WAYNE K. LEI	MIEUX (SBN 43501)		
	IIEUX (SBN 161850)		
2393 Townsgate	Road, Suite 201		
Westlake Village Telephone: (805	e, California 91361) 495-4770		
Facsimile: (805)) 495-2787		
Attorneys for Defendants/Cross-Complainants			
LITTLEROCK CREEK IRRIGATION DISTRICT, PALM RANCH IRRIGATION DISTRICT And Cross-Defendants, NORTH EDWARDS WATER DISTRICT and DESERT LAKES COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT			
SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT			
Coordinated Pr	0) Judicial Council Coordination No. 4408	
Special Title (R) Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053	
ANTELOPE V CASES	ALLEY GROUNDWATER	 Assigned to the Honorable Jack Komar – Dept. 17) 	
Included Action	ns:) PALM RANCH IRRIGATION DISTRICT'S RESPONSE TO DIAMOND FARMING	
v. Diamond Fai	bunty Waterworks District No. 40 <u>rming Co</u> . Los Angeles County Case No. BC 325201;	 COMPANY'S FIRST SET OF REQUEST F(PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS 	
v. Diamond Fai	ounty Waterworks District No. 40 ming Co., Kern County Superior S-1500-CV-234348;)))	
Diamond Farm	E Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster ing Co. v. City of Lancaster v. r District, Riverside County)))	
Superior Court,	Consolidated Actions, Case Nos. IC 344436, RIC 344668)))	
AND RELATE	D CROSS-ACTIONS	-)) _)	
PR.Rsp.RPD1.DF.doc	-	1 -	

Pursuant to *Code of Civil Procedure section* 2031.010, Palm Ranch Irrigation District hereby responds to Diamond Farming Company's First Set of Request for Production of Documents, as follows:

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

The following responses are based on discovery and investigation to date, and do not preclude Palm Ranch from any further discovery, investigation, legal research and analysis respecting any subject matter covered by the Demand. These responses, and the production of documents hereunder, if any, are based upon information presently known to Palm Ranch after a reasonable investigation. Further investigation or discovery may reveal additional documents not produced hereunder and presently unavailable. Accordingly, these responses are provided without prejudice to the rights of Palm Ranch to produce additional evidence hereafter obtained as a result of such investigation or discovery.

II. OBJECTIONS

A. A production of any documents in response to any or all of the specifically demanded categories shall not be deemed to be a waiver of any rights of Palm Ranch to object to further production of such documents.

B. Palm Ranch objects to the Demand to the extent it calls for documents containing
confidential or proprietary information or information protected by the right of privacy, and Palm Ranch
will not produce such information, unless and until, in the first instance, an acceptable protective order is
stipulated to among the parties.

C. Palm Ranch objects to any of the individual demands that call for the production of
 attorney work product or attorney-client privileged material, or material protected from discovery by any
 other applicable privilege or immunity, and refuse to produce such material. In the event that any such
 documents are inadvertently produced, Palm Ranch does not waive any attendant privilege, and reserves

RESPONSE TO DIAMOND FARMING COMPANY'S FIRST SET OF REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

1 || the right to the return of all such documents and copies thereof upon request.

D. Palm Ranch objects to the Demand to the extent it calls for documents that are more
properly sought from parties or persons other than Palm Ranch, or concerns documents generated by
parties or persons other than Palm Ranch, on the ground the discovery sought is unreasonably cumulative
or duplicative and is obtainable from some other source that is more convenient, less burdensome and less
expensive.

E.Palm Ranch objects generally to producing multiple, identical copies of identifieddocuments, and will not produce such multiple copies in response to the Demand.

F. No response to any portion of the Demand shall be deemed to be a waiver of any objection not set forth which could be made to any portion of the Demand concerning the relevancy of a document or the information set forth in a document, or any other matter involving admissibility of such information or document at the trial of this action.

G. Upon review of all potentially relevant or responsive documents, Palm Ranch reserves the right to augment these general objections and the specific responses and specific objections that follow. Subject to and without waiving these general objections, which are hereby incorporated into all of the specific responses that follow, and without waiving their right to augment the general objections, specific responses and/or specific objections, and subject to any and all specific objections that follows, Palm Ranch responds as follows:

RESPONSES TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION

<u>RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 1</u>:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

PR.Rsp.RPD1.DF.doc

- 3 -

1 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 2:**

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

5 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 3:**

6 Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information 7 concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class 8 representative has yet been approved by the court.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 4:

10 Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information 11 concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class 12 representative has yet been approved by the court.

13 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 5**:

14 Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

17 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 6:**

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

28	RESPONSE TO DIAMOND FAF	RMING COMPANY'S FIRST SET OF REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS
26 27	PR.Rsp.RPD1.DF.doc	- 4 -
25		
24		Attorneys for LITTLEROCK CREEK IRRIGATION DISTRICT and PALM RANCH IRRIGATION DISTRICT
23		By: W. KEITH LEMIEUX
22		/s/
21	DATED: June 26, 2007	LEMIEUX & O'NEILL

2 3

4

9

15

16

18

19

20

1	PROOF OF SERVICE		
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA,)		
3) ss. COUNTY OF VENTURA)		
4			
5	I am employed in the County of Ventura, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a		
6	party to the within action. My business address is 2393 Townsgate Road, Suite 201, Westlake Village, California 91361.		
7	On June 26, 2007, I posted the following document(s) to the website <u>http://www.scefiling.org</u> , a		
8	dedicated link to the Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases:		
9			
10	PALM RANCH IRRIGATION DISTRICT'S RESPONSE TO DIAMOND FARMING COMPANY'S FIRST SET OF REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS		
11			
12	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.		
13			
14	Executed on June 26, 2007, in Westlake Village, California.		
15	/s/		
16	KATHI MIERS		
17			
18			
19 19			
20			
21			
22 23			
23 24			
25			
23 26			
27	PR.Rsp.RPD1.DF.doc – 5 –		
28	RESPONSE TO DIAMOND FARMING COMPANY'S FIRST SET OF REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION		
	OF DOCUMENTS		