have the meanings set forth below:

- A. "Assessments" means any monetary or other levy or charge imposed as part of a Physical Solution.
- B. "Basin" means the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin as defined in the Court's Order of November 3, 2006.
- C. "Consolidated Actions" means all actions that have been or subsequently were coordinated as part of Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408 and all actions that have been or subsequently were consolidated pursuant to the Court's Order from February 19, 2010.
- D. "Correlative Rights" means the principle of California law, articulated in Katz v. Walkinshaw (1903) 141 Cal. 116 and subsequent cases, that Overlying Owners may make reasonable and beneficial use of the water in a Basin and that, if the supply of water is insufficient SETTLEMENT STIPULATION

 -5-

for all reasonable and beneficial needs, each Overlying Owner is entitled to a fair and just proportion of the water available to the Overlying Owners.

- E. "Court" means the Honorable Jack Komar, sitting by designation as a Judge of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County or such other Judge as may be designated by the Judicial Conference to hear JCCP No. 4408.
- F. "Effective Date" means the date on which the Court's Judgment granting final approval to the Settlement becomes final and not subject to further appeal.
- G. "Federal Reserved Right" is the principle originally articulated in Winters v. United States (1908) 207 U.S. 564 and more recently in *Cappaert v. United States* (1976) 426 U.S. 128, which holds that when the Federal Government reserves land from the public domain, it impliedly reserves sufficient water to serve the purposes for which the lands were reserved, and the quantity of reserved water is limited to the amount necessary to fulfill the purposes of the reserved land. The United States contends that the Federal Reserved Right entitles the United States to a prior and paramount right to a portion of the Native Safe Yield.
- H. "Federally Adjusted Native Safe Yield" for any given year means the Basin's Native Safe Yield less the actual annual production of the United States' during the prior year pursuant to its Federal Reserved Right.
- I. "Final Judgment" means a final judgment to be entered by the Court in the above matter, which approves the terms and provisions of this Stipulation, and is substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- J. "Imported Water" means water that enters the Basin and that originates outside the Basin that is not part of the Basin's Native Safe Yield, and that, absent human intervention, would not recharge or be used in the Basin. Imported Water does not include water purchased by the Watermaster with Replacement Assessments or bottled water.
- K. "Native Safe Yield" means the amount of pumping, which under a given set of land use and other prevailing cultural conditions, generates Return Flows that, when combined with naturally occurring groundwater recharge to the Basin, results in no long-term depletion of

SETTLEMENT STIPULATION

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Basin groundwater storage. Pumping of the Settling Parties' share of Native Safe Yield is not subject to any Replacement Assessment.

- "Overlying Right" means the appurtenant right of an Overlying Owner to use L. groundwater from the Native Safe Yield for overlying reasonable and beneficial use.
- M. "Overlying Owners" means owners of land overlying the Basin who hold an Overlying Right.
- N. "Physical Solution" means a mechanism that comprehensively resolves the competing claims to the Basin's water and provides for the management of the Basin. The Settling Parties anticipate that this Settlement will later be incorporated into a Physical Solution.
- O. "Preliminary Approval Order" means the Court's Order granting preliminary approval to the Settlement set forth herein, directing the manner in which notice of the Settlement shall be provided to the Willis Class, and scheduling a final Hearing for the Court to consider whether to approve the Settlement. The Settling Parties will submit a proposed Preliminary Approval Order in the form appended as Exhibit B hereto.
- P. "Recycled Water" means water which, as a result of treatment of waste, is suitable for a direct beneficial use or a controlled use that would not otherwise occur and is therefore considered a valuable resource..
- Q. "Replacement Assessment" means the charge imposed on any Settling Party by the Watermaster for producing more water than it is entitled to produce from the Basin under the terms of this Settlement or pursuant to such further orders as the Court may enter in the Coordinated Actions.
- "Replacement Water" means water purchased by the Watermaster to offset R. production in excess of a Settling Party's share of Total Safe Yield.
- S. "Return Flows" means the amount of water that is put to reasonable and beneficial agricultural, municipal or other use and thereafter returns to the Basin and is part of the Basin's Total Safe Yield.
 - T. "Settlement" means this Stipulation, including the Exhibits appended hereto.

SETTLEMENT STIPULATION

U. "Total Safe Yield" means the amount of pumping, which under a given set of land		
use and other prevailing cultural conditions generates Return Flows that, when combined with		
naturally occurring groundwater recharge to the Basin and Return Flows derived from Imported		
Water, results in no long-term depletion of Basin groundwater storage.		

