# EXHIBIT 3

2

3

section 2 of the California Constitution and to protect the Basin and the Parties' rights to the Basin's water resources. The Physical Solution governs Groundwater, Imported Water and Basin storage space, and is intended to ensure that the Basin can continue to support existing and future reasonable and beneficial uses. A Physical Solution requires determining individual Groundwater rights for the Public Water Suppliers, landowners, Non-Pumper Class and Small Pumper Class members, and other Parties within the Basin. The Physical Solution set forth in this Judgment: (1) is a fair and reasonable allocation of Groundwater rights in the Basin after giving due consideration to water rights priorities and the mandate of Article X, section 2 of the California Constitution; (2) provides for a reasonable sharing of Imported Water costs; (3) furthers the mandates of the State Constitution and State water policy; and (4) is a remedy that gives due consideration to applicable common law rights and priorities to use Basin water and storage space without substantially impairing such rights. Combined with water conservation, water reclamation, water transfers, water banking, and improved conveyance and distribution methods within the Basin, present and future Imported Water sources are sufficient both in quantity and quality to assure implementation of a Physical Solution. This Judgment will facilitate water resource planning and development by the Public Water Suppliers and individual water users.

- 3.5 **Definitions.** As used in this Judgment, the following terms shall have the meanings set forth herein:
- 3.5.1 Action. The coordinated and consolidated actions included in the Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases, Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408, Santa Clara Superior Court Case No. 1-05-CV-049053.
- 3.5.2 Adjusted Native Safe Yield. The Native Safe Yield minus (1) the Production Right allocated to the Small Pumper Class under Paragraph 5.1.3, (2) the Federal Reserved Water Right under Paragraph 5.1.4, and (3) the State of California Production Right under Paragraph 5.1.5. The Adjusted Native Safe Yield as of the date of entry of this Judgment is 70,686.6 acre-feet per year.

- 7 -

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

3.5.3 Administrative Assessment. The amount charged by the Watermaster for the costs incurred by the Watermaster to administer this Judgment.

3.5.4 Annual Period. The calendar Year.

3.5.5 Antelope Valley United Mutuals Group. The members of the Antelope Valley United Mutuals Group are Antelope Park Mutual Water Company, Aqua-J Mutual Water Company, Averydale Mutual Water Company, Baxter Mutual Water Company, Bleich Flat Mutual Water Company, Colorado Mutual Water Co., El Dorado Mutual Water Company, Evergreen Mutual Water Company, Land Projects Mutual Water Co., Landale Mutual Water Co., Shadow Acres Mutual Water Company, Sundale Mutual Water Company, Sunnyside Farms Mutual Water Company, Inc., Tierra Bonita Mutual Water Company, West Side Park Mutual Water Co., and White Fence Farms Mutual Water Co., together with the successor(s)-ininterest to any member thereof. Each of the members of the Antelope Valley United Mutuals Group was formed when the owner(s) of the lands that were being developed incorporated the mutual water company and transferred their water rights to the mutual water company in exchange for shares of common stock. The mutual water company owns, operates and maintains the infrastructure for the production, storage, distribution and delivery of water solely to its shareholders. The shareholders of each of these mutual water companies, who are the owners of the real property that is situated within the mutual water company's service area, have the right to have water delivered to their properties, a right appurtenant to their land. [See, Erwin v. Gage Canal Company (1964) 226 Cal.App.2d 189].

- **3.5.6 AVEK.** The Antelope Valley–East Kern Water Agency.
- 3.5.7 Balance Assessment. The amount of money charged by the Watermaster on all Production Rights, excluding the United States' actual Production, to pay for the costs, not including infrastructure, to purchase, deliver, produce in lieu, or arrange for alternative pumping sources in the Basin.
- 3.5.8 **Basin.** The area adjudicated in this Action as shown on Exhibit 2, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference, which lies within the boundaries of the line

