1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES				
3	DEPARTMENT NO. 4 HON. JACK KOMAR, JUDGE				
4 5 6	COORDINATION PROCEEDING) SPECIAL TITLE (RULE 1550B)) JUDICIAL COUNCIL ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES) COORDINATION				
7					
8	PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT AND) SANTA CLARA CASE NO. QUARTZ HILL WATER DISTRICT,) 1-05-CV-049053				
9	CROSS-COMPLAINANTS,				
10	vs.				
11	LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS,) DISTRICT NO. 40, ET AL,)				
12	CROSS-DEFENDANTS.)				
13)				
14					
15	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS				
16	MONDAY, JANUARY 31, 2011				
17					
18	APPEARANCES:				
19	(SEE APPEARANCE PAGES)				
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
27	CINCED MEINED COD #5505				
28	GINGER WELKER, CSR #5585 OFFICIAL REPORTER				
٥ ـ					

1	INDEX	
2	WITNESSES	
3	PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT	
4		REDIRECT RECROSS
5	MARK J. WILDERMUTH (RESUMED)	
6	BY MR. ZIMMER 2	
7	(CONTINUED)	
8	BY MR. MCLACHLAN 56	
9	BY MR. WILLIAM KUHS 68	
10		
11	ЕХНІВІТЅ	
12		
13	BOLTHOUSE PROPERTIES FOR I.D.	IN EVIDENCE
14	S101 - SUMMARY EXPERT REPORT 11	
15	REPORT 11	
16		
17	WOOD CLASS FOR I.D.	IN EVIDENCE
18	F1 - MAP OF AVAA WELLS 60	
19		
20	TEJON RANCH FOR I.D.	IN EVIDENCE
21	D8 - GROUNDWATER 73 DIVISION FOR	
22	GEOLOGIC PURPOSES 73	
23	D9 - JURISDICTIONAL	
24	BOUNDARY OF AVAA 73	
25	D10 - FIGURE 4.3-10 OF EXPERT SUMMARY	
26	REPORT 73	
27	D11 - NOT IDENTIFIED 75	
28		
l		

		······	-
1	D12 - FIGURE 3-5 (LAKE BED DEPOSITS)	77	
2	D13 - WELL DATA	127	
3	D14 - MAP	128	
4	D15 - TRANSECT BB	131	
5	D16 - TRANSECT CC	133	
6	D17 - REPORT EXCERPT	151	
7	D18 - MAP REPORT	161	
8	D19 - DURBIN REPORT	163	
9	D20 - 2PG. DOCUMENT	165	
10	D21 - SUBSIDENCE MAP	176	
11			
12		* * *	
13		* * *	
14			
15	a)		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20	=		я
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

APPEARANCES:

TEJON RANCH CORP

KUHS & PARKER
BY: WILLIAM KUHS
ROBERT G. KUHS
1200 TRUXTUN AVENUE
SUITE 200
BAKERSFIELD, CA 93301
(661) 322-4004

L.A. COUNTY WATERWORKS
DISTRICT NO. 40

BEST, BEST & KRIEGER, LLP
BY: JEFFREY V. DUNN
STEFANIE HEDLUND
5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE 1500
IRVINE, CA 92614
(949) 263-2600

PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT

LAGERLOF, SENECAL, GOSNEY & KRUSE, LLP
BY: THOMAS S. BUNN III
301 NORTH LAKE AVENUE
10TH FLOOR
PASADENA, CA 91101-4108
(626) 793-9400

ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER AGREEMENT ASSOCIATION (AGWA)

BROWNSTEIN, HYATT, FARBER & SCHRECK
BY: MICHAEL FIFE
21 EAST CARRILLO STREET
SANTA BARBARA, CA 93101
(805) 963-7000

L.A. COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40

OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL, COUNTY OF L.A. BY: WARREN R. WELLEN 500 WEST TEMPLE STREET 6TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90012 (213) 974-9668

LOS ANGELES COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICTS NOS. 14 & 20

ELLISON, SCHNEIDER & HARRIS
BY: CHRISTOPHER M. SANDERS
2015 H STREET
SACRAMENTO, CA 95811-3109
(916) 447-2166

APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)

CITY OF LANCASTER &

ROSAMOND CSD

MURPHY & EVERTZ

BY: DOUGLAS J. EVERTZ 650 TOWN CENTER DRIVE

SUITE 550

COSTA MESA, CA 92626

(714) 277-1700

ANTELOPE VALLEY EAST KERN WATER AGENCY

(AVEK)

BRUNICK, MCELHANEY &

BECKETT

BY: WILLIAM J. BRUNICK 1839 COMMERCENTER WEST SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92408