- V. "Transition Period" means the period of time provided for in the Physical Solution during which the parties' right to produce water from the Native Safe Yield free from Replacement Assessment will decrease to amounts that total no more than that party's share of Native Safe Yield.
- W. "Watermaster" means the person or entity appointed by the Court to monitor and manage the Basin's groundwater, subject to oversight by the Court.
- X. "Willis Class" or "Willis Class Members" means the Willis Class as defined in the Court's Order of September 11, 2007, as amended by the Court's Orders of May 22, 2008, and September 2, 2008, but shall exclude all persons who timely excluded themselves from the Willis Class and have not rejoined the Willis Class. The Willis Class consists of the following:

"All private (i.e., non-governmental) persons and entities that own real property within the Basin, as adjudicated, that are not presently pumping water on their property and have not done so at any prior time ("the Class"). The Class includes the successors-in-interest by way of purchase, gift, inheritance, or otherwise of such landowners.

The Class excludes the defendants herein, any person, firm, trust, corporation, or other entity in which any defendant has a controlling interest or which is related to or affiliated with any of the defendants, and the representatives, heirs, affiliates, successors-ininterest or assigns of any such excluded party. The Class also excludes all persons to the extent their properties are connected and receive service from a municipal water system, public utility, or mutual water company. The Class shall [further] exclude all property(ies) that are listed as 'improved' by the Los Angeles County or Kern County Assesor's' office, unless the owners of such properties declare under penalty of perjury that they do not pump and have never pumped water on those properties."

IV. SETTLEMENT TERMS

In consideration of the covenants and agreements set forth herein, and of the releases and dismissals described below, the Settling Parties agree to settle and compromise the claims that have been asserted or that could have been asserted between and among the Willis Class and the

SETTLEMENT STIPULATION

-8-

Settling Defendants, subject to Court approval, on the following terms and conditions:

A. Native Safe Yield.

Settling Defendants and the United States contend that the best estimate of the Basin's Native Safe Yield is 82,300 acre-feet per year. The Willis Class agrees not to challenge or otherwise contest the Native Safe Yield proposed by the Settling Defendants as long as it is at least 82,300 acre-feet per year. The Settling Parties understand and agree that, in the absence of stipulation by all parties in the Coordinated Actions, the Court will decide the Basin's Native Safe Yield following trial, and the Settling Parties agree to be bound by the Court's determination in that regard even if some or all of them do not participate in such a trial.

B. Total Safe Yield.

The Settling Defendants contend that the best estimate of the Basin's Total Safe Yield is 110,500 acre-feet per year. The Willis Class agrees not to challenge or otherwise contest that estimate. The Settling Parties understand and agree that, in the absence of stipulation by all parties in the Coordinated Actions, the Court will decide the Basin's Total Safe Yield following trial, and the Settling Parties agree to be bound by the Court's determination in that regard even if some or all of them do not participate in such a trial.

C. Federal Reserved Right.

The United States contends that it is entitled to a Federal Reserved Right. The Settling

Parties agree that the Federal Government has a Federal Reserved Right to use a portion of the

Native Safe Yield. The Settling Parties agree that the Court will decide the amount of the Federal

Reserved Right and they agree to be bound by the Court's determination.

D. Allocation Of Federally Adjusted Native Safe Yield.

The Settling Parties agree to be bound by the Court's determination of the amounts of the Basin's Native Safe Yield and the United States' Federal Reserved Right. The Basin's Federally Adjusted Native Safe Yield shall be the Basin's Native Safe Yield less the prior year's production of water by the United States (not to exceed the Federal Reserved Right). The Settling Parties agree that the Settling Defendants and the Willis Class Members each have rights to produce groundwater from the Basin's Federally Adjusted Native Safe Yield.

SETTLEMENT STIPULATION

-9-

1. Settling Defendants' Water Rights

Settling Defendants have asserted in the Coordinated Actions that they have obtained prescriptive rights to the Basin's Native Safe Yield. This Stipulation shall neither be construed to recognize prescriptive rights nor to limit the Settling Defendants' prescriptive claims vis-a-vis the Basin or any non-settling parties, but rather as an agreement to fairly allocate the Settling Parties' respective rights to use the Basin's water. The Settling Parties agree that the Settling Defendants collectively have the right to produce up to 15% of the Basin's Federally Adjusted Native Safe Yield free of any Replacement Assessment. The Willis Class will not take any positions or enter into any agreements that are inconsistent with the exercise of the Settling Defendants' rights.

