

1 BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP
ERIC L. GARNER, Bar No. 130665
2 JEFFREY V. DUNN, Bar No. 131926
STEFANIE D. HEDLUND, Bar No. 239787
3 5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE 1500
IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92614
4 TELEPHONE: (949) 263-2600
TELECOPIER: (949) 260-0972
5 Attorneys for Cross-Complainants
ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES
6 DISTRICT and LOS ANGELES COUNTY
WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40

**EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES
UNDER GOVERNMENT CODE
SECTION 6103**

7 OFFICE OF COUNTY COUNSEL
8 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
RAYMOND G. FORTNER, JR., Bar No. 42230
9 COUNTY COUNSEL
FREDERICK W. PFAEFFLE, Bar No. 145742
10 PRINCIPAL DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL
500 WEST TEMPLE STREET
11 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012
TELEPHONE: (213) 974-1901
12 TELECOPIER: (213) 458-4020
Attorneys for Cross-Complainant LOS ANGELES
13 COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40

14 [See Next Page For Additional Counsel]

15 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
16 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT

17
18 **ANTELOPE VALLEY
GROUNDWATER CASES**

19 Included Actions:
20 Los Angeles County Waterworks District
No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior
21 Court of California, County of Los
Angeles, Case No. BC 325201;

22 Los Angeles County Waterworks District
23 No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior
Court of California, County of Kern, Case
24 No. S-1500-CV-254-348;

25 Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of
Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. City of
26 Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v.
Palmdale Water Dist., Superior Court of
27 California, County of Riverside, Case Nos.
RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668

Judicial Council Coordination No. 4408

CLASS ACTION

Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053
Assigned to The Honorable Jack Komar

**CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
STATEMENT**

Date: July 20, 2007
Time: 9:00 a.m.
Dept.: 1

1 STRADLING YOCCA CARLSON & RAUTH
Douglas J. Evertz, Bar No. 123066
2 660 Newport Center Drive, Ste. 1600
Newport Beach, CA 92660
3 (949) 737-4720 (916) 823-6720 fax
Attorneys for City of Lancaster

4 RICHARDS WATSON & GERSHON
5 James L. Markman, Bar No. 43536
Steven Orr, Bar No. 136615
6 355 S. Grand Avenue, 40th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071-3101
7 (213) 626-8484 (213) 626-0078 fax
Attorneys for City of Palmdale

8 LEMIEUX & O'NEILL
9 Wayne Lemieux, Bar No. 43501
2393 Townsgate Road, Ste. 201
10 Westlake Village, CA 91361
(805) 495-4770 (805) 495-2787 fax
11 Attorneys for Littlerock Creek Irrigation District and
Palm Ranch Irrigation District

12 LAGERLOF SENEAL BRADLEY GOSNEY &
13 KRUSE
Thomas Bunn III, Bar No. 89502
14 301 North Lake Avenue, 10th Floor
Pasadena, CA 91101-4108
15 (626) 793-9400 (626) 793-5900 fax
Attorneys for Palmdale Water District and Quartz
16 Hill Water District

17 CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE COMPANY
John Tootle, Bar No. 181822
18 2632 West 237th Street
Torrance, CA 90505
19 (310) 257-1488; (310) 325-4605-fax
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

LAW OFFICES OF
BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP
5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE 1500
IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92614

1 CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT

2
3 FACILITATOR

4 A group of active parties have retained Bill Dendy to serve as a meeting and discussion
5 facilitator. A copy of Mr. Dendy's resume is attached as Exhibit "A".

6 Mr. Dendy has previous experience in groundwater rights disputes and he has had
7 preliminary meetings with principals; and they are in the process of arranging future meetings to:


- 8 1. Identify the keys issues to be resolved for settlement;
9 2. Obtain input from the Technical Committee members;
10 3. Work with the parties to resolve their competing claims to water through developing a
11 proposed best practicable Physical Solution acceptable to as many parties as possible and as soon
12 as possible.

13
14 TECHNICAL COMMITTEE

15 There is a Technical Committee consisting of a number of the parties' experts and they
16 continue to meet to jointly analyze the Basin's technical issues and to reach consensus on as
17 many technical issues as possible. Mr. Dendy's work does not supplant or otherwise interfere
18 with the Technical Committee but it is expected he will assist the parties' principals in meeting to
19 discuss the Technical Committee's and other expert analyses.

