# OF ORIGINAL FILED LOS Angeles Superior Court SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

#### FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

JUN 03 2008

~	FOR THE COUNTY	OF DOS ANGELES						
3		John A. Clarke, Executive Officer/Clerk						
4	COORDINATED PROCEEDING SPECIAL TITLE (Rule 1550(b))	JUDICIAL COUNCIL MEDITATION POUP PROCEEDING NOT WHOSE IN JACOBS						
5	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER ) CASES )	,						
6	Included Actions:	PLAINTIFF WILLIS' [PROBLED] ORDER  MODIFYING CLASS DEFINITION AND  ALLOWING PARTIES TO OPT IN TO THE						
7 8	REBECCA LEE WILLIS, on behalf of herself ) and all others similarly situated,							
9	Plaintiff, )	PLAINTIFF CLASS						
10	vs.	,						
11	LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS ) DISTRICT NO. 40; et al.,	Haavinga						
12	Defendants.	Hearing:						
13   14	Los Angeles County Superior Court ) Case No. BC 364 553 )	Date: May 22, 2008 Time: 9:00 a.m. Place: Dept. 1 (L.A. Super. Ct.)						
15	AND RELATED ACTIONS )	Judge: Hon. Jack Komar						
16	)							
17	WHEREAS, this matter came before the court on May 5, 2008 for continued Hearing on Los							
18	Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40's Motion to Modify Definition of Plaintiff Class (the							
19	"Motion to Modify");							
20	WHEREAS, the Court entered an Order of	on September 11, 2007 certifying a plaintiff Class						
21	defined as follows:							
22		persons and entities that own real property						
23	within the Basin, as adjudicated, that are not presently pumping water on their property and did not do so at any time during the five years preceding January 18,							
24	2006 ("the Class"). The Class includes the successors-in-interest by way of purchase, gift, inheritance, or otherwise of such landowners.							
25		erein, any person, firm, trust, corporation,						
26	or other entity in which any defendant has a controlling interest or which is related to or affiliated with any of the defendants, and the representatives, heirs, affiliates,							
27	successors-in-interest or assigns of any such excluded party. The Class also excludes all persons to the extent their properties are connected to a municipal water system, public utility, or mutual water company from which they receive or are able to receive water service, as well as owners of properties within the service areas							
28								

of the foregoing water purveyors as to which there is a water system agreement or water service agreement providing for the provision of water service by such purveyors."

NOW, THEREFORE, having considered and reviewed the Motion to Modify, the points and authorities in support thereof, the responsive papers filed by other parties, and having considered the file in this matter and the arguments presented at the hearing on the Motion and in connection with prior Class Certification proceedings, and good cause appearing thereon; THE COURT FINDS AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. In order to achieve a comprehensive, binding, and lasting adjudication of the water rights at issue in this matter, it is important that, to the extent possible, all present or potential users of groundwater within the Antelope Valley Basin be made parties to this proceeding. The Willis Class previously certified encompasses the bulk of the property in the Basin that is not owned by one of the present parties to this litigation.
- 2. The Class previously certified by the Court requires modification in the following respects: First, the Class should exclude all persons or entities who are already participating in this litigation (other than Class Plaintiff Willis) unless those persons affirmatively choose to join the Class. In addition, the exclusion from the Class of persons whose properties are connected to municipal water systems, public utilities, and mutual water companies should be limited to those properties that actually receive water service from such an entity.
- 3. The Class of private landowners set forth below satisfies all of the requirements of Section 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure and due process.
- 4. The proposed Class is so numerous that joinder of all members would be impracticable.
- 5. The claims asserted on behalf of Plaintiff Willis are typical of those asserted on behalf of the absent Class members.
- 6. The claims asserted on behalf of the Class raise common issues of fact and law, which predominate over any individual issues.
- 7. Willis is an adequate representative of the Class in that she is actively asserting her rights and those of the absent Class members; and there is no adversity or conflict between Willis'

claims and those of the Class with respect to those issues.

- 8. Willis' counsel is adequate and capable to represent the Class.
- 9. The Class of non-pumpers is ascertainable through the analysis performed by the Suppliers' expert, William E. Leever, Jr., as set forth in his Declaration dated May 1, 2008. It is reasonable to assume that the owners of all parcels listed as improved by the county assessors' offices, which are outside the service areas of the water providers, pump groundwater for use of their parcels.
- 10. Class certification is the superior means to adjudicate this matter, especially in light of the need to obtain a comprehensive adjudication of water rights that is binding on all landowners within the Basin.

WHEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:

- 1. The Court hereby modifies its prior Class Certification order in the following respects:
- A. The Class shall exclude all persons who are already participating in this litigation (other than Plaintiff Willis), but any such persons may "opt in" to the Class to the extent they otherwise fall within the Class definition.
- B. The following sentence of the Court's September 11, 2007 Class Certification Order is stricken:

"The Class also excludes all persons to the extent their properties are connected to a municipal water system, public utility, or mutual water company from which they receive or are able to receive water service, as well as owners of properties within the service areas of the foregoing water purveyors as to which there is a water system agreement or water service agreement providing for the provision of water service by such purveyors."

- C. The Class excludes all persons who only own property(ies) within the Basin that are connected to *and* receive water service from a municipal supplier, public utility, or mutual water company.
- D. The Class shall exclude all property(ies) that are listed as "improved' by the Los Angeles County or Kern County Assessor's office, unless the owners of such properties declare under penalty of perjury that they do not pump water on their property and did not do so during the five years preceding January 18, 2006.

#### SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

#### FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

and all others similarly situated,	PROCEEDING NO. 4408				
Plaintiff,					
vs.					
LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40; CITY OF LANCASTER; CITY OF PALMDALE; PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT; LITTLEROCK CREEK IRRIGATION DISTRICT; PALM RANCH IRRIGATION DISTRICT; QUARTZ HILL WATER DISTRICT; ANTELOPE VALLEY WATER CO.; ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT; and DOES 1 through 1,000;					
Defendants.					

## TO: PRIVATE LANDOWNERS WITHIN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY THIS LAWSUIT MAY AFFECT YOUR PROPERTY RIGHTS

This notice is to advise you about a pending class action lawsuit. You may be a member of the Class. PLEASE TAKE THE TIME TO READ THIS IMPORTANT LEGAL NOTICE. YOU ARE REQUIRED TO RETURN THE ATTACHED RESPONSE FORM WITHIN SIXTY DAYS.

This Class Action lawsuit involves water rights in the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin. Plaintiff Willis brought this case to protect her right and that of other landowners in the Basin to pump water on their properties in the future. The case has been combined with other cases to determine all the groundwater rights in the Basin. The Court has not yet decided the case. This Notice is intended to inform you of the pendency of this case and advise you how you can protect your rights. You have been sent this Notice because as a property owner in the Antelope Valley your rights to pump and use groundwater on your property may be affected by this case. PLEASE RETURN THE ATTACHED RESPONSE FORM AS SOON AS POSSIBLE, EVEN IF YOU DO NOT OWN PROPERTY IN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY.

#### ARE YOU A MEMBER OF THE CLASS?

You have been designated as a possible class member because records show that you may own property in the Antelope Valley. The class includes all private (i.e., non-governmental) landowners within the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin, with certain exceptions set out below. A map of the Basin is attached to this notice.

You are NOT in the Class if you fall within one of the categories set forth below. BUT YOUR RIGHTS MAY BE AFFECTED UNLESS YOU RETURN THE ATTACHED RESPONSE FORM AND MAKE CLEAR THAT YOU ARE NOT IN THE CLASS. HENCE, IT IS IMPORTANT THAT YOU RETURN THE RESPONSE FORM AS PROMPTLY AS POSSIBLE, EVEN IF YOU ARE NOT A CLASS MEMBER.

You are NOT in the Class if your property within the Antelope Valley Basin falls within any of the following categories:

- 1. You pump groundwater on your property or have done so at any time since January 18, 2001; *or*
- 2. Your property is connected to *and* receives water from a public water system, public utility or mutual water company; *or*
- 3. You are already a party to this litigation (but, in that event, you may elect to join the Class).

#### WHAT IS THE CASE ABOUT?

Under California law, property owners have a right to pump and use groundwater (water underneath the surface) on their land. In this case, however, the naturally available supply of water in the Basin may not be adequate to satisfy everyone who wants to use that water. Plaintiff Willis brought this action to protect her right and that of other Antelope Valley landowners to pump and use the water under their properties and to obtain compensation for any wrongful taking of their property rights. She claims that she and other landowners have water rights which are superior to the rights of certain public water suppliers (listed as defendants on page 1) to use that water. The public water suppliers claim that their historical pumping has given them superior water rights. If the public water suppliers win, your rights to use the groundwater under your property may be cut back.

In other words, the Willis Class Action asks the Court to rule that private land owners in the Antelope Valley who do not presently pump water on their properties retain the right to use the water underlying their properties. The Court has not yet ruled on these claims.

#### WHAT DO YOU NEED TO DO?

YOU ARE REQUIRED TO COMPLETE AND RETURN the attached RESPONSE FORM by \_\_\_\_\_, 2008. All persons who receive this Notice should return that form, so that the parties and Court will know whether you are a class member.