2. Willis Class Members' Pumping Rights

The Settling Parties agree that the Willis Class Members have an Overlying Right to a correlative share of 85% of the Federally Adjusted Native Safe Yield for reasonable and beneficial uses on their overlying land free of any Replacement Assessment. The Settling Defendants will not take any positions or enter into any agreements that are inconsistent with the exercise of the Willis Class Members' Overlying Right to produce and use their correlative share of 85% of the Basin's Federally Adjusted Native Safe Yield.

a. Safe Harbor.

The Willis Class Members acknowledge that the Settling Defendants may at trial prove prescriptive rights against all groundwater pumping in the Basin during a prior prescriptive period. If the Settling Defendants do prove prescriptive rights, Settling Defendants shall not exercise their prescriptive rights to diminish the Willis Class Members' Overlying Right below a correlative share of 85% of the Basin's Federally Adjusted Native Safe Yield. If the Settling Defendants fail to prove any prescriptive rights, this Agreement shall not diminish at all the rights of Willis Class Members to make reasonable and beneficial use of a correlative share of the Basin's Federally Adjusted Native Safe Yield. In no event shall this Agreement require the Willis Class Members to give to the Settling Defendants more than 15% of any rights to use the Basin's groundwater that they may obtain by way of settlement or judgment. If there is a subsequent Court decision whereby the Court determines that the Willis Class Members do not SETTLEMENT STIPULATION

- 10 -

have Overlying Rights, this Agreement shall not require Settling Defendants to give the Willis Class Members any right to pump from the Native Safe Yield.

3. Correlative Rights Of Overlying Landowners

The Willis Class Members recognize that other Overlying Owners may have the right to pump correlatively with them 85% of the Federally Adjusted Native Safe Yield of the Basin for reasonable and beneficial uses on their overlying land.

4. Return Flows From Imported Water

a. The Settling Parties acknowledge and agree that they all have the right to recapture Return Flows from Imported Water that they put to reasonable and beneficial use in the Basin, consistent with California law. The Settling Parties will not be subject to any Replacement Assessment for their production of an amount equal to the Return Flows from Imported Water that they put to reasonable and beneficial use in the Basin.

b. Settling Defendants believe that the best estimates of Return Flows from Imported Water are (a) 25% of the water used for agricultural purposes and (b) 28% of the water used for municipal and industrial purposes. Settling Defendants further believe that the best estimate of total annual Return Flows from Imported Water is 28,200 acre-feet of which 25,100 acre-feet is from municipal and industrial use and 3,100 acre-feet is from agricultural use. The Willis Class agrees not to contest those estimates, and all Settling Parties agree to be bound by any findings that may later be made by the Court with respect thereto.

V. MANAGEMENT OF THE BASIN

A. General

The Settling Parties agree that the Basin has limited water resources and that they should use their best efforts to conserve and maximize reasonable and beneficial use. The Settling Parties further agree that there is a need to create a groundwater management plan to ensure that pumping from the Basin does not exceed the Basin's Total Safe Yield and that the Court should appoint a Watermaster to oversee the management of the Basin's water resources.

B. Physical Solution

The Settling Parties expect and intend that this Stipulation will become part of a Physical SETTLEMENT STIPULATION

- 11 -

Solution entered by the Court to manage the Basin and that the Court will retain jurisdiction in the Coordinated Actions. The Settling Parties agree to be part of such a Physical Solution to the extent it is consistent with the terms of this Stipulation and to be subject to Court-administered rules and regulations consistent with California and Federal law and the terms of this Stipulation. The Settling Parties agree that the Physical Solution may require installation of a meter on any groundwater pump by a Willis Class Member before a Willis Class Member may produce groundwater. The responsibility for the cost of such meters will be determined by the Court.

C. Transition Period.

The Settling Parties agree that net groundwater production from the Basin needs to be reduced over a period of time from current levels to no more than the Basin's Total Safe Yield. This can be accomplished by reducing pumping and/or purchasing Replacement Water. The Settling Parties agree that the Transition Period should begin at the date of entry of Final Judgment in the Coordinated Actions and should last seven years. During the first two years of the Transition Period no effort will be made to curtail groundwater pumping and no Replacement Assessments will be made. By the end of the seventh year of the Transition Period, groundwater pumping from the Basin without Replacement Assessment for Replacement Water will not exceed the Native Safe Yield.

D. Replacement Water.

The Settling Parties recognize the right of any Settling Party to produce groundwater from the Basin above their share of the Native Safe Yield, subject to the Physical Solution and to any Replacement Assessment. The Settling Parties agree to provide or purchase Imported Water for all groundwater pumping that exceeds a Settling Party's share of the Federally Adjusted Native Safe Yield. The Settling Parties agree that any Settling Party who produces more than its annual share of the Federally Adjusted Native Safe Yield in any year will be responsible to provide Replacement Water or pay a Replacement Assessment to the Watermaster so that the Watermaster can purchase Imported Water to recharge the Basin.

