1 ERIC L. GARNER, Bar No. 130665 EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES UNDER JEFFREY V. DUNN, Bar No. 131926 **GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6103** 2 BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 5 Park Plaza, Suite 1500 3 Irvine, California 92614 Telephone: (949) 263-2600 Telecopier: (949) 260-0972 4 5 Attorneys for Cross-Complainant ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES 6 DISTRICT AND LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40 7 8 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 11 12 Coordination Proceeding Judicial Council Coordination Special Title (Rule 1550(b)) Proceeding No. 4408 13 ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER 14 CASES STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING TO DETERMINE JURISDICTIONAL 15 Included Actions: BOUNDARIES FOR SERVICE AND JOINDER; [PROPOSED] ORDER 16 Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co. Current Hearing: 17 Superior Court of California, County of July 10, 2006 Date: Los Angeles, Case No. BC 325 201 Time: 10:00 a.m. 18 Dept.: Los Angeles County Waterworks District 19 No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co. Proposed Hearing: Superior Court of California, County of Date: July 24, 2006 20 Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348 Time: 10:00 a.m. Dept.: 21 Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster 22 Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water 23 Dist. Superior Court of California, County of 24 Riverside, consolidated actions, Case Nos. RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668, 25 26 ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES 27 DISTRICT: LOS ANGELES COUNTY 28 WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40; ORANGE\JDUNN\26870.1

STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING TO DETERMINE JURISDICTIONAL BOUNDARIES FOR SERVICE AND JOINDER


LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE 1500 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92614

Jun-05-2006		04:27pm From-	T-135 P.002/002 F-567
	1	Dated: June 🐧, 2006	BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP
	2		
	3		By ERIC L. GARNER
	4		JEFFREN V. DUNN
	5		Attorneys for Cross-Complainants LOS ANGELES COUNTY
	6		WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40, ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT, ET AL.
	7		DISTRICT, ET AL.
	8	Dated: June <u>5</u> , 2006	KRONICK, MOSKOVITZ, TIEDEMANN & GIRARD
	9		GICARD
	10		By Janet T. Goldsmit
LLP 1500 2614	11		JAMET K. GOLDSMITH
UITE 1	12		Attorneys for the CITY OF LOS ANGELES
AZA ALFO	13	Dated: June, 2006	I ENOUTY & ON THE I
BEST BEST 5 PARK PL IRVINE, CA	14	Dated. 3mic, 2000	LEMEUX & O'NEILL
BES 5 P/ IRV	15		
	16		By WAYNE LEMIEUX
	17		Attorneys for PALM RANCH IRRIGATION DISTRICT and
	18		LITTLEROCK CREEK IRRIGATION DISTRICT
	19		
	20	Dated: June, 2006	CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE COMPANY
	21		
	22		Ву
	23		JOHN TOOTLE
	24		Attorney for CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE COMPANY
	25		
	26		
	27		
	28		
	-	ORANGEUDUNN26870.1	3
STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING TO DETERMINE JURISDICTIONAL BOUNDARIES FOR SERVICE JOINDER		ERMINE JURISDICTIONAL BOUNDARIES FOR SERVICE AND JOINDER	


Jun-05-2006 04:27pm

From-

14			
8	· 1	Dated: June, 2006	BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP
3.	2		
1. E	3		Ву
ē	4		ERIC L. GARNER JEFFREY V. DUNN
	5		Attorneys for Cross-Complainants LOS ANGELES COUNTY
	. 6		WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40.
	7		ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT, ET AL.
ä			
	. 8	Dated: June, 2006	KRONICK, MOSKOVITZ, TIEDEMANN & GIRARD
	9		
19	10	*	
14 14	11		JANET K. GOLDSMITH
GER L TE 15 A 926	12		Attorneys for the CITY OF LOS ANGELES
S, KRES	13		
AW OI BEST ( CPLA)	14	Dated: June 1, 2006	LEMIEUX & O'NEILL
BEST 5 PAR	15		1 0
	16		By Course Sommer
K K4	17.		WAYNE LEMIEUX Attorneys for PALM RANCH
	18	, .	IRRIGATION DISTRICT and LITTLEROCK CREEK IRRIGATION
	19		DISTRICT
		Dated: June, 2006	CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE
	20		COMPANY
	21		
r , -, , ,,	22		······································
	23	t s	JOHN TOOTLE Attorney for CALIFORNIA WATER
	24		Attorney for CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE COMPANY
	25.		
	26	* *	
	27		
	28	* ************************************	
8	20	ORANGEUDUNN26870.I	3
•		STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING TO DETERMI	NE JURISDICTIONAL BOUNDARIES FOR SERVICE AND NDER
	ш	301.	1 termina to