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

1	3.5.17 <u>In Lieu Production</u> . The amount of Imported Water used by a
2	Producer in a Year instead of Producing an equal amount of that Producer's Production Right.
3	3.5.18 Material Injury. Material Injury means impacts to the Basin caused
4	by pumping or storage of Groundwater that:
5	3.5.18.1 Causes material physical harm to the Basin, any
6	Subarea, or any Producer, Party or Production Right, including, but not limited to, Overdraft,
7	degradation of water quality by introduction of contaminants to the aquifer by a Party and/or
8	transmission of those introduced contaminants through the aquifer, liquefaction, land subsidence and
9	other material physical injury caused by elevated or lowered Groundwater levels. Material physical
10	harm does not include "economic injury" that results from other than direct physical causes, including
11	any adverse effect on water rates, lease rates, or demand for water.
12	3.5.18.2 If fully mitigated, Material Injury shall no longer be
13	considered to be occurring.
14	3.5.19 Native Safe Yield. Naturally occurring Groundwater recharge to
15	the Basin, including "return flows" from pumping naturally occurring recharge, on an average
16	annual basis. Imported Water Return Flows are not included in Native Safe Yield.
17	3.5.20 New Production. Any Production of Groundwater from the Basin
18	not of right under this Judgment, as of the date of this Judgment.
19	3.5.21 Non-Overlying Production Rights. The rights held by the Parties
20	identified in Exhibit 3, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.
21	3.5.22 Non-Pumper Class. All private (i.e., non-governmental) Persons
22	and entities that own real property within the Basin, as adjudicated, that are not presently
23	pumping water on their property and did not do so at any time during the five Years preceding
24	January 18, 2006. The Non-Pumper Class includes the successors-in-interest by way of purchase,
25	gift, inheritance, or otherwise of such Non-Pumper Class members' land within the Basin. The
26	Non-Pumper Class excludes (1) all Persons to the extent their properties are connected to a
27	municipal water system, public utility, or mutual water company from which they receive water

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

3.5.53 <u>Watermaster Engineer</u>. The engineering or hydrology expert or firm retained by the Watermaster to perform engineering and technical analysis and water administration functions as provided for in this Judgment.

3.5.54 <u>District No. 40</u>. Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40.3.5.55 <u>Year</u>. Calendar year.

#### 4. SAFE YIELD AND OVERDRAFT

4.1 <u>Safe Yield</u>: The Native Safe Yield of the Basin is 82,300 acre-feet per Year. With the addition of Imported Water Return Flows, the Total Safe Yield is approximately 110,000 acre-feet per Year, but will vary annually depending on the volume of Imported Water.

4.2 Overdraft: In its Phase 3 trial decision, the Court held that the Basin, defined by the Court's March 12, 2007 Revised Order After Hearing On Jurisdictional Boundaries, is in a state of overdraft based on estimate of extraction and recharge, corroborated by physical evidence of conditions in the Basin. Reliable estimates of the long-term extractions from the Basin have exceeded reliable estimates of the Basin's recharge by significant margins, and empirical evidence of overdraft in the Basin corroborates that conclusion. Portions of the aquifer have sustained a significant loss of Groundwater storage since 1951. The evidence is persuasive that current extractions exceed recharge and therefore that the Basin is in a state of overdraft. The Court's full Phase 3 trial decision is attached as Exhibit 5 and is incorporated herein by reference.

#### 5. PRODUCTION RIGHTS

5.1 Allocation of Rights to Native Safe Yield. Consistent with the goals of this Judgment and to maximize reasonable and beneficial use of the Groundwater of the Basin pursuant to Article X, section 2 of the California Constitution, all the Production Rights established by this Judgment are of equal priority, except the Federal Reserved Water Right which is addressed in Paragraph 5.1.4, and with the reservation of the Small Pumper Class Members' right to claim a priority under Water Code section 106.

- 15 -

Districts.

order of the Court, each and every Party, its officers, agents, employees, successors and assigns, is ENJOINED AND RESTRAINED from transporting Groundwater hereafter Produced from the Basin to areas outside the Basin except as provided for by the following. The United States may transport water Produced pursuant to its Federal Reserved Water Right to any portion of Edwards Air Force Base, whether or not the location of use is within the Basin. This injunction does not prevent Saint Andrew's Abbey, Inc., U.S. Borax and Tejon Ranchcorp/Tejon Ranch Company from conducting business operations on lands both inside and outside the Basin boundary, and transporting Groundwater Produced consistent with this Judgment for those operations and for use on those lands outside the Basin and within the watershed of the Basin as shown in Exhibit 9. This injunction also does not apply to any California Aqueduct protection dewatering Produced by the California Department of Water Resources. This injunction does not apply to the recovery and use of stored Imported Water by any Party that stores Imported Water in the Basin pursuant to Paragraph 14 of this Judgment.