20 Dated: July 19, 2007

BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP

21
22 By 
23 ERIC L. GARNER
24 JEFFREY V. DUNN
25 STEFANIE D. HEDLUND
26 Attorneys for Cross-Complainants
27 ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES
28 DISTRICT and LOS ANGELES
COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT
NO. 40

ORANGE\37573.1

EXHIBIT "A"

BILL B. DENDY
Resume

Education

M.S. Civil Engineering, University of Arizona, 1962
B.S. Civil Engineering, Mississippi State University, 1960

Career Summary

- 1) Bill Dendy & Associates - President. 1977-Present. Consultant on water resources management and water quality and water rights issues. Regulatory, institutional and financial aspects of water resources management. Water quality control. Conjunctive use of surface water and groundwater. Assistance in planning, financing and implementing water supply and wastewater programs. Facilitation of negotiations. Adjudication support. Water transfers.
- 2) California State Water Resources Control Board - Executive Officer. 1972-1977. Chief of staff and confidential employee of Board. Administration of water pollution control and water rights programs statewide. Policy development, Basin Planning, Clean Water Grants, Congressional and legislative liaison, coordination with federal agencies.
- 3) Santa Ana Watershed Project Authority - General Manager. 1969-1972. Developed basin-wide water resources management plan. Water management, salinity control, toxics control.
- 4) California State Water Resources Control Board - Assistant Director, Study Project. 1967-1968. Member of staff for panel of experts who developed legislation which became the new water pollution control act for California (Porter-Cologne Act).
- 5) Early Career - 1962-1967. Staff engineer for Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board, California Department of Water Resources and San Francisco Bay-Delta Water Pollution Control Study.

Professional Registration

California Civil Engineer No. C 14679

Bill Dendy & Associates

TYPICAL PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

- The **San Bernardino Valley Municipal Water District** retained Bill Dendy & Associates to assist in the perfection and oversight of state grant funding contracts for facilities to be used to implement groundwater management and conjunctive use.
- The **Inland Empire Utilities Agency** retained Bill Dendy & Associates to facilitate the development of interagency agreements for operation and maintenance of groundwater recharge facilities in the Chino Basin in Southern California.
- The **California Department of Water Resources** and the **Metropolitan Water District of Southern California** jointly conducted a study of ways to implement a storage program for State Water Project water in the Chino Groundwater Basin in San Bernardino and Riverside Counties. Bill Dendy & Associates as a subconsultant to CDM, Inc., was responsible for developing institutional arrangements for the program which involved coordination with local agency plans, water rights, financing and program operations.
- The **Mojave Water Agency**, Apple Valley, CA, and several other parties to a lawsuit concerning the adjudication of water rights in the Mojave River Basin jointly retained Bill Dendy & Associates to facilitate settlement negotiations among over 400 parties. The Agency also retained Dendy to assist in the acquisition of supplemental water through transfers from outside the Basin.
- The major water producers in the **Indian Wells Valley** in California retained Bill Dendy & Associates to facilitate and supervise the work of geologists, hydrologists and engineers in the characterization of local groundwater problems.
- The **City of Sacramento, CA** retained Bill Dendy & Associates to make a comprehensive analysis of issues involved in the management of the lower American River and Folsom Reservoir as related to the City's water rights, its U.S. Bureau of Reclamation water rights settlement contract and instream flow needs. The City also retains Dendy to provide consulting services on other water issues.
- The **City of Patterson, CA** retained Bill Dendy & Associates to manage a team of engineers and environmental analysts in the development of a plan for improvement and expansion of City wastewater facilities and to obtain financing for the project.

- The **Citrus Heights Water District** in Sacramento County, CA, retained Bill Dendy & Associates to assist in the analysis of cost-sharing for a multi-agency pipeline project. The District also retains Dendy to provide advice on other water issues.
- While considering the long-term availability of water for appropriation in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta watershed the **California State Water Resources Control Board** retained Bill Dendy & Associates to provide an analysis of technical and legal issues.
- The **San Gabriel Basin Water Quality Authority** in Los Angeles County, CA was created to enable public financing of Basin groundwater quality remediation projects and to provide a forum for public participation in the remediation process. Bill Dendy & Associates provided startup management services and was retained to develop proposals for financing remediation projects.
- Bill Dendy & Associates assisted **General Electric** in its efforts to retain access to groundwater recharge facilities for returning treated groundwater to the local aquifer from which it is produced in the Chino Basin area of Southern California.
- The **City of Upland** retained Bill Dendy & Associates to assist City Attorney James L. Markman in the analysis of the City's water rights. The City also retained Dendy to assist in negotiations involving the City's interests in the management of, and adjudication of water rights in, several local groundwater basins.
- Bill Dendy serves as a member of the **Santa Ana River Watermaster Committee** pursuant to an appointment by the Orange County, CA, Superior Court upon nomination by Orange County Water District. The Committee administers the provisions of the Judgment in Orange County Water District vs. City of Chino, et al.
- The **Orange County Water District** in Southern California retained Bill Dendy & Associates to make a comprehensive analysis of current state, federal and local programs for protection of the Orange County groundwater basin against contamination by toxic chemicals and to define programs the District should implement to augment programs by others.
- **The Irvine Company** is continuing the development of the world's largest master-planned community in Orange County, CA. The Company must deal with many water-related issues including water supply, wastewater disposal and management of hazardous wastes. Bill Dendy advised the Company on technical, institutional and economic aspects of these issues and on the development of implementing strategies.

