

1 DOUGLAS J. EVERTZ, SBN 123066  
MURPHY & EVERTZ LLP  
2 650 Town Center Drive, Suite 550  
Costa Mesa, California 92626  
3 Telephone: (714) 277-1700  
Fax: (714) 277-1777

Exempt from filing fee  
Government Code § 6103

4 Attorneys for Defendants  
5 City of Lancaster and Rosamond Community  
Services District  
6

7  
8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
9 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES  
10

11 **ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER  
CASES**

12 Included Actions:

13 Los Angeles County Waterworks District  
14 No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co.  
Superior Court of California, County of  
15 Los Angeles, Case No. BC325201;

16 Los Angeles County Waterworks District  
17 No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co.  
Superior Court of California, County of Kern,  
Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348

18 Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of  
19 Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. City of  
Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale  
20 Water Dist., Superior Court of California  
County of Riverside, consolidated actions; Case  
21 Nos. RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668.

LASC Case No. BC 325201

Judicial Council Coordination  
Proceeding No. 4408

CLASS ACTION

Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV 049053  
Assigned to The Honorable Jack Komar

**CITY OF LANCASTER AND  
ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES  
DISTRICT'S JOINDER IN  
OPPOSITIONS FILED BY LOS  
ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS  
DISTRICT NO. 40**

DATE: April 1, 2014  
TIME: 9:00 a.m.  
DEPT: Old Dept. 1, Los Angeles

22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
{00058829.1 }

1 City of Lancaster and Rosamond Community Services District hereby join the following  
2 oppositions filed by Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 ("District No. 40") on March  
3 14, 2014:

- 4 • District No. 40's Opposition to Motion for Order Setting Matter for Jury Trial;
- 5 • District No. 40's Opposition to Motion in Limine for An Order Establishing the Necessity  
6 of the Public Water Purveyors Proving the Elements of Prescription as to Each  
7 Landowner;
- 8 • District No. 40's Opposition to Motion in Limine for An Order Establishing the Evidentiary  
9 Standard for Notice of Proof of Prescription by the Public Water Purveyors; and
- 10 • District No. 40's Opposition to Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings.

11  
12  
13 DATED: March 18, 2014

MURPHY & EVERTZ LLP

14  
15 By:   
16 Douglas J. Evertz  
17 Attorneys for CITY OF LANCASTER and ROSAMOND  
18 COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 **PROOF OF SERVICE**

2 **ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES**  
3 Judicial Council Coordination, Proceeding No. 4408

4 Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV 049053  
5 Assigned to the Honorable Jack Komar  
6 Los Angeles County Superior Court, Central, Dept. 1

7 I am a resident of the State of California, over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. I  
8 am employed in the County of Orange, State of California. My business address is 650 Town Center  
9 Drive, Suite 550, Costa Mesa, California 92626.

10 On March 18, 2014, I served the within document(s):

11 **CITY OF LANCASTER AND ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT'S**  
12 **JOINDER IN OPPOSITIONS FILED BY LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS**  
13 **DISTRICT NO. 40**

14  by posting the document(s) listed above to the website <http://www.scefiling.org>, a  
15 dedicated link to the Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases; Santa Clara Case  
16 No. 1-05-CV 049053, Assigned to the Honorable Jack Komar, said document(s) is  
17 electronically served/distributed therewith.

18  By transmitting via e-mail the document(s) listed above to the e-mail address(es) and/or  
19 fax number(s) set forth below on this date.

20  by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed Overnight Express envelope/package for  
21 overnight delivery at Costa Mesa, California addressed as set forth below.

22  by causing personal delivery by Nationwide Legal of the document(s) listed above, to the  
23 person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.

24 I am readily familiar with Murphy & Evertz, LLP's practice for collecting and processing  
25 correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service  
26 on the same day that the correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the  
27 ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage  
28 fully prepaid.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is  
true and correct.

Executed on March 18, 2014, at Costa Mesa, California.

  
Stephanie Pattis