1	CASE NUMBER: 1-05-CV-049053
2	CASE NAME: ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES
3	LOS ANGELES, CA WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 5, 2014
4	DEPARTMENT 56 HON. JACK KOMAR, JUDGE
5	REPORTER: JEANETTE COYLE, CSR NO. 12665
6	TIME: MORNING SESSION
7	APPEARANCES: (AS HERETOFORE NOTED.)
8	
9	(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT.)
10	
11	THE COURT: GOOD MORNING. ARE YOU READY TO
12	CONTI NUE?
13	MR. MILIBAND: YES, YOUR HONOR. GOOD MORNING.
14	THIS IS A QUICK MATTER. I DID REVIEW AND FOLLOW THE
15	COURT'S DIRECTION ABOUT LETTING COUNSEL KNOW THE
16	OBJECTIONS THAT I HAVE TO ANY OF THE DISTRICT 40
17	EXHI BI TS.
18	THE COURT: YES.
19	MR. MILIBAND: I DID CONFER WITH MR. DUNN THIS
20	MORNING. WE ARE OF THE THINKING THAT WE CAN DEFER ANY
21	OF THOSE OBJECTIONS TO LATER TODAY OR WHENEVER IT IS
22	THAT DR. WILLIAMS TESTIFIED.
23	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
24	YOU MAY CALL YOUR NEXT WITNESS.
25	MR. MILIBAND: THANK YOU. WE WILL CALL
26	MR. THOMAS HARDER.
27	THE COURT: COME FORWARD TO BE SWORN, SIR.
28	THE CLERK: DO YOU SOLEMNLY STATE THAT THE

1	TESTIMONY YOU MAY GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW PENDING BEFORE
2	THIS COURT SHALL BE THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND
3	NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH, SO HELP YOU GOD?
4	THE WITNESS: I DO.
5	THE CLERK: PLEASE BE SEATED. PLEASE STATE AND
6	SPELL YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD.
7	THE WITNESS: THOMAS E. HARDER. T-H-O-M-A-S, E,
8	PERIOD, H-A-R-D-E-R.
9	
10	THOMAS HARDER,
11	CALLED AS A WITNESS ON BEHALF OF THE
12	CROSS-COMPLAINANT/CROSS-DEFENDANT, HAVING BEEN DULY
13	SWORN, WAS EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
14	
15	DI RECT EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. MILIBAND:
17	Q GOOD MORNING, MR. HARDER.
18	A GOOD MORNING.
19	Q COULD YOU PLEASE IDENTIFY WHAT YOUR JOB
20	TITLE IS AND THE NAME OF YOUR EMPLOYER.
21	A I AM PRINCIPAL HYDROGEOLOGIST AND
22	PRESIDENT OF THOMAS HARDER & COMPANY. WE ARE A
23	HYDROGEOLOGICAL CONSULTING FIRM.
24	Q ARE YOU A LICENSED PROFESSIONAL GEOLOGIST
25	AND A CERTIFIED HYDROGEOLOGIST IN THE STATE OF
26	CALI FORNI A?
27	A I AM.
28	Q WHAT YEAR WERE YOU LICENSED AS A

PROFESSI ONAL GEOLOGI ST? I WAS LICENSED IN 1996. А 0 AND WHAT YEAR WERE YOU CERTIFIED AS A HYDROGEOLOGI ST? А IN 1998. MR. HARDER, I WOULD LIKE TO DIRECT YOUR 0 ATTENTION TO WHAT HAS BEEN MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES AS EXHIBIT 25. BEFORE YOU, SIR, THERE IS A BINDER THERE 10 AT THE PODIUM. FEEL FREE TO TURN TO NUMBER 25 AS WELL AS IT BEING PROJECTED HERE ON THE SCREEN IF IT'S EASIER 11 FOR YOUR EYES. 12 13 ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH WHAT HAS BEEN 14 PREMARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION AS EXHIBIT 25? 15 I AM. А WOULD YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE WHAT THIS 16 0 DOCUMENT IS. 17 18 Α THIS IS MY CV. 19 0 IS THIS YOUR MOST CURRENT AND UP-TO-DATE CV? IF YOU NEED TO TAKE A MOMENT, THAT'S FINE. 20 21 IT IS. А WOULD YOU PLEASE PROVIDE SOME SORT OF 22 0 23 SUMMARY OF THE PROFESSIONAL ENGAGEMENTS OR PROJECTS IN 24 WHICH YOU HAVE WORKED IN YOUR CAREER IN WHICH YOU APPLIED THE SKILLS OF A HYDROGEOLOGIST TO EVALUATE THE 25 HYDROGEOLOGIC CONDITION OF A BASIN SUCH AS THE ANTELOPE 26 VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN? 27 WELL, I WOULD HAVE TO CATEGORIZE THAT INTO 28 А

104

MULTIPLE DIFFERENT AREAS. ARTIFICIAL RECHARGE, GROUNDWATER RESOURCE EVALUATION, PROJECTS RELATED TO THAT, LARGE SCALE BASIN, SAFE YIELD AND PERENNIAL YIELD EVALUATIONS, GROUNDWATER FLOW MODELS, DEVELOPMENT, CALIBRATION OF FLOW MODELS AND APPLICATION IN WATER RESOURCE WORK.

I HAVE ALSO DONE GEOCHEMISTRY STUDIES. AND I HAVE ALSO PERFORMED CONTAMINANT HYDROGEOLOGICAL STUDIES.

Q WOULD YOU IDENTIFY SOME OF THE BASINS OTHER THAN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN IN WHICH YOU HAVE DONE THOSE DIFFERENT TYPES OF WORK THAT YOU HAVE JUST DESCRIBED?

A CURRENTLY I AM VERY ACTIVE IN THE CHINO BASIN IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA. I AM THE WATER MASTER HYDROGEOLOGIST FOR THE BEAUMONT BASIN. I'VE WORKED IN THE MURRIETA BASIN. I'VE WORKED IN THE BUNKER HILL BASIN, THE RAYMOND BASIN. I WORKED IN THE ORANGE COUNTY GROUNDWATER BASIN.

I AM CURRENTLY ALSO VERY ACTIVE IN THE CENTRAL VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASINS UP THERE AND MORE. THOSE ARE THE ONES THAT I CAN THINK OF RIGHT NOW.

Q FAIR ENOUGH.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

YOUR HONOR, MR. HARDER WAS QUALIFIED AS AN EXPERT AT THE PHASE THREE TRIAL. AND BASED UPON THAT AS WELL AS TESTIMONY ON EXHIBIT 25 PRESENTED TODAY, I WOULD REQUEST ON BEHALF OF PHELAN THAT THE COURT DEEM MR. HARDER A QUALIFIED EXPERT FOR PURPOSES OF TESTIFYING

AS TO THE GROUNDWATER CONDITIONS, RETURN FLOWS AND HOW THEY ARE RELEVANT TO THIS TRIAL. THE COURT: IS THERE ANY VOLR DIRE? MR. DUNN: NO, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MR. DUNN: WE WOULD STIPULATE THAT HE IS QUALIFIED. THE COURT: THE WITNESS IS QUALIFIED AND MAY SO TESTIFY. 10 MR. MILIBAND: THANK YOU. 11 BY MR. MILIBAND: MR. HARDER, YOU HAVE 0 HEARD PHELAN REFERRED TO IN SHORT AS PHELAN PINON HILLS 12 13 COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT; IS THAT CORRECT? 14 А YES. SO I WILL BE USING THAT SAME SHORTENED 15 0 NAME "PHELAN" TO REFER TO THE COMMUNITY SERVICES 16 DISTRICT. IS THAT UNDERSTOOD, SIR? 17 18 А YES. 19 0 COULD YOU DESCRIBE FOR US THE WORK THAT YOU HAVE UNDERTAKEN IN THIS CASE ON BEHALF OF PHELAN? 20 21 WELL, OUR WORK IN THIS CASE GOES BACK TO А 22 PHASE THREE. AND ORIGINALLY, AS YOU HAVE SAID, WE WERE 23 ASKED TO EVALUATE THE HYDROGEOLOGICAL SETTING AND THE 24 CONDITION OF THE AQUIFER FROM WHICH PHELAN PUMPS ITS 25 GROUNDWATER. OUR FIRST TASK REALLY WAS TO IDENTIFY A 26 SCOPE OR STUDY AREA. WE FOCUSED OUR STUDY ON THE 27 SOUTHEAST PORTION OF THE ANTELOPE VALLEY ADJUDICATION 28

AREA AND SPECIFICALLY THE PEARLAND BUTTES AND WHAT I WOULD REFER TO AS THE HIGH VISTA AREA. THE SECOND THING IS WE COMPILED AND REVIEWED A NUMBER OF DOCUMENTS AND REPORTS, TRIAL TESTIMONY, DEPOSITION TESTIMONY. WE ALSO COMPILED AND REVIEWED USGS REPORTS AND DWR REPORTS AS WELL. CONDUCTED SEVERAL VISITS -- SITE VISITS TO THE STUDY AREA ITSELF. WE REVIEWED AND BECAME FAMILIAR WITH PHELAN'S WELLS AND THEIR DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM. 10 WE 11 CONDUCTED AN ANALYSIS OF THEIR RETURN FLOW. AND WE DID THAT AS PART OF PHASE FIVE IN THIS PROCEEDING. 12 13 AND THEN WE UPDATED OUR HYDROGRAPHS AND 14 GROUNDWATER PRODUCTION DATA AS PART OF THIS STUDY TO EVALUATE THE CONDITION OF THE GROUNDWATER BASIN. 15 IN THE COURSE OF UNDERTAKING THESE 16 0 DIFFERENT TASKS OR COMPONENTS OF YOUR WORK, DID YOU 17 18 PREPARE A REPORT AT SOME POINT? 19 А WE DID. 20 WHEN WAS THAT, AND GENERALLY WHAT DOES 0 THAT REPORT ENTAIL IN TERMS OF SUBSTANCE? 21 OUR REPORT WAS PREPARED IN JULY OF 2010 22 Α AND POSTED ON THE COURT'S WEBSITE AS PART OF PHASE THREE 23 24 OF THIS PROCEEDING OR TRIAL. 25 GENERALLY HOW WOULD YOU SUMMARIZE THE 0 SUBSTANCE OF THAT REPORT THAT YOU PREPARED? 26 27 IF YOU DON'T MIND, I WOULD LIKE TO PULL IT А UP. 28

0 WOULD IT REFRESH YOUR RECOLLECTION TO DO S0? YES. А THE COURT: IS THAT AN EXHIBIT? MR. MILIBAND: NO. I DON'T THINK IT HAS BEEN MARKED. THE COURT: IT DOESN'T NEED TO BE. I JUST WANTED TO KNOW. THANK YOU. THE WITNESS: IT'S BEEN A WHILE. I HAVE TO REFRESH MY MEMORY. 10 11 THE COURT: GO AHEAD. THE WITNESS: WE PROVIDED A BACKGROUND OF PHELAN 12 13 PINON HILLS COMMUNITY HILLS SERVICES DISTRICT. WF 14 LOOKED AT SPECIFICALLY WELL 14 AND ITS LOCATION WITH RESPECT TO THE ADJUDICATION. 15 WE LOOKED AT THE PHYSICAL SETTING OF WELL 16 14. THE HYDROGEOLOGICAL SETTING INCLUDING GROUNDWATER 17 18 CURRENTS, RECHARGE, DI SCHARGE, GROUNDWATER FLOW AND 19 HISTORICAL GROUNDWATER LEVELS. AND THEN WE ALSO DID A 20 VERY DETAILED ANALYSIS OF WELL 14 PUMPING, HISTORICAL 21 AND THEN WE SUMMARIZED THE FINDINGS. PUMPING. IN THE COURSE OF DOING THE WORK THAT LED 22 0 TO THE PREPARATION OF THAT REPORT, WERE YOU SPECIFICALLY 23 24 LOOKING AT A CERTAIN AREA WITHIN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY 25 ADJUDICATION AREA OR WITHIN THE ANTELOPE GROUNDWATER BASIN OR SOME OTHER AREA? 26 WELL, LIKE I SAID, WE SPECIFICALLY FOCUSED 27 А 28 OUR STUDY ON PEARLAND BUTTES AND HIGH VISTA AREA. WE

107

ALSO LOOKED AT THE ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN AS DEFINED BY BULLETIN 118. AND THAT DOES EXTEND EAST OF THE SAN BERNARDINO L.A. COUNTY BOUNDARY. WE INCLUDED THAT AS PART OF OUR STUDY.

Q AS YOU SIT HERE TODAY, DESPITE HAVING STARTED WORK GOING BACK TO PHASE THREE OR PRE-PHASE THREE, WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING AS TO THE PURPOSE FOR WHICH YOU WERE ASKED TO UNDERTAKE THESE DIFFERENT TASKS RELATED TO YOUR WORK ON BEHALF OF PHELAN?

A WELL, THE PURPOSE WAS REALLY TO DESCRIBE THE CONDITION OF THE AQUIFER, LIKE I SAID, FROM WHICH PHELAN PUMPS ITS GROUNDWATER.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Q MR. HARDER, I WOULD LIKE TO DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION TO WHAT HAS BEEN MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION AS EXHIBIT 26. WOULD YOU PLEASE TURN TO THAT EXHIBIT, SIR.

WHAT DOES EXHIBIT 26 DEPICT TO YOU?

A IT DEPICTS PHELAN SERVICE AREA WHICH IS INDICATED IN YELLOW AND ITS LOCATION WITH RESPECT TO THE SAN BERNARDINO/LOS ANGELES COUNTY LINE WHICH IS RIGHT HERE IN BLACK. IT DEPICTS ITS LOCATION WITH RESPECT TO THE GROUNDWATER BASINS THAT HAVE BEEN DEFINED BY BULLETIN 118, DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES.

Q ARE THERE WELLS IDENTIFIED ON EXHIBIT 26? A THE BLUE DOTS ON THE MAP ARE PHELAN'S WELLS.

Q AND WHAT IS THE GREEN BOUNDARY DEPICTING? A THE GREEN BOUNDARY IS SHEEP CREEK WATER COMPANY'S BOUNDARY WHICH OCCURS WITHIN PHELAN'S SERVICE AREA.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

23

24

25

27

28

AND THERE ARE ESSENTIALLY THREE DIFFERENT 0 SHADES OF COLORS IN WHICH THERE IS TEXT. STARTING FROM THE LEFT IT SAYS: ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN, AND MOVING TO THE RIGHT, EL MIRAGE VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN, AND TO THE FAR RIGHT UPPER, MOJAVE RIVER VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN.

PLEASE DESCRIBE WHAT THAT MEANS TO YOU BASED UPON THE TEXTS AND ITS COLORS.

LIKE I SAID, THE ANTELOPE VALLEY А GROUNDWATER BASIN WHICH IS LOCATED HERE IN THE BROWN IS THE AREA OF THE ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN AS DEFINED BY BULLETIN 118 DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES. THE EL MIRAGE VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN WHICH IS IN YELLOW HERE IS THE SAME.

THE BROWN/RED COLOR HERE IS THE UPPER MOJAVE RIVER VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN FROM BULLETIN 118.

0 WAS EXHIBIT 26 PREPARED BY YOU OR AT YOUR 19 DI RECTI ON?

> IT WAS PREPARED AT MY DIRECTION. А

WHO DID YOU DIRECT IN PREPARATION OF 21 0 EXHIBIT 26? 22

I DON'T REMEMBER THE SPECIFIC PERSON, BUT А SOMEBODY ON MY STAFF PREPARED THIS EXHIBIT.

WHAT DATA OR INFORMATION WAS USED TO 0 PREPARE EXHIBIT 26? 26

WELL, THE EXHIBIT WAS PREPARED USING A А GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEM WITH A BASE MAP WHICH IS AN AIR PHOTO OF THE AREA. THE BASIS ARE WHAT IS REFERRED TO AS GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEM OR GIS SHAPEFILES, S-H-A-P-E-F-I-L-E-S.

WE OBTAINED THOSE FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES WEBSITE. THE WELLS WERE PLOTTED ON THE MAP BASED ON FIELD CONFIRMATION OF THEIR LOCATION AND INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PHELAN. THE PHELAN'S BOUNDARY, AGAIN, WAS ALSO A SHAPEFILE PROVIDED BY PHELAN. WE PLOTTED THESE IN GIS AND PREPARED THE MAP.

Q AND LOOKING AT EXHIBIT 26, DOES EXHIBIT 26 ILLUSTRATE -- WHAT PART OF EXHIBIT 26 ILLUSTRATES THE STUDY AREA WHICH YOU UNDERTOOK FOR THE WORK THAT YOU HAVE DONE ON BEHALF OF PHELAN?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

A WELL, OUR STUDY AREA, WHAT I WOULD SAY, THIS SHOWS THE EASTERN PORTION OF IT. IN GENERAL, THE ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN HERE EXTENDS TO THE WEST FURTHER TO THE EDGE OF THE BUTTES SUB-UNIT. SO IT EXTENDS BEYOND THIS MAP, BUT IT INCLUDES THIS ENTIRE ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN AREA.

Q WHAT CRITERIA DID YOU UTILIZE IN FINDING OR FORMING THE STUDY AREA THAT YOU JUST DESCRIBED?

A WELL, THE FIRST CRITERIA WAS TO INCLUDE THE ANTELOPE VALLEY ADJUDICATION AREA WHICH IS THE SUBJECT OF THE TRIAL AND TO INCLUDE WELL 14.

LOOKING AT THE ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN AS A HYDROGEOLOGICAL BASIN NOT CONSTRAINED BY ANY POLITICAL BOUNDARIES, WE INCLUDED THAT AS PART OF THE HYDROGEOLOGICAL SETTINGS. SO IT WAS IMPORTANT TO US TO INCLUDE THE ENTIRE BASIN.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THE WESTERN PORTIONS OF THE STUDY AREA AND THE NORTHERN PORTIONS WERE INCLUDED BECAUSE WE FELT THAT THEY WERE PART OF THE SETTING FROM WHICH PHELAN PUMPS ITS GROUNDWATER. WE WANTED TO INCLUDE THAT, SO WE INCLUDED THE ENTIRE BUTTES SUB-UNIT AREA EVEN THOUGH IT EXTENDS QUITE FAR TO THE WEST OF OUR AREA.

