

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

-----  
ANTELOPE VALLEY ) Santa Clara Case No.  
GROUNDWATER CASES, ) 1-05-CV-049053  
 ) Volume II  
-----

Continued Deposition of JOSEPH SCALMANINI,  
taken at 301 North Lake Avenue, 10th Floor,  
Pasadena, California, commencing at 9:29 a.m.,  
Tuesday, November 16, 2010, before Janice  
Schutzman, CSR No. 9509.

PAGES 190 - 415

1 other than saying generally it doesn't turn on and  
2 off immediately; right?

3 A. I can't recall the data instantly myself,  
4 no. There were piezometers and extensometers  
5 installed in the field out there that were monitored 11:49AM  
6 for a period of time. I have looked at that. I  
7 don't know whether it's being actively monitored  
8 today.

9 Q. Right. And that's not my question either.  
10 My question is -- 11:49AM

11 A. Okay.

12 Q. -- you do not have any data, as you sit  
13 here today, beyond 1992 with regard to subsidence;  
14 therefore, as an expert, as an engineer, you cannot  
15 say that subsidence has occurred since 1992; 11:49AM  
16 correct?

17 A. Not right this second, no.

18 Q. So my statement's correct?

19 A. Today, I'd have to say it's correct, yes.

20 Q. Okay. Going back to the undesirable 11:50AM  
21 results, you said level -- water levels declining,  
22 storage space declining.

23 Was there anything else in terms of  
24 undesirable results that you'd like to add to that  
25 list as the basis for your conclusion that the water 11:50AM