## "EXHIBIT D"

Same	1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
	2	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
	3 *	DEPARTMENT NO. 1 HON. JACK KOMAR, JUDGE
	4	
	5	COORDINATION PROCEEDING )
	6	SPECIAL TITLE (RULE 1550B) ) JUDICIAL COUNCIL ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES) COORDINATION NO. P4408
	7	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES) COORDINATION NO. P4408
	8	PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT AND ) SANTA CLARA CASE NO. QUARTZ HILL WATER DISTRICT, ) 1-05-CV-049053
	9	)
	10	CROSS-COMPLAINANTS, )
	11	VS )
	12	LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS, ) DISTRICT NO. 40, ET AL, )
	13	CROSS-DEFENDANTS. )
	14	<del></del>
	15	DEPORTED IS TRANSCRIPT OF PROSERVES
	16	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
	17	MONDAY, MARCH 12, 2007
	18	APPEARANCES:
	19	(SEE APPEARANCE PAGES)
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	ODICINAL
	24	ORIGINAL
	25	
	26	%:-
1	27	
1	28	CHARLOTTE NICHOLAS MOHAMED, CSR #2384 OFFICIAL REPORTER
	a di	

OR NOT THERE NEED TO BE SUB CLASSES WITHIN THE CLASS CERTIFICATION OR THE CLASS THAT IS CERTIFIED.

THE OTHER THING THAT -- WITH REGARD TO A

DEFENDANT CLASS, IT SEEMS TO ME THAT YOU HAVE TO NAME A CLASS

REPRESENTATIVE AS THE DEFENDANT. AND ONE WHO IS SIMILARLY

SITUATED TO THE MEMBERS OF THE CLASS, THAT THAT DEFENDANT

OUGHT TO REPRESENT. AND IT PROBABLY NEEDS THE CONCURRENCE OF

THAT CLASS MEMBER, BECAUSE I DON'T THINK YOU CAN MAKE SOMEBODY

AN INVOLUNTARY REPRESENTATIVE OF A CLASS. I DON'T THINK THAT

IS FUNCTIONALLY APPROPRIATE.

SO AS THE CLASS IS CONSTRUCTED HERE, IT SEEMS TO ME THAT IT IS CERTAINLY POSSIBLE TO HAVE A DEFENDANT CLASS OR A SUB CLASS OF ALL OVERLYING OWNERS WHO ARE OUTSIDE OF WATER SERVICE DISTRICTS AND WHO ARE NOT PUMPING AND HAVE NOT PUMPED. SO THAT IS BASICALLY A DORMANT CLASS.

SO THAT A CLASS, IT SEEMS TO ME, OF THAT NATURE,
COULD BE A SUB CLASS. AND I'M GOING TO ASK COUNSEL TO ADDRESS
THAT.

THE OTHER CLASS, WHICH I -- IT SEEMS TO ME IS NOT EVEN A NECESSARY CLASS IN ORDER TO PROPERLY ADJUDICATE THIS CASE, ARE THOSE INDIVIDUALS WHO RESIDE WITHIN THE WATER SERVICE DISTRICT AND RECEIVE WATER FROM THAT WATER SERVICE DISTRICT, DO NOT HAVE WELLS, AND DO NOT MAKE ANY CLAIM TO WATER RIGHTS UNDERLYING THEIR LAND OR THE USE OF THE WATER UNDERLYING THEIR LAND.

A THIRD GROUP COULD BE THOSE INDIVIDUALS WHO
INTEND TO PUMP, AND THAT IS OBVIOUSLY THE ADD-ON CASE THAT WE
HAVE HERE THIS MORNING, AN ASSERTION THAT THEY MAY WISH TO

PUMP IN THE FUTURE. AND IF THE INDIVIDUALS ARE PART OF AN ADDITIONAL PLAINTIFF'S CLASS, THAT MIGHT SOLVE THAT PROBLEM.

NOW IT SEEMS TO ME THAT ANYBODY WHO IS A SIGNIFICANT PUMPER NEEDS TO BE A PARTY AND THEY EITHER NEED TO BE SERVED OR THEY HAVE TO HAVE FILED THEIR ACTIONS THEMSELVES. AND THOSE ARE INDIVIDUALS WHO DON'T INTEND TO OR WHO ARE NOMINAL PUMPERS OR WATER PRODUCERS WITH AN INDIVIDUAL WELL IN THEIR BACKYARD. I DON'T KNOW HOW MANY OF THOSE THERE ARE. THEY MIGHT WELL DE MINIMUS INDIVIDUALS AND MAY NOT NEED TO BE A PART OF THIS ADJUDICATION BECAUSE THEY DON'T AFFECT THE WATER SUPPLY IN ANY MEASURABLE AMOUNT AND WHATEVER HAPPENS HERE IS GOING TO HAVE LITTLE IMPACT ON THEM.

SO IT SEEMS TO ME THAT THAT IS KIND OF THE BROAD STRUCTURE THAT I ENVISION HERE AND I WOULD LIKE COUNSEL TO ADDRESS THAT. AND I DON'T REALLY CARE WHO STARTS.

MR. DUNN: I THINK, IF MY NOTES ARE CORRECT, WE ARE LOOKING AT RESPONDING HERE TO THREE POTENTIAL SUBCLASSES OR CLASSES. ONE WOULD BE, FOR LACK OF A BETTER DESCRIPTION, THE DORMANT CLASS OF ALL PERSONS OUTSIDE THE MUNICIPAL WATER SERVICE AREAS AND THESE INDIVIDUALS OR ENTITIES DO NOT PUMP.

AND THE SECOND GROUP COULD BE THOSE INDIVIDUALS
WHO DO NOT HAVE WELLS BUT ARE WITHIN THE SERVICE AREA
DISTRICTS AND THE MUNICIPAL WATER SUPPLIER SERVICE AREAS BUT
DO NOT CLAIM TO PUMP OR PUMP.

AND THEN THE THIRD GROUP COULD POSSIBLY BE THOSE INDIVIDUALS WHO MAY WISH TO PUMP IN THE FUTURE, BEING THINK SORT OF GENERALLY DESCRIBED IN THE PUTATIVE CLASS MEMBER WILLIS' PETITION.