		æ.	
	1	Dated: June, 2006	YOUNG WOOLDRIDGE
	2		
	3		Ву
	4		SCOTT K. KUNEY Attorneys for VAN DOM/WESTERN DS
	5		
	6	Dated: June, 2006	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
	7		
	8		ByWILLIAM M. SLOAN
	9		Attorneys for U.S. BORAX
	10	Dated: June 1, 2006	DICHAPOC WATCOD & CEDGUON
500 514	11	<i>Dated.</i> 34110, 2000	RICHARDS WATSON & GERSHON
GER GER ITE 1 A 926	12		
X SUES	13		JAMES L. MARKMAN
V OFF	14		STEVEN R. ORR Attorneys for the CITY OF PALMDALE
LAV ST BE ARK F	15		
BES 5 PV	16	Dated: June, 2006	ELLISON SCHNEIDER & HARRIS
	17		
	18		ByCHRISTOPHER M. SANDERS
	G-SA-G-SS		Attorneys for COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES SANITATION DISTRICTS
	19		AUTOLOGO DISTRICTS
	20	Dated: June, 2006	AFLSA/JACE
	21		
	22		By
	23		DALE MURAD Attorneys for UNITED STATES OF
	24		AMERICA
	25		
	26		
	27		
	28	OP ANGENDI INDRAGOZO	
		ORANGEUDUNN/26870.1 STIPLII ATION TO CONTINUE HEADING TO DETERMINE	4
		JOI	NE JURISDICTIONAL BOUNDARIES FOR SERVICE AND NDER



		1	
	1	Dated: June, 2006	HATCH AND PARENT
	2		
	3		Ву
	4		MICHAEL T. FIFE Attorneys for the NEBEKER GROUP
	5	Data I I 2006	
	6	Dated: June, 2006	DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
	7		
	8		By LEE LEININGER
	9		For the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
	10	Dated: June, 2006	STRADLING YOCCA CARLSON &
11P	11		RAUTH
S OF EGER 1 JITE 1 IIA 926	12		
OFFICE & KRII AZA, SU LIFORN	13		By DOUGLAS J. EVERTZ
LAW T BEST RK PL NE, CA	14		JENNIFER YU Attorneys for the CITY OF LANCASTER
BES 5 PA IRVI	15	B	
	16	Dated: June <u>1</u> , 2006	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL STATE OF CALIFORNIA
	17		
	18		By // Urgwa a. Cahill
	19		VÍRGINIA A. CAHILL Attorneys for the STATE OF
	20		CALIFÓRNIA
	21	Dated: June, 2006	GRESHAM SAVAGE NOLAN & TILDEN
	22		
	23		Ву
	24		MICHAEL DAVIS Attorneys for SERVICE ROCK
	25		PRODUCTS, HEALY ENTERPRISES, INC., RICHARD E. LANDFIELD, and
	26		SHEEP CREEK MUTUAL WATER COMPANY
	27		
	28	ORANGEUDUNN\26870.1	5
		STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING TO DETERM	INE JURISDICTIONAL BOUNDARIES FOR SERVICE AND

## **ORDER**

IT IS SO ORDERED. The date for hearing on a motion to determine jurisdictional boundaries for purposes of service and joinder will be July 24, 2006, at 10:00 a.m., in Department 1 of the Los Angeles County Superior Court (Central Division).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that on or before June 29, 2006, each party exchange with every other party by posting on the court's website a copy of the declaration under penalty of perjury from the party's expert establishing the party's recommendation for the boundaries of the area of adjudication including a map of that recommended area.

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2006

Judge of the Superior Court

ORANGEUDUNN\26870.1