

1 RICHARD G. ZIMMER, ESQ. - SBN 107263
T. MARK SMITH, ESQ. - SBN 162370
2 CLIFFORD & BROWN
A Professional Corporation
3 Attorneys at Law
Bank of America Building
4 1430 Truxtun Avenue, Suite 900
Bakersfield, CA 93301-5230
5 Tel: (661) 322-6023 Fax: (661) 322-3508

6 Attorneys for BOLTHOUSE PROPERTIES, LLC
and WM. BOLTHOUSE FARMS, INC.
7

8 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
9 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT

10 * * *

11 COORDINATION PROCEEDING
SPECIAL TITLE (Rule 1550(b))

JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION PROCEEDING
No. 4408

12 ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER
13 CASES

CASE NO. 1-05-CV-049053
Action Filed: October 26, 2005

14 INCLUDED ACTIONS:

**NOTICE RE CLARIFICATION OF
BRIEFING RE FEDERAL RESERVE
RIGHT**

15 LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS
DISTRICT NO. 40 v. DIAMOND FARMING
16 COMPANY, et al.,
Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC325201

17 LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS
DISTRICT NO. 40 v. DIAMOND FARMING
18 COMPANY, et al.,
19 Kern County Superior Court Case No. S-1500-
CV-254348

20 DIAMOND FARMING COMPANY, and W.M.
21 BOLTHOUSE FARMS, INC., v. CITY OF
LANCASTER, et al.,
22 Riverside Superior Court Case No. RIC 344436
[c/w case no. RIC 344668 and 353840]
23

24 AND RELATED ACTIONS.
25

26 TO THE COURT, ALL PARTIES, AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:


27 The status of briefing on the federal reserve right has generated some confusion. Although
28 the proceedings were not reported, the Court at its May 15, 2015 hearing entertained argument from

1 counsel for the Willis Class about the admissibility of evidence in light of the Willis Class having
2 chosen to not be present in the courtroom for that phase of trial. Subsequent to the hearing, the Court
3 issued a minute order with a briefing schedule, but Willis Class counsel also filed a notice
4 withdrawing its opposition on the evidentiary question and stating "There is no need for further
5 briefing on this issue." (Doc. # 9927). The stipulating parties understood that the briefing proposal
6 only applied to the Willis Class issue, as forcing the parties to continue to litigate when they have
7 reached a proposed settlement would be an enormous waste of time and judicial resources.
8 BOLTHOUSE PROPERTIES, LLC and WM. BOLTHOUSE FARMS, INC. hereby request that the
9 Court hold in abeyance any further briefing or decisions on the Federal Reserve Right unless and
10 until the proposed judgment and physical solution is ruled upon by the Court. If that settlement is not
11 approved, then the stipulating parties request the opportunity to brief and be heard on the resolution
12 of the Federal Reserve Right.

13 DATED: June 22, 2015

CLIFFORD & BROWN

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
By


RICHARD G. ZIMMER, ESQ.

T. MARK SMITH, ESQ.

Attorneys for BOLTHOUSE PROPERTIES, LLC
and WM. BOLTHOUSE FARMS, INC.

