| 1 2 | WAYNE K. LEMIEUX (SBN 43501) W. KEITH LEMIEUX (SBN 161850) LEMIEUX & O'NEILL | EUX (SBN 161850) | |
|----------|--|---|--|
| 3 | 2393 Townsgate Road, Suite 201 Westlake Village, California 91361 Telephone: (805) 495-4770 Facsimile: (805) 495-2787 | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 6 | Attorneys for Defendants/Cross-Complainants LITTLEROCK CREEK IRRIGATION DISTRICT, PALM RANCH IRRIGATION DISTRICT | | |
| 7 | And Cross-Defendants, NORTH EDWARDS WATER DISTRICT and DESERT LAKES COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 10 | IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LO | S ANGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT | |
| 11 | | | |
| | Coordinated Proceeding Special Title (Rule 1550(b)) | Judicial Council Coordination No. 4408 | |
| 12 | | Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 | |
| 13 | ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES | Assigned to the Honorable Jack Komar – Dept. 17 | |
| 14 | Included Actions: | PALM RANCH IRRIGATION DISTRICT'S RESPONSE TO DIAMOND FARMING COMPANY'S FIRST SET OF SPECIAL | |
| 15 16 | Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co. Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. BC 325201; | INTERROGATORIES | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Kern County Superior Court, Case No. S-1500-CV-234348; | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster | ,) | |
| 21 | Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster v. Palmdale Water District, Riverside County | | |
| 22 | Superior Court, Consolidated Actions, Case Nos. RIC 353840, RIC 344436, RIC 344668 | | |
| 23 | AND RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | PR.Rsp.SpRogs.DF.doc - 1 | - | |
| 28 | PEGDONG POLICE DE LA COMPANIO DEL COMPANIO DE LA COMPANIO DEL COMPANIO DE LA COMPANIO DEL COMPANIO DE LA COMPANIO DEL COMPANIO DE LA COMPANIO DEL COM | | |

RESPONSE TO DIAMOND FARMING'S FIRST SET OF SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES

PROPOUNDING PARTY: Diamond Farming Company 1 2 RESPONDING PARTY: Palm Ranch Irrigation District 3 SET NUMBER: One (1-9) 4 Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 2030.010, Palm Ranch Irrigation District ("Palm 5 Ranch"), hereby responds to Diamond Farming Company's First Set of Special Interrogatories as 6 follows: 7 I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT 8 1. Although Palm Ranch has conducted a good faith investigation in order to respond to 9 Diamond Farming's First Set of Form Interrogatories, it has not completed its own investigation or 10 discovery of this matter. By responding to this discovery, Palm Ranch does not intend to preclude itself 11 from providing supplemental responses or from using, at a trial or other proceedings, information that it 12 obtains subsequent to the date of these responses. 13 2. By these responses, Palm Ranch makes no representation concerning the relevance or 14 admissibility of any of the evidence cited, and further reserve the right to make all pertinent evidentiary 15 objections at trial or at any other stage of the proceedings. 16 3. Palm Ranch objects to each interrogatory to the extent it calls for information protected by 17 the attorney-client privilege, attorney work product doctrine and official information privilege. **18** II. RESPONSES TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES 19 **RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 1:** 20 Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information 21 concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class 22 representative has yet been approved by the court. 23 RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 2: 24 Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information 25 concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class 26 representative has yet been approved by the court. 27 PR.Rsp.SpRogs.DF.doc - 2 -

RESPONSE TO DIAMOND FARMING'S FIRST SET OF SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES

28

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

PR.Rsp.SpRogs.DF.doc

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 9: Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court. DATED: June 26, 2007 LEMIEUX & O'NEILL By: W. KEITH LEMIEUX Attorneys for LITTLEROCK CREEK IRRIGATION DISTRICT and PALM RANCH IRRIGATION DISTRICT

RESPONSE TO DIAMOND FARMING'S FIRST SET OF SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES

PR.Rsp.SpRogs.DF.doc

| 1 | PROOF OF SERVICE | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2 | STATE OF CALIFORNIA,) | | |
| 3 | COUNTY OF VENTURA) | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | I am employed in the County of Ventura, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 2393 Townsgate Road, Suite 201, Westlake Village California 91361. | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | On June 26, 2007, I posted the following document(s) to the website http://www.scefiling.org , dedicated link to the Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases: | | |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | PALM RANCH IRRIGATION DISTRICT'S RESPONSE TO DIAMOND FARMING COMPANY'S FIRST SET OF SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. | | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | Executed on June 26, 2007, in Westlake Village, California. | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | KATHI MIERS | | |
| ۱7 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | PR.Rsp.SpRogs.DF.doc - 5 - | | |

RESPONSE TO DIAMOND FARMING'S FIRST SET OF SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES

28