

State of California
The Resources Agency

Department of Water Resources

## Management of the California State Water Project



Gordon K. Van Vleck

Secretary for Resources The Resources Agency George Deukmejian

Governor State of California David N. Kennedy

Director
Department of Water Resources

Department of Water Resources
Bulletin 132-86

# Management of the California State Water Project

September 1986

Gordon K. Van Vleck Secretary for Resources George Deukmejian

David N. Kennedy

**Director** 

Governor

State of California

Department of Water Resources

The Resources Agency

### Chapter I OVERVIEW OF THE STATE WATER PROJECT

The Bulletin 132 series has documented State Water Project (SWP) management for 24 years. The format of Bulletin 132-86 varies only slightly from previous reports; some tables have been revised and a figure, B-3, has been added. These changes should improve the bulletin's readability and usability in providing information about SWP services and water deliveries.

The first four chapters in Bulletin 132-86 deal with SWP history, 1985 operations, Project administration, and design and construction. Complete analyses of present and future water and power supplies appear in Chapters V and VI, respectively. Chapter VII outlines future costs and financing. The contractor profiles are in Chapter VIII. Appendix B, documenting computation of contractor water charges for 1987, forms the second half of this bulletin.

#### The State Water Project

California is a land of contrasts. Within its boundaries are the highest and lowest elevations in the continental United States. The climate varies from subtropical to alpine, and regional rainfall ranges from less than 2 inches to more than 100 inches annually. Floods and droughts often occur, sometimes in the same year. Many large, heavily populated areas of the State are removed from abundant water supplies; conversely, areas of sparse population are often the locations of plentiful water supplies. The location of some of the State's most productive agricultural lands in arid or semiarid areas further illustrates California's geographical contrasts. Most of California's water problems result from these contrasts.

The need for water, and the ensuing challenges of storing and transporting it, gave rise to the SWP. More than 500 miles of water delivery canals, pipelines, and tunnels have been completed since the early 1960s. The SWP is one of the most ambitious water transfer systems ever constructed; furthermore, the road to SWP development has been as varied as the California terrain.

As early as 1919, the Marshall Plan, a blueprint for a statewide water conveyance system, was proposed for California. Although grandiose in design, the Marshall Plan was unable to muster large scale, statewide support and was rejected by the Legislature in 1926. Five years later, State Engineer Edward Hyatt completed a 10-year water development study, authorized by the Legislature. Hyatt's State Water Plan addressed the State's most critical water problems and provided for the exchange of water between the north and south portions of the Central Valley. In 1933, this plan evolved into the Central Valley Project (CVP). Because of the Depression, however, State funding for the CVP was not readily available, and the federal government took over the project.

Following World War II, the planning that eventually led to the SWP was stimulated by the unprecedented social and industrial development of California and the corresponding increase in the need for water. In 1951, State Engineer A. D. Edmonston presented to the Legislature a report that marked the beginning of the SWP. Edmonston's report outlined the Feather River Project, which included a multipurpose dam and reservoir on the Feather River near Oroville and an afterbay dam, both with power plants.