E. Water Storage

The Settling Parties agree that water storage in the Basin offers significant benefits and SETTLEMENT STIPULATION - 12 -

should be encouraged. The Settling Parties further recognize that there is a limit on the Basin's available storage space and that the storage of water for uses within the Basin should have priority over storage for use outside the Basin. Subject to those general principles, the Settling Parties agree that water storage should be permitted and encouraged and agree to support appropriate provisions in the Physical Solution.

F. Recycled Water

The Settling Parties agree that it is important to encourage the treatment and use of Recycled Water. The Willis Class agrees not to challenge or otherwise contest Settling Defendants' claims to Return Flows from Recycled Water that was reclaimed by the Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County.

VI. PROCEDURES FOR CLASS NOTICE AND HEARING ON MOTIONS FOR PRELIMINARY AND FINAL APPROVAL OF STIPULATION

A. Preliminary Approval Motion and Settlement Notice.

Settling Plaintiffs shall file a motion for preliminary approval ("Preliminary Approval Motion") of the terms of the Settlement as soon as practicable following execution of this Stipulation by all Settling Parties. The Preliminary Approval Motion will seek entry of an Order Preliminarily Approving Class Action Settlement. The Preliminary Approval Motion shall include a proposed form of notice describing this Stipulation (the "Settlement Notice") to be disseminated to the Willis Class as well as a description of the procedures to be used in disseminating the Settlement Notice. The Settlement Notice shall be disseminated to all Willis Class Members by or under the supervision of counsel for District 40, with the expenses to be borne by District 40. The Settling Parties will attempt to agree upon the language for the Settlement Notice, but agree to be bound by the Court's determination in the event they have any disputes or disagreements in that regard. The Settling Parties agree to use their best efforts to have the Preliminary Approval Motion heard as promptly as is practical.

B. Final Approval Hearing.

The Settlement Notice will advise Willis Class Members of the date and time set for a Hearing on the Settling Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of the Stipulation, including SETTLEMENT STIPULATION

- 13 -

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

advising them of their rights to submit statements in support of or opposition to the Stipulation. The Final Approval Motion shall request that this Court find that the Stipulation and Proposed Final Judgment are fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Willis Class and shall seek entry of a Final Judgment substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A.

VII. RELEASES AND DISMISSALS

A. Release By Settling Plaintiffs

In addition to the effect of any Final Judgment entered in accordance with this Stipulation, upon this Stipulation becoming final as set out in Section VIII, Paragraph G of this Stipulation, and in consideration for the settlement consideration set forth above, and for other valuable consideration, the Settling Plaintiffs shall completely release, acquit and forever discharge the Settling Defendants from any and all claims, demands, actions, suits, causes of action, whether class, individual, or otherwise in nature that Settling Plaintiffs, or each of them, ever had, now has, or hereafter can, shall, or may have on account of or in any way arising out of. any and all known or unknown, foreseen or unforeseen, suspected or unsuspected injuries, damages, and the consequences thereof in any way arising out of or relating in any way to the matters at issue in the Willis Action ("Released Claims"). Each Settling Plaintiff may hereafter discover facts other than or different from those which he, she, or it knows or believes to be true with respect to the claims which are the subject matter of this Stipulation, but each Settling Plaintiff hereby expressly waives and fully, finally, and forever, settles and releases, upon this Stipulation becoming final, any known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, contingent or noncontingent claim with respect to the subject matter of the Stipulation, whether or not concealed or hidden, without regard to the subsequent discovery or existence of such different or additional facts. As provided in the Release set forth above, the Settling Plaintiffs, including any of Settling Plaintiffs' representatives, successors, agents, affiliates, employees, supervisors, officers, directors, or shareholders, agree to waive and release all rights and benefits which they might otherwise have pursuant to Section 1542 of the California Civil Code with regard to the release of such unknown, unanticipated or misunderstood claims, causes of action, liabilities, indebtedness and obligations.

SETTLEMENT STIPULATION

- 14 -

2. The Release set forth in Paragraph VII.A, above, does not include claims by any of the Settling Plaintiffs other than the claims set forth therein. In particular, the Settling Parties recognize that many persons own more than one parcel of land within the Basin. The foregoing Release only binds Willis Class Members and only with respect to those properties within the Basin on which they have not pumped water.