Q FROM YOUR EXPERIENCE AS A GEOLOGIST AND A HYDROGEOLOGIST, WHY WAS THAT IMPORTANT FOR YOU TO INCLUDE ALL OF THE BUTTES SUB-UNIT IN EVALUATING THE STUDY AREA?

A WELL, IT'S MORE CONVENIENCE THAN IMPORTANCE. I THINK IT'S BASICALLY THAT WE CAN CATEGORIZE AN AREA TO STUDY. IT HAS PHYSICAL BOUNDARIES OR HAS HYDROGEOLOGICAL BOUNDARIES THAT HAVE BEEN ESTABLISHED. SO IT'S A UNIQUE AREA TO INCLUDE. THAT'S THE PRIMARY PURPOSE FOR LOOKING AT THE ENTIRE THING.

Q HOW DID YOU DEVELOP YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF PHELAN WATER DEMAND AND ITS SUPPLY?

A AS YOU ARE AWARE, PHELAN INHERITED THE COUNTY SERVICE AREA. AND WHEN WE FIRST GOT STARTED, THEY WERE IN THE PROCESS OF COMPILING THEIR RECORDS FROM THE COUNTY SERVICE AREA AND TRYING TO GET THAT INFORMATION TOGETHER. EARLY ON WE MET WITH PHELAN STAFF TO GO THROUGH THE ORIGINAL WATER METER PRODUCTION HANDWRITTEN SHEETS OF THEIR PRODUCTION FOR THEIR WELLS. THAT WAS THE ORIGINAL INTRODUCTION TO THEIR WATER SYSTEM. THROUGH THE YEARS WE HAVE CORRESPONDED WITH THEM REGARDING THEIR OTHER WELL LOCATIONS. WE'VE INSPECTED THEM IN THE FIELD, AND WE ALSO OBTAINED FROM THEM THEIR WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM LINES VIA SHAPEFILE, AGAIN, GIS SHAPEFILE.

Q A SIMILAR QUESTION BUT IN CONTEXT OF THE PHYSICAL SETTING THAT YOU TALKED A LITTLE BIT ABOUT, I WOULD LIKE YOU TO ELABORATE. HOW DID YOU DEVELOP YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF PHELAN'S PHYSICAL SETTING INCLUDING THAT OF WELL 14?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

A WELL, OUR UNDERSTANDING OF PHELAN'S PHYSICAL SETTING WAS EVALUATED THROUGH OUR ANALYSIS OF THE WELLS IN THIS AREA. WE ALSO RELIED QUITE HEAVILY ON THE SUMMARY EXPERT REPORT THAT WAS DEVELOPED AS A RESULT OF THIS TRIAL PHASE THREE. WE ALSO RELIED ON U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY. WE DIDN'T STOP AT THE SUMMARY EXPERT REPORT. WE WENT BACK TO THE U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY REPORTS.

BLOYD 1967, WE LOOKED AT THAT AS THE ORIGINAL BASIS FOR THE SUB-BOUNDARIES. WE LOOKED AT LANTON & PHILLIPS, WHAT THEY DID. WE LOOKED AT SOME CONSULTANT REPORTS FROM THE AREA THAT HAD BEEN DONE ON THE EAST SIDE OF THE COUNTY BOUNDARY AS A BASIS FOR FORMING SOME OPINIONS ON THE SETTING.

Q AND ASIDE FROM THAT SORT OF INVESTIGATION OR EVALUATION THAT YOU CONDUCTED IN TERMS OF DOCUMENTARY ITEMS, WERE THERE FIELD VISITS OR OTHER TYPES OF INVESTIGATORY WORK THAT YOU UNDERTOOK AS PART OF YOUR STUDY OR WORK?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A WE DID. LIKE I SAID, WE VISITED THE WELLS THAT ARE LOCATED IN PHELAN'S AREA. I ALSO TOOK TWO TRIPS UP TO THIS AREA UP HERE WHICH IS NORTHWEST OF PHELAN SERVICE AREA WHICH IS REFERRED TO AS THE GREY BUTTE FIELD AREA. THERE ARE SOME AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION UP IN THAT AREA.

I HAVE ALSO DRIVEN AND DONE SOME FIELD WORK IN THE LOVEJOY BUTTES AREA AND INSPECTED SOME OF THE LAKE LOS ANGELES AREA UP IN THIS AREA AS WELL.

Q OTHER THAN THE AGRICULTURAL FIELDS THAT YOU JUST IDENTIFIED, HOW WOULD YOU GENERALLY CHARACTERIZE THE LANDSCAPE AND PHYSICAL SETTING THERE FOR THE AREA IN WHICH YOU DROVE AROUND?

A DRY.

THE COURT: MR. MILIBAND, YOU ARE DROPPING YOUR VOICE AT THE END OF YOUR QUESTION.

MR. MILIBAND: I'M SORRY.

THE COURT: WOULD YOU SPEAK UP, PLEASE.

MR. MILIBAND: I'M SORRY. IT'S FAR TOO EARLY IN THE DAY TO BE DOING THAT.

THE COURT: OKAY.

THE WITNESS: COULD YOU REPEAT YOUR QUESTION.I'M SORRY.

Q BY MR. MILIBAND: SURE. COULD YOU PLEASE
DESCRIBE OR PROVIDE A CHARACTERIZATION OF THE AREA THAT
YOU DROVE AROUND IN TERMS OF JUST HOW THE LANDSCAPE OR.
THE PHYSICAL SETTING APPEARS TO YOU?

DRY. А AND WHAT MAKES YOU SAY DRY? 0 Α WELL, IT'S A SEMI-ARID ENVIRONMENT. THERE IS NOT A LOT OF VEGETATION. IT'S A TYPICAL HIGH DESERT VEGETATION SCRUB BRUSH. DESERT LANDSCAPE, IS THAT A FAIR 0 CHARACTERIZATION? А I THINK SO. YES. 0 NOW THAT YOU HAVE DESCRIBED THE WORK THAT YOU HAVE UNDERTAKEN FOR PHELAN AND YOU HAVE IDENTIFIED 10 11 SOME OF THE THINGS THAT YOU HAVE DONE, CAN YOU ELABORATE MORE ON SPECIFIC TASKS THAT YOU SPECIFICALLY UNDERTOOK 12 13 TO COMPLETE THE WORK THAT YOU HAVE DONE? 14 SPECIFICALLY, WE LOOKED AT WELL 14'S А GROUNDWATER PRODUCTION IN VERY GREAT DETAIL. THEY ARE 15 DOWN TO THEIR FIELD SUMMARY NOTES. WE LOOKED AT THE 16 RETURN FLOW THAT OCCURS IN THEIR AREA. PHELAN IS ON 17 18 SEPTIC SYSTEMS. 19 WE LOOKED SPECIFICALLY AT THE PORTION OF PHELAN' S SERVICE AREA THAT OVERLIES THE ANTELOPE VALLEY 20 21 GROUNDWATER BASIN AS DEFINED BY BULLETIN 118. WE LOOKED AT THEIR PRODUCTION HISTORY, PHELAN'S PRODUCTION 22 23 HI STORY. 24 FOR WELLS, THAT IS? 0 25 FOR THEIR WELLS AND FOCUSED ON THE WELLS Α THAT ARE IN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN. AND 26 27 WE LOOKED AT GROUNDWATER LEVEL TRENDS. WE LOOKED AT 28 GROUNDWATER LEVEL TRENDS.

Q AND WHEN YOU SAY THE WELLS THAT ARE WITHIN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN, ARE THOSE THE WELLS ON EXHIBIT 26 IDENTIFIED AS BLUE DOTS WITHIN THE BROWN AREA?

A THEY HAVE SIX WELLS IN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN AREA. THEY ARE WELLS 6-A AND 6-B, 10, 11 AND 12 AND WELL 14.

Q DID YOU USE AT ANY POINT IN THE COURSE OF YOUR WORK SATELLITE IMAGERY?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

A WE DID, ACTUALLY. WHEN WE WERE LOOKING AT THE LAND USE UP IN THE GREY BUTTE FIELD AREA AND SOUTH OF LOVEJOY BUTTES, WE LOOKED AT SATELLITE IMAGERY TO SEE HISTORICALLY WHAT HAD BEEN GROWN THERE, THE AREA OF THAT IRRIGATION AND LANDSCAPE OR AGRICULTURAL IRRIGATION THAT HAD OCCURRED TO TRY TO GET A HANDLE ON WHAT THEIR WATER DEMANDS WERE IN THOSE AREAS.

Q EARLIER WHEN YOU MENTIONED THAT YOU
REVIEWED TRANSCRIPTS WHETHER DEPOSITION OR TRIAL
TRANSCRIPTS, DO YOU RECALL WHICH WITNESS' TESTIMONY YOU
WERE REVIEWING?

A YES. EARLY ON I REVIEWED JOE SCALMANINI'S TRIAL TESTIMONY. I ALSO ATTENDED SOME OF HIS DEPOSITIONS DURING PHASE THREE. I ALSO REVIEWED MARK WILDERMUTH'S TRIAL TESTIMONY WITH REGARD TO HIS SAFE YIELD ESTIMATES. I ALSO REVIEWED A NUMBER OF OTHERS. THE NAMES ARE GOING TO ESCAPE ME.

27QDOES MR. SHEAHAN RING A BELL?28AYES. TOM SHEAHAN, I REVIEWED HIS TRIAL

TESTIMONY AS WELL. WHAT ABOUT DR. LIST? 0 Α I PROBABLY DID; I JUST DON'T RECALL. BUT MR. SCALMANINI AND MR. WILDERMUTH'S 0 TESTIMONY OR AT LEAST PART OF THEIR TESTIMONY IS WHAT YOU RECALL BEST AS YOU SIT HERE TODAY FROM HAVING **REVIEWED BEFORE?** А I DO. AND I WOULD ADD ALSO DR. WILLIAMS' TESTIMONY, HIS LATER TESTIMONY AS PART OF PHASE FIVE. I REVIEWED THAT AS WELL. WHEN YOU SAY DR. WILLIAMS' TESTIMONY, ARE 0 YOU REFERRING TO THE TWO DEPOSITIONS THAT HE HAS HAD TAKEN THIS YEAR? А YES. AND WHETHER IT'S DR. WILLIAMS, 0 MR. SCALMANINI OR MR. WILDERMUTH, WHY WERE YOU REVIEWING THOSE TRANSCRIPTS IN PARTICULAR? Α WELL, ORIGINALLY I WAS TRYING TO

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

27

UNDERSTAND THEIR UNDERSTANDING OF THE BASIN, OF THE BOUNDARIES OF THE BASIN, OF THE CONDITION OF THE BASIN, OF THE PROCESS THAT THEY WERE USING TO EVALUATE THE SAFE YIELD OF THE BASIN AND THE CONDITION WHETHER IT WAS AN OVERDRAFT OR NON-OVERDRAFT.

THEY ALSO DID WORK IN THE SOUTHEAST AREA. 25 SO I WAS TRYING TO UNDERSTAND FROM WHAT THEY DID THE CONDITION OF OUR AREA AS A STARTING POINT. I NEVER 26 STOPPED THERE. I AM ALWAYS GOING TO WANT TO DO MY OWN WORK, BUT I WANTED TO GET THEIR UNDERSTANDING. 28

Q WHEN YOU SAY THAT YOU STARTED WITH REVIEW TO GET AN UNDERSTANDING OF THEIR UNDERSTANDING, DID YOUR PURPOSE CHANGE AT SOME POINT IN YOUR EVALUATION OF THE TRANSCRIPTS AND REVIEWING THE TRANSCRIPTS?

IN OTHER WORDS, WHAT OTHER PURPOSE DID YOU REVIEW TRANSCRIPTS FOR IF NOT JUST TO GAIN AN UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT THAT THE WITNESS' UNDERSTANDING WAS?

A WELL, I DON'T KNOW IF IT CHANGED. ORIGINALLY WE WERE TRYING TO DESCRIBE THE HYDROGEOLOGICAL SETTING OF THE SOUTHEAST PORTION OF THE ANTELOPE VALLEY ADJUDICATION AREA. DURING THE COURSE OF OUR WORK WE ALSO RELIED ON THEIR WORK TO UNDERSTAND THE CONDITION OF THE BASIN IN TERMS OF CHANGES IN GROUNDWATER STORAGE, CHANGES IN GROUNDWATER LEVELS AND THOSE TYPES OF THINGS. BUT THOSE ARE ALL RELATED TO OUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE CONDITION OF THE BASIN.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Q NOW, YOU HAVE MENTIONED SOME REPORTS IN TERMS OF BLOYD, LANTON & PHILLIPS AND SUMMARY EXPERT REPORTS. IS THAT ESSENTIALLY THE UNIVERSE OF DOCUMENTS AND REPORTS THAT YOU REVIEWED SPECIFICALLY TO THE ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN, OR WERE THERE OTHER REPORTS IN ADDITION TO THOSE THREE?

A THERE IS A REPORT BY CARLSON AND PHILLIPS OR I THINK CARLSON AND OTHERS. THERE WERE NUMEROUS REPORTS THAT WE RELIED ON. I CAN GO THROUGH IN MY REFERENCE LIST IN OUR REPORT AND GO THROUGH THOSE IF YOU WOULD LIKE. I DON'T RECALL THEM OFF THE TOP OF MY HEAD.

IT'S PROBABLY FINE NOT TO DO THAT. 0 BUT JUST TO GET A BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT REPORTS ARE. WERE THEY USGS REPORTS IN PARTICULAR? YES. U.S. GEOLOGI CAL SURVEY PROFESSI ONAL А PAPERS, WATER SUPPLY PAPERS, OPEN FILE REPORTS, DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCE BULLETINS FOR THE AREA. YEAH, THOSE ARE THE MAIN TYPES. WE ALSO LOOKED AT SOME CONSULTANT REPORTS, LIKE I SAID EARLIER. 0 ELABORATE ON THAT A LITTLE BIT MORE. WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY CONSULTANT REPORTS? 10 11 THERE WAS A RESULT BY HORN 1989, А HYDROLOGIC STUDY OF THE PHELAN EL MIRAGE AREA PREPARED 12 13 FOR THE SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY SPECIAL DISTRICTS. HE WAS 14 A CONSULTANT WORKING AT THE TIME. THAT IS AN EXAMPLE. I WOULD ADHERE TOO THAT WE LOOKED AT 15 GEOLOGI CAL MAPS, GROUNDWATER CONTOUR MAPS. BOOKMAN 16 EDMONSTON ALSO PREPARED A REGIONAL WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN 17 18 THAT WE REVIEWED. WE ALSO REVIEWED URBAN WATER 19 MANAGEMENT PLANS FOR PHELAN'S AREA THAT HAD BEEN 20 PREPARED BY OTHER CONSULTANTS. MR. HARDER, I WOULD LIKE TO DIRECT YOUR 21 0 ATTENTION TO WHAT HAS BEEN MARKED AS EXHIBIT 27. IT'S 22 ALSO IN THE BINDER IN FRONT OF YOU AND PROJECTED ON THE 23 24 SCREEN. 25 WHAT DOES EXHIBIT 27 ILLUSTRATE TO YOU, MR. HARDER? 26 27 THIS IS AN ILLUSTRATION THAT WE PREPARED, Α 28 MY OFFICE UNDER MY DIRECTION, THAT SHOWS THE BOUNDARIES

OF THE PEARLAND TO THE SOUTH, THE BUTTE SUB-UNIT HERE AND WHAT I REFER TO AS THE HIGH VISTA AREA WHICH IS NORTHEAST OF THE BUTTE SUB-UNIT. AND IT SHOWS THE RELATIONSHIPS OF THESE SUB-UNITS TO WHAT PHELAN'S WELL 14 AND OTHER WELLS THAT ARE WITHIN THOSE SUB-UNITS IN THE AREAS.

Q WHAT ARE THESE DIFFERENT SHAPES IDENTIFYING WITHIN PARTICULARLY THE BUTTES AND THE PEARLAND SUB-UNITS? WHETHER IT'S TRIANGLES OR SQUARES OR OTHER SHAPES, WHAT ARE THOSE DEPICTING?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A THESE SHAPES DEPICT INDIVIDUAL WELLS. I AM POINTING TO AN AREA SOUTH OF LOVEJOY BUTTES WHICH IS REFERRED TO AS THE RETLAW RANCH AREA. THESE ARE GREEN SQUARES. SO IN THIS CASE GREEN IS REPRESENTED BY BOLTHOUSE. THE SQUARE MEANS IT'S AN AGRICULTURAL WELL. TRIANGLES ARE MUNICIPAL WELLS. IN THIS CASE HERE, THESE BLUE TRIANGLES ARE PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT WELLS. DIAMONDS ARE OVERLYING WELLS, ET CETERA.

Q WHAT DO YOU MEAN WHEN YOU SAY "OVERLYING WELLS"?

A WE OBTAINED THIS INFORMATION THROUGH THE PHASE-FOUR DISCOVERY. THESE ARE WELLS BY OTHERS. FOR EXAMPLE -- I AM HAVING TROUBLE READING IT FROM HERE --GRANITE CONSTRUCTION, SERVICE ROCK PRODUCTS AND THE LIKE.

26 Q THAT WAS PART OF THE ANSWER OF MY NEXT 27 QUESTION, BUT WHAT DATA DID YOU UTILIZE IN CREATING 28 EXHIBIT 27? A WE UTILIZED THE INFORMATION THAT WAS PROVIDED DURING THE PHASE-FOUR DISCOVERY. SO MOST OF THE PEOPLE THAT PROVIDED INFORMATION DURING THAT TIME PROVIDED THEIR WELL LOCATIONS. WE PLOTTED THEM BASED ON THAT INFORMATION.