(909) 889-8301

NEW ANAVERDE, LLC

PIRCHER, NICHOLS & MEEKS BY: JAMES L. GOLDMAN

1925 CENTURY PARK EAST

SUITE 1700

LOS ANGELES, CA 90067

(310) 201-8927

LITTLEROCK CREEK IRRIGATION DISTRICT & PALM RANCH IRRIGATION

DISTRICT:

LEMIEUX & O'NEILL BY: W. KEITH LEMIEUX 2393 TOWNSGATE ROAD

SUITE 201

WESTLAKE VILLAGE, CA 91361

(805) 495-4770

BOLTHOUSE PROPERTIES, INC. CLIFFORD & BROWN

BY: RICHARD G. ZIMMER BANK OF AMERICA BUILDING

1430 TRUXTUN AVENUE

SUITE 900

BAKERSFIELD, CA 93301

(661) 322-6023

CITY OF LOS ANGELES

LOS ANGELES CITY ATTORNEY

BY: JULIE RILEY

111 NORTH HOPE

LOS ANGELES, CA 90051

(213) 367-4513

APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)

THE UNITED STATES

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE ENVIRONMENT & NATURAL RESOURCES DIVISION BY: R. LEE LEININGER 1961 STOUT STREET, 8TH FLOOR DENVER, CO 80294 (303) 844-1364

U.S. BORAX

MORRISON & FOERSTER, LLP BY: WILLIAM M. SLOAN 425 MARKET STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 (415) 268-7209

QUARTZ HILL WATER DISTRICTS

CHARLTON WEEKS
BY: BRADLEY T. WEEKS
1007 W. AVE. M-14, SUITE A
PALMDALE, CA 93551
(661)265-0969

RICHARD A. WOOD SMALL PUMPER CLASS OFFICES OF MICHAEL MCLACHLAN
BY: MICHAEL D. MCLACHLAN
DANIEL M. O'LEARY
10490 SANTA MONICA BLVD.
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025
(310) 954-8270

DIAMOND FARMING COMPANY AND CRYSTAL ORGANIC LEBEAU, THELEN, MCINTOSH & CREAR
BY: BOB H. JOYCE
5001 EAST COMMERCENTER DR.
P.O. BOX 12092
BAKERSFIELD, CA 93389-2092
(661) 325-8962

PHELAN PINON HILLS

SMITH TRAGER, LLP BY: SUSAN M. TRAGER 19712 MAC ARTHUR BLVD. SUITE 120 IRVINE, CA 92612 (949) 752-8971

APPEARANCE (CONTINUED)

PHELAN PINON HILLS, CSD ALESHIRE & WYNDER, LLP BY: WESLEY A. MILIBAND 18881 VON KARMAN AVENUE SUITE 400 IRVINE, CA 92612 (949) 223-1170

CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICES COMPANY

JOHN S. TOOTLE CORPORATE COUNSEL 2632 W. 237TH STREET TORRANCE, CA 90505-5272 (310) 257-1488

SAYS IT HAS NOT EQUILIBRATED.

Q SO YOU ARE THINKING THAT THE PAST STRESSES,
THE RESULTS OF THAT, ARE CONTINUING?

A THERE WAS A DECLINE IN WATER LEVELS IN THE PAST. THAT CREATES A STRESS. BUT THAT -- I THINK THE STRESS THAT YOU ARE REFERRING TO IS THE STRESS IN THE AQUITARDS.

Q LET'S TRY THIS. CAN YOU TELL US WHEN THE THRESHOLD LEVEL WAS REACHED OR WHERE IT -- WHAT THE THRESHOLD LEVEL IS?

A NO.

Q NOW, YOU CAN TELL US, THOUGH, THAT THE MAJORITY OF SUBSIDENCE OCCURRED BETWEEN 1957 AND 1981, ACCORDING TO YOUR ANALYSIS IN THE SUMMARY EXPERT REPORT?

A I WOULD HAVE TO DO A LOOK-UP, BUT I BELIEVE THAT IS CORRECT.

Q CHECK OUT PAGE 12. IT'S THE LAST SENTENCE JUST BEFORE THE 2.7 SECTION.

A (REVIEWS DOCUMENT.)

IF THAT'S WHAT IT SAYS, IT'S CORRECT.

Q YOU TALKED THE OTHER DAY ABOUT THE

COMPUTATION OF NATURAL RECHARGE IN THE CONTINUITY

EQUATION -- WHICH SIMPLY MEANS THAT THE CHANGE OF

STORAGE IS EQUAL TO INFLOW MINUS OUTFLOW, IN VERY BASIC

TERMS; CORRECT?

