1 BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP **EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES** ERIC L. GARNER, Bar No. 130665 UNDER GOVERNMENT CODE 2 JEFFREY V. DUNN, Bar No. 131926 **SECTION 6103** STEFANIE D. HEDLUND, Bar No. 239787 3 5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE 1500 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92614 4 TELEPHONE: (949) 263-2600 TELECOPIER: (949) 260-0972 5 Attorneys for Cross-Complainants ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES 6 DISTRICT and LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40 7 OFFICE OF COUNTY COUNSEL 8 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES RAYMOND G. FORTNER, JR., Bar No. 42230 9 COUNTY COUNSEL FREDERICK W. PFAEFFLE, Bar No. 145742 10 PRINCIPAL DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL 500 WEST TEMPLE STREET 11 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012 TELEPHONE: (213) 974-1901 12 TELECOPIER: (213) 458-4020 Attorneys for Cross-Complainant LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40 13 14 [See Next Page For Additional Counsel] SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 15 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - CENTRAL DISTRICT 16 17-ANTELOPE VALLEY Judicial Council Coordination No. 4408 18 **GROUNDWATER CASES CLASS ACTION** 19 Included Actions: Los Angeles County Waterworks District Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 20 No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Assigned to The Honorable Jack Komar Court of California, County of Los 21 Angeles, Case No. BC 325201; 22 Los Angeles County Waterworks District OBJECTION TO DIAMOND FARMING No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior LETTER DATED JULY 18, 2007 23 Court of California, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348; 24 Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of 25 Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. 26 Palmdale Water Dist., Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, Case Nos. 27 RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668 28

CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE 1500 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92614

OBJECTION

City of Palmdale, City of Lancaster, Palmdale Water District, Rosamond Community Services District and Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 hereby object to the Diamond Farming letter dated July 18, 2007 and posted to the Court's website on July 19, 2007. The letter is an improper attempt to argue points and authorities on a discovery matter without complying with the Code of Civil Procedure procedural requirements to compel discovery responses.

Dated: July 19, 2007

BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP

JEFFREY V. DUNN

STEFANIE D. HEDLUND

Attorneys for Cross-Complainants

ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES

DISTRICT and LOS ANGELES

COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT

NO. 40

ORANGE\SHEDLUND\37987.1

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Kerry V. Keefe, declare:

I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is Best Best & Krieger LLP, 5 Park Plaza, Suite 1500, Irvine, California 92614. On July 19, 2007, I served the within document(s):

OBJECTION TO DIAMOND FARMING LETTER DATED JULY 18, 2007

×	by posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Court website in regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater matter.
	by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Irvine, California addressed as set forth below.
	by causing personal delivery by ASAP Corporate Services of the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
	by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
	I caused such envelope to be delivered via overnight delivery addressed as indicated on the attached service list. Such envelope was deposited for delivery by Federal Express following the firm's ordinary business practices.
I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.	
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.	
	Executed on July 19, 2007, at Irvine, California.
	Kerry M. Keefe

ORANGE\KKEEFE\24201.1

-1-

PROOF OF SERVICE