Q SO AS FOR EXHIBIT 27'S DEPICTION OF WELLS, WHETHER OVERLYING MUNICIPAL OR AGRICULTURAL, YOU OBTAINED THE INFORMATION FOR WHAT YOU DEPICTED ON EXHIBIT 27 FOR PHASE FOUR; IS THAT CORRECT?

A WITH THE EXCEPTION OF WELL 14, PHELAN'S WELL 14 WE HAVE VERY DETAILED INFORMATION ON THAT FROM PHELAN. AND THIS IS SHEEP CREEK MUTUAL WATER COMPANY WELL NORTH OF OUR WELL WHICH I LOCATED IN THE FIELD WITH A GPS UNIT.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Q OKAY. WHAT I AM TRYING TO DO IS SEPARATE A LITTLE BIT OF WHAT ALL EXHIBIT 27 REPRESENTS AND THE SOURCE OF DATA FOR IT. PUTTING ASIDE THE WELLS AND WHAT IT DEPICTS, IN TERMS OF THE SUB-UNITS, YOU HAVE ON THERE THE BUTTES AND THE PEARLAND SUB-UNITS.

WHAT DATA DID YOU ANALYZE FOR ESSENTIALLY MAPPING OUT THOSE SUB-UNITS ON EXHIBIT 27?

A THESE SUB-UNITS ARE GENERALLY CONSISTENT WITH BLOYD. AND THEY WERE EXPANDED IN THE 2003 LANTON & PHILLIPS REPORT TO INCLUDE THE SOUTHEAST PORTION OF ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN OVER HERE.

SO WE RELIED ON LANTON & PHILLIPS. AND THEN WE WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT THEY WERE CONSISTENT WITH WHAT WAS REPORTED IN THE SUMMARY EXPERT REPORT. SO WE DID SOME WORK TO MAKE SURE THAT THEY ARE THE SAME.

Q WOULD YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW EXHIBIT 27 WAS PREPARED.

A WELL, THIS WAS PREPARED IN GIS. AGAIN, I DO NOT RECALL HOW THE SUB-UNITS -- THESE SUB-UNITS MAY HAVE BEEN DIGITIZED BASED ON THE MAPS THAT WE HAD FROM LANTON & PHILLIPS. THEY MAY HAVE BEEN DIGITIZED INTO GIS AND MATCHED ON HERE.

THE OVERLAYS OF THESE SERVICE -- THESE SHADED AREAS, THE GREEN, THE PURPLE AND THE BEIGE, THOSE ARE OTHER PURVEYOR WATER DISTRICT AREAS. WE GOT THOSE FROM PHASE FOUR. I BELIEVE WE DIGITIZED THOSE BASED ON PHASE FOUR AND THE DISCOVERY DOCUMENTS AS WELL.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THE WELLS WERE LOCATED BASED ON -- WE PUT THOSE IN. WHEN WE HAD COORDINATES WE USED THOSE. WHEN WE HAD MAPS WE USED THOSE.

Q AND GOING BACK TO THE HIGH VISTA AREA,
WOULD YOU PLEASE POINT WITH YOUR LASER POINTER ON
EXHIBIT 27 THAT IS PROJECTED ONTO THE SCREEN WHERE
GENERALLY THAT AREA IS.

A THE HIGH VISTA AREA IS THIS AREA TO THE NORTHEAST OF THE BUTTE SUB-UNIT. SO IT INCLUDES THESE OUTCROPS, BLACK BUTTE, THREE SISTERS AND THIS ENTIRE AREA UP HERE. IT PROCEEDS TO THE NORTH. BUT, IN GENERAL, IT'S NORTHEAST OF THE SUB-UNIT.

Q AND ON EXHIBIT 27 YOU HAVE WITHIN THAT HIGH VISTA AREA TWO DIFFERENT COLORS, ESSENTIALLY BLUE AND GREEN THAT ESSENTIALLY APPEAR TO BE SQUARES. IS

THAT HOW YOU WOULD CHARACTERIZE THOSE? YES. THE GREEN SOUARES ARE AGRICULTURAL А WELLS. THE BLUE AREAS ARE AGRICULTURAL PARCELS BASED ON THE INFORMATION PROVIDED BY BOLTHOUSE DURING PHASE FOUR. SO IS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT THOSE ARE 0 BOLTHOUSE PRODUCTION MODELS? THAT IS MY UNDERSTANDING. Α 0 WHEN YOU MENTIONED RETLAW THAT'S WITHIN THE BUTTE SUB-UNIT, IS IT ALSO YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT THOSE ARE BOLTHOUSE WELLS? 10 11 THAT IS MY UNDERSTANDING. А DO YOU HAVE ANY UNDERSTANDING AS TO WHAT 12 0 13 BOLTHOUSE'S PRODUCTION HAS BEEN AT ANY PERIOD OF TIME AT 14 EITHER THE HIGH VISTA AREA YOU IDENTIFIED OR AT THE 15 **RETLAW AREA?** I DO. 16 А WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING AS TO ONE OR 17 0 18 BOTH OF THOSE AREAS? 19 IF I CAN PULL SOME OF MY DOCUMENTS. А IF IT WILL REFRESH YOUR RECOLLECTION, 20 0 21 PLEASE DO SO. IN THE PHASE-FOUR DISCOVERY DOCUMENTS 22 Α PROVIDED BY BOLTHOUSE PROPERTIES IN THE RETLAW RANCH 23 24 AREA AGAIN THIS IS THE AREA -- THIS AREA HERE ON THE MAP 25 SOUTH OF LOVEJOY BUTTES. THEY PUMPED BEGINNING IN 2000 ANYWHERE FROM 600 ACRE-FEET PER YEAR UP TO 8,800 26 27 ACRE-FEET IN 2008. 28 THIS IS WHAT IS REFERRED TO AS THE S&P

1	ROWEN RANCH. IN THIS AREA THEY PUMPED FROM 2001
2	ANYWHERE FROM 2,300 ACRE-FEET PER YEAR TO 5,200, AND I
3	AM ROUNDING. IT'S DIFFERENT FROM THAT IN THAT RANGE OF
4	ACRE-FEET PER YEAR.
5	Q WHEN YOU SAY IT'S DIFFERENT THAN THAT,
6	PLUS OR MINUS
7	A 5, 236 ACRE-FEET IN 2003.
8	Q SO WITHIN THE BUTTE SUB-UNIT, HOW MANY
9	WATER PRODUCERS WOULD YOU ESTIMATE THERE ARE BASED ON
10	EXHI BI T 27?
11	A WELL, THERE IS BOLTHOUSE, LOS ANGELES
12	COUNTY WATER WORKS UP HERE IN LAKE LOS ANGELES AND
13	PHELAN. I BELIEVE THAT THE LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF
14	AIRPORTS HAS ONE WELL HERE. I AM NOT SURE OF ITS STATUS
15	IN TERMS OF PUMPING.
16	Q SO DOES THAT MEAN YOU ESSENTIALLY FIND
17	THAT THERE ARE FOUR WATER PRODUCERS WITHIN A BUTTE
18	SUB-UNI T?
19	A YES.
20	Q AND BEFORE MOVING ON TO THE NEXT EXHIBIT
21	JUST GOING BACK TO WHAT YOU POINTED TO IN THE LOWER
22	RIGHT CORNER OF EXHIBIT 27 THERE IS THE BUTTE SUB-UNIT.
23	IT LOOKS TO BE MORE OF A BLUE OR PURPLE LINE; IS THAT
24	RI GHT?
25	A YES.
26	Q AND IT EXTENDS ACROSS THE LOS ANGELES/SAN
27	BERNARDINO COUNTY LINE; IS THAT CORRECT?
28	A RI GHT.

WHERE IT ENDS IN THE LOWER RIGHT CORNER OF 0 EXHIBIT 27. WHAT IS THE BLACK LINE THAT INTERSECTS WITHIN THAT SAME LOWER RIGHT-HAND CORNER OF EXHIBIT 27? THIS AREA OF THE BUTTE SUB-UNIT IS WHERE А IT INTERSECTIONS WITH THE ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN AS DEFINED BY BULLETIN 118. SO THEY DON'T MATCH. SO IF I CAN POINT HERE, THIS BLACK LINE HERE IS THE ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN BOUNDARY, THE EASTERN BOUNDARY. THE BLUE LINE IS THE BUTTES SUB-UNIT OF THE ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN AS 10 11 DEFINED BY LANTON & PHILLIPS. WHAT IF ANYTHING DOES IT MEAN TO YOU FOR 12 0 13 THE WORK THAT YOU HAVE DONE IN THIS CASE THAT THE BUTTE 14 SUB-UNIT DOES NOT MATCH AS YOU DESCRIBED IT WHERE THE 15 DWR 118 BOUNDARY IS? MR. KUHS: RELEVANCE, YOUR HONOR. 16 THE COURT: OVERRULED. 17 THE WITNESS: I AM NOT SURE WHAT IT MEANS TO ME. 18 19 WHEN WE DID OUR GROUNDWATER CONTOUR MAPS, IT WAS MY 20 OPINION THAT THE BULLETIN 118 BOUNDARY OF THE ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN WAS MORE CONSISTENT WITH THE 21 GROUNDWATER LEVELS AS A GROUNDWATER FLOW DIVIDE THAT 22 SEPARATES IT FROM THE EASTERN EL MIRAGE VALLEY TO THE 23 24 EAST. I'M NOT SURE WHAT BASIS OF THE LATENT & PHILLIPS 25 BOUNDARY WAS IN THIS PORTION OF THE BASIN. BY MR. MILIBAND: IF I CAN DIRECT YOUR 26 0 27 ATTENTION TO EXHIBIT 28, PLEASE. 28 THE COURT: BEFORE YOU DO THAT, WHAT IS YOUR

124

003217

USAGE OF THE WORD SUB-UNIT? TELL ME WHAT THAT MEANS. THE WITNESS: A SUB-UNIT IS A SUBSET OF A LARGER

GROUNDWATER BASIN.

THE COURT: WELL, ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT SEPARATION, OR ARE YOU JUST TALKING ABOUT ARTIFICIAL LINES THAT DELINEATE THE VARIOUS PORTIONS OF THE BASIN.

THE WITNESS: NO.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THE COURT: PUT 27 BACK UP.

THE WITNESS: THESE BOUNDARIES WERE ORIGINALLY DEFINED BY BLOYD. THEY ARE BASED ON HYDROGEOLOGIC CHARACTERISTICS IN THE AREAS. THOSE ARE FAULTS, ESSENTIALLY. SO THIS SOUTHWESTERN BOUNDARY HERE IS A FAULT. IT HAS BEEN MAPPED. THIS NORTHWESTERN BOUNDARY HERE IS ALSO A FAULT THAT HAS BEEN MAPPED. AND THIS BOUNDARY HERE IS A FAULT.

IN THE CASE OF THE SOUTHEAST AREA, I AM NOT SURE. THERE IS PROBABLY FLOW DIVIDES BASED ON CONTOUR MAPPING THEY DEVELOPED AT THE TIME. IN GENERAL, THIS AREA HAS DISTINCT HYDROGEOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS THAT SEPARATE IT FROM THE NEIGHBORING BASINS.

THE COURT: YOU ARE NOT USING THAT TO DEFINE THE WORDS "SUB UNIT" TO DEFINE A SEPARATE BASIN?

THE WITNESS: NO.

THE COURT: THANK YOU. I JUST WANTED TO CLARIFY

MR. MILIBAND: I MIGHT NEED TO ASK MR. HARDER A CLARIFICATION. WHEN YOU ARE USING THE TERM "SUB UNIT," ARE YOU SAYING THAT IT'S A SEPARATE BASIN, OR ARE YOU

SAYING SOMETHING DIFFERENT? THE COURT: HE JUST ANSWERED THAT, DIDN'T HE? THE WITNESS: I AM JUST SAYING IT'S A SUB-UNIT OF A LARGER BASIN WITH DISTINCT HYDROGEOLOGICAL CHARACTERI STI CS. THE COURT: DIFFERENT BUT NOT SEPARATE? THE WITNESS: EXACTLY. BY MR. MILIBAND: I THINK WE WILL BE 0 TALKING MORE ABOUT THAT IN A MINUTE. 10 А YES. MR. HARDER, IF I CAN DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION 11 0 TO EXHIBIT 28. WHAT DOES EXHIBIT 28 ILLUSTRATE TO YOU? 12 13 Α THIS IS A GROUNDWATER ELEVATION CONTOUR 14 MAP BASED ON GROUNDWATER LEVELS THAT WERE MEASURED IN MARCH 2013. THE GROUNDWATER CONTOUR MAP COVERS THE 15 SOUTH EASTERN PORTION OF THE ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER 16 BASIN AND INTO THE EL MIRAGE GROUNDWATER BASIN. 17 18 0 DOES EXHIBIT 28 ESSENTIALLY TAKE EXHIBIT 19 26 AND ADD THE CONTOURS TO IT? 20 А YES. WAS EXHIBIT 28 ALSO PREPARED BY YOU OR AT 21 0 YOUR DIRECTION TO YOUR STAFF? 22 YES, IT WAS. 23 А 24 WHAT DATA OR INFORMATION WAS USED TO 0 25 PREPARE EXHIBIT 28, SPECIFICALLY THE GROUNDWATER CONTOURS? 26 THE WELLS THAT WERE USED AS CONTROL FOR 27 А THE CONTOUR MAP ARE SHOWN IN GREEN. YOU CAN SEE THE 28

GREEN DOTS HERE AND THE LABEL OF WHICH WELLS THEY ARE. AND UNDERNEATH THAT IS THE GROUNDWATER ELEVATION THAT WAS MEASURED IN MARCH OF 2013.

IN SOME CASES THE DATA WAS MEASURED NOT QUITE IN MARCH OF 2013. WHERE THAT IS THE CASE IT IS INDICATED. THE SOURCES OF THE DATA -- MOST OF THE DATA IS FROM THE U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY ONLINE WEBSITE WHICH PUBLISHES THIS GROUNDWATER DATA ONLINE. IN THE CASE OF PHELAN'S WELLS, WE OBTAINED THEIR GROUNDWATER LEVEL INFORMATION FROM PHELAN'S STAFF.

Q WOULD YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW EXHIBIT 28 WAS PREPARED.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

A EXHIBIT 28 WAS PREPARED BY FIRST LOCATING THE CONTROL WELLS THAT WERE USED TO DEVELOP THE GROUNDWATER CONTOUR MAP ACCURATELY IN GIS BASED ON THE COORDINATES THAT WERE PROVIDED ON THE USGS WEBSITE AND THE COORDINATES THAT WE HAVE FROM PHELAN PINON HILLS.

WE THEN TOOK THE ELEVATION DATA AND DREW THE CONTOURS ON THE MAP. THOSE CONTOURS, YOU CAN SEE THEY ARE EQUALLY SPACED BETWEEN THE DATA POINTS. THESE CONTOURS ARE ALSO IN A UNIFORM CONTOUR INTERVAL WHICH IS 100 FEET, I BELIEVE. WE THEN DIGITIZED THE CONTOUR MAP INTO GIS AND PLOTTED IT ON THIS MAP.

Q IS IT FAIR TO SAY THAT THE CONTOURS THEN ALLOW YOU TO INDICATE IN WHICH DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS GROUNDWATER IS FLOWING?

A YES. THE LINES ARE LINES OF EQUAL GROUNDWATER ELEVATION. SO GROUNDWATER FLOW IS GOING TO

BE FROM AREAS OF HIGH GROUNDWATER ELEVATION TO AREAS OF LOW GROUNDWATER ELEVATION FLOWING PERPENDICULAR TO THE LINES. IN APPROXIMATELY THE MIDDLE OF EXHIBIT 28 0 YOU HAVE A DASHED BLACK LINE WITH AN ARROWHEAD AT THE TOP: IS THAT CORRECT? YES. А 0 WHAT IS THAT ILLUSTRATING TO YOU? А WELL, WE PICKED A POINT ON THE CONTOUR MAP TO SHOW WHERE THE FLOW DIRECTION WOULD BE AT THAT 10 11 LOCATION AS AN EXAMPLE. YOU CAN PUT MORE ON THERE. YOU CAN GENERATE A FLOW NET BASICALLY OF GROUNDWATER FLOW. 12 13 WE JUST USED IT AS AN EXAMPLE. 14 0 IN THE UPPER LEFT PORTION OF EXHIBIT 28 WHERE YOU HAVE SOLID BLUE LINES THAT MAKE A PARTIAL 15 VIEW, WHAT DO THOSE ILLUSTRATE? 16 ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT RIGHT HERE? 17 А 18 0 YES, SIR? 19 THOSE ARE GROUNDWATER CONTOUR LINES BASED А 20 ON THE GROUNDWATER LEVELS THAT ARE MEASURED AT THIS WELL HERE, HERE AND HERE. 21 I GUESS MY QUESTION IS WHY ARE THEY 22 0 SHORTER IN LENGTH THAN THE REST OF THE CONTOURS ON 23 24 EXHIBIT 28? 25 WE DID NOT CARRY THEM. AS CAN YOU SEE, Α THE GROUNDWATER BASIN EXTENDS INTO HERE, THESE AREAS OF 26 GRAY ARE BEDROCK OUTCROPS. AND IT'S WIDELY ASSUMED, I 27 THINK, CONSISTENT WITH THE PHASE-THREE TESTIMONY THAT 28

GROUNDWATER FLOW DOES NOT OCCUR TO ANY MEANINGFUL DEGREE AND TO ANY BEDROCK OUTCROPS.

WE COULD HAVE CARRIED THE CONTOURS UP INTO THIS AREA, BUT THERE IS REALLY NO DATA. SO WE TRUNCATED THEM ALONG THIS LINE ROUGHLY CORELATIVE WITH THESE OUTCROPS AND BEDROCK. THE ASSUMPTION IS THAT THE ALLUVIUM IS RELATIVELY SHALLOW IN THAT SO WE DIDN'T CARRY IT THROUGH.

Q BASED UPON THE WORK YOU DID IN THE PREPARATION OF EXHIBIT 28, HOW WOULD YOU DESCRIBE THE GROUNDWATER FLOW WITHIN THIS PORTION OF YOUR STUDY AREA AS DEPICTED IN EXHIBIT 28?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A WELL, THE GROUNDWATER FLOW DIRECTION VARIES FOR THE SOUTHEAST PORTION OF THE ADJUDICATION AREA IN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN, IT FLOWS TO THE NORTHEAST.

