"EXHIBIT K"

2			
8			
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA			
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES			
Coordinated Proceeding		cil Coordination	
Special Title (Rule 1550(b))	ule 1550(b)) Proceeding No. 4408		
ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES			
Included Actions:			
Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co.	ORDER CERTIFYING PLAINTIFI CLASS		
Los Angeles County Superior Court			
Case No. BC 325 201	II	A	
Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co.	Hearing Date: August 20, 2007 Time: 9:00 a.m.		
Kern County Superior Court	Department: 1, Room 534 111 North Hill Street		
Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348		Los Angeles, CA 90012	
Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster	Judge:	Hon. Jack Komar	
<u>Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster</u> <u>Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water</u>			
District Riverside County Superior Court			
Consolidated Action, Case Nos.			
RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668			
Rebecca Lee Willis v. Los Angeles County			
Waterworks District No. 40			
Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. BC 364 553			

Order Certifying Plaintiff Class

e

.

AND RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

The matter having come before the Court for hearing on August 20, 2007 on Plaintiff Rebecca Lee Willis' motion for class certification; and the Court having overruled the objections of Diamond Farming to hearing the motion at that time; and having considered and reviewed the notice of motion and motion for class certification, the points and authorities in support thereof, the responsive papers filed by other parties, and having considered the file in this matter and the arguments presented at the hearing on the motion, and good cause appearing thereon;

11 || THE COURT FINDS AS FOLLOWS:

12	1.	The proposed Class of non-pumping landowners satisfies all of the requirements	
13		of Section 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure and due process;	
14	2.	The proposed Class is so numerous that joinder of all members would be	
15		impracticable;	
16	3.	The claims asserted on behalf of Plaintiff Willis are typical of those asserted on	
17		behalf of the absent Class members;	
18	4. 🛎	There are common issues of fact and law and those common issues predominate	
19		over any individual issues;	
20	5.	Willis is an adequate representative of the Class in that she is actively asserting	
21		her rights and those of the absent Class members, and there is no adversity or	
22		conflict between Willis' claims and those of the Class;	
23	6.	Willis' counsel is adequate and capable to represent the Class;	
24	7.	Class certification is the superior means to adjudicate this matter, especially in	
25		light of the need to obtain a comprehensive allocation of water rights that is	
26		binding on all landowners within the Basin.	
27	WHEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:		
28	1.	The Court hereby certifies the following Class in the above action:	
	1t		

"All private (i.e., non-governmental) persons and entities that own real property within the Basin, as adjudicated, that are not presently pumping water on their property and did not do so at any time during the five years preceding January 18, 2006 ("the Class"). The Class includes the successors-in-interest by way of purchase, gift, inheritance, or otherwise of such landowners.

The Class excludes the defendants herein, any person, firm, trust, corporation, or other entity in which any defendant has a controlling interest or which is related to or affiliated with any of the defendants, and the representatives, heirs, affiliates, successors-in-interest or assigns of any such excluded party. The Class also excludes all persons to the extent their properties are connected to a municipal water system, public utility, or mutual water company from which they receive or are able to receive water service, as well as owners of properties within the service areas of the foregoing water purveyors as to which there is a water system agreement or water service agreement providing for the provision of water service by such purveyors."

- 2. The Court further certifies Rebecca Lee Willis as the representative of the Class and the law firm of Krause, Kalfayan, Benink & Slavens LLP as counsel for the class.
- 3. The Court further directs Plaintiff Willis to lodge a proposed form of notice to the Class on or before September 17, 2007. Any responses shall be lodged on or before September 24, 2007, and the matter will be considered by this Court at a hearing on October 12, 2007 at 9:00 a.m. in Department 1 of the Los Angeles County Superior Court.
- 4. The Court further directs counsel for the Municipal Purveyors to work with Willis' counsel in preparing the proposed form of notice and to seek authority from their clients to pay for the costs of identifying and sending notice to the members of the Class.

SO ORDERED.

²⁶ Dated: September 11, 2007

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

/s/ Jack Komar Judge of the Superior Court

Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases (JCCP 4408) Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC 325 201 Order Certifying Plaintiff Class