B. Release By Settling Defendants

In addition to the effect of any Final Judgment entered in accordance with this Stipulation, upon this Stipulation becoming final as set out in Paragraph VIII.G of this Stipulation, and in consideration of the settlement consideration set forth above, and for other valuable consideration, the Settling Defendants completely release, acquit and forever discharge Settling Plaintiffs and the Willis Class Members from any and all claims, demands, actions, suits, causes of action, whether class, individual, or otherwise in nature that Settling Defendants, or any of them, ever had, now has, or hereafter can, shall, or may have arising from or relating in any way to the matters at issue in the Willis Action ("Released Claims"). Each Settling Defendant may hereafter discover facts other than or different from those which he, she, or it knows or believes to be true with respect to the claims which are the subject matter of this Stipulation, but each Settling Defendant hereby waives any right to relief from the provisions of this Stipulation in such event, and fully, finally, and forever, settles and releases, upon this Stipulation becoming final, any known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, contingent or non-contingent claim with respect to the subject matter of the Stipulation, whether or not concealed or hidden, and without regard to the subsequent discovery or existence of such different or additional facts.

1. As provided in the Release set forth in Paragraph VII.B, above, the Settling Defendants, including any of Settling Defendants' representatives, successors, agents, affiliates, employees, supervisors, officers, directors, or shareholders, agree to waive and release all rights and benefits which they might otherwise have pursuant to Section 1542 of the California Civil Code with regard to the release of such unknown, unanticipated or misunderstood claims, causes of action, liabilities, indebtedness and obligations.

VIII. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

SETTLEMENT STIPULATION

- 15 -

A. No Concession By Any Settling Party

It is understood and agreed that this Stipulation represents the compromise of disputed positions with respect to the relevant facts and law. This Stipulation shall not be deemed a concession by any Settling Party as to any fact or the validity or invalidity of any claim or defense.

B. Best Efforts and Mutual Cooperation.

Settling Plaintiffs and Settling Defendants shall use their best efforts to effectuate this Stipulation and its purpose, and secure the prompt, complete, and final dismissal with prejudice of the Willis Action. The Settling Parties agree to take any and all reasonable steps that may be necessary in that regard, as long as those steps do not require any material deviations from the terms of this Stipulation or impose material new obligations beyond those contemplated by this Stipulation.

The Settling Parties recognize that not all parties to the Coordinated Actions have entered into this Stipulation and that a trial may be necessary as against non-settling parties. The Settling Parties agree to cooperate and coordinate their efforts in any such trial or hearing so as to obtain entry of judgment consistent with the terms of this Stipulation; this provision, however, will not require Willis Class counsel to participate in any such trial or render any efforts absent written agreement of Settling Defendants to compensate them for such efforts. Nor shall this Stipulation preclude Settling Plaintiffs from participating in any further proceedings that may affect their rights.

C. Adjustments Of Settling Parties' Estimates

In the event that the Court enters findings of fact that vary from the estimated amounts that the Settling Parties have agreed to for purposes of this Stipulation (including the length of the Transition Period described in Paragraph V.C.), the Court's findings will be determinative and will supplant the amounts set forth in this Stipulation. For example, if the Court should determine following trial that the Basin's Total Safe Yield is, in fact, 120,000 acre-feet per year (or some other amount), the Court's findings will control.

D. Fees And Costs Of Settling Plaintiff's Counsel SETTLEMENT STIPULATION - 16 -

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The Settling Parties understand that Willis Class counsel intend to seek an award of their fees and costs from the Court. Any such awards will be determined by the Court unless agreed to by the Settling Parties. Settling Defendants will likely oppose the motion for fees and costs. If Willis Class Counsel obtain an award of fees, Settling Defendants agree to exercise their best efforts to pay any fee award within a reasonable period of time or as required pursuant to Court order. Willis Class Counsel agree that they will not seek any attorneys' fees and/or costs from Settling Defendants for any efforts Willis Class Counsel undertake after the Court's entry of Final Judgment approving the Settlement, except with respect to the following: (a) any reasonable and appropriate efforts by Willis Class Counsel to enforce the terms of this Stipulation against Settling Defendants in the event Settling Defendants fail to comply with a provision of this Stipulation; (b) any reasonable and appropriate efforts by Willis Class Counsel to defend against any new or additional claims or causes of action asserted by Settling Defendants against the Willis Class in pleadings or motions filed in the Consolidated Actions; (c) any reasonable and appropriate efforts by Willis Class Counsel that are undertaken in response to a written Court order stating that, pursuant to this provision, Class counsel may seek additional fees for specified efforts from Settling Defendants pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5; (d) any reasonable and appropriate efforts by Willis Class Counsel that are undertaken in response to a written request by Settling Defendants executed by counsel for all Settling Defendants that Class Counsel participate in future aspects of the Consolidated Actions (e.g., the negotiation of a Physical Solution); or (e) any reasonable and appropriate efforts that Willis Class Counsel render to defend a fee award in their favor in the event the Settling Defendants appeal such a fee award and the Court of Appeal affirms the fee award in the amount of 75 percent or more of the fees awarded by the Superior Court. Willis Class Counsel remain free to seek an award of fees from other parties to the litigation.