Q FOR A CLEAR RECORD, WOULD YOU PLEASE IDENTIFY WHERE YOU ARE POINTING TO WITH YOUR LASER POINTER.

A I AM POINTING TO THE SOUTHEAST PORTION OF THE ANTELOPE VALLEY ADJUDICATION AREA. I BELIEVE THAT'S IN THE VICINITY OF MESCAL CREEK.

Q AND THAT'S WEST OF THE COUNTY LINE; IS THAT CORRECT?

A THAT'S WEST OF THE COUNTY LINE.
GROUNDWATER FLOWS TO THE NORTHEAST. AND THEN AS YOU GET
FARTHER NORTH, THE FLOW DIRECTION CHANGES, BECOMES
NORTHERLY AND THEN FLOWS TO THE NORTHWEST.

Q WHAT ABOUT EAST OF THE COUNTY LINE? HOW WOULD YOU DESCRIBE THE GROUNDWATER FLOW DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS?

A WELL, GROUNDWATER FLOW EAST OF THE COUNTY LINE IS CONTROLLED TO SOME DEGREE BY SHEEP CREEKS WASH WHICH RECHARGED WITHIN THAT WASH. IT CREATES A BIT OF A MOUND AND SUCH THAT GROUNDWATER ON THE WEST SIDE OF THIS EL MIRAGE ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN BOUNDARY FLOWS TO THE WEST.

THE COURT: TO WHERE?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

THE WITNESS: TO THE WEST, THE NORTHWEST AND GROUNDWATER TO THE EAST. THE EL MIRAGE DRY LAKE AREA FLOWS TO THE NORTH ULTIMATELY FLOWING TOWARDS EL MIRAGE DRY LAKE. IT'S A GROUNDWATER FLOW DIVIDE.

Q WHEN YOU SAY IT'S A GROUNDWATER FLOW DIVIDE, WHAT ARE YOU REFERRING TO?

A I'M REFERRING TO THIS BOUNDARY BETWEEN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN AND THE EL MIRAGE DRY GROUNDWATER BASIN.

Q MR. HARDER, IF I CAN DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION TO EXHIBIT 29, PLEASE. WHAT DOES EXHIBIT 29 ILLUSTRATE TO YOU?

A EXHIBIT 29 SHOWS HYDROGRAPHS WHICH ARE GRAPHS OR PLOTS OF GROUNDWATER LEVELS OVER TIME FOR SELECTED WELLS IN THE BUTTES SUB-UNIT. THE WELL LOCATIONS ARE INDICATED BY THE GREEN DOTS. THE HYDROGRAPHS ARE SHOWN IN THE CHARTS. THE Y AXIS OF EACH CHART IS THE GROUNDWATER ELEVATION. THE X AXIS IS TIME.

THE CHARTS ARE ALL CONSISTENT. THEY GO FROM 1951 TO 2006. YOU CAN'T SEE THAT ON THERE. THE BLUE DATA OR BLUE LINES HERE ARE MEASURED GROUNDWATER LEVELS THAT WERE MEASURED AT EACH OF THOSE WELLS. IN SOME CASES THE MEASURED GROUNDWATER LEVELS ARE CONNECTED BY A LINE.

Q WAS EXHIBIT 29 PREPARED BY YOU OR AT YOUR DIRECTION TO YOUR STAFF?

A IT WAS PREPARED BY A COMBINATION OF ME, AND SOME OF MY STAFF WORKED ON IT AS WELL.

Q AND YOU HAVE IDENTIFIED HYDROGRAPHS AS A SOURCES OF DATA. WHAT OTHER DATA WENT INTO THE PREPARATION OF EXHIBIT 29?

A WELL, WE UTILIZED THE LOCATIONS OF THE WELLS THAT WE OBTAINED, AS I MENTIONED EARLIER, FROM THE U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY. WE PLOTTED THEM ON A MAP WITH BUTTES SUB-UNIT BOUNDARY AND THE PEARLAND SUB-UNIT BOUNDARY. OTHER THAN THAT IT'S JUST GROUNDWATER LEVELS.

Q OF THE SIX HYDROGRAPHS DEPICTED ON EXHIBIT 29, ARE THEY ALL LOCATED WITHIN THE BUTTES SUB-UNIT?

A THESE WELLS ARE. YES.

Q A FEW MOMENTS AGO WE LOOKED AT OTHER EXHIBITS WHERE THERE WERE OTHER WELLS; DO YOU RECALL THAT?

A YES.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q ARE ANY OF THE WELLS DEPICTED IN
EXHIBIT 29 SOME OF THOSE WELLS THAT WERE DEPICTED IN
THAT EARLIER EXHIBIT? AND, FOR CLARITY, THAT IS EXHIBIT

131

27.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

A NO. THESE ARE WHAT I WOULD REFER TO, WITH THE EXCEPTION OF CSD NUMBER 10 AND CSD NUMBER 6-A, THESE ARE MONITORING WELLS, USGS.

Q AND CSD 10 AND 6-A ARE THOSE PHELAN WELLS, 10 AND 6-A?

A THOSE ARE PHELAN'S WELLS THAT ARE LOCATED EAST OF THE COUNTY BOUNDARY.

Q AND OF THE FOUR HYDROGRAPHS FOR OTHER WELLS WITHIN THE BUTTES SUB-UNIT, WHEN YOU SAY "MONITORING WELLS," WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY THE PHRASE?

A WHAT I MEAN BY THAT IS THEY ARE NOT PUMPING WELLS. IN OTHER WORDS, THOSE WELLS ARE NOT ACTIVELY PUMPING GROUND WATER. SO THE GROUND WATER LEVELS ARE INDICATIVE OF STATIC CONDITIONS.

Q AND STATIC, WHAT IMPORTANCE OR SIGNIFICANCE DOES STATIC CONDITIONS PRESENT TO YOU IN YOUR EVALUATION OF THE BUTTE SUB-UNIT?

A WHEN WELLS ARE PUMPED, IT LOWERS THE GROUNDWATER LEVEL IN THE WELL. PUMPING WELLS, OFTENTIMES YOU NEED TO ALLOW THEM, IN OTHER WORDS, TO GET A TRUE CONDITION OF THE AQUIFER, YOU WOULD WANT IT TO BE OFF AND GET A STATIC CONDITION OF THE AQUIFER.

YOU WOULDN'T WANT TO PRODUCE A HYDROGRAPH OF PUMPING OTHERWISE THIS WOULD BE VERY JAGGED. SO WE TRIED TO GET STATIC CONDITION GROUNDWATER LEVELS. AND MONITORING WELLS ARE IDEAL FOR THAT. THE REASON BEING IS THAT SOMETIMES PRODUCTION WELLS WE DON'T KNOW HOW

LONG THEY ARE ALLOWED TO REST BEFORE THE WATER LEVEL IS TAKEN. WE DON'T KNOW IF IT'S INDICATIVE OF TRUE STATIC CONDITIONS.

THE COURT: WELL, ARE THESE MONITORING WELLS, OR ARE THESE PUMPING WELLS THAT ARE SHOWN ON EXHIBIT 29?

MR. MILIBAND: YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE WITNESS: THESE FOUR WELLS ARE MONITORING WELLS. THESE TWO OVER HERE ARE PHELAN'S WELLS WHICH THEY PUMP GROUNDWATER FROM. NOW, THEY ALLOW -- WHEN WE GET THESE WATER LEVELS, THESE WATER LEVELS ARE STATIC WATER LEVELS THAT WERE TAKEN AFTER THE WELLS WERE OFF. THEY ARE NOT PUMPING WATER WELLS, BUT THEY ARE ACTIVE PUMPING WELLS.

(PHONE INTERRUPTION.)

THE COURT: LET'S DO THAT AGAIN. WE'VE GOT FOUR HYDROGRAPHS THAT ARE MONITORING WELLS.

THE WITNESS: RIGHT.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

28

THE COURT: WE HAVE TWO THAT ARE PUMPING WELLS.

THE WITNESS: RIGHT.

THE COURT: BUT YOU SAY THEY ARE INACTIVE PUMPING WELLS?

THE WITNESS: THE WATER LEVELS WERE TAKEN AFTER THE PUMPS WERE TURNED OFF.

THE COURT: FOR HOW LONG?

THE WITNESS: THAT I DON'T KNOW.

26THE COURT:ARE ANY OF THOSE HYDROGRAPHS WELL 14?27THE WI TNESS:NO.

THE COURT: HAVE YOU DONE ONE FOR WELL 14?

THE WITNESS: YES.

THE COURT: WE WILL GET TO THAT I AM SURE.

MR. MILIBAND: YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: GO AHEAD.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MR. KUHS: YOUR HONOR, MAY I ASK A QUESTION FOR CLARIFICATION?

THE COURT: WELL, WHY DON'T YOU WAIT UNTIL CROSS-EXAMINATION. WE'RE GOING TO GO BACK TO THESE EXHIBITS, I AM SURE, FOR SOMEBODY.

Q BY MR. MILIBAND: MR. HARDER, WHEN I WAS ASKING YOU ABOUT THE SIGNIFICANCE OF LOOKING AT MONITORING WELLS, IS IT A FAIR STATEMENT THAT THE MONITORING WELLS HELP ENSURE PROVIDING YOU WITH AN ACCURATE BASIS ON WHICH TO FORMULATE ANY KIND OF CONCLUSION OR OPINION AS TO THE CONDITIONS WITHIN THE BUTTE SUB-UNIT?

YES. THAT' S ACCURATE.

Q AND WHEN YOU LOOKED TO PRODUCTION WELLS SUCH AS YOU DID HERE WITH PHELAN'S WELLS 10 AND 6-A, WHAT SORT OF STANDARD WOULD YOU LIKE TO SEE TO ENSURE THAT A STATIC LEVEL HAS BEEN REACHED IN ORDER TO HAVE THAT SAME OR SIMILAR LEVEL OF CONFIDENCE THAT YOU HAVE WHEN LOOKING AT THE STATIC LEVEL OF THE MONITORING WELL?

A YOU KNOW, IT DEPENDS.

ON WHAT?

А

0

A ON THE PERMEABILITY OF THE AQUIFER IN WHICH THE WELL IS CONSTRUCTED. HIGHLY PERMEABLE WELLS, THE WATER LEVEL CAN RETURN TO STATIC CONDITIONS AFTER

PUMPING IS TURNED OFF WITHIN HOURS OR EVEN MINUTES. IF THE WELL IS COMPLETED IN A LOWER PERMEABILITY UNIT. IT CAN TAKE DAYS. SO IT'S REALLY SPECIFIC TO THE CONDITIONS OF THE AQUIFER IN THE WELL. THESE WELLS ARE CONSTRUCTED IN A RELATIVELY PERMEABLE AQUIFER. SO I WOULD EXPECT THEM TO RECOVER TO STATIC CONDITIONS RELATIVELY QUICKLY. 0 WHEN YOU SAY "RELATIVELY QUICKLY," CAN YOU QUANTIFY WITH AN ESTIMATE HOW QUICKLY THAT WOULD BE? 10 I CAN'T. NO. А MR. HARDER, IF I CAN DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION 11 0 TO WHAT HAS BEEN MARKED AS EXHIBIT 30, PLEASE. 12 WHAT 13 DOES EXHIBIT 30 ILLUSTRATE TO YOU, MR. HARDER? 14 IT'S AN EXHIBIT OUT OF APPENDIX E OF THE А SUMMARY EXPERT REPORT. IT'S A REPORT PREPARED BY 15 WILDERMUTH ENVIRONMENTAL. AND WHAT IT SHOWS ARE 16 GROUNDWATER STORAGE CHANGES ACROSS THE ANTELOPE VALLEY 17 18 ADJUDICATION AREA DUE TO GRAVITY DRAINAGE. AND IT'S FOR 19 SPECIFIED PERIODS IN TIME STARTING IN 1951 AND GOING 20 THROUGH 2009. WAS EXHIBIT 30 PREPARED BY YOU OR AT YOUR 21 0 DIRECTION TO YOUR STAFF? 22 23 А WE DIDN'T PREPARE THIS. WE BASICALLY TOOK 24 IT FROM THE SUMMARY EXPERT REPORT. 25 AND DID YOU REVIEW AT LEAST THAT PORTION 0 OF THE SUMMARY EXPERT REPORT TO WHICH EXHIBIT 30 RELATES 26 27 T0? 28 А WE DID.

AND WHICH PORTION OF THE SUMMARY EXPERT 0 REPORT WAS THAT THAT YOU REVIEWED? Α IT WAS APPENDIX E. AND WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING AS TO WHAT 0 APPENDIX E WAS DEALING WITH IN TERMS OF THE SUBJECT MATTER? WELL, I THINK IT WAS DEALING WITH STORAGE Α CHANGE AND GROUNDWATER LEVELS IN THE BASIN. I DON'T RECALL THE EXACT TITLE OF APPENDIX E, BUT THIS IS PART 10 OF IT. 11 BUT, GENERALLY, CHANGES STORED, 0 CALCULATIONS? 12 13 А UH-HUH. GROUNDWATER LEVEL CHANGES OVER 14 TIME. WHY DID YOU LOOK AT APPENDIX E AND 15 0 PARTICULARLY UTILIZE THIS PAGE THAT IS DEPICTED WITHIN 16 EXHIBIT 30? 17 18 А WELL IF YOU LOOK AT GROUNDWATER STORAGE 19 CHANGE OVER TIME, IT'S AN INDICATION OF THE CONDITION OF 20 THE GROUNDWATER BASIN. SO DURING PERIODS OF 21 OVERDRAFT -- AND MAYBE FOR CLARIFICATION HERE, THE RED COLORED AREAS INDICATE NEGATIVE CHANGES IN STORAGE. 22 ΙN 23 OTHER WORDS, THE GROUNDWATER STORAGE IS GOING DOWN, AND 24 GROUNDWATER LEVELS ARE DROPPING. 25 AREAS OF GREEN INDICATE AREAS WHERE GROUNDWATER LEVELS ARE RISING AND STORAGE IS INCREASING. 26 27 AND THE WHITE AREAS WITHIN THE ANALYSIS AREA ARE AREAS WHERE THERE IS NO CHANGE. SO DURING PERIODS WHEN THE 28
AREAS ARE RED, THOSE AREAS HAVE A DECREASING STORAGE SO THAT RECHARGE IS NOT BALANCED WITH THE DISCHARGE.

DI SCHARGE EXCEEDS THE RECHARGE, AND THE GROUNDWATER STORAGE IS GOING DOWN AND VICE VERSA. AND IN THE GREEN AREAS RECHARGE EXCEEDS, THE DI SCHARGE AND THE GROUNDWATER LEVELS ARE COMING UP.

Q AND IN LOOKING AT EXHIBIT 30 AND BASED UPON THE WORK THAT YOU HAVE DONE, DO YOU SEE ANY SORT OF TREND OR PATTERN PARTICULARLY AS DEPICTED WITHIN THE ILLUSTRATIONS IN EXHIBIT 30?

A WELL, WE FOCUSED ON THE TIME FROM ABOUT 1992 TO 2009 AND FOCUSED ON THE AREA OF THE BUTTE SUB-UNIT REALLY BECAUSE THAT WAS OUR STUDY AREA. SO WE DID NOT FOCUS ON THE REST OF THE BASIN.

Q AND WHY WAS THERE A FOCUS AT LEAST ON THAT ONE-TIME PERIOD OF 1992 TO 1999?

A WELL, WHEN I LOOKED AT THE HYDROGRAPH THAT WE JUST LOOKED AT BEFORE, GROUNDWATER LEVELS IN THE BASIN HAVE COME UP AND GONE DOWN OVER TIME DURING VARIOUS CONDITIONS. AND, ACTUALLY, FOR THE PERIOD BETWEEN ABOUT 1980 TO 2006, GROUNDWATER LEVELS WERE EITHER RISING OR STABLE. SO I WANTED TO LOOK AT THAT AREA, THAT CONDITION SPECIFIC TO THE SOUTHEAST PORTION OF THE ADJUDICATION AREA AND THE AREA OF WELL 14.

Q I WANT TO BREAK THAT DOWN A LITTLE BIT.
YOU SAID RISING OR STABLE. I WOULD LIKE TO BREAK THAT
DOWN TO ORALLY AND SPACIALLY.