A YES.

Q AND ON OUTFLOWS ALONE, YOU HAVE GROUNDWATER
PUMPING AND SUBSURFACE OUTFLOW AS VARIABLES WITHIN THE

OVERALL DETERMINATION OF OUTFLOWS; CORRECT?

A YES.

Q SO IF EITHER OF THOSE DETERMINATIONS OR ESTIMATES ARE WRONG, EITHER IN TERMS OF THE PUMPING OR THE SUBSURFACE OUTFLOW, THE RESULT IS NOT CORRECT?

A IT WOULD BE DIFFERENT.

Q IN TERMS OF INFLOWS, YOU HAVE RETURN FLOWS,
RETURN FLOW TYPES, RETURN FLOW LAG TIME, AND ARTIFICIAL
RECHARGE AS INDEPENDENT COMPONENTS THAT ARE ESTIMATED TO
DETERMINE TOTAL INFLOWS; CORRECT?

A YES.

Q IF ANY OF THOSE ARE ESTIMATED WRONGLY OR ARE INCORRECT OR OFF, THEN YOUR RESULTS ARE GOING TO CHANGE, AS WELL?

A YES.

Q NOW, BOTH THE BASE PERIOD SELECTED AND LAG
TIME IS IMPORTANT TO THE DETERMINATION OF NATURAL
RECHARGE; CORRECT?

A YES.

Q IF EITHER ONE OF THOSE ARE DONE INCORRECTLY,

IT WILL THROW OFF, MAKE INACCURATE TO SOME DEGREE, THE

DETERMINATION OF NATURAL RECHARGE; TRUE?

A YES.

Q IN TERMS OF THE SUBSIDENCE ISSUE THAT WE WERE TALKING ABOUT AND THE MAXIMUM STRESS, IF WE CONTINUED TO EXTRACT GROUNDWATER FROM THE GROUNDWATER BASIN AT, LET'S SAY, 150,000 ACRE-FEET, WOULD WE BE CAUSING ANY SIGNIFICANT RISK TO THE BASIN?

MR. DUNN: OBJECTION; INCOMPLETE HYPOTHETICAL.

THE COURT: DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT QUESTION AS ASKED?

THE WITNESS: PARTIALLY, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. YOU MAY ANSWER IT AS YOU CAN, AND TELL HIM WHAT IS MISSING.

THE WITNESS: MR. ZIMMER, THERE IS EVIDENCE TO SHOW THAT THERE IS STILL SUBSIDENCE OCCURRING IN THE BASIN TODAY. AND I WOULD SUGGEST THAT IT'S QUITE RISKY TO ATTEMPT TO PUMP AT THAT LEVEL BECAUSE WE DON'T REALLY KNOW WHERE THE PRECONSOLIDATION STRESS IS THROUGHOUT THE SUBSIDENCE AREA. SO YOU ENTERTAIN A GREAT DEAL OF RISK IF YOU PUMP AT THAT LEVEL.

Q ISN'T IT TRUE, THOUGH, THAT WITH -- IF YOU

PUT IN PLACE ACTIVE MONITORING AND ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT,

THERE WOULD BE NO RISK OF SUBSTANTIAL HARM TO THE

ANTELOPE VALLEY'S GROUNDWATER RESOURCES; ISN'T THAT

TRUE?

MR. DUNN: OBJECTION; BEYOND THE SCOPE OF THIS

PHASE OF TRIAL. ASSUMES FACTS THAT ARE NOT IN EVIDENCE.

MR. KEITH LEMIEUX: I'LL ALSO OBJECT ON THE GROUND IT'S AMBIGUOUS BECAUSE HE USED TERMS THAT ARE NOT DEFINED.

THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

BY MR. ZIMMER:

MR. ZIMMER: RESERVE FOR 776, YOUR HONOR.

AND I DO THINK IT HAS A BEARING ON THE SUBSIDENCE INFORMATION THAT HE HAS TESTIFIED TO, THE

PRECONSOLIDATION STRESS ISSUES THAT HE HAS TESTIFIED TO,
AND THE EXTENT TO WHICH --

THE COURT: THE OBJECTION WAS SUSTAINED, MR. ZIMMER.

BY MR. ZIMMER:

Q HAVE YOU EVER PROVIDED AN OPINION ON THAT ISSUE BEFORE?

MR. KEITH LEMIEUX: SAME OBJECTION.

THE COURT: I DON'T UNDERSTAND THAT QUESTION.