E. Retention Of Jurisdiction

The Superior Court of the State of California for Los Angeles County shall retain jurisdiction over the implementation, enforcement, and performance of this Stipulation, and shall have exclusive jurisdiction over any suit, action, proceeding, or dispute arising out of or relating SETTLEMENT STIPULATION

- 17 -

to this Stipulation or the applicability of this Stipulation.

F. Choice Of Law

This Stipulation shall be governed and construed by the substantive laws of the State of California.

G. Finality

a. This Stipulation shall be effective on the Effective Date, which shall occur when the Court has entered a Final Judgment approving this Stipulation and one of the following events occurs; (i) if an appeal is taken, the date of final affirmance of the Final Judgment, or if petition for review is granted by California Supreme Court or writ of certiorari is granted by United States Supreme Court, the date of final affirmance of the Final Judgment following review pursuant to such grant; or (ii) the date of final dismissal of any appeal from Final Judgment or the final dismissal of any proceedings on petition to review the Final Judgment; or (iii) if no appeal is filed, the expiration date of the time for filing or noticing of any appeal from the Final Judgment, i.e., sixty (60) days after notice of entry of the Final Judgment.

b. In the event that the Court refuses to approve this Stipulation, or any material part hereof, or if such approval is materially modified or set aside on appeal, or if the Final Judgment is not entered in accordance with this Stipulation, appellate review is sought, and on such review, such Final Judgment is not affirmed as to all material parts, then any of the Settling Parties to the Stipulation have the option to rescind this Stipulation in its entirety. Written notice of the exercise of any such right to rescind shall be made according to the terms of this Paragraph VIII.L below within thirty (30) days of the triggering event.

H. Integrated Agreement

This Stipulation constitutes the entire, complete and integrated agreement among the Settling Parties, and supersedes all prior or contemporaneous undertakings of the Settling Parties in connection herewith. This Stipulation may not be modified or amended except in writing executed by the Settling Parties and approved by the Court. It shall be construed and interpreted to effectuate the intent of the Settling Parties which is to provide, through this Stipulation, for a complete resolution of the relevant claims between the Settling Parties on the terms provided in SETTLEMENT STIPULATION

- 18 -

this Stipulation. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Settling Parties intend and agree that this Stipulation will later be incorporated into a Physical Solution, as defined above, which is consistent with the terms of this Stipulation.

I. Waiver

The waiver by any Settling Party of its rights under any provision of this Stipulation or of any breach of this Stipulation shall not be deemed a waiver of any other provision or subsequent breach of this Stipulation.

J. Intended Beneficiaries

This Stipulation shall be binding upon, and inure to the benefit of, the heirs, successors and assigns of the Settling Plaintiffs and Settling Defendants. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, this Stipulation shall bind each and every subsequent property owner who acquires property in the Basin from a Willis Class Member as well as persons who subsequently acquire such properties.

K. Interpretation and Construction

The terms of this Stipulation have been arrived at by negotiation and mutual agreement, with consideration of and participation by all Settling Parties and with the advice of counsel.

Neither Settling Plaintiffs nor Settling Defendants shall be considered to be the drafter of this Stipulation or any of its provisions for the purpose of any statute, case law, or rule of interpretation or construction that would or might cause any provision to be construed against the drafter of this Stipulation (including but not limited to Civil Code Section 1654). The descriptive headings of any paragraphs or sections of this Stipulation are inserted for convenience only and do not constitute a part of this Stipulation.

L. Notices

Where this Stipulation requires either party to provide notice or any other communication or document to the other, such notice shall be in writing, and such notice, communication, or document shall be provided by personal delivery, facsimile transmission, overnight delivery, or letter sent by United States mail with delivery confirmation. Notice may be provided to the Settling Parties through their counsel of record at the following addresses:

SETTLEMENT STIPULATION

- 19 -

- 20 -

SETTLEMENT STIPULATION

-21 -

LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP	3750 UNIVERSITY AVENUE, SUITE 400	P.O. BOX 1028	RIVERSIDE CA 92502

	3179 35th Street W
	Rosamond California 93560
with a copy to:	Eric L. Garner
	Best Best & Krieger LLP
	3750 University Avenue
	P.O.Box 1028
	Riverside, California 92502
Willis Class:	Rebecca Lee Willis
With a copy to:	Ralph Kalfayan
	Krause Kalfayan Benink & Slavens LLP
	625 Broadway, Ste. 635
	San Diego, CA 92101

or to such other address as any Settling Party shall, from time to time, specify in the manner provided herein.