A OKAY.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

WHEN YOU USE RISING OR STABLE, WHAT TIME 0 PERIOD ARE YOU UTILIZING TO SAY THAT THERE WAS RISING AND STABLE AND WHAT SPECIFIC AREA? WOULD YOU BE WILLING TO GO BACK TO THE А HYDROGRAPHS FOR THAT? 0 ABSOLUTELY. I REALLY NEED TO LOOK AT THAT TO ANSWER Α THAT QUESTION. 0 WE WILL JUMP BACK TO EXHIBIT 29. OKAY. I WILL LOOK AT WELL 22 D-1. IT'S 10 А 11 IN THE UPPER LEFT-HAND CORNER, AND THESE BLUE LINES ARE THE HYDROGRAPHS. BETWEEN 1951 AND I WOULD SAY THROUGH 12 13 ABOUT 1970, GROUNDWATER LEVELS WERE DECLINING AT LEAST 14 IN THIS AREA. AT ABOUT THAT TIME THEY BECAME STABLE. AND EVEN DURING THE 1980'S THEY BEGAN TO RISE SOMEWHAT. 15 THEY WERE PRETTY MUCH STABLE UP UNTIL 2006. 16 MR. HARDER, I WOULD JUST LIKE TO INTERRUPT 17 0 18 FOR CLARITY. YOU ARE POINTING TO THE HYDROGRAPH IN THE 19 UPPER-LEFT CORNER OF EXHIBIT 29 WHICH IS ALSO DEPICTED 20 ON THAT EXHIBIT AS SIX NORTH, ONE SOUTH; IS THAT 21 CORRECT? SIX NORTH, TEN WEST. 22 А I THOUGHT YOU WOULD GET THAT ONE RIGHT. 23 0 24 22 D-1. А 25 RIGHT. OKAY. THANK YOU. PLEASE CARRY 0 ON. 26 27 AND THAT'S A SIMILAR PATTERN THAT WE HAVE А 28 SEEN IN OTHER HYDROGRAPHS. IF YOU LOOK AT 111, THERE

138

WAS AN INITIAL DECLINE, A STABILIZATION RISING GROUNDWATER LEVELS INTO THE 80'S AND THEN THE STABILIZATION UP THROUGH THE 2000'S. HERE IS ANOTHER ONE, 5-R. THERE ARE TEMPORAL CHANGES IN GROUNDWATER LEVELS, BUT OVERALL THEY ARE RELATIVELY STABLE. SO IN TERMS OF LOOKING AT THAT, THAT'S AT A POINT IN SPACE. I ALSO WANT TO LOOK AT IT -- MARK WILDERMUTH PREPARED AN AERIAL VIEW, A MAP VIEW OF CHANGES IN STORAGE OVER TIME FOR THE ENTIRE AREA. THE COURT: YOU STARTED TO SAY UNTIL 2006. 10 THE WITNESS: RIGHT. 11 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WHY DON'T YOU FINISH THAT 12 13 SENTENCE. WHAT WAS HAPPENING AFTER 2006? 14 THE WITNESS: WELL, AFTER 2006 WE HAVE SEEN 15 LOCALIZED AREAS WHERE GROUNDWATER AREAS WERE BEGINNING TO DECLINE. 16 BY MR. MILIBAND: AND WHERE HAVE YOU SEEN 17 0 18 THOSE LOCALIZED AREAS? 19 CAN YOU GO BACK TO THE HYDROGRAPH. А 20 SURE. 0 I'M SORRY. 21 Α BACK TO EXHIBIT 29, WHERE ARE YOU 22 0 REFERENCING WHEN YOU ARE SAYING LOCALIZED AREAS? 23 24 THIS 5-R TO HERE NEAR BIG ROCK WASH. S0 А 25 IT'S BEEN ABOUT SINCE 2005 WE HAVE SEEN A BIT OF A DECLINE IN THAT STORAGE. NOW, THERE COULD BE MULTIPLE 26 27 REASONS FOR THAT. 28 0 SUCH AS?

SUCH AS, WE HAVE BEEN IN A RELATIVELY DRY А PERIOD SINCE 2006. AND THAT WELL IS RELATIVELY SHALLOW. IT'S LESS THAN 150 FEET DEEP, AND IT'S LOCATED RIGHT ALONG BIG ROCK WASH. IT MAY BE A COMBINATION OF A DRY PRECIPITATION PERIOD. IT'S ALSO LOCATED DUE SOUTH OF THE RETLAW RANCH WHERE YOU CAN'T SEE ON THAT MAP. THE COURT: WILL YOU SHOW US ON EXHIBIT 28 WHERE THAT IS. 10 THE WI TNESS: SURE. 11 THE COURT: OR EXHIBIT 27. THE WITNESS: ON THIS MAP, THAT WELL WOULD BE 12 13 LOCATED RIGHT ABOUT WHERE MY POINTER IS. 14 BY MR. MILIBAND: AND, FOR THE RECORD, Q SINCE THE RECORD CANNOT SEE THE PICTURE, RETLAW IS IN 15 THE CENTER; IS THAT RIGHT? 16 I'M SORRY? 17 Α RETLAW RANCH IS IN THE CENTER? 18 0 19 А THIS BLUE AREA WITH THESE GREEN WELLS, THIS IS THE RETLAW RANCH. 20 21 THE COURT: THOSE ARE BOLTHOUSE? 22 THE WITNESS: THOSE ARE BOLTHOUSE, YES. 23 0 BY MR. MILIBAND: THE ONE WELL, WAS IT 24 5-R? 25 YES. А AND THE BIG ROCK CREEK? 26 0 27 А UH-HUH. WASH AREA, THAT IS A LITTLE SOUTH OF THE 28 0

RETLAW RANCH; IS THAT CORRECT? UH-HUH. А 0 YOU HAVE SEEN SOME DECLINE TO SOME EXTENT? А RI GHT. 0 AND THAT'S WITHIN THAT ONE HYDROGRAPH; IS THAT CORRECT? THAT'S CORRECT. Α DOES THAT MODIFY OR OTHERWISE AFFECT YOUR 0 STATEMENT FROM A FEW MOMENTS AGO THAT LEVELS WITHIN THE BUTTE SUB-UNIT HAVE BEEN RELATIVELY STABLE OR EVEN 10 11 RI SI NG? IT DOESN'T CHANGE THAT. IT DOESN'T CHANGE 12 Α 13 IT, BECAUSE IT'S TEMPORARILY RESTRICTED. IN OTHER 14 WORDS, THE 1980'S THROUGH THE 2006, THEY SEEM TO HAVE BEEN FAIRLY STABLE IN THOSE WELLS AND IN THIS AREA. I 15 THINK AFTER THAT WE HAVE SEEN SOME DECLINES. 16 AND WHEN YOU SAY "SOME DECLINES" THAT IS 17 0 WHEN YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT 5-R? 18 19 А 5-R. WE HAVE SEEN SOME DECLINES OUTSIDE 20 OF THE BUTTE SUB-UNIT TOO IN THIS AREA SINCE THAT TIME. 21 YOU' RE REFERRING TO THE HIGH VISTA AREA? 0 I AM REFERRING TO THE HIGH VISTA AREA IN 22 Α THE VICINITY OF S&P ROWEN RANCH AS WELL. 23 24 0 WHEN YOU SAY S&P ROWEN RANCH, THAT IS THE BOLTHOUSE AGRICULTURAL WELLS THAT YOU REFERRED TO 25 EARLIER; IS THAT CORRECT? 26 THAT'S CORRECT. 27 А 28 THE COURT: BUT THE DECLINE IS SHOWN BY THE

MONITORING WELLS; IS THAT RIGHT?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

28

THE WI TNESS: YES.

THE COURT: THANK YOU.

Q BY MR. MILIBAND: MR. HARDER, I WOULD LIKE TO JUMP BACK TO EXHIBIT 30 FOR A MOMENT. A LITTLE EARLIER TODAY YOU INDICATED THAT GREEN ILLUSTRATES TO YOU THAT RECHARGE IS GREATER THAN DISCHARGE; IS THAT CORRECT?

A THE GREEN INDICATES THAT THE STORAGE HAS BEEN POSITIVE DURING THAT TIME. AND SO THE INFERENCE WOULD BE THAT RECHARGE EXCEEDED THE DISCHARGE IN THAT AREA DURING THAT TIME. YES.

Q IF WE WERE TO FOCUS A MOMENT ON THE LOWER-RIGHT ILLUSTRATION IN EXHIBIT 30 WHICH IS THE 2006 TO 2009 TIME PERIOD, HOW WOULD YOU CHARACTERIZE THE STORAGE CONDITION FOR THAT TIME PERIOD WITHIN THE LOWER RIGHT-HAND AREA OF THE BUTTE SUB-UNIT?

A WELL, FROM 2006 TO 2009 SPECIFIC TO THE FURTHEST SOUTHEAST AREA OF THE ANTELOPE VALLEY ADJUDICATION AREA ACCORDING TO THIS MAP IT'S EITHER POSITIVE OR NO CHANGE IN STORAGE.

Q WHAT DOES NO CHANGE IN STORAGE MEAN TO YOU?

A IT MEANS STABLE GROUNDWATER LEVELS. THEY
ARE NOT MOVING EITHER UP OR DOWN.

26 Q AND WHAT DOES POSITIVE CHANGE IN STORAGE 27 MEAN TO YOU?

A THAT MEANS GROUNDWATER LEVELS ARE RISING

TO SOME DEGREE.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

26

27

28

Q AND THOUGH IT'S A LITTLE SMALL EVEN PROJECTED ON THE SCREEN, MAYBE THE HARD COPY IN FRONT OF YOU WOULD BE EASIER TO REFERENCE. AGAIN, LOOKING AT THAT 2006 TO 2009 ILLUSTRATION, WHERE APPROXIMATELY WOULD YOU PLACE WELL 14?

A I BELIEVE WE HAVE IT ON ANOTHER MAP, BUT IT WOULD BE APPROXIMATELY RIGHT HERE.

Q MAYBE IT'S A GOOD TIME -- WHY DON'T WE TURN TO EXHIBIT 31 --

THE COURT: YOU PUT YOUR LASER IN THE WHITE AREA.

THE WITNESS: WELL, HE IS ASKING WHERE WELL 14 WOULD BE ON THAT MAP, AND THAT IS WHERE IT WOULD BE.

THE COURT: IN THE WHITE AREA, NOT IN THE GREEN

THE WITNESS: IN THE WHITE AREA. THAT IS CORRECT.

Q BY MR. MILIBAND: THAT WAS A BIT UNFAIR OF ME TOO. IF WE TURN TO EXHIBIT 31, IT MIGHT MAKE IT A WHOLE LOT EASIER. I WOULD LIKE TO DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION TO EXHIBIT 31, MR. HARDER.

A SO HERE IS WELL 14.

24THE COURT: WERE THEY MONITORING WELLS IN THAT25AREA?

THE WITNESS: THERE ARE A FEW, YOUR HONOR. THERE IS NOT VERY MANY. THIS IS REALLY A DATA-FOUR AREA.

Q BY MR. MILIBAND: MR. HARDER, WOULD YOU

PLEASE EXPLAIN WHAT EXHIBIT 31 ILLUSTRATES TO YOU.

A EXHIBIT 31, WHAT WE HAVE DONE IS TAKEN THOSE COLORIZED STORAGE CHANGE MAPS. WE'VE REGISTERED THEM IN GIS AND PLOTTED THEM AT A LARGER SCALE AGAINST THE MAP THAT SHOWS THE SUB-UNIT BOUNDARIES AND THE WELLS THAT ARE IN THE SUB-UNITS FOR THE PREVIOUS EXHIBITS.

SO THIS MAP IS JUST A BLOWUP OR EXPANSION OF WHAT WE JUST SAW IN THE PREVIOUS EXHIBIT FOR THE PERIOD OF 1992 TO 1997. AGAIN, THIS IS FROM APPENDIX E OF THE SUMMARY EXPERT REPORT.

Q AND OTHER THAN THE INFORMATION THAT YOU UTILIZED FROM THE APPENDIX E AND THE SUMMARY EXPERT REPORT, WAS THIS EXHIBIT 31 PREPARED BY YOU OR AT THE DIRECTION TO YOUR STAFF?

A THIS WAS PREPARED AT THE DIRECTION OF ME BUT PREPARED BY MY STAFF.

Q AND JUST SO I AM CLEAR, WAS THIS ESSENTIALLY USING A LOT OF THE SAME DATA AND INFORMATION THAT YOU DESCRIBED ALREADY FOR SOME OF THE EARLIER EXHIBITS?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IT IS.

Α

Q AND WHAT SIGNIFICANCE IF ANY DOES EXHIBIT 31 PRESENT TO YOU?

A WELL, THIS GOES BACK -- I SUPPOSE WE COULD HAVE LOOKED AT SOME OF THE EARLIER STORAGE CHANGE MAPS AS WELL. I STARTED IN 1992 TO JUST TRY TO GET A FEEL FOR WHAT THE CONDITION OF THE AQUIFER SYSTEM WAS DURING THAT TIME PERIOD. AND WHAT THIS SHOWS IS THAT BETWEEN

1992 AN 1997 IN THE MAJORITY OF THE BUTTE SUB-UNIT GROUNDWATER LEVELS WERE EITHER RISING OR STABLE.

0 AND AS YOU SIT HERE NOW. IS THERE ANYTHING ELSE THAT JUMPS OUT AT YOU IN TERMS OF SIGNIFICANCE AS IT RELATES TO EXHIBIT 31?

I THINK THE THING THAT JUMPED OUT TO ME А INITIALLY WHEN I DID THIS AND PLOTTED IT UP IS THAT THE S&P ROWEN RANCH IS NOT INCLUDED IN THE AREA THAT WAS EVALUATED FOR THE STORAGE CHANGE. AND FOR WHATEVER REASON, IT CUTOFF THE SOUTHEAST PORTION OF THE ANTELOPE VALLEY ADJUDICATION AREA.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

OTHER THAN THAT, IT'S BASICALLY SHOWING STABLE GROUNDWATER LEVELS IN THE VICINITY OF WELL 14 WHICH IS ALSO CONSISTENT WITH WHAT WAS STATED IN THE SUMMARY EXPERT REPORT AS WELL. THEY STATED AS MUCH IN THE ACTUAL TEXT.

ALL RIGHT. MR. HARDER, IF I CAN DIRECT 0 YOUR ATTENTION TO THE NEXT IN ORDER, EXHIBIT 32, PLEASE.

IS EXHIBIT 32, SIMILAR TO EXHIBIT 31 EXCEPT THAT IT'S LOOKING AT A DIFFERENT TIME PERIOD?

THAT'S CORRECT. THE TIME PERIOD HERE IS А FROM 1998 TO 2005.

0 AND WHAT DIFFERENCE OR DIFFERENCES DOES 24 EXHIBIT 32 ILLUSTRATE TO YOU IF ANY AS COMPARED TO 25 EXHIBIT 31?

WELL, AS I SAID BEFORE, WHEN YOU LOOK AT A 26 А 27 HYDROGRAPH, GROUNDWATER LEVELS ARE GOING TO GO UP AND DOWN OVER TIME. AND IN THIS CASE DURING THIS TIME 28

PERIOD THROUGHOUT A LARGE PORTION OF THE SUB-UNIT, GROUNDWATER LEVELS ARE DROPPING WITH THE EXCEPTION OF SOME AREAS. IN THE SOUTHEAST PORTION THOUGH, ACCORDING TO THIS, IT IS STABLE, NO CHANGE IN GROUNDWATER STORAGE IN THE VICINITY OF WELL 14. 0 MOVING ALONG TO EXHIBIT 33, SIMILARLY, THIS EXHIBIT 33 IS ESSENTIALLY THE SAME IN TERMS OF PREPARATION AND DATA? А YES. BUT DEPICTING A DIFFERENT TIME; IS THAT 10 0 CORRECT? 11 THAT'S CORRECT. 12 Α WHAT IS THE TIME PERIOD DEPICTED BY 13 0 14 EXHIBIT 33? THE 2006 TO 2009. 15 А WHAT SIGNIFICANCE DOES EXHIBIT 33 PRESENT 16 0 TO YOU? 17 18 А IT STILL -- IT'S JUST A DIFFERENT TIME 19 PERIOD. IN THIS PARTICULAR TIME PERIOD, ACCORDING TO 20 THIS ANALYSIS, WE HAVE POSITIVE STORAGE CHANGE THROUGH A 21 LARGER PORTION OF THE SUB-UNIT. THERE ARE STILL AREAS THAT ARE DECLINING. BUT MORE SIGNIFICANTLY NEAR WELL 14 22 INDICATES IT'S STILL STABLE GROUNDWATER LEVELS. 23 24 GENERALLY, IS IT A FAIR STATEMENT THAT 0 25 THERE IS MORE GREEN OR WHITE THAN THERE ARE THE SHADES OF ORANGE OR RED? 26 27 YEAH. IT'S HARD TO PUT THAT OBJECTIVELY, А BUT IN GENERAL, YES. IT APPEARS THERE IS MORE GREEN 28

THAN RED DURING THIS TIME PERIOD.

Q ANYTHING ELSE OF SIGNIFICANCE TO YOU AS IT RELATES TO EXHIBIT 33?

A NO.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q MOVING TO THE NEXT IN ORDER, EXHIBIT 34. PLEASE TURN TO THAT. WHAT DOES EXHIBIT 34 ILLUSTRATE TO YOU, MR. HARDER?

A THIS IS THE SAME TYPE OF MAP SHOWING CHANGES IN STORAGE, BUT IT'S FOR THE CUMULATIVE PERIOD OF 1951 TO 2009. SO IT SHOWS AREAS OF GREEN, POSITIVE STORAGE CHANGE OVER THAT TIME PERIOD THROUGHOUT PORTIONS OF THE BUTTE SUB-UNIT. AND IT SHOWS AREAS OF NEGATIVE STORAGE CHANGE OVER OTHER PORTIONS OF THE BUTTE SUB-UNIT.

Q AND ULTIMATELY WHAT REFERENCES OR INFERENCES, RATHER, DO YOU MAKE IF ANY AS IT RELATES TO EXHIBIT 34?

A WELL, IT JUST TELLS ME THAT DURING THIS PERIOD THERE HAS BEEN AREAS WHERE THE STORAGE HAS BEEN POSITIVE, AND THERE'S BEEN AREAS WHERE THE STORAGE IS NEGATIVE INCLUDING THE WELL 14 AREAS RIGHT ON THE BOUNDARY BETWEEN POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE, WHICH MEANS IT'S PRETTY STABLE.

I THINK ONE OF THE THINGS THAT JUMPED OUT
TO ME WHEN REVIEWING THIS MAP IS IF YOU LOOK AT THE
NORTHWESTERN BUTTE SUB-UNIT BOUNDARY WHICH IS A FAULT,
AND IT'S A PARTIAL GROUNDWATER FLOW BARRIER. NORTHWEST
OF THAT BOUNDARY IS THE AREA OF THE ANTELOPE VALLEY

WHERE MOST OF THE GROUNDWATER LEVEL DECLINED AND OCCURRED DURING THAT TIME.

THERE IS A MARKET CHANGE OR DIFFERENCE IN APPEARANCE OF THE STORAGE CHANGE, AND IT'S CORRELATIVE WITH THE BOUNDARY WHICH IS CONSISTENT WITH THAT BOUNDARY BEING A PARTIAL FAULT. IT'S NOT A BARRIER IN THE SENSE THAT NO WATER FLOWS THROUGH, BUT IT'S LESS PERMEABLE. SO IT IMPEDES GROUNDWATER FLOW FROM THE BUTTE SUB-UNIT INTO THE LANCASTER SUB-UNIT TO THE NORTHWEST.

