1 BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP **EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES** ERIC L. GARNER, Bar No. 130665 UNDER GOVERNMENT CODE 2 JEFFREY V. DUNN, Bar No. 131926 **SECTION 6103** STEFANIE D. HEDLUND, Bar No. 239787 3 5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE 1500 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92614 TELEPHONE: (949) 263-2600 4 TELECOPIER: (949) 260-0972 5 Attorneys for Cross-Complainants ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT and LOS ANGELES COUNTY 6 WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40 7 OFFICE OF COUNTY COUNSEL 8 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES RAYMOND G. FORTNER, JR., Bar No. 42230 9 COUNTY COUNSEL FREDERICK W. PFAEFFLE, Bar No. 145742 10 PRINCIPAL DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL 500 WEST TEMPLE STREET 11 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012 TELEPHONE: (213) 974-1901 12 TELECOPIER: (213) 458-4020 Attorneys for Cross-Complainant LOS ANGELES 13 COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40 14 [See Next Page For Additional Counsel] SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 15 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - CENTRAL DISTRICT 16 17 ANTELOPE VALLEY Judicial Council Coordination No. 4408 18 **GROUNDWATER CASES** 19 **CLASS ACTION** Included Actions: Los Angeles County Waterworks District Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 20 No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Assigned to The Honorable Jack Komar Court of California, County of Los 21 Angeles, Case No. BC 325201; [Code Civ. Proc., § 382] 22 Los Angeles County Waterworks District PUBLIC WATER SUPPLIERS' No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior PROPOSALS FOR CLASS DEFINITIONS 23 Court of California, County of Kern, Case AND METHOD OF NOTICE No. S-1500-CV-254-348; 24 25 Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. 26 Palmdale Water Dist., Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, Case Nos. 27 RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668 28

PUBLIC WATER SUPPLIERS' PROPOSAL FOR CLASS DEFINITION

LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE 1500 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92614

28

## LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE 1500 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92614

#### PROPOSED CLASS DEFINITIONS

Pursuant to this Court's order dated, March 12, 2007, the Public Water Suppliers' propose the following class definitions:

Subject to the class exclusions below, there should be a single class divided into two subclasses which include all property owners within the court-determined Adjudication Area: Subclass A which can be generally described as a group of "dormant" landowners; and Subclass B which can be generally described as a group of landowners with operational groundwater wells. A single class consisting of two subclasses will allow class members without an operational groundwater well to remain in the class if they later operate a groundwater well.

Subclass A: (1) All landowners with land that does not have a groundwater well; and (2) all landowners with land that has or did have a groundwater well but it did not operate at any time during the five years immediately before October 29, 1999 or anytime since that date. If any class member owns land that connects to a Public Water Supplier's water service system and does not operate a groundwater well, then such land ownership will no longer be a member of the class and will be dismissed from the litigation. For purposes of this Subclass A definition, a "Public Water Supplier" includes any public entity, state regulated water company or mutual water company as organized and operating under applicable California law.

<u>Subclass B</u>: All landowners within the adjudication area with groundwater wells on their land and who are not members of Subclass A

Subclass A and Subclass B Exclusions: All public entities; and any party that has been or will be individually named and served in these coordinated groundwater adjudication proceedings. Any excluded person or entity has the right to opt in to either Subclass as appropriate.

Subclass Rules: All class members will be able to opt out of the class in order to have separate representation. No member will be able to opt out of the litigation unless it (1) connects to a public water supplier's system; and/or (2) disclaims its right to pump groundwater from the land parcel. Any member of Subclass A that later operates a groundwater well will automatically become a member of Subclass B with the right to opt out of the class in order to

LAW OFFICES OF BEST & KRIEGER LLP RK PLAZA, SUITE 1500 E, CALIFORNIA 92614 have separate representation.

2

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

### PROPOSED METHOD OF CLASS NOTICE

The Public Water Suppliers propose publication in local and regional newspapers as the method for class notification in the following newspapers: the Antelope Valley Press, Daily News – Antelope Valley, Los Angeles Times, and Bakersfield Californian. These newspapers not only cover the entire Antelope Valley but the Los Angeles Times covers all of Southern California.

Dated: March 16, 2007

BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP

By

ERIC L GARNER
JEFFELV V. DUNN
STEFANIE D. HEDLUND

Attorneys for Cross-Complainants ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT and LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT

NO. 40

ORANGE\34467.1

# LAW OFFICES OF BESTBEST & KRIEGER LLP 5 PARK PLAZA SUITE I 500 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 926I 4

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Kerry V. Keefe, declare:

I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is Best & Krieger LLP, 5 Park Plaza, Suite 1500, Irvine, California 92614. On March 16, 2007, I served the within document(s):

### PUBLIC WATER SUPPLIERS' PROPOSALS FOR CLASS DEFINITIONS AND METHOD OF NOTICE

X	by posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Court website in regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater matter.
	by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Irvine, California addressed as set forth below.
	by causing personal delivery by ASAP Corporate Services of the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
	by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
	I caused such envelope to be delivered via overnight delivery addressed as indicated on the attached service list. Such envelope was deposited for delivery by Federal Express following the firm's ordinary business practices.

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on March 17, 2007, at Irvine, California.

Kerry Y. Keefe

ORANGE\KKEEFE\24201.1