## 6.4.1 Export by Boron and Phelan Piñon Hills Community Services

6.4.1.1 The injunction does not prevent Boron Community Services

District from transporting Groundwater Produced consistent with this Judgment for use outside
the Basin, provided such water is delivered within its service area.

6.4.1.2 The injunction does not apply to any Groundwater Produced within the Basin by Phelan Piñon Hills Community Services District and delivered to its service areas, so long as the total Production does not exceed 1,200 acre-feet per Year, such water is available for Production without causing Material Injury, and the District pays a Replacement Water Assessment pursuant to Paragraph 9.2, together with any other costs deemed necessary to protect Production Rights decreed herein, on all water Produced and exported in this manner.

6.5 <u>Continuing Jurisdiction</u>. The Court retains and reserves full jurisdiction, power and authority for the purpose of enabling the Court, upon a motion of a Party or Parties

noticed in accordance with the notice procedures of Paragraph 20.6 hereof, to make such further or supplemental order or directions as may be necessary or appropriate to interpret, enforce, administer or carry out this Judgment and to provide for such other matters as are not contemplated by this Judgment and which might occur in the future, and which if not provided for would defeat the purpose of this Judgment.

#### III. PHYSICAL SOLUTION

### 7. <u>GENERAL</u>

- Purpose and Objective. The Court finds that the Physical Solution incorporated as part of this Judgment: (1) is a fair and equitable basis for satisfaction of all water rights in the Basin; (2) is in furtherance of the State Constitution mandate and the State water policy; and (3) takes into account water rights priorities, applicable public trust interests and the Federal Reserved Water Right. The Court finds that the Physical Solution establishes a legal and practical means for making the maximum reasonable and beneficial use of the waters of the Basin by providing for the long-term Conjunctive Use of all available water in order to meet the reasonable and beneficial use requirements of water users in the Basin. Therefore, the Court adopts, and orders the Parties to comply with this Physical Solution.
- 7.2 Need For Flexibility. This Physical Solution must provide flexibility and adaptability to allow the Court to use existing and future technological, social, institutional, and economic options in order to maximize reasonable and beneficial water use in the Basin.
- 7.3 General Pattern of Operations. A fundamental premise of the Physical Solution is that all Parties may Produce sufficient water to meet their reasonable and beneficial use requirements in accordance with the terms of this Judgment. To the extent that Production by a Producer exceeds such Producer's right to Produce a portion of the Total Safe Yield as provided in this Judgment, the Producer will pay a Replacement Water Assessment to the Watermaster and the Watermaster will provide Replacement Water to replace such excess production according to the methods set forth in this Judgment.

of water rights and a formula for allocation of rights and obligations is necessary to implement the mandate of Article X, section 2 of the California Constitution. The Physical Solution requires quantifying the Producers' rights within the Basin in a manner which will reasonably allocate the Native Safe Yield and Imported Water Return Flows and which will provide for sharing Imported Water costs. Imported Water sources are or will be available in amounts which, when combined with water conservation, water reclamation, water transfers, and improved conveyance and distribution methods within the Basin, will be sufficient in quantity and quality to assure implementation of the Physical Solution. Sufficient information and data exists to allocate existing water supplies, taking into account water rights priorities, within the Basin and as among the water users. The Physical Solution provides for delivery and equitable distribution of Imported Water to the Basin.

