1 2	WAYNE K. LEMIEUX (SBN 43501) W. KEITH LEMIEUX (SBN 161850)		
	LEMIEUX & O'NEILL 2393 Townsgate Road, Suite 201		
3	Westlake Village, California 91361 Telephone: (805) 495-4770		
4	Facsimile: (805) 495-2787		
5	Attorneys for Defendants/Cross-Complainants		
6	LITTLEROCK CREEK IRRIGATION DISTRICT, PALM RANCH IRRIGATION DISTRICT		
7	And Cross-Defendants, NORTH EDWARDS WATER DISTRICT and DESERT LAKES COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT		
10	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LO	S ANGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT	
11	Coordinated Proceeding	Judicial Council Coordination No. 4408	
12	Special Title (Rule 1550(b))		
13	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES	Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 Assigned to the Honorable Jack Komar – Dept. 17	
14	To also de di Aleccia de di	LITTLEROCK CREEK IRRIGATION	
15	Included Actions:	DISTRICT'S RESPONSE TO DIAMOND	
16	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co. Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. BC 325201;	FARMING COMPANY'S FIRST SET OF REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS	
17	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40		
18	v. Diamond Farming Co., Kern County Superior		
19	Court, Case No. S-1500-CV-234348;		
20	Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster		
21	<u>Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster v.</u> <u>Palmdale Water District</u> , Riverside County		
22	Superior Court, Consolidated Actions, Case Nos. RIC 353840, RIC 344436, RIC 344668		
23))	
24	AND RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS		
25			
26			
27	LC.Rsp.RPD1.DF.doc - 1	, -	
28	RESPONSE TO DIAMOND FARMING COMPANY'S FIRST SET OF REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION		
	OF DOC	CUMENTS	

PROPOUNDING PARTY: Diamond Farming Company

RESPONDING PARTY: Littlerock Creek Irrigation District

SET NUMBER: One (Nos. 1-6)

Pursuant to *Code of Civil Procedure section* 2031.010, Littlerock Creek Irrigation District hereby responds to Diamond Farming Company's First Set of Request for Production of Documents, as follows:

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

The following responses are based on discovery and investigation to date, and do not preclude Littlerock from any further discovery, investigation, legal research and analysis respecting any subject matter covered by the Demand. These responses, and the production of documents hereunder, if any, are based upon information presently known to Littlerock after a reasonable investigation. Further investigation or discovery may reveal additional documents not produced hereunder and presently unavailable. Accordingly, these responses are provided without prejudice to the rights of Littlerock to produce additional evidence hereafter obtained as a result of such investigation or discovery.

II. OBJECTIONS

- A. A production of any documents in response to any or all of the specifically demanded categories shall not be deemed to be a waiver of any rights of Littlerock to object to further production of such documents.
- B. Littlerock objects to the Demand to the extent it calls for documents containing confidential or proprietary information or information protected by the right of privacy, and Littlerock will not produce such information, unless and until, in the first instance, an acceptable protective order is stipulated to among the parties.
- C. Littlerock objects to any of the individual demands that call for the production of attorney work product or attorney-client privileged material, or material protected from discovery by any other applicable privilege or immunity, and refuse to produce such material. In the event that any such documents are inadvertently produced, Littlerock does not waive any attendant privilege, and reserves the LC.Rsp.RPDI.DF.doc

right to the return of all such documents and copies thereof upon request.

- D. Littlerock objects to the Demand to the extent it calls for documents that are more properly sought from parties or persons other than Littlerock, or concerns documents generated by parties or persons other than Littlerock, on the ground the discovery sought is unreasonably cumulative or duplicative and is obtainable from some other source that is more convenient, less burdensome and less expensive.
- E. Littlerock objects generally to producing multiple, identical copies of identified documents, and will not produce such multiple copies in response to the Demand.
- F. No response to any portion of the Demand shall be deemed to be a waiver of any objection not set forth which could be made to any portion of the Demand concerning the relevancy of a document or the information set forth in a document, or any other matter involving admissibility of such information or document at the trial of this action.
- G. Upon review of all potentially relevant or responsive documents, Littlerock reserves the right to augment these general objections and the specific responses and specific objections that follow. Subject to and without waiving these general objections, which are hereby incorporated into all of the specific responses that follow, and without waiving their right to augment the general objections, specific responses and/or specific objections, and subject to any and all specific objections that follows, Littlerock responds as follows:

RESPONSES TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 1:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

LC.Rsp.RPD1.DF.doc

- 3 -

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 2:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 3:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 4:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 5:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 6:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

/s/

DATED: June 26, 2007 LEMIEUX & O'NEILL

D

By: ____

W. KEITH LEMIEUX

Attorneys for LITTLEROCK CREEK IRRIGATION DISTRICT and PALM RANCH IRRIGATION DISTRICT

LC.Rsp.RPD1.DF.doc - 4 -

\parallel	PROOF OF SERVICE	
	STATE OF CALIFORNIA,)	
) ss. COUNTY OF VENTURA)		
I am employed in the County of Ventura, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 2393 Townsgate Road, Suite 201, Westlake Village, California 91361.		
		On June 26, 2007, I posted the following document(s) to the website http://www.scefiling.org , a dedicated link to the Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases:
	LITTLEROCK CREEK IRRIGATION DISTRICT'S RESPONSE TO DIAMOND FARMING COMPANY'S FIRST SET OF REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS	
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.		
a		
	Executed on June 26, 2007, in Westlake Village, California.	
	/s/	
	KATHI MIERS	

RESPONSE TO DIAMOND FARMING COMPANY'S FIRST SET OF REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION

OF DOCUMENTS

28