GROUNDWATER BASIN. THE LANCASTER SUB-UNIT IS UP HERE,

Q SO EARLIER WHEN YOU WERE TALKING A LITTLE BIT ABOUT A SUB-UNIT AND HOW SUB-UNITS, AT LEAST AS YOU HAVE DONE YOUR WORK HERE FOR THE BUTTE SUB-UNIT, DOES NOT MEAN THAT IT'S A SEPARATE BASIN OR UNIT ALTOGETHER FROM THE REST OF THE ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN; IS THAT CORRECT?

THAT' S RIGHT.

А

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Q SO IS IT MORE OF A DEGREE OF RELATIVELY IN TERMS TO WHAT EXTENT IT HAS INTERCONNECTIVITY WITH WHAT WOULD BE -- IS THAT THE LANCASTER SUB-UNIT THAT IS ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THE BUTTE SUB-UNIT?

THIS IS THE LANCASTER SUB-UNIT. 22 Α AND IN ANSWER TO YOUR QUESTION, YES. THERE IS HYDROLOGIC 23 24 CONNECTIVITY BETWEEN THESE TWO. GROUNDWATER IS GOING TO FLOW BASED ON THE CONTOUR INTERVALS FROM THE BUTTE 25 SUB-UNIT INTO THE LANCASTER SUB-UNIT. IT'S JUST THAT. 26 27 WITH THE FLOW BARRIER, THERE IS MORE 28 RECHARGE AVAILABLE TO THIS AREA. AND EVIDENTLY LESS OR

MORE PUMPING IN THIS AREA IS CAUSING THE GROUNDWATER LEVEL DECLINES IN THAT AREA.

THE COURT: IT WOULD BE REALLY HELPFUL FOR THE RECORD IF YOU DESCRIBED AS YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT IT THE EXACT AREAS WHERE YOU SAY THERE IS MORE OR LESS. OTHERWISE, THE RECORD IS NOT GOING TO PICK IT UP.

THE WITNESS: OKAY. I AM TALKING ABOUT RIGHT NOW THE LANCASTER SUB-UNIT WHICH IS NORTHWEST OF THE NORTHWEST BUTTE SUB-UNIT BOUNDARY. THAT AREA OF THE LANCASTER SUB-UNIT, BASED ON THE STORAGE DECLINE MAPS, SAW THE MAJORITY OF THE GROUNDWATER STORAGE DECLINE FROM 1951 TO 2009.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

IN THE BUTTE SUB-UNIT TO THE SOUTHEAST OF THAT BOUNDARY THERE IS INDICATIONS THAT STORAGE CHANGE HAS BEEN POSITIVE IN SOME AREAS AND NEGATIVE IN OTHERS, BUT THERE IS DEFINITELY A DIFFERENT STORAGE CHANGE SIGNATURE THAT WOULD IDENTIFY IT AS DISTINCT FROM THE LANCASTER SUB-UNIT TO THE NORTHWEST.

THE COURT: ALL OF YOUR WELL CONTOURS, WATER CONTOURS, SHOW FLOW FROM THE SOUTH LINE OF THE SOUTHEAST AREA TO THE FIRST -- TO THE NORTHEAST WHICH WOULD TAKE IT INTO THE GREEN AREA AND THEN ABOVE THAT TO THE NORTHWEST. AM I UNDERSTANDING YOUR TESTIMONY?

THE WITNESS: IN TERMS OF GROUNDWATER FLOW DIRECTION?

THE COURT: THE CONTOURS.

THE WITNESS: THE CONTOURS. I DON'T HAVE A CONTOUR MAP OF THIS AREA RIGHT HERE. 149

THE COURT: WELL, WE DO, DON'T WE? MR. MILIBAND: I DON'T THINK MR. HARDER WENT FAR NORTH. YOUR HONOR, IF WE TURN BACK TO EXHIBIT --THE COURT: EXHIBIT 28. MR. MILIBAND: YES, SIR. WOULD IT BE EASIER FOR THE COURT IF I KEPT EXHIBIT 34 ON THE PROJECTOR AND WE LOOK AT THE HARD COPY OF 28? THE COURT: I AM LOOKING AT 28. MR. MILIBAND: WOULD YOU LIKE ME TO PUT 28 UP ON THE SCREEN? 10 THE COURT: YEAH, I THINK SO, SO HE CAN EXPLAIN 11 IT. I JUST WANT TO UNDERSTAND HIS TESTIMONY. 12 13 THE WITNESS: TO GET YOU LOCATED, THIS IS BEDROCK 14 CREEK RIGHT HERE ON THE FURTHEST WESTERN PORTION OF 15 THAT. THE COURT: GO OVER TO THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF 16 THE ADJUDICATION AREA. 17 18 THE WITNESS: YES. RIGHT HERE? 19 THE COURT: YES. AND THE AREA THAT IS PHELAN'S AREA WOULD BE TO THE EAST. 20 21 THE WITNESS: RIGHT. THE COURT: THOSE CONTOURS SHOW FLOW IN WHAT 22 23 DI RECTI ON? 24 THE WITNESS: THE CONTOURS IMMEDIATELY EAST OF 25 THE COUNTY LINE. AND PHELAN'S SERVICE AREA FLOWED TO THE NORTH. AND ULTIMATELY THEY TURNED NORTHWEST AND 26 27 FLOW INTO THE ANTELOPE ADJUDICATION AREA. THE COURT: OKAY. NOW GO BACK TO EXHIBIT 34. 28

THE WITNESS: SO THIS WOULD BE THE AREA THAT WE WERE JUST LOOKING AT. THIS IS THE AREA IMMEDIATELY EAST OF THE COUNTY BOUNDARY AND IN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY ADJUDICATION AREA. AND GROUNDWATER FLOWS TO THE NORTH AND THEN NORTHWEST.

THE COURT: PUT YOUR LASER, IF YOU WOULD, ON THE LOCATION OF WELL 14.

THE WITNESS: IT'S THE YELLOW DOT RIGHT HERE.

THE COURT: OKAY. IT SHOWS RED BELOW IT AND GREEN ABOVE IT?

THE WITNESS: YES.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

THE COURT: OKAY.

Q BY MR. MILIBAND: MR. HARDER, EARLIER YOU MADE A STATEMENT I WANT TO CLARIFY, IF I MAY, THAT THE FACT THAT WELL 14 SEEMS TO BE SOMEWHAT ON THE BORDER OF GREEN AND RED, THAT INDICATES STABILITY TO YOU; IS THAT YOUR TESTIMONY?

A ACCORDING TO THESE MAPS, THAT WOULD INDICATE STABILITY. IT'S ON THE BOUNDARY. I THINK A BETTER INDICATION OF WELL 14'S CONDITION WOULD BE TO LOOK AT ITS HYDROGRAPH AFTER IT HAS BEEN PUMPING. BUT MY CONCLUSION FROM THIS INFORMATION HERE IS, YES.

OVER THAT TIME PERIOD THIS AREA OF THE BASIN WAS RELATIVELY STABLE. AND I WOULD EXPECT THAT BECAUSE THERE WASN'T ANY PUMPING EARLY ON. THERE REALLY WAS NO PRODUCTION OTHER THAN THE AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION THAT WAS OCCURRING UP HERE IN THE GRAY BUTTE FIELD AREA WHICH IS THE HIGH VISTA AREA AND RETLAW RANCH.

THE COURT: WELL, WHEN DID WELL 14 START TO PUMP SI GNI FI CANTLY? THE WITNESS: IT WAS DURING -- LET ME REFRESH MY MEMORY. I WANT TO BE ACCURATE. OKAY. 2006. THE COURT: OKAY. THAT WAS FIRST PUMPING; RIGHT? THE WITNESS: YES, IN FEBRUARY OF 2006 IT BEGAN PUMPING IN EARNEST. 0 BY MR. MILIBAND: WHAT DID YOU MEAN BY THAT? I'M SORRY. DID YOU SAY IN EARNEST? A I SAID IN EARNEST. THERE WAS SOME PUMPING 10 11 BEFORE THEN, BUT IT WAS REALLY NOT. BASICALLY IN 2005 THERE WAS 1.11 ACRE-FEET 12 0 PUMPED; IS THAT YOUR UNDERSTANDING? GO AHEAD AND 13 14 **REFRESH YOUR MEMORY?** A THAT IS CORRECT. IN 2005 THERE WAS 1.11 15 ACRE-FEET OF GROUNDWATER PRODUCTION. 16 THE COURT: WHY DON'T WE STOP AT THIS POINT FOR 17 18 ABOUT 15 MINUTES AND TAKE A RECESS. 19 (A RECESS WAS TAKEN.) 20 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. YOU MAY RESUME. 21 BY MR. MILIBAND: IF I MAY DIRECT YOUR 0 22 ATTENTION BACK TO EXHIBIT 33. IT IS WHAT APPEARS ON THE 23 PROJECTION SCREEN. 24 FOR CLARIFICATION, WAS WELL 14 PUMPING 25 DURING THIS TIME PERIOD OF 2006 TO 2009? YES. WELL 14 BEGAN PUMPING -- BEGAN 26 А PRODUCTION IN 2006. 27 28 Q IF WE CAN MOVE TO EXHIBIT 35, MR. HARDER.

PEASE EXPLAIN WHAT EXHIBIT 35 ILLUSTRATES TO YOU.

A THIS IS ACTUALLY GROUNDWATER ELEVATION ON THE Y AXIS. THE BLUE LINE IS THE STATIC GROUNDWATER LEVEL. AND THE RED LINE IS THE PUMPING GROUNDWATER LEVEL.

Q FIRST OF ALL, IN TERMS OF THE PREPARATION OF EXHIBIT 35, WAS THIS PREPARED BY YOU OR AT THE DIRECTION OF YOUR STAFF?

A NO. WE RECEIVED THIS DIRECTLY FROM PHELAN'S STAFF.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Q AND IN UTILIZING EXHIBIT 35, WOULD YOU PLEASE EXPLAIN A LITTLE BIT MORE ABOUT THE RED LINE AND THE BLUE LINE WHAT YOU MEAN BY EACH OF THOSE STATIC AND PUMPING LEVEL LINES.

A YES. THE WATER LEVELS THAT WERE MEASURED AND SHOWN HERE AS THE DOTS ON THE BLUE LINE WERE MEASURED WHEN THE PUMP IN THE WELL WAS OFF. SO THEY ARE MORE INDICATIVE OF WHAT I WOULD REFER TO AS STATIC GROUNDWATER LEVELS.

THE RED DOTS ARE MONITORED WATER LEVELS WHEN THE WELL WAS ON, THE PUMP WAS ON. SO THEY ARE INDICATIVE OF PUMPING GROUND LEVELS.

Q AND THE FACT THAT THERE IS A DIFFERENCE IN SPACE ON EXHIBIT 35 BETWEEN THE RED LINE AND THE BLUE LINE, WHAT DOES THAT MEAN TO YOU?

A WELL, IN ANY WELL THAT IS PUMPED THERE IS GOING TO BE A CONE OF DEPRESSION THAT OCCURS AROUND THE WELL. AND THERE IS GOING TO BE WELL LOSS AS WATER FLOWS INTO THE WELL. THERE'S GOING TO BE HYDRAULIC LOSSES. THE PUMPING LEVEL IN THE WELL IS A REFLECTION OF BOTH THE DRAWDOWN IN THE AQUIFER AND THE LOSSES IN THE WELL. THIS WATER LEVEL HERE IS A PUMPING GROUNDWATER LEVEL MEASURED IN THE WELL. THAT IS A REFLECTION OF THAT CONE OF DEPRESSION AND THOSE WATER LOSSES. THIS STATIC GROUNDWATER LEVEL -- THIS DIFFERENCE -- AND I GUESS I AM NOT SURE IF I ANSWERED YOUR QUESTION.

Q THAT'S FINE. IN LOOKING AT THIS WELL 14 HYDROGRAPH, DOES IT APPEAR CONSISTENT TO YOU TO WHAT YOU TYPICALLY SEE WITH HYDROGRAPHS WHEN YOU ARE LOOKING AT PUMPING LEVELS AND STATIC LEVELS?

MR. KUHS: OBJECTION; VAGUE.

THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU REPHRASE THAT, PLEASE.

Q BY MR. MILIBAND: MR. HARDER, DOES EXHIBIT 35 CONTAIN THE TYPE OF DATA YOU EXPECT TO SEE WHEN YOU ARE EVALUATING STATIC AND PUMPING LEVELS FOR A PARTICULAR WELL?

A YES.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Q AND THE DATA THAT IS DEPICTED WITHIN EXHIBIT 35, WHAT DOES IT DEMONSTRATE TO YOU?

A WELL, IT'S TYPICAL OF A HYDROGRAPH FROM ANY WELL WHERE THERE IS SOME VARIATION OF WATER LEVELS OVER TIME. THIS VARIATION CAN BE A REFLECTION OF THE TIME IT TOOK TO MEASURE THE WATER LEVEL AFTER IT WAS PUMPED. IT CAN ALSO REFLECT MAYBE SEASONAL CONDITIONS AND OTHER AREA PUMPING. AND IN THIS CASE, ONE THING I MIGHT POINT OUT IS THAT IN 2009 THERE WAS A DROP IN WATER LEVEL.

Q WOULD YOU PLEASE EXPLAIN WHERE YOU ARE POINTING YOUR LASER.

A I AM POINTING MY LASER AT THE STATIC GROUNDWATER LEVEL LINE WHICH IS THE BLUE LINE. IN APPROXIMATELY MAY OF 2009 IT BEGAN TO DROP A BIT MORE. AND THAT CORRESPONDS WITH THE TIME DURING THE PUMPING HISTORY OF WELL 14 WHERE THEY BEGAN TO PUMP MORE WATER OUT OF THE WELL.

IT CORRESPONDS WITH AN INCREASE IN THE PUMPING RATE OF THE WELL. BUT, OVERALL, THE GROUNDWATER LEVELS BETWEEN 2009 AND 2013 ARE RELATIVELY STABLE. THEY GO UP AND DOWN. BUT ALL IN ALL THEY ARE VERY STABLE.

Q IF YOU WERE TO LOOK TO THE FAR RIGHT OF EXHIBIT 35 AT THE PUMPING LEVEL WHICH IS THE BLUE LINE; CORRECT?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

A THE BLUE LINE IS THE STATIC.

Q EXCUSE ME, THE STATIC. THAT IS THE LINE THAT YOU ARE JUST REFERENCING. IF YOU WERE TO LOOK AT THE STATIC LINE AS DEPICTED IN THE BLUE LINE ON EXHIBIT 35, ON THE FAR RIGHT IT STARTS -- THERE'S A VERTICAL LINE THAT REPRESENTS THE BEGINNING OF 2014; IS THAT CORRECT?

26

RI GHT.

А

Q DOES THE STATIC LINE THAT STARTS AT 2014 AND PROCEEDS TO THE RIGHT TO THE END OF THE ILLUSTRATION ON EXHIBIT 35 SHOW AN UPWARD TREND? HOW WOULD YOU

CHARACTERIZE THAT?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

A WELL, THERE HAVE BEEN UPWARD TRENDS PERIODICALLY THROUGHOUT THE HISTORY OF THE MONITORING OF THIS WELL. THERE IS AN UPWARD TREND HERE. BUT, YES, TOWARD THE END THERE IS AN UPWARD TREND.

Q AND FOR THE DATA DEPICTED IN EXHIBIT 35, WHAT TREND IF ANY WOULD YOU SAY THERE IS AS IT RELATES TO WELL 14?

A YOU KNOW, ALL IN ALL, I WOULD SAY -- AND I AM POINTING TO THE BLUE LINE, THE STATIC WATER LEVEL LINE. THEY GO UP AND DOWN, BUT THEY ALWAYS RECOVER TO A HIGH LEVEL. THESE WATER LEVELS ALL IN ALL ARE RELATIVELY STABLE.

Q AND WHAT SIGNIFICANCE IF ANY DOES THE RED LINE PROVIDE TO YOU WHEN VALUED IN WELL 14'S PRODUCTION AS ILLUSTRATED IN EXHIBIT 35?

A I DON'T TYPICALLY PUT A LOT OF STOCK AND ANALYSIS IN PUMPING GROUNDWATER LEVELS UNLESS I KNOW MORE DETAILS ABOUT WHAT THE PUMPING IS, AND IN THIS CASE I DON'T. SO MY EVALUATION WOULD BE SOLELY ON THE BLUE LINE WHICH IS THE STATIC GROUNDWATER LEVEL.

Q DOES EXHIBIT 35 PRESENT ANYTHING ELSE OF SIGNIFICANCE TO YOU?

A NOT THAT I CAN THINK OF.

Q LET'S MOVE TO THE NEXT IN ORDER, PLEASE, EXHIBIT 36. PLEASE EXPLAIN WHAT EXHIBIT 36 MEANS TO YOU, MR. HARDER.

156

THIS IS A PAGE OUT OF THE SUMMARY EXPERT А REPORT. IT'S SECTION 4.3.1.4. THE SUBSECTION IS ENTITLED EAST ANTELOPE VALLEY. AND THERE IS TEXT HIGHLIGHTED IN THAT PARAGRAPH WHICH TALKS ABOUT GROUNDWATER LEVEL CONDITIONS OR GROUNDWATER LEVELS IN THE EAST ANTELOPE VALLEY. AND ON EXHIBIT 36 FOR THIS PARTICULAR PAGE 0 FROM THE SUMMARY EXPERT REPORT THERE IS PARTICULAR LANGUAGE THAT IS HIGHLIGHTED IN YELLOW; CORRECT? THAT'S CORRECT. 10 А DID YOU HIGHLIGHT THAT LANGUAGE? 11 0 12 Α I DID. 13 0 WHY? 14 WELL, I ACTUALLY HIGHLIGHTED THIS EARLY ON А 15 IN OUR REVIEW OF THE SUMMARY EXPERT REPORT. BUT WHAT IT SAYS IS FROM THE EARLY 1950'S THROUGH THE MID 1970'S --16 I GUESS. I'M SORRY. 17 18 0 THERE IS THE HARD COPY IN FRONT OF YOU AS 19 WELL IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO READ IT. 20 YEAH, ALLOW ME TO READ IT. THE AREA Α 21 INCLUDES THE EASTERN PORTION OF THE LANCASTER SUB BASIN AND THE BUTTES AND PEARLAND SUB BASINS. 22 GROUNDWATER ELEVATIONS IN THIS AREA SHOW SIMILAR TRENDS OF 23 24 GROUNDWATER LEVEL DECLINE FROM THE EARLY 1950'S TO THE 25 MID 1970'S FOLLOWED BY STABILIZING AND/OR INCREASING GROUNDWATER ELEVATIONS THROUGH APPROXIMATELY THE MID TO 26 27 LATE 1990' S. 28 AND TOWARD THE BOTTOM: IN GENERAL

GROUNDWATER LEVELS IN THE BUTTES AND PEARLAND SUB BASINS HAVE NOT CHANGED SIGNIFICANTLY SINCE 1951 AND IN SOME CASES HAVE RISEN. AND THEY CITE WELL SIX NORTH, TEN WEST, 22 D-1.