BY MR. ZIMMER:

Q HAVE YOU EVER PROVIDED, MR. WILDERMUTH, AN OPINION THAT, WITH PUMPING AT 150,000 ACRE-FEET, WITH COMPONENTS IN PLACE INCLUDING ACTIVE MONITORING AND ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT, THAT THERE WOULD BE NO RISK OF SUBSTANTIAL HARM TO THE ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER RESOURCE?

MR. DUNN: SAME OBJECTIONS.

THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

MR. ZIMMER: I'D ALSO OFFER IT, YOUR HONOR, AS BIAS, INTEREST.

THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

MR. ZIMMER: MISS HEDLUND, IF WE COULD PUT UP EXHIBIT 8.

Q MR. WILDERMUTH, WE HAVE ON THE SCREEN BEHIND US EXHIBIT 8, WHICH IS ENTITLED "GROUNDWATER PRODUCTION BY TYPE FROM 1919 TO 2009." DO YOU SEE THAT?

A YES.

Q NOW, BACK IN APPROXIMATELY 1927, WE HAVE A

- Q AS WELL AS WELL 19R1. THAT IS ALSO IN THE GENERAL LANCASTER AREA THAT MR. ZIMMER WAS REFERRING TO EARLIER; CORRECT?
 - A I'M NOT SURE IT WAS 19R1.
 - O I'M SORRY?
 - A I'M NOT SURE IF THAT IS IN LANCASTER OR NOT.
- Q OKAY. LET'S JUST STICK TO THE FIRST TWO,

 THEN. THOSE TWO WELLS ARE AMONG, IF NOT THE -- DEPICT

 THE MOST SIGNIFICANT GROUNDWATER DECREASE, IF NOT THE

 MOST SIGNIFICANT GROUNDWATER DECREASE, ON THIS EXHIBIT;

 IS THAT RIGHT -- OVER THE SAME PERIOD OF TIME?
 - A COULD YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR QUESTION --
- Q THE TWO WELLS -- LET'S STICK WITH 19E6 AND 27J5, THE HYDROGRAPHS YOU HAVE DEPICTED HERE. AND WE CAN JUST USE THE ENTIRE TIME FRAME. THOSE TWO WELLS SHOW THE MOST SIGNIFICANT DECLINE IN GROUNDWATER ELEVATION. DO YOU AGREE WITH THAT?
 - A AMONGST ALL THESE WELLS?
- Q YES, AMONG THE 20 THAT YOU DEPICTED IN THIS EXHIBIT.
 - A (REVIEWS EXHIBIT.)
- I BELIEVE THAT THE ONE LABELED 1986 DOES.

 THE 27J5, I THINK THERE IS AT LEAST ONE OTHER WELL THAT HAS A GREATER DECLINE, AND POSSIBLY TWO.
- Q OKAY. THOSE TWO WELLS THAT WE ARE REFERRING TO, DO YOU KNOW WHO OWNS EITHER OF THOSE WELLS?
 - A WHAT TWO WELLS?
 - Q THE TWO YOU WERE JUST DISCUSSING, 19E6 AND

27J5, ARE THOSE PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY WELLS, TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE?

- A I DON'T RECALL.
- Q THOSE TWO WELLS ARE LOCATED IN THIS CONE OF DEPRESSION WE SEE DEVELOPING OVER TIME IN THE GROUNDWATER TABLE; IS THAT RIGHT?
 - A NO. I WOULD HAVE TO CHECK MY MAPS TO KNOW.
- Q I WANT TO -- I BELIEVE, EARLY ON IN YOUR TESTIMONY, THERE WAS REFERENCE TO A PERIOD IN -- A PERIOD IN THE 1950S IN WHICH YOU WERE USING A TEN-YEAR LAG TIME, AND THAT PRODUCED A NEGATIVE NUMBER FOR RECHARGE. DO YOU RECALL THAT?
 - A I DO.
- Q OKAY. AND IT IS CORRECT THAT YOUR MODEL

 DID, IN FACT -- WHEN GIVEN AN INPUT OF A TEN-YEAR LAG

 TIME, IT DID PRODUCE A NEGATIVE RECHARGE NUMBER; IS THAT

 RIGHT?
- A THE ASSUMPTION THAT ALL RETURN FLOWS AT TEN
 YEARS PRODUCE A NEGATIVE NATURAL RECHARGE.
- Q AND THAT IS A PHYSICAL IMPOSSIBILITY;
 CORRECT?
 - A YES.
- Q DOES THE MODEL PRODUCING A NUMBER THAT IS A PHYSICAL IMPOSSIBILITY IN ANY WAY, IN YOUR MIND, QUESTION THE OVERALL VALIDITY OF THE MODEL?
- A I THINK IT QUESTIONS THE VALIDITY OF THE
 ASSUMPTION. IT QUESTIONS THE VALIDITY OF ASSUMING THAT
 ALL AG. RETURNS AND ALL URBAN RETURNS TAKE IT TEN YEARS

TO GET TO THE WATER TABLE.