M. No Admissions

Neither this Stipulation, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of this Stipulation is or may be deemed to be or may be used as an admission of, or evidence of, (i) the validity of any claim or defense; or (ii) the appropriateness or inappropriateness of any Willis Class Member or other representational capacity, whether contemporaneously with this Stipulation or at any time in the future.

N. Execution

This Stipulation may be executed in counterparts by Settling Plaintiffs and Settling Defendants, and a facsimile signature shall be deemed an original signature for purposes of executing this Stipulation. Each of the undersigned persons represents that he or she is fully authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of and to execute this Stipulation by the party for which he or she has signed the Stipulation.

IN WITNESS HEREOF, the undersigned being duly authorized, have executed this Stipulation on the dates shown below.

Rebecca Lee Willis Approved as to form by: Ralph Kalfayan SETTLEMENT STIPULATION - 22 -

_
V
Q

	1	By: Rebecca Lee Willis	By: Deeph Kalfang
	2		
	3	California Water Service	Approved as to form by: John Tootle
	4	By:	Ву:
	5		
	6 7	City of Palmdale	Approved as to form by: James Markman
	8	By:	Ву:
	9	Littlerock Creek Irrigation District	Approved as to form by: Wayne Lemieux
400	10	Billiotok Grook inigation Biotilo	
3 LLP SUITE 400 02	11	By:	By:
ALEGER INUE, 1028 A 925(12	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40	Approved as to form by: Andrea Sheridan Ordin, County Counsel
AAKE BOX F. C.	13		
r Best Ersit P.O. Versi	14	By: Cour, Board of Supervisors	By: Warren R. Wellen, Principal Deputy
BEST BE UNIVERS P.	15 16	01 10 A	County Counsel
3750	17		Approved as to form by: Eric L. Garner
	18		Approved as to form by. Enc L. Gamer
	19		By:
	20	Attest:	
	21	Sachi A. Hamai, Executive Officer-Clerk Of the Board of	
	22	Supervisors	
	23	By Jackelle Smithernan	
	24		
	25 26	Palmdale Water District	Approved as to form by: Tom Bunn
	27	By:	By:
	28		
		SETTLEMENT STIPULATION	- 23 -


1-05-CV-049053 Judgment and Physical Solution APPENDIX B

	1	By:	Ву:
	2		
	3	California Water Service	Approved as to form by: John Tootle
v	4		
	, 5	By:	Ву:
•	6	City of Palmdale	Approved as to form by: James Markman
	7	By:	Ву:
	8	Бу	133.
	9	Littlerock Creek Irrigation District	Approved as to form by: Wayne Lemieux
0	10	By BO BONGA	By: TOMMI GRAMBS
и Д	11	By: B) Bones	2). I WY W ANTIVOY
S OF EGER I VUE, S OZ 8 9250	12	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40	Approved as to form by: Andrea Sheridan Ordin, County Counsel
A X N	13	District No. 40	Alidiou Biloridani Gram, Goding Godinor
LAW OFF BEST BEST & 3750 UNIVERSITY A P.O. BO) RIVERSIDE,	14	By: Chair, Board of Supervisors	By: Warren R. Wellen, Principal Deputy
BEST 750 UNIV RIVI	15	Chan, Doard of Supervisors	County Counsel
(b)	16		
	17	<u>.</u>	Approved as to form by: Eric L. Garner
	18		By:
	19		БУ.
	20	Attest:	
	21	Sachi A. Hamai, Executive Officer-Clerk Of the Board of Supervisors	
	22	PulberArgorg	
	23	Ву:	
	24	•	•
	25	Palmdale Water District	Approved as to form by: Tom Bunn
	26	Th	D _W
	27	By:	By:
	28		
		SETTLEMENT STIPULATION	- 23 -