I HAVE LEFT IN THERE HIGHLIGHTED AS WELL THAT GROUNDWATER FLOW DIRECTION IN THIS AREA IS GENERALLY TO THE WEST AND HAS NOT CHANGED SIGNIFICANTLY SINCE 1951.

Q IS THE WELL THAT IS REFERENCED IN THIS HIGHLIGHTED LANGUAGE, THE SIX NORTH, TEN WEST WELL, IS THAT ONE OF THE WELLS THAT YOU HAD LOOKED AT IN YOUR EVALUATION OF THE BUTTE SUB-UNIT?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Α

A IT'S ONE OF THE WELLS THAT IS ON ONE OF THE EARLIER EXHIBITS. YES.

Q IS THAT A MONITORING WELL, DO YOU KNOW, OFFHAND?

TO MY KNOWLEDGE, YES.

Q SO WHY IS IT THAT YOU HIGHLIGHTED THIS PARTICULAR LANGUAGE FROM THE SUMMARY EXPERT REPORT?

A WELL, I HIGHLIGHTED IT BECAUSE THEY CAME TO THE SAME CONCLUSION THAT I DID, AND MAYBE IT'S JUST SUPPORTING INFORMATION THAT IS CONSISTENT WITH THE SUMMARY EXPERT REPORT'S CONCLUSION ABOUT THE CONDITION OF THE GROUNDWATER'S LEVELS IN THE BUTTES SUB-UNIT.

Q MR. HARDER, IF I CAN DIRECT YOU TO THE NEXT IN ORDER EXHIBIT 37, PLEASE. EXPLAIN WHAT EXHIBIT 37 ILLUSTRATES TO YOU.

A THIS AGAIN IS OUT OF THE SUMMARY EXPERT

REPORT APPENDIX E. THESE ARE GROUNDWATER LEVEL HYDROGRAPHS THAT THEY IDENTIFIED IN THEIR ANALYSIS OF STORAGE CHANGE. AND THE REASON THAT I LOOKED AT THIS, FIRST OFF, THEY HAVE SHOWED THE SUB-UNITS ON HERE. AND YOU CAN SEE THE NORTHWEST BOUNDARY OF THE BUTTES SUB-UNIT.

I AM POINTING WITH MY LASER POINTER ONTO THE MAP, THE NORTHWEST BOUNDARY, THE BUTTES SUB-UNIT. AND IMMEDIATELY SOUTHEAST OF THAT BOUNDARY IS THE 22 D-1 WELL WHICH IS THE HYDROGRAPH THAT THEY WERE REFERRING TO IN THE TEXT AND THE ONE THAT WE REFERRED TO EARLIER ON IN OUR REVIEW OF THE HYDROGRAPHS.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THAT HYDROGRAPH IS SHOWN OVER HERE TO THE RIGHT SHOWING RISING OR STABLE GROUNDWATER LEVELS. THERE IS ALSO ANOTHER HYDROGRAPH WITHIN THE BUTTES SUB-UNIT HERE 11 AND 1 WHICH ALSO SHOWS AT LEAST INITIALLY DECLINING GROUNDWATER LEVELS BUT LATER STABLE OR RISING. I BROUGHT THIS UP IN OUR ANALYSIS, BECAUSE BY COMPARISON IF YOU LOOK AT WELLS THAT ARE OUTSIDE THE BUTTES SUB-UNIT IN THE LANCASTER SUB-UNIT AND NOW I AM POINTING TO -- IT'S REFERRED TO AS 19 E-6. I'M POINTING TO IT. IT'S IMMEDIATELY NORTHWEST OF THE BUTTES SUB-UNIT BOUNDARY. AND THAT HYDROGRAPH SHOWS A SIGNIFICANT DECLINE FROM 1970'S ALL THE WAY THROUGH 1990.

THE HYDROGRAPH SIGNATURE IS MARKEDLY DIFFERENT THAN THE HYDROGRAPH SIGNATURES FROM THE WELLS THAT ARE ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THE BUTTES SUB-UNIT BOUNDARY AND WITHIN THE BUTTES SUB-UNIT.

Q IS THAT TO SAY THAT THE HYDROGRAPH SIGNATURES OF THOSE HYDROGRAPHS FOR WELLS LOCATED WITHIN THE BUTTE SUB-UNIT ARE MARKEDLY DIFFERENT FROM THOSE HYDROGRAPH SIGNATURES FROM WELLS OUTSIDE OF THE BUTTES SUB-UNIT?

A YES. AND SPECIFICALLY IN THE LANCASTER SUB-UNIT.

Q DO YOU HAVE ANY UNDERSTANDING AS TO WHY THE SUMMARY EXPERT REPORT AUTHORS UTILIZE THIS INFORMATION -- LET ME WITHDRAW THAT.

YOU HAD REFERENCED IN THE EXHIBIT BEFORE A PAGE FROM THE SUMMARY EXPERT REPORT IN WHICH YOU HIGHLIGHTED CERTAIN LANGUAGE. DO YOU KNOW OR HAVE AN UNDERSTANDING AS TO WHETHER THIS IS SOME OF THE DATA THAT SUPPORTS THE CONCLUSION THAT THEY CAME TO AS WHAT YOU INDICATED WITHIN EXHIBIT 36, THE HIGHLIGHTED LANGUAGE?

MR. DUNN: OBJECTION. LACK OF FOUNDATION. SPECULATION.

THE COURT: SUSTAI NED.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

28

Q BY MR. MILIBAND: MR. HARDER, DO YOU SEE EXHIBIT 37 AS SUPPORTING THE CONCLUSION THAT YOU MENTIONED THAT IS CONTAINED WITHIN EXHIBIT 36 THAT EXCERPT FROM THE SUMMARY EXPERT REPORT?

26 MR. KUHS: OBJECTION; RELEVANCE. IT'S REALLY 27 IMPROPER TESTIMONY.

THE COURT: I WILL SUSTAIN THE OBJECTION. GO

AHEAD. NEXT QUESTION.

10

21

22

23

24

25

Q BY MR. MILIBAND: MR. HARDER, WHAT SIGNIFICANCE, IF YOU COULD EXPLAIN A BIT, DOES EXHIBIT 37 PRESENT TO YOU AS IT RELATES TO BUTTE SUB-UNIT OR MATTERS OUTSIDE OF THE BUTTE SUB-UNITS?

A WELL, I THINK IT ILLUSTRATES, AND WE POINTED THIS OUT EARLIER THAT REALLY THE HYDROGEOLOGICAL CONDITIONS WITHIN THE BUTTE SUB-UNIT ARE DIFFERENT THAN THE LANCASTER SUB-UNIT TO THE NORTHWEST WHICH I AM POINTING TO WITH MY LASER POINTER.

11 THERE IS A SECOND WELL HERE, 19 D-1 WHICH IS ALSO NORTHWEST OF THE BUTTE SUB-UNIT BOUNDARY AGAIN 12 13 SHOWING A VERY DISTINCT DROP IN GROUNDWATER LEVELS. IT 14 COMES BACK UP AND REBOUNDS, BUT THE SIGNATURE OF THESE GROUNDWATER LEVEL CHANGES ARE DIFFERENT THAN THE 15 GROUNDWATER LEVEL CHANGES OBSERVED IN THE WELLS IN THE 16 BUTTES SUB-UNIT THAT BASICALLY ILLUSTRATES THAT THE 17 18 CONDITIONS WITHIN THE BUTTES SUB-UNIT ARE DISTINCT 19 HYDROGEOLOGICALLY FROM THE CONDITIONS IN THE LANCASTER 20 SUB-UNIT.

Q FOR THE PEARLAND SUB-UNIT THERE IS WELL SIX NORTH ONE; IS THAT CORRECT?

A YES.

Q WHAT DOES THE HYDROGRAPH FOR THAT PARTICULAR WELL ILLUSTRATE TO YOU?

A I AM POINTING TO SIX NORTH, SIX N-1. THIS IS THE PEARLAND SUB-UNIT. THE HYDROGRAPH IS IN THE LOWER RIGHT OF THIS EXHIBIT AND IT ALSO IS RELATIVELY

STABLE. ALTHOUGH TOWARD THE END THERE IS A DECLINE IN GROUNDWATER LEVELS. BUT IN GENERAL IT'S ALSO RELATIVELY STABLE.

Q IN EXHIBIT 37 A FEW MOMENTS AGO YOU INDICATED THERE IS A BUTTE SUB-UNIT IDENTIFIED. DOES THE BUTTE SUB-UNIT AS DEPICTED WITHIN EXHIBIT 37 MATCH THE BUTTE SUB-UNIT AS ILLUSTRATED WITHIN EXHIBIT 27?

A YES. THEY ARE CONSISTENT.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q IF I CAN DIRECT YOU TO EXHIBIT 38, PLEASE. WHAT DOES THAT ILLUSTRATE TO YOU, MR. HARDER?

A THIS IS FIGURE E2-16, AGAIN, FROM APPENDIX E OF THE SUMMARY EXPERT REPORT. THIS IS AN ILLUSTRATION OF TOTAL SUBSIDENCE THAT HAS BEEN MEASURED BETWEEN 1930 AND 1992 WITHIN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN.

Q WHAT SIGNIFICANCE DOES EXHIBIT 38 PRESENT TO YOU?

A WELL, IF YOU LOOK ON THIS MAP YOU CAN SEE IN FAINT THE BOUNDARY OF THE BUTTE SUB-UNIT ALONG HERE. I AM POINTING TO IT WITH THE LASER POINTER. TO THE SUBSIDENCE AREA IS SOLELY TO THE NORTHWEST OF THE BUTTE SUB-UNIT. THERE IS NO SUBSIDENCE THAT HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED WITHIN THE BUTTE SUB-UNIT, AND THAT IS THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THAT.

Q ANYTHING ELSE, MR. HARDER?
A I WOULD SAY JUST, AGAIN, IT ILLUSTRATES
THAT THE CONDITIONS WITHIN THE BUTTE SUB-UNIT ARE
HYDROGEOLOGICALLY DIFFERENT THAN THE NEIGHBORING

SUB-UNIT TO THE NORTHWEST. MOVING TO THE NEXT IN ORDER EXHIBIT 39. 0 MR. HARDER, PLEASE TURN TO THAT EXHIBIT. WHAT DOES EXHIBIT 39 ILLUSTRATE TO YOU, MR. HARDER? THIS IS AN ILLUSTRATION OF THE GROUNDWATER А BASINS, THE ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN, THE EL MIRAGE BASIN AND THE UPPER MOJAVE RIVER VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN. WE HAVE SHOWN THE PHELAN'S SERVICE AREA IS THE YELLOW LINE HERE I AM POINTING TO THAT IS 10 11 ALSO THE COUNTY BOUNDARY. THE AREA TO THE EAST OF THE COUNTY 12 13 BOUNDARY AND BETWEEN THE COUNTY BOUNDARY AND THE 14 EL MIRAGE VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN, THESE POLYGONS THAT ARE SHOWN IN THAT AREA ARE PARCELS WITH ACTIVE WATER 15 CONNECTIONS. 16 POLYGONS WHERE THERE ARE BLACK SOUARES? 17 0 18 А THEY ARE PARCELS. 19 0 OKAY. CAN YOU EXPLAIN A LITTLE BIT. I DON' T WANT TO HAVE YOU RE-EXPLAIN HOW YOU PREPARED THIS. 20 BUT, ESSENTIALLY, EXHIBIT 39 WAS PREPARED BY YOU OR 21 DIRECTION FROM YOU TO YOUR STAFF; IS THAT CORRECT? 22 THAT'S CORRECT. 23 А 24 0 EXHIBIT 39 CONTAINS SOME SIMILAR 25 ILLUSTRATIONS FROM EARLIER EXHIBITS THAT WE TALKED ABOUT THIS MORNING; IS THAT CORRECT? 26 THAT'S CORRECT. 27 А 28 0 DO YOU AGREE THAT THE MOST SIGNIFICANT

AND THE COUNTY BOUNDARY. THAN THIS. ANYTHING THAT WAS NOT WITHIN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY SERVICE AREA. 0 AND WHY DID YOU DO THAT? А ARE INTERESTED IN IN TERMS OF RETURN FLOW. 0 PARCELS IN TERMS OF THE RETURN FLOW? WELL, GOING BACK TO THE GROUNDWATER А MAP, THE EASTERN BOUNDARY OF THE ANTELOPE VALLEY VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN.

ADDITION TO EXHIBIT 39 ARE THESE SHAPES OR POLYGONS **REFLECTING PARCELS?**

> А THAT'S THE MAJOR DIFFERENCE.

WHAT INFORMATION DID YOU UTILIZE TO 0 ESSENTIALLY MAP OUT ON EXHIBIT 39 THESE PARCELS?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

WELL, THE PARCEL MAP WE RECEIVED FROM А PHELAN' S STAFF. THEY WERE REGISTERED AND GEOGRAPHICALLY REGISTERED. SO WE JUST BROUGHT THEM IN TO GIS AND OVERLAID THEM ON TOP OF THE MAP OF THE GROUNDWATER BASINS ALONG WITH THEIR PHELAN'S SERVICE AREA BOUNDARY

THE PARCEL MAP SHAPEFILE IS MUCH LARGER WE CROPPED THE FILE SO THAT IT MATCHES ROUGHLY THE BOUNDARY. LET ME BACK THAT UP. WE CROPPED GROUNDWATER BASIN PORTION THAT WAS OVERLYING PHELAN'S

BECAUSE THOSE WHERE THE PARCELS THAT WE

WHY WERE YOU ONLY INTERESTED IN THOSE

CONTOUR MAP. BASED ON OUR INTERPRETATION OF THE CONTOUR GROUNDWATER BASIN IS THE GROUNDWATER FLOW DIVIDE SUCH THAT ANY FLOW THAT OCCURS IN THIS PORTION OF ANTELOPE

I AM POINTING TO THE PORTION BETWEEN THE COUNTY BOUNDARY AND THE EASTERN ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN BOUNDARY FLOWS NORTH AND ULTIMATELY FLOWS TOWARD THE ANTELOPE VALLEY ADJUDICATION AREA. WHAT SIGNIFICANCE WOULD YOU SUMMARIZE 0 EXHIBIT 39 PROVIDES TO YOU IN TERMS OF RETURN FLOW? IT'S A BASIS FOR OUR RETURN FLOW А CALCULATION. 0 HOW DOES IT SERVE AS A BASIS FOR YOUR RETURN FLOW CALCULATION SPECIFICALLY? 10 11 WE MEASURED -- NOT MEASURED. WE UTILIZED А THE WATER DELIVERY RECORDS TO ALL OF THOSE PARCELS SO AS 12 13 A BASIS FOR KNOWING HOW MUCH WATER WAS DELIVERED TO THE 14 HOMES. AND THEN USING A FACTOR OF RETURN FLOW, WE ESTIMATED RETURN FLOW IN THAT AREA LINE OVER THE 15 ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN. 16 IS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT THESE 17 0 PARCELS AS DEPICTED ON EXHIBIT 39 ARE PARCELS FOR 18 19 **RESIDENTIAL HOMES?** ALMOST ALL OF THEM. 20 А ARE YOU ABLE TO QUANTIFY HOW MANY WHEN YOU 21 0 SAY "ALMOST ALL OF THEM"? 22 I CAN. I DON'T HAVE THAT INFORMATION WITH 23 Α 24 ME. ARE YOU ABLE TO GIVE A PERCENTAGE? 25 0 99-PLUS PERCENT. I BELIEVE THAT THERE IS 26 А ONE -- THERE MIGHT BE ONE PARK OR SCHOOL THERE. 27 28 0 ANYTHING OF ANY OTHER SIGNIFICANCE TO YOU

165

AS IT RELATES TO EXHIBIT 39? NO. А 0 PLEASE GO TO THE NEXT EXHIBIT IN ORDER, EXHIBIT 40. EARLIER IN EXHIBIT 28 WE WERE LOOKING AT GROUNDWATER CONTOURS. DOES EXHIBIT 40 DIFFER FROM EXHIBIT 28 AS BEST AS YOU CAN TELL? ACTUALLY, I WOULD SUBMIT, YOUR HONOR, I THINK THEY ARE IDENTICAL. I THINK WE HAVE A DUPLICATE EXHIBIT HERE. 10 THEY ARE THE SAME. А LET'S MOVE AHEAD TO EXHIBIT 41, PLEASE. 11 0 MR. HARDER, WHAT IS EXHIBIT 41? 12 13 А THIS IS APPENDIX D OF THE SUMMARY EXPERT 14 REPORT AND THE SPECIFIC SECTION OF APPENDIX B THAT TALKS 15 ABOUT HISTORICAL. MUNICIPAL AND INDUSTRIAL RETURN FLOWS. WHY WAS THIS PAGE, THE SUMMARY EXPERT 16 0 REPORT, SIGNIFICANT TO YOU? 17 18 А WELL, THIS IS THEIR ASSUMPTIONS ON THE 19 PERCENTAGES OF INDOOR AND OUTDOOR WATER USE FOR HOMES IN 20 THE LANCASTER/PALMDALE AREA AND THE PERCENT OF OUTDOOR WATER USE THAT BECOMES RETURN FLOW. WE USE THIS AS A 21 22 BASIS FOR OUR RETURN FLOW ESTIMATES. WHEN YOU SAY YOU HAVE USED THAT AS A 23 0 24 BASIS. WERE THERE OTHER BASIS THAT YOU HAVE USED FOR 25 CALCULATING RETURN FLOW? I AM JUST TRYING TO UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU MEAN BY THAT WHEN YOU SAY AS A BASIS FOR 26 27 CALCULATING RETURN FLOW. 28 А THE PERCENTAGE OF OUTDOOR WATER USE THAT

OCCURS AND THE PERCENTAGE OF THAT OUTDOOR WATER USE THAT BECOMES RETURN FLOW. WE WANTED TO BE CONSISTENT WITH THE SUMMARY EXPERT REPORT IN TERMS OF ESTIMATING WHAT OUR RETURN FLOW WOULD BE.

