

1 BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP
ERIC L. GARNER, Bar No. 130665
2 JEFFREY V. DUNN, Bar No. 131926
DANIEL S. ROBERTS, Bar No. 205535
3 5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE 1500
IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92614
4 TELEPHONE: (949) 263-2600
TELECOPIER: (949) 260-0972
5 Attorneys for Defendants
LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS
6 DISTRICT NO. 40 and ROSAMOND
COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT

7 OFFICE OF COUNTY COUNSEL
8 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
JOHN KRATTLI, Bar No. 82149
9 SENIOR ASSISTANT COUNTY COUNSEL
MICHAEL MOORE, Bar No. 175599
10 SENIOR DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL

500 WEST TEMPLE STREET
11 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012
TELEPHONE: (213) 974-1951
12 TELECOPIER: (213) 617-7182
Attorneys for Defendant
13 LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS
DISTRICT NO. 40

14 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
15 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT
16

17 **ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER**
18 **CASES**

19 Included Actions:
Los Angeles County Waterworks District No.
20 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of
California, County of Los Angeles, Case No.
21 BC 325201;

22 Los Angeles County Waterworks District No.
40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of
23 California, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-
CV-254-348;

24 Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of
25 Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. City of
Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale
26 Water Dist., Superior Court of California,
County of Riverside, Case Nos. RIC 353 840,
27 RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668

RELATED CASE TO JUDICIAL
COUNCIL COORDINATION
PROCEEDING NO. 4408

**LOS ANGELES COUNTY
WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40
AND ROSAMOND COMMUNITY
SERVICES DISTRICT'S JOINDER IN
OPPOSITION TO PEREMPTORY
CHALLENGE TO ASSIGNED JUDGE**

[Code of Civil Procedure § 170.6]

1 Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 and the Rosamond Community Services
2 District hereby join in the Opposition filed by Littlerock Creek Irrigation District, Palm Ranch
3 Irrigation District, North Edwards Water District, Desert Lakes Community Services District,
4 Llano Del-Rio Water Co., Llano Mutual Water Co., Big Rock Mutual Water Co., Little Baldy
5 Water Co., Palmdale Water District, and City of Palmdale to the peremptory challenged to Judge
6 Komar filed by certain landowner parties. The peremptory challenge is untimely and should be
7 rejected.

8
9 Dated: October 20, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP

10
11 By 

12 ERIC L. GARNER
13 JEFFREY V. DUNN
14 DANIEL S. ROBERTS
15 STEFANIE D. HEDLUND
16 Attorneys for Defendant
17 LOS ANGELES COUNTY
18 WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40 and
19 ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES
20 DISTRICT
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

LAW OFFICES OF
BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP
5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE 1500
IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92614

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Kerry V. Keefe, declare:

I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is Best Best & Krieger LLP, 5 Park Plaza, Suite 1500, Irvine, California 92614. On October 20, 2009, I served the within document(s):

LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40 AND ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SEVICES DISTRICT'S JOINDER IN OPPOSITION TO PEREMPTORY CHALLENGE TO ASSIGNED JUDGE

- by posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Court website in regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater matter.
- by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Irvine, California addressed as set forth below.
- by causing personal delivery by ASAP Corporate Services of the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
- by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
- I caused such envelope to be delivered via overnight delivery addressed as indicated on the attached service list. Such envelope was deposited for delivery by Federal Express following the firm's ordinary business practices.

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on October 20, 2009, at Irvine, California.


Kerry V. Keefe