Water Rights. A Physical Solution for the Basin based upon a declaration

### 8. RAMPDOWN

7.4

- 8.1 <u>Installation of Meters.</u> Within two (2) Years from the entry of this Judgment all Parties other than the Small Pumper Class shall install meters on their wells for monitoring Production. Each Party shall bear the cost of installing its meter(s). Monitoring or metering of Production by the Small Pumper Class shall be at the discretion of the Watermaster, subject to the provisions of Paragraph 5.1.3.2.
- 8.2 Rampdown Period. The "Rampdown Period" is seven Years beginning on the January 1 following entry of this Judgment and continuing for the following seven (7) Years.
- 8.3 Reduction of Production During Rampdown. During the first two Years of the Rampdown Period no Producer will be subject to a Replacement Water Assessment.

  During Years three through seven of the Rampdown Period, the amount that each Party may Produce from the Native Safe Yield will be progressively reduced, as necessary, in equal annual increments, from its Pre-Rampdown Production to its Production Right. Except as is determined to be exempt during the Rampdown period pursuant to the Drought Program provided for in

Paragraph 8.4, any amount Produced over the required reduction shall be subject to Replacement Water Assessment. The Federal Reserved Water Right is not subject to Rampdown.

8.4 <u>Drought Program During Rampdown for Participating Public Water</u>

Suppliers. During the Rampdown period a drought water management program ("Drought

Program") will be implemented by District No. 40, Quartz Hill Water District, Littlerock Creek

Irrigation District, California Water Service Company, Desert Lake Community Services District,

North Edwards Water District, City of Palmdale, and Palm Ranch Irrigation District,

(collectively, "Drought Program Participants"), as follows:

8.4.1 During the Rampdown period, District No. 40 agrees to purchase from AVEK each Year at an amount equal to 70 percent of District No. 40's total annual demand if that amount is available from AVEK at no more than the then current AVEK treated water rate. If that amount is not available from AVEK, District No. 40 will purchase as much water as AVEK makes available to District No. 40 at no more than the then current AVEK treated water rate. Under no circumstances will District No. 40 be obligated to purchase more than 50,000 acre-feet of water annually from AVEK. Nothing in this Paragraph affects AVEK's water allocation procedures as established by its Board of Directors and AVEK's Act.

8.4.2 During the Rampdown period, the Drought Program Participants each agree that, in order to minimize the amount of excess Groundwater Production in the Basin, they will use all water made available by AVEK at no more than the then current AVEK treated water rate in any Year in which they Produce Groundwater in excess of their respective rights to Produce Groundwater under this Judgment. During the Rampdown period, no Production by a Drought Program Participant shall be considered excess Groundwater Production exempt from a Replacement Water Assessment under this Drought Program unless a Drought Program Participant has utilized all water supplies available to it including its Production Right to Native Safe Yield, Return Flow rights, unused Production allocation of the Federal Reserved Water Rights, Imported Water, and Production rights previously transferred from another party. Likewise, no Production by a Drought Program Participant will be considered excess

9.2

9

12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

2526

27

28

Rampdown the Administrative Assessment shall be no more than five (5) dollars per acre foot, or as ordered by the Court upon petition of the Watermaster. Non-Overlying Production Rights holders using the unused Production allocation of the Federal Reserved Water Right shall be subject to Administrative Assessments on water the Non-Overlying Production Rights holders Produce pursuant to Paragraph 5.1.4.1.

Replacement Water Assessment. In order to ensure that each Party may

fully exercise its Production Right, there will be a Replacement Water Assessment. Except as is determined to be exempt during the Rampdown period pursuant to the Drought Program provided for in Paragraph 8.4, the Watermaster shall impose the Replacement Water Assessment on any Producer whose Production of Groundwater from the Basin in any Year is in excess of the sum of such Producer's Production Right and Imported Water Return Flow available in that Year, provided that no Replacement Water Assessment shall be imposed on the United States except upon the United States' written consent to such imposition based on the appropriation by Congress, and the apportionment by the Office of Management and Budget, of funds that are available for the purpose of, and sufficient for, paying the United States' Replacement Water Assessment. The Replacement Water Assessment shall not be imposed on the Production of Stored Water, In-Lieu Production or Production of Imported Water Return Flows. The amount of the Replacement Water Assessment shall be the amount of such excess Production multiplied by the cost to the Watermaster of Replacement Water, including any Watermaster spreading costs. All Replacement Water Assessments collected by the Watermaster shall be used to acquire Imported Water from AVEK, Littlerock Creek Irrigation District, Palmdale Water District, or other entities. AVEK shall use its best efforts to acquire as much Imported Water as possible in a timely manner. If the Watermaster encounters delays in acquiring Imported Water which, due to cost increases, results in collected assessment proceeds being insufficient to purchase all Imported Water for which the Assessments were made, the Watermaster shall purchase as much water as the proceeds will allow when the water becomes available. If available Imported Water is insufficient to fully meet the Replacement Water obligations under contracts, the Watermaster