0 AND HERE ON EXHIBIT 41 THERE IS SOME HI GHLI GHTED LANGUAGE. WAS THAT HI GHLI GHTED BY YOU?

> YES. А

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

0 DOES THAT HIGHLIGHTED LANGUAGE REFLECT --WELL, WHY DON'T YOU TELL US WHAT THAT LANGUAGE REFLECTS.

BASICALLY, FOR WATER DELIVERED TO HOMES IN А THE PALMDALE/LANCASTER AREA, THEY ARE ASSUMING THAT 45 PERCENT OF THE WATER DELIVERED IS USED INDOORS AND 55 PERCENT IS USED OUTDOORS.

AND IF YOU MOVE ON DOWN, THEY ARE ASSUMING THAT OF THE PERCENTAGE USED OUTDOORS, 20 PERCENT OF THAT BECOMES RETURN FLOW. SO IN THE LAST SENTENCE AS IT SAYS, THIS EQUATES TO 11 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL MUNICIPAL AND INDUSTRIAL WATER REQUIREMENT BECOMES RETURN FLOW FROM MUNICIPAL AND INDUSTRIAL IRRIGATION.

DID YOU EVALUATE THESE ASSUMPTIONS? 0 I HAVE DONE RETURN FLOW ESTIMATES IN MANY Α AREAS IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA AND THE CENTRAL VALLEY. THE PERCENTAGE OF INDOOR AND OUTDOOR WATER USE IS CONSISTENT WITH URBAN WATER MANAGEMENT PLANS FROM OTHER AGENCIES, CITIES AND MUNICIPALITIES.

OBJECTION. NONRESPONSIVE. 26 MR. KUHS: THE COURT: I WILL LET IT STAY IN. OVERRULED. 28 0 BY MR. MILIBAND: MR. HARDER, SO BASED

UPON THE RETURN FLOW WORK THAT YOU WERE JUST DESCRIBING THAT YOU HAVE DONE IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA AND IN LIGHT OF WHAT YOU SEE HERE WITHIN EXHIBIT 41, WERE THESE FIGURES AS DEPICTED WITHIN EXHIBIT 41 REASONABLE TO YOU IN TERMS OF CALCULATING RETURN FLOWS?

YES.

А

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Q DO YOU HAVE ANYTHING TO ADD OR ELABORATE UPON WHY THESE FIGURES APPEAR REASONABLE TO YOU FOR CALCULATING RETURN FLOWS?

A WELL, I WOULD SAY THEY ARE SPECIFIC TO THE LANCASTER AND PALMDALE AREA, AND THEY ARE TYPICAL. IN TERMS OF PHELAN'S AREA, THE ACTUAL OUTDOOR WATER USE IS QUITE A BIT LESS. SO WE HAVE RELIED ON THESE, BECAUSE IT WAS CONSISTENT WITH THE SUMMARY EXPERT REPORT AND TO BE CONSISTENT WITH THAT DOCUMENT. BUT I WOULD SAY IN PHELAN'S AREA, THE ACTUAL OUTDOOR WATER USE IS PROBABLY QUITE A BIT LESS.

Q SO IF THE OUTDOOR USE IN THE PHELAN AREA IS LESS, HOW WOULD THAT IMPACT THE OUTDOOR IRRIGATION FIGURES THAT ARE ILLUSTRATED WITHIN EXHIBIT 41?

A THE OUTDOOR IRRIGATION WOULD BECOME QUITE A BIT LESS. THE RETURN FLOW ASSOCIATED WITH OUTDOOR IRRIGATION WOULD BECOME LESS.

Q FOR THE RETURN FLOW CALCULATIONS THAT YOU DID IN THIS CASE AS IT RELATES TO PHELAN, WHAT DOES THAT MEAN FOR THOSE CALCULATIONS IN TERMS OF BEING HIGHER OR LOWER IF PHELAN'S OUTDOOR IRRIGATION USE IS, IN FACT, LOWER THAN THE LANCASTER/PALMDALE AREA? A WELL, IN PHELAN'S CASE, THEY ARE, AS I UNDERSTAND IT, 100 PERCENT INDIVIDUAL SEPTIC SYSTEMS AS THEIR MODE OF WASTE WATER DISPOSAL. AND THE SUMMARY EXPERT REPORT'S ASSUMPTION FOR WASTE WATER DISPOSAL IN SEPTIC SYSTEMS IS THAT 100 PERCENT OF THAT BECOMES RETURN FLOW.

SO IN PHELAN'S AREA IF WE WERE TO RELY ON THIS, IT WOULD BE THE 45 PERCENT OF WATER USED INDOORS. 100 PERCENT OF THAT WOULD BECOME RETURN FLOW. ADDED TO THE 11 PERCENT OF OUTDOOR WATER USE, THAT WOULD BE 56 PERCENT. HOWEVER, IF THE OUTDOOR WATER USE IS LESS AND A HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF DELIVERED WATER IS USED INDOORS, THE RETURN FLOW ACTUALLY WOULD BECOME MORE IN PHELAN'S AREA IF WE ACCOUNTED FOR THAT.

Q MR. HARDER, I WOULD LIKE TO DIRECT YOU TO THE NEXT IN ORDER, PLEASE, EXHIBIT 42 WHICH I BELIEVE IS THE NEXT PAGE FROM THE SUMMARY EXPERT REPORT D-22; IS THAT CORRECT, SIR?

A YES.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q AGAIN, HIGHLIGHTED LANGUAGE BY YOU; IS THAT RIGHT?

A YES.

Q WHAT IS THE LANGUAGE THAT YOU HIGHLIGHTED THERE WITHIN EXHIBIT 42?

A IT SAYS: AS ABOVE, AN ESTIMATED
45 PERCENT OF TOTAL MUNICIPAL WATER REQUIREMENTS WOULD
BE UTILIZED INDOORS. AND FOR CONTEXT I WILL SAY HERE
THAT THEY ARE -- WELL, LET ME JUST CONTINUE.

AS ABOVE, AN ESTIMATED 45 PERCENT OF TOTAL MUNICIPAL WATER REQUIREMENTS WOULD BE UTILIZED INDOORS. AND 100 PERCENT OF WATER DISPOSED ON SITE WOULD PRODUCE RETURN FLOWS. THEY ARE REFERRING TO HOMES WITH INDIVIDUAL SEPTIC SYSTEMS. IS THAT THE CONTEXT YOU WANTED TO PROVIDE 0 TO THAT HIGHLIGHTED LANGUAGE? Α YES. 0 AND IS IT YOUR UNDERSTAND THAT WITHIN PHELAN THE HOMES ARE ALL SEPTIC? 10 11 YES. А IS THAT INCLUDING FOR THOSE PARCELS THAT 12 0 13 HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED WITHIN EXHIBIT 39? 14 А YES. THAT'S CORRECT? 15 THESE FIGURES WITHIN 42 APPEAR REASONABLE 0 TO YOU BASED UPON YOUR TRAINING AND EXPERIENCE? 16 THEY DO. 17 Α 18 0 PLEASE TURN TO THE NEXT IN ORDER, EXHIBIT 19 43. WHAT DOES EXHIBIT 43 INDICATE TO YOU? 20 IT'S A SIMILAR VIEW TO THE PREVIOUS Α 21 EXHIBIT WHICH SHOWS PHELAN SERVICE AREA, THEIR ENTIRE SERVICE AREA OVERLAID ON THE GROUNDWATER BASINS. 22 WF HAVE SHOWN ON THIS THE BLACK WATER LINES INDICATE 23 24 PHELAN'S WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM PIPELINES. WE HAVE 25 HIGHLIGHTED IN BLUE THE PIPELINES THAT OCCUR OVER THE ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN. 26 AND SIMILARLY THIS WAS PREPARED BY YOU OR 27 0 AT YOUR DIRECTION TO STAFF; IS THAT CORRECT? 28

IT WAS PREPARED AT MY DIRECTION. YES. А AND FOR THE BLUE AND BLACK LINES DEPICTED 0 ON THERE, THAT IS ESSENTIALLY THE PHELAN WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM AS YOU UNDERSTAND IT; IS THAT CORRECT? THAT'S CORRECT. Α WHAT DATA DID YOU UTILIZE TO BE ABLE TO 0 MAP OUT THE PHELAN WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM AS ILLUSTRATED ON EXHIBIT 43? 10 WE WERE PROVIDED A SHAPEFILE, A GIS А 11 SHAPEFILE FROM PHELAN'S STAFF. WHAT DID THAT SHAPEFILE CONTAIN? 12 0 13 Α IT CONTAINED THEIR PIPELINE, THE LOCATIONS 14 AND DISTRIBUTION OF THEIR PIPELINE. WHY DID YOU UNDERTAKE THIS EFFORT TO MAP 15 0 OUT THE PHELAN DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM AS ILLUSTRATED IN 16 EXHIBIT 43? 17 AS PART OF OUR ANALYSIS OF RETURN FLOW, WE 18 А 19 NOTED THAT WHEN WE LOOKED AT THE TOTAL GROUNDWATER PRODUCTION FROM THE WELLS AND COMPARED THAT TO WATER 20 21 DISTRIBUTED TO THE HOMES THERE WAS A DIFFERENCE. IN REVIEWING THAT INFORMATION WITH PHELAN'S STAFF THEY HAVE 22 23 WATER LOSSES IN THEIR SYSTEM, LOSSES IN THEIR PIPELINES, 24 LEAKS OR WHATNOT. IN ORDER TO ACCOUNT FOR THAT WE WANTED TO 25 EVALUATE THE AMOUNT OF PIPELINE THAT MIGHT CONTRIBUTE 26 27 OSIS AS RETURN FLOW TO THE GROUNDWATER SYSTEM. 28 0 DOES EXHIBIT 43 PROVIDE ANYTHING ELSE OF

SIGNIFICANCE TO YOU? NO. А 0 PLEASE TURN TO THE NEXT IN ORDER, EXHIBIT 44. WAS EXHIBIT 44 PREPARED BY YOU, MR. HARDER? IT WAS. А FOR WHAT PURPOSE? 0 FOR ESTIMATING RETURN FLOW IN THE PORTION Α OF THE PHELAN'S SERVICE AREA THAT LIE OVER THE ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN. WOULD YOU PLEASE EXPLAIN WHAT THE COLUMNS 10 0 11 AND THE ROWS ARE IN EXHIBIT 44. WHAT INFORMATION ARE YOU PROVIDING? 12 13 Α WE HAD WATER DELIVERY RECORDS FOR THE 14 PERIOD OF TIME BETWEEN 2009 AND 2013. SO THE FIRST 15 COLUMN IS THE CALENDAR YEAR FOR THOSE YEARS. THE SECOND COLUMN IS THE DELIVERED WATER 16 TO THE CONNECTIONS IN THAT AREA IN ACRE-FEET. 17 18 THE THIRD COLUMN IS THE RETURN FLOW 19 FACTOR; THIS IS 56 PERCENT, WHICH IS THE 45 PERCENT OF 20 WATER USED INDOORS, PLUS THE 11 PERCENT OF RETURN FLOW THAT OCCURS ON OUTDOOR LANDSCAPED IRRIGATION. 21 THE FOURTH COLUMN IS RETURN FLOW THAT'S 22 23 CALCULATED IN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN. 24 THAT IS THE PORTION OF THE ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER 25 BASIN WITHIN PHELAN'S SERVICE AREA, AND IT'S SIMPLY MULTIPLYING THE DELIVERED WATER TIMES THE RETURN FLOW 26 27 FACTOR. 28 THE FIFTH COLUMN IS THE TOTAL SYSTEM
LOSSES THAT WERE ESTIMATED THROUGHOUT PHELAN'S ENTIRE SERVICE AREA. THE SIXTH COLUMN IS THE PERCENTAGE OF DISTRIBUTION LINES THAT OCCUR IN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN PORTION OF PHELAN'S SERVICE AREA. AND THE SEVENTH COLUMN IS THE ESTIMATED LOSSES THAT OCCURRED IN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN PORTION OF PHELAN'S SERVICE AREA WHICH IS MULTIPLYING THE SYSTEM LOSSES BY THE PERCENTAGE OF WE ADDED THE SYSTEM LOSSES TO THE RETURN 10 PI PELI NES. 11 FLOW AND TO ACHIEVE THE LAST COLUMN WHICH IS THE TOTAL RETURN FLOW. AND WE PROVIDED AN AVERAGE THERE AT THE 12 13 BOTTOM OF THE FIVE YEARS BETWEEN 2009 TO 2013. 14 0 WHAT IS THAT AVERAGE? THE AVERAGE IS 426 ACRE-FEET. 15 А IS THAT TO SAY 426 ACRE-FEET OF RETURN 16 0 FLOW GENERATED BY THE PHELAN CUSTOMERS WITHIN THAT 17 18 PORTION OF THE SERVICE AREA THAT LIES OVER THAT PORTION 19 OF THE ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN PLUS SYSTEM LOSSES FROM THAT PORTION OF THE SYSTEM THAT IS WITHIN 20 THAT SAME SERVICE AREA? I MIGHT NEED TO BREAK THAT UP A 21 22 LITTLE BIT. DOES THAT MAKE SENSE TO YOU? 23 24 THAT DOES MAKE SENSE, YES. А 25 TO TRY TO ILLUSTRATE THAT A LITTLE BIT 0 MORE CLEARLY, I WILL ASK YOU TO TURN BACK, MR. HARDER, 26 27 TO EXHIBIT 39 PLEASE. IT'S ESSENTIALLY THE PARCEL MAP; 28 **IS THAT CORRECT?**

003265

YES.

А

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Q WHEN YOU SAY AN AVERAGE OF 426 ACRE-FEET ON AVERAGE OF RETURN FLOW IS GENERATED, ARE YOU SAYING THAT'S RETURNED FLOW THAT IS GENERATED BY THE PHELAN CUSTOMERS AS DEPICTED ON THESE PARCELS WITHIN THIS PARTICULAR EXHIBIT, EXHIBIT 39?

A IT'S THE RETURN FLOW THAT OCCURS IN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN PORTION OF PHELAN'S SERVICE AREA WHICH IS THE AREA BETWEEN THE COUNTY BOUNDARY AND THE EASTERN PORTION OF THE ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN BOUNDARY AND BENEATH THESE PARCELS DEPICTED HERE.

Q "THESE PARCELS" WHICH YOU ARE HIGHLIGHTING WITH YOUR LASER?

A WHICH I AM HIGHLIGHTING WITH MY LASER.

Q THE POLYGONS; CORRECT?

A YES.

А

Q IN ADDITION TO THE PARCELS/POLYGONS, YOUR 426 ACRE-FOOT NUMBER IS ALSO INCLUDING SYSTEM LOSSES FROM THAT PORTION OF THE PHELAN DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM THAT IS WITHIN THAT SAME PORTION OF THE SERVICE AREA OF THE ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN?

THAT'S CORRECT.

Q TURNING BACK TO EXHIBIT 44, MR. HARDER, YOUR RETURN FLOW CHART. BEFORE WE LEAVE THIS EXHIBIT, IS THERE ANYTHING ELSE OF SIGNIFICANCE THAT YOU WOULD LIKE TO MENTION?

A I DON'T HAVE ANYTHING FURTHER.

Q ONE QUESTION COMES TO MY MIND. ON THE FIFTH COLUMN FOR THE PPH-CSD WATER DISTRIBUTION PIPELINES AND THE AVGWB, IN PARENTHESIS YOU HAVE THE PERCENTAGE SYMBOL. WILL YOU EXPLAIN, PLEASE, HOW YOU CALCULATED THAT PERCENTAGE THAT SHOWS AS 21 PERCENT AND ALL FIVE ROWS FOR YEARS 2009 TO 2013.

A IF I CAN DIRECT YOU TO THE PREVIOUS EXHIBIT THAT WE JUST HAD UP SHOWING THE PARCELS.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

28

Q SURE. LET'S TURN BACK TO EXHIBIT 43.

A I AM POINTING TO A PORTION, SOME LANGUAGE THAT IS RIGHT BELOW THE MAP FEATURES WHICH IS THE DESCRIPTION OF THE FEATURES ON THE MAP. THAT SHOWS THE LENGTH OF PIPELINE THAT IS WITHIN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN PORTION OF PHELAN'S SERVICE AREA. IT'S THE LENGTH OF PIPELINE REPRESENTED BY THE BLUE PIPELINE HERE.

THAT IS 21 PERCENT BY LENGTH OF THE TOTAL PIPELINE THAT EXISTS THROUGHOUT THE ENTIRE SERVICE AREA. SO WE JUST BASICALLY SAID THERE IS A TOTAL AMOUNT OF LENGTH OF PIPELINE IN THE SERVICE AREA. 21 PERCENT OF THAT PIPELINE OCCURS WITHIN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN.

THE COURT: SO YOU ARE ASSUMING THE LEAKING ISCONSTANT?

THE WITNESS: WE ARE ASSUMING THE LEAKING IS
CONSISTENTLY DISTRIBUTED, YES. THAT IS PART OF THE
ASSUMPTION.

THE COURT: I GOT YOU.