- **Edison Capital**, Irvine, CA, a subsidiary of Edison International, retained Bill Dendy & Associates to provide advice on the potential for investment in privatization of public water supply facilities.
- The **Western Municipal Water District** of Riverside County, CA, retained Bill Dendy & Associates to negotiate amendments to a federal loan contract in order to reduce interest costs for the District. Western also retained Dendy to analyze the District's pricing policy for capacity use rights in District water conveyance facilities and to assist in the development of a groundwater conjunctive use program and a related major water transmission pipeline.
- The **Marina Coast Water District** provides water and wastewater services to the City of Marina and the Fort Ord Redevelopment Agency on Monterey Bay in California. The District is involved with other regional agencies in the development of long term solutions to water supply shortage problems and wastewater treatment needs. Bill Dendy was the District's principal negotiator for resolution of interagency wastewater issues and represented the District at the State Water Resources Control Board and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Dendy also advised the District on issues involved in providing water and wastewater services to Fort Ord as it is converted for civilian use and is lead negotiator for acquisition of additional water supplies through transfers to support the conversion.
- The **Watermaster for the Main San Gabriel Groundwater Basin** in Los Angeles County, CA retained Bill Dendy & Associates to develop recommendations for institutional and financial programs to implement wellhead treatment projects identified in Watermaster's Groundwater Cleanup Plan for the Basin.
- The **City of Stockton, CA** has a statutory right to reclaim from the Delta an amount of water equal to the amount of wastewater it discharges thereto. In considering alternative ways to perfect this water right, the City retained Bill Dendy & Associates to prepare an environmental impact report.
- The **Sacramento County Superior Court** appointed Bill Dendy to mediate disputes over water rights and instream flow requirements on **Lower Putah Creek** in Solano and Yolo Counties, California.
- The **Antelope Valley Water Group** retained Bill Dendy & Associates to facilitate discussions among water supply interests to attempt to reach a consensus on groundwater management needs and solutions.
- The **California Department of Water Resources** and the **State Water Project Contractors** jointly retained Bill Dendy & Associates to facilitate negotiations for proposed amendments to their State Water Project contracts.

- Five major municipal water districts in the Santa Ana River Basin of Southern California formed a joint powers agency, the **Santa Ana Watershed Project Authority**, to plan, design, finance and construct water and wastewater management projects in order to control water quality degradation and maximize water reuse. Bill Dendy & Associates was retained to be an advisor to the Authority on planning and institutional arrangements for projects, to obtain financing, to assist in the management of other consultant contracts and to provide liaison with state and federal agencies. Dendy also advised the Authority in its development of an Integrated Regional Water Management Plan and as to the allocation of grant funding among competing projects in the watershed. The Authority retained Dendy to chair and facilitate negotiations between the Santa Ana Regional Water quality Control Board and agencies who import water for groundwater recharge.
- **Nipomo Community Services District** in San Luis Obispo County, CA, retained Bill Dendy & Associates to assist in the acquisition of a supplemental water supply to help correct overdraft conditions in the coastal aquifer from which the District produces water.
- During litigation regarding the adjudication of water rights in the Seaside Groundwater Basin in Monterey County, CA, the **City of Seaside** retained Bill Dendy to provide expert testimony on the governance of adjudicated groundwater basins.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Kerry V. Keefe, declare:

I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is Best Best & Krieger LLP, 5 Park Plaza, Suite 1500, Irvine, California 92614. On July 19, 2007, I served the within document(s):


CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT

- by posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Court website in regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater matter.
- by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Irvine, California addressed as set forth below.
- by causing personal delivery by ASAP Corporate Services of the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
- by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
- I caused such envelope to be delivered via overnight delivery addressed as indicated on the attached service list. Such envelope was deposited for delivery by Federal Express following the firm's ordinary business practices.

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on July 19, 2007, at Irvine, California.


Kerry V. Keefe