shall allocate the Imported Water for delivery to areas on an equitable and practicable basis pursuant to the Watermaster rules and regulations.

9.2.1 The Non-Pumper Class Stipulation of Settlement, executed by its signatories and approved by the Court in the Non-Pumper Class Judgment, specifically provides for imposition of a Replacement Water Assessment on Non-Pumper Class members. This Judgment is consistent with the Non-Pumper Class Stipulation of Settlement and Judgment. The Non-Pumper Class members specifically agreed to pay a replacement assessment if that member produced "more than its annual share" of the Native Safe Yield less the amount of the Federal Reserved Right. (See Appendix B at paragraph V., section D. Replacement Water.) In approving the Non-Pumper Class Stipulation of Settlement this Court specifically held in its Order after Hearing dated November 18, 2010, that "the court determination of physical solution cannot be limited by the Class Settlement." The Court also held that the Non-Pumper Class Stipulation of Settlement "may not affect parties who are not parties to the settlement."

one or more Public Water Suppliers satisfies the elements of prescription and that Production by overlying landowners during portion(s) of the prescriptive period exceeded the Native Safe Yield. At the time of this Judgment the entire Native Safe Yield is being applied to reasonable and beneficial uses in the Basin. Members of the Non-Pumper Class do not and have never Produced Groundwater for reasonable beneficial use as of the date of this Judgment. Pursuant to Pasadena v. Alhambra (1949) 33 Cal 2d 908, 931-32 and other applicable law, the failure of the Non-Pumper Class members to Produce any Groundwater under the facts here modifies their rights to Produce Groundwater except as provided in this Judgment. Because this is a comprehensive adjudication pursuant to the McCarran Amendment, consistent with the California Supreme Court decisions, including In Re Waters of Long Valley Creek Stream System (1979) 25 Cal. 3d 339, this Court makes the following findings: (1) certainty fosters reasonable and beneficial use of water and is called for by the mandate of Article X, section 2; (2) because of this mandate for certainty and in furtherance of the Physical Solution, any New Production, including that by a

9

12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

study, review and make recommendations on all discretionary determinations made or to be made hereunder by Watermaster Engineer which may affect that subarea.

#### 20. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS.

- Water Quality. Nothing in this Judgment shall be interpreted as relieving 20.1 any Party of its responsibilities to comply with State or Federal laws for the protection of water quality or the provisions of any permits, standards, requirements, or orders promulgated thereunder.
- 20.2 Actions Not Subject to CEQA Regulation. Nothing in this Judgment or the Physical Solution, or in the implementation thereof, or the decisions of the Watermaster acting under the authority of this Judgment shall be deemed a "project" subject to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). See e.g., California American Water v. City of Seaside (2010) 183 Cal. App. 4th 471, and Hillside Memorial Park & Mortuary v. Golden State Water Co. (2011) 205 Cal. App. 4th 534. Neither the Watermaster, the Watermaster Engineer, the Advisory Committee, any Subarea Management Committee, nor any other Board or committee formed pursuant to the Physical Solution and under the authority of this Judgment shall be deemed a "public agency" subject to CEQA. (See Public Resources Code section 21063.)
- 20.3 Court Review of Watermaster Actions. Any action, decision, rule, regulation, or procedure of Watermaster or the Watermaster Engineer pursuant to this Judgment shall be subject to review by the Court on its own motion or on timely motion by any Party as follows:
- 20.3.1 Effective Date of Watermaster Action. Any order, decision or action of Watermaster or Watermaster Engineer pursuant to this Judgment on noticed specific agenda items shall be deemed to have occurred on the date of the order, decision or action.
- 20.3.2 Notice of Motion. Any Party may move the Court for review of an action or decision pursuant to this Judgment by way of a noticed motion. The motion shall be served pursuant to Paragraph 20.7 of this Judgment. The moving Party shall ensure that the Watermaster is served with the motion under that Paragraph 20.7 or, if electronic service of the

Watermaster is not possible, by overnight mail with prepaid next-day delivery. Unless ordered by the Court, any such petition shall not operate to stay the effect of any action or decision which is challenged.