Q YOUR CHANGE IN STORAGE MODEL, DID YOU DO ANY CALIBRATION WORK WITH THAT MODEL?

A NO.

Q I JUST WANT TO CLARIFY ONE FURTHER POINT
THAT I BELIEVE WAS -- IN LOOKING AT ONE OF THE OTHER
EARLIER VOLUMES IN YOUR TRIAL TESTIMONY IN THIS CASE,
THERE WAS A TYPOGRAPHICAL ERROR OR A TRANSCRIPTION
ERROR, SO I WANT TO GET THAT RIGHT IN THE RECORD.

THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES HAS

CALCULATED THE AMOUNT OF WATER IN STORAGE TO BE

APPROXIMATELY 50 MILLION ACRE-FEET; IS THAT CORRECT -
NOT 15?

MR. WEEKS: OBJECTION; IRRELEVANT. THE WATER IS IN STORAGE.

THE COURT: OVERRULED.

THE WITNESS: THERE IS A DWR STUDY. I DON'T RECALL THE TITLE. I THINK IT CAME OUT IN THE '70S, OR IT WAS BASED ON INFORMATION IN THE 70S. AND MY BEST RECOLLECTION IS, THEY COMPUTED A TOTAL STORAGE IN THE 50- TO 60-MILLION-ACRE-FOOT RANGE.

MR. MCLACHLAN: OKAY. THANK YOU. NO FURTHER OUESTIONS.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. ANY FURTHER CROSS-EXAMINATION?

MR. WILLIAM KUHS: YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MR. KUHS.

MR. WILLIAM KUHS: COULD WE HAVE A MOMENT TO SET

UP?

THE COURT: YES.

(BRIEF PAUSE.)

MR. WILLIAM KUHS: THIS IS WILLIAM KUHS, OF KUHS & PARKER, COUNSEL FOR TEJON RANCH CORP.

A COUPLE OF BOOKKEEPING ISSUES IN TERMS OF HOW WE MARK EXHIBITS FOR TEJON RANCH CORP. TEJON RANCH CORP. MARKED SOME EXHIBITS IN SCALMANINI'S DEPOSITION, AND I PROPOSE TO USE THE SAME DESIGNATION WITH RESPECT TO THIS WITNESS; BUT I PROPOSE TO PROVIDE THE COURT AND COUNSEL WITH A COPY OF THAT EXHIBIT SO THAT WE HAVE IT HERE IN THIS PROCEEDING, IF THAT IS APPROPRIATE.

THE COURT: THAT IS FINE. BUT WHAT MARKINGS ARE WE USING?

MR. WILLIAM KUHS: D, AS IN DOG, FOR TEJON RANCH CORP.

THE COURT: OKAY.

MR. WILLIAM KUHS: AND THEN THE THING IS THAT A NUMBER OF COUNSEL HAVE INTRODUCED DOCUMENTS WHICH WE WOULD LIKE TO INTRODUCE ON BEHALF OF TEJON RANCH CORP.

AND INSTEAD OF REFERRING AND HAVING TO GO
BACK AND PULL OTHER EXHIBITS, WHAT I PROPOSE TO DO IS
JUST SIMPLY MARK DUPLICATES WITH TEJON RANCH'S
DESIGNATION ON IT BECAUSE I DON'T KNOW WHAT OTHER
COUNSEL WILL DO WITH RESPECT TO OFFERING OR NOT OFFERING
AN EXHIBIT AT THE CONCLUSION OF OUR EXAMINATION.

SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT NO. 4

HON. JACK KOMAR, JUDGE

COORDINATION PROCEEDING SPECIAL TITLE (RULE 1550B)

ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES) COORDINATION

JUDICIAL COUNCIL NO. JCCP4408

1-05-CV-049053

SANTA CLARA CASE NO.

PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT AND QUARTZ HILL WATER DISTRICT,

CROSS-COMPLAINANTS,

VS.

LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS, DISTRICT NO. 40, ET AL,

CROSS-DEFENDANTS.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA SS. COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)

I, GINGER WELKER, OFFICIAL REPORTER OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE TRANSCRIPT DATED JANUARY 31, 2011 COMPRISES A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS HELD IN THE ABOVE ENTITLED CAUSE.

DATED THIS 1ST DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2011.

OFFICIAL REPORTER, CSR #5585