If you are a Class Member (any private (i.e., non-governmental) person or entity who owns property within the Antelope Valley Basin and who does not fall within any of the exclusions set forth above), you have the right to remain in the Class or exclude yourself from the Class. You should complete and return the attached response form stating whether you wish to (a) remain in the Class or (b) exclude yourself from the Class.

1111

#### If you remain in the Class

- You will be bound by the decision in the case, whether favorable or unfavorable.
- Plaintiff Willis and her attorneys will act as your representatives in this case, and you will not personally be obligated to pay any fees or costs out of your pocket.
  - You may, but need not, hire your own lawyer at your own expense to represent you.

If you exclude yourself from the Class,

You will not be bound by any decision that affects the Class.

•		lded to the lawsuit a or hire a lawyer to rep		defenda	ant, and you i	may have to
Pleaso to the follow	ing: Ante P.O.	irn the attached response Valley Groundwa BOX _, CA		later th	nan	, 2008
have. That w website will be following num the court's we	mended complaint In addition, the vebsite has an e-mail e updated from time aber for information: _ebsite at http://www.s	and certain other cat website has a list address for you to obto time to advise you Also, all cefilling.org/cases/cas	locuments fro of answers to tain further infor of the status of of the documen sehome.jsp?cas	m the licertain rmation if this litiguits filed in seeld=19.	itigation are other questic f you have que ation. Or you n the case are	ons you may estions. That may call the available on
QUESTIONS	, PLEASE CONSULT	YOUR OWN COUNS THE ADDRESS ABO	SEL, VISIT THE			
Dated:	2008	BY	ORDER OF	THE	SUPERIOR	COURT OF

CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

[EXHIBIT A WILL BE THE MAP SHOWING THE BASIN (as set out in the Court's ruling).]

### **ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER LITIGATION RESPONSE FORM** [SELF MAILER]

PLEASE COMPLETE AND RETURN A SEPARATE FORM FOR EACH SEPARATE PROPERTY YOU OWN WITHIN THE BASIN IN ORDER TO FULLY PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS.

POSS CONS	IBLE A	ECK THE APPI ND IN ANY EVI OUR COUNSEL HOULD COMPLI IEM. PLEASE	ENT BE	FORE	, 2008. IF .OWING WE	YOU HABSITE, V	AVE ANY ( WHICH HA	QUESTION S INSTRU	IS, PLEASE CTIONS ON
1.		IDONOTO (HIBIT A, ABO\		PROPERTY	WITHIN THE	EANTEL	OPE VALLE	EYBASIN (	AS SHOWN
		NERED NO, PL AND DATE BEL					RMATION F	REQUESTE	ED BELOW.
IF YOU ANSWERED YES, PLEASE CHECK <b>ONE</b> ANSWER FOR EACH STATEMENT BELOW.									
2.	I AM _	AM NOT	PRE	SENTLY PUN	IPING GRO	UNDWA <sup>-</sup>	TER ON M	Y PROPER	RTY.
3.		e prior owners o					_PUMPED	GROUND	WATER ON
4.	PLEA:	SE SELECT ON	IE OF T	HE FOLLOW	ING:				
	A.	I WANT TO RE	EMAIN	IN THE CLAS	S				
	В.	I WANT TO EX	KCLUDI	E MYSELF FF	ROM THE CI	_ASS			
	C.	I AM A PARTY THE CLASS I CONTROL TH	MAY I	BE GIVING L	JP THE RIG	SHTS I C	THERWIS	E WOULD	HAVE TO
ASSES THE B		PARCEL NUM	BER A	ND ADDRESS	OR LEGAL	DESCRI	PTION OF	YOUR PR	OPERTY IN
THE ABOVE PROPERTY TOTALS APPROXIMATELY ACRES.									
PRINT YOUR NAME:									
SIGNA	TURE:								
MAILING ADDRESS:									
CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE:									
Telephone Number:									

1 PROOF OF SERVICE 2 I, Ashley Polyascko, declare: 3 I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is 625 Broadway, Suite 635, San Diego, California, 92101. On May 20, 2008, I served the within document(s): 4 PLAINTIFF WILLIS' [PROPOSED] ORDER MODIFYING CLASS DEFINITION 5 AND ALLOWING PARTIES TO OPT IN TO THE PLAINTIFF CLASS 6 by posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Court [X]7 website in regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater matter. 8 by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at San Diego, California addressed as set 9 forth below: 10 by causing personal delivery by Cal Express of the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below. 11 by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the 12 address(es) set forth below. 13 I caused such envelope to be delivered via overnight delivery addressed as indicated on the attached service list. Such envelope was deposited for delivery 14 by UPS following the firm's ordinary business practices. 15 I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same 16 day with the postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage 17 meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit. 18 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. 19 Executed on May 20, 2008, at San Diego, California. 20 21 22 23

28

24

25

26

27