

**THE SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA
ELECTRONIC FILING - WWW.SCEFILING.ORG**

c/o Glotrans
2915 McClure Street
Oakland, CA94609
TEL: (510) 208-4775
FAX: (510) 465-7348
EMAIL: Info@Glotrans.com

**THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA**

Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases)	Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases
)	
Plaintiff,)	Lead Case No.1-05-CV-049053
vs.)	
)	Judge Jack Komar
Defendant.)	
)	PROOF OF SERVICE
<hr/>)	Electronic Proof of Service
AND RELATED ACTIONS)	
<hr/>)	

I am employed in the County of Alameda, State of California.
I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 2915 McClure Street, Oakland, CA 94609.

The documents described on page 2 of this Electronic Proof of Service were submitted via the worldwide web on Tue. October 10, 2006 at 1:34 PM PDT and served by electronic mail notification.

I have reviewed the Court's Order Concerning Electronic Filing and Service of Pleading Documents and am readily familiar with the contents of said Order. Under the terms of said Order, I certify the above-described document's electronic service in the following manner:

The document was electronically filed on the Court's website, <http://www.scefiling.org>, on Tue. October 10, 2006 at 1:34 PM PDT

Upon approval of the document by the Court, an electronic mail message was transmitted to all parties on the electronic service list maintained for this case. The message identified the document and provided instructions for accessing the document on the worldwide web.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on October 10, 2006 at Oakland, California.

Dated: October 10, 2006
For WWW.SCEFILING.ORG
Andy Jamieson

2 **Electronic Proof of Service**
3 **Page 2**

4 **Document(s) submitted by Richard G. Zimmer of Clifford & Brown on Tue. October 10, 2006 at 1:34 PM PDT**

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
1. Objection...: Objection to Tejon Ranchcorp's Brief re Judge's Request for Clarification re Continuing Jurisdiction, New Parties, Claims and Changed Conditions