- 20.3.3 Time for Motion. A Party shall file a motion to review any action or decision within ninety (90) days after such action or decision, except that motions to review assessments hereunder shall be filed within thirty (30) days of Watermaster mailing notice of the assessment.
- **20.3.4 De Novo Nature of Proceeding**. Upon filing of a motion to review a decision or action, the Watermaster shall notify the Parties of a date for a hearing at which time the Court shall take evidence and hear argument. The Court's review shall be *de novo* and the Watermaster's decision or action shall have no evidentiary weight in such proceeding.
- **20.3.5 Decision**. The decision of the Court in such proceeding shall be an appealable supplemental order in this case. When the Court's decision is final, it shall be binding upon Watermaster and the Parties.
- Multiple Production Rights. A Party simultaneously may be a member of the Small Pumper Class and hold an Overlying Production Right by virtue of owning land other than the parcel(s) meeting the Small Pumper Class definition. The Small Pumper Class definition shall be construed in accordance with Paragraph 3.5.44 and 3.5.45.
- 20.5 Payment of Assessments. Payment of assessments levied by Watermaster hereunder shall be made pursuant to the time schedule developed by the Watermaster, notwithstanding any motion for review of Watermaster actions, decisions, rules or procedures, including review of assessments implemented by the Watermaster.
- 20.6 Designation of Address for Notice and Service. Each Party shall designate a name and address to be used for purposes of all subsequent notices and service herein, either by its endorsement on this Judgment or by a separate designation to be filed within thirty (30) days after judgment has been entered. A Party may change its designation by filing a written notice of such change with Watermaster. A Party that desires to be relieved of receiving notices

of Watermaster activity may file a waiver of notice in a form to be provided by Watermaster. At all times, Watermaster shall maintain a current list of Parties to whom notices are to be sent and their addresses for purpose of service. Watermaster shall also maintain a full current list of said names and addresses of all Parties or their successors, as filed herein. Watermaster shall make copies of such lists available to any requesting Person. If no designation is made, a Party's designee shall be deemed to be, in order of priority: (1) the Party's attorney of record; (2) if the Party does not have an attorney of record, the Party itself at the address on the Watermaster list; (3) for Small Pumper Class Members, after this Judgment is final, the individual Small Pumper Class Members at the service address maintained by the Watermaster.

- 20.7 Service of Documents. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, delivery to or service to any Party by the Court or any Party of any document required to be served upon or delivered to a Party pursuant to this Judgment shall be deemed made if made by e-filing on the Court's website at <a href="https://www.scefiling.org">www.scefiling.org</a>. All Parties agree to waive service by mail if they receive notifications via electronic filing at the above identified website.
- 20.8 No Abandonment of Rights. In the interest of the Basin and its water supply, and the principle of reasonable and beneficial use, no Party shall be encouraged to Produce and use more water in any Year than is reasonably required. Failure to Produce all of the Groundwater to which a Party is entitled shall not, in and of itself, be deemed or constitute an abandonment of such Party's right, in whole or in part, except as specified in Paragraph 15.
- 20.9 Intervention After Judgment. Any Person who is not a Party or successor to a Party and who proposes to Produce Groundwater from the Basin, to store water in the Basin, to acquire a Production Right or to otherwise take actions that may affect the Basin's Groundwater is required to seek to become a Party subject to this Judgment through a noticed motion to intervene in this Judgment prior to commencing Production. Prior to filing such a motion, a proposed intervenor shall consult with the Watermaster Engineer and seek the Watermaster's stipulation to the proposed intervention. A proposed intervenor's failure to consult