*	1	Ву:	By:
	2		
	3	California Water Service	Approved as to form by: John Tootle
	5	By:	By:
	6	City of Palmdale	Approved as to form by: James Markman
	7	By:	Dvo
	8	Бу.	By:
0	9	Littlerock Creek Irrigation District	Approved as to form by: Wayne Lemieux
т Е 400	10	Ву:	Rv
R LLF SUIT 502	11	Dy.	By:
ES OF RIEGE SNUE, 1028 A 926	12	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40	Approved as to form by: Andrea Sheridan Ordin, County Counsel
PFFIC PFFIC BOX DE, C.	13		1/1/2
AW C BEST RSIT P.O. I ERSIT	14	By: Coar, Board of Supervisors	By: Walland Divide
RIV RIV	15	Cirar, Board of Supervisors	Warren R. Wellen, Principal Deputy County Counsel
3750 L	16		
m	17		Approved as to form by: Eric L. Garner
	18		n
	19		By:
	20	Attest:	
	21	Sachi A. Hamai, Executive Officer-Clerk Of the Board of	
	22	Supervisors	
	23	By JaChelle Smitherman	
	24	DEPOTY	
	25	Palmdale Water District	Approved as to form by: Tom Bunn
	26	D. PMI	11. 12-
	27	By: Mins farming	By: V Nowas A A
	28		,
		SETTLEMENT STIPULATION	- 23 -

	1		•
	2 3 4	Palm Ranch Irrigation District	Approved as to form by: Wayne Lemieux By:
	5 6	Phelan Pinon Hills Community	Approved as to form by: Francis Logan
0	7 8	By:	By:
	9	Quartz Hill Water District	Approved as to form by: Brad Weeks
	10	Ву:	By:
LP 11E 40	11		Dy.
LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP UNIVERSITY AVENUE, SUITE 400 P.O. BOX 1028 RIVERSIDE, CA 92502	12	Rosamond Community Services Districts	Approved as to form by: Eric L. Garner
E M < 9 !	13		
LAW OFFICE EST BEST & KRI NIVERSITY AVEI P.O. BOX 1 RIVERSIDE, CA	14	Ву:	Ву:
LAW OI BEST BEST 3750 UNIVERSITY P.O. B	15 16	Desert Lake Community Services District	Approved as to form by: Wayne Lemieux
	17		
•	18	By:	Ву:
	19		
	20	North Edwards Water District	Approved as to form by: Wayne Lemieux
	21		_
	22	Ву:	Ву:
	23		
	24		
	25		
	26		
	27		
	28		
		SETTLEMENT STIPULATION	- 24 -

	1		
	2	Palm Ranch Irrigation District	Approved as to form by: Wayne Lemieux
	4	Ву:	Ву:
	5 6	Phelan Pinon Hills Community Services District	Approved as to form by: Francis Logan
	7 8	By:	Ву:
	9	Quartz Hill Water District	Approved as to form by: Brad Weeks
т Е 400	10	By: Clary The RSR.	By:
0F 7ER LL 1E, SUL 8 2502	11 12	,	
KRIECES AVENU AVENU X 102	13	Rosamond Community Services Districts	Approved as to form by: Eric L. Garner
AW OF SEST & RSITY P.O. BC ERSIDE	14	Ву:	Ву:
SEST UNIVE	15		
3750	16		
κ,	17		
	18		
	19		
	20		
	21		
	22		
	23		
	24		
	25		
	26		
	27		
	28		
		SETTLEMENT STIPULATION	- 24 -



- 24 -

SETTLEMENT STIPULATION

]		•
	2	Palm Ranch Irrigation District	Approved as to form by: Wayne Lemieux
	4		Ву:
	5 6	Phelan Pinon Hills Community	Approved as to form by: Francis Logan
	7 8	Ву:	By:
	9	Quartz Hill Water District	Approved as to form by: Brad Weeks
Q	10	By:	Rve
LP JITE 400	11	· ·	By:
LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP UNIVERSITY AVENUE, SUITE P.O. BOX 1028 RIVERSIDE, CA 92502	12	Rosamond Community Services Districts	Approved as to form by: Eric L. Garner
A KRIII Y AVEN SOX 10	13		
LAW OI IT BEST () IVERSITY P.O. B VERSIDE	14	Ву:	By:
LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KRIEGE 3750 UNIVERSITY AVENUE, P.O. BOX 1028 RIVERSIDE, CA 928	15	Desert Lake Community Services	Assessment of the Control of the Con
37	16	District Dake Community Services	Approved as to form by: Wayne Lemieux
	17	Ву:	
	18	шу.	By:
	19	North Edwards Water District	Ammorrod on to Complete TV
	20	Trotti Edwards Water District	Approved as to form by: Wayne Lemieux
	21	Bur Mallin II Kanta por do	By: PMMC Zemml
	22	sy fored 14. 1 jestajodens	By: 1 Styllo Styllow
	23		
	24		
	25		
	26		
	27		
	28	•	
		SETTLEMENT STIPULATION	- 24 -