#### WATER SUPPLY CONTRACTING AGENCIES

2. County of Butter 2. County of Butter 3. Phoses County Flood Control and 4.4577 27,900 348,000 1,664,000(c 1,197,770,000(c 16, 8. Subtorial District 5.466 2,700 e45,000 2,746,600 7,746,000 20, 8. MORTH RAY AREA 4. Maga County Flood Control and 5. Solane County Flood Control and 6. Alameda County Flood Control and 7. Alameda Co	Loca tion	1	Total Cumulative Deliveries through 12/31/85 (acre-feet)(a	Maximum Annual Entitlement (acre-feet)	Total Payments through 12/31/85 (dollars)	Gross Area as of 7/1/85 (acres)	Assessed Valuation 1985-86 (dollers)(b	Estimated Population (7/1/85)
2. County of Butter 3. Plumas County Flood Centrol and 4.597 277,900 348,000 1,666,000 4.446,000 1,644,000 1,797,770.000 16.  **Butter Conservetion Statistic 9,665 2,700 845,000 1,644,000 2,746,600 5,199,901,000 20.  **NORTH RAY AREA 4. Space County Flood Centrol and 8 test Conservetion District 9 0 42,000 20,640,000 770,000 1,083,218,000 114,4  **Butter Conservetion District 10 0 42,000 20,640,000 770,000 7,990,000,000 275,  **Subtotal 83,300 67,000 26,670,000 1,083,000 11,887,218,000 279,  **SUUTH RAY AREA 5. Alameda County Flood Centrol and Water Conservetion District 10 0 42,000 15,867,000 1,083,000 11,887,218,000 279,  **SUUTH RAY AREA 5. Alameda County Flood Centrol and Water Conservetion District, 20ne 7 266,730 46,000 18,386,000 272,000 4,518,383,000 115,  **SAN JORGHE WALLEY AREA 9. County of Kings 10, Daylis Den Mater District 10, Daylis Den Mater Distri		UPPER FEATHER AREA						
5. Plumes County Flood Control and Nates Conservation District  Subtotal  9,563 99,800 e45,000 2,716,550 6,193,901,000 200,  NORTH BAT AREA  4. Neps Conservation District  65,302 25,000 6,010,000 500,000 7,598,000,000 275,  Nates Conservation District  60,42,000 20,660,000 575,000 7,598,000,000 275,  Subtotal  60,100 42,000 20,660,000 575,000 7,598,000,000 275,  Subtotal  Subtotal  60,700 46,000 118,266,000 272,000 4,188,300 11,881,281,000 175,  Alaesed County Flood Control and  Nates Conservation District, 30cc 7 266,775 46,000 186,260,000 275,000 0,215,  Subtotal  Sub							550,976,000	21,100
Mater Conservation District   5,466   2,700   456,000   1,644,000(c   1,197,777,000(c   16,			4,557	27,500	348,000	1,069,000	4,445,148,000	160,970
NORTH BAY ASEA		Water Conservation District	5,406	2,700	436,000	1,644,000(c	1,197,777,000(c	18,500(c
4. Nage Country Flood Control and Nate Conservation District   Nate Conser		Subtotal	9,963	39,800	845,000	2,716,650	6,193,901,000	200,570
Nate   Country   Flood Control and		NORTH BAY AREA			-			
5. Solano County Picod Centrol and Nater Conservation District 80, 302 67,000 20,660,000 575,000 7,990,000,000 275, 500 10,000 11,000 1	4.		07.700	05.000	6 040 000	500,000	7 007 040 000	
Subtotal 83,002 67,000 26,670,000 1,083,000 11,081,000 375;  SOUTH BAY AREA  6. Alaseda County Flood Control and Nater Concernation District, Zone 7 268,736 46,000 18,386,000 27,000 4,518,383,000 115;  7. Alaseda County Water District 1,744,477 10,000 20,660,000 63,000 2,195,000,000 22,518,300,000 125;  8. Santo Citera Velley Meter District 1,744,477 10,000 7,769,000 63,000 2,195,000 61,000 1,759,100 1,	5.	Solene County Flood Control and	83,302	25,000	6,010,000	508,000	5,885,218,000	104,000
SOUTH BAY AREA  6. Allameda County Flood Control and Nater Conservation Bistrict, Jone 7  7. Alaseeda County Water District 17.46,427  7. Alaseeda County Water District 17.46,427  8. Sante Clare Walley Water District 17.46,427  8. Subtotal 2.770.566  188,000 118,744,000 118,744,000 11,164,000 61,647,363,000 1.790,  SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY AFFA  9. County of Kines 2.740,977  12.700 17. 105,000 1812,000 1812,000 1812,000 1812,000 1812,000 1812,000 1813,000 1813,000 1813,000 1813,000 1813,000 1813,000 1813,000 1813,000 1813,000 1813,000 1814,000 1814,000 1814,000 1814,000 1814,000 1814,000 1814,000 1814,000 1814,000 1814,000 1814,000 1815,000 1814,000		Water Conservation District		42,000	20,660,000	575,000	7,998,000,000	275,200
6. Alameda County Flood Centrol and Water Conservation District, Zene 7 266,756 46,000 18,266,000 50,000 4,516,303,000 125, 20, 21, 21, 22, 22, 22, 23, 24, 24, 24, 24, 24, 24, 24, 24, 24, 24		Subtotal	83,302	67,000	26,670,000	1,083,000	11,881,218,000	379,200
Water Conservation District, Zone 7   266,736   45,000   18,385,000   272,000   4,518,383,000   115, 173,414   17, 18,427   100,000   73,698,000   63,000   54,940,000,000   22,950,000   63,000   54,940,000,000   1,377, 18,500   1,748,427   100,000   73,698,000   63,000   54,940,000,000   1,377, 18,77		SOUTH BAY AREA						
7. Alaeeda County Water District  9. Santa Clare Walley Water District  1,748,427 100,000  1,748,00	6.		0/2 77/	46.000	40 700 000	070 000	4 540 500 000	4.5
8. Sante Clarw Valley Water District 1,748,427 100,000 79,698,000 849,000 54,940,000,000 1,397,4 Subtotal 2,370,586 188,000 118,744,000 1,184,000 61,647,385,000 1,740,1 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY AREA  9. County of Kings 34,200 4,000 812,000 893,300(4 2,266,773,000)(4 84,500 10,000) 1,740,0 10,000 10,000 10,000 1	7.							115,000 229,550
SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY AREA  9. County of Kings 10. Devil's Den Mater District 274,947 10. Devil's Den Mater District 275,947 10. Devil's Den Mater District 175,947 175,94								1,397,000
9. County of Kings 10. Devil's Den Water District 274,947 12,700 7,169,000 8,700 (2,266,773,000)(d 84,500) 11. Dudley Ridge Water District 274,947 12,700 7,169,000 8,700 (e 12,266,773,000)(d 84,500) 12. Expire West Side Irrigation District 16.1,796 3,500 11,054,000 7,400 7,400 (e 12,361,353) 11. Kenr County Water Agency 12,349,353 1,155,400 325,991,000 5,161,000 5,161,000 (e 12,361,353) 11. Dudley Each Beain Water Storage District 12. Oak Flat Water District 22. 033,183(g 118,500 23,327,000 189,200 (e 189,200 E)  Subtotal 16.010,544 1,355,000 382,817,000 6,233,570 32,464,309,000 565,  CENTRAL COASTAL AREA  16. San Luis Obispo County Flood Control and Water Conservation District 0 25,000 4,984,000 2,131,300 9,253,619,000 394,  Subtotal 0 25,000 4,984,000 2,131,300 9,253,619,000 394,  Subtotal 0 0 70,486 13,898,000 3,888,200 22,988,597,000 524,  Subtotal 0 0 70,486 13,898,000 3,888,200 3,888,200 3,898,597,000 524,  Subtotal 0 0 70,486 13,898,000 3,888,200 3,898,597,000 524,  Subtotal 0 0 70,486 13,898,000 3,888,200 3,888,200 3,898,597,000 524,  Subtotal 0 0 70,486 13,898,000 3,888,200 3,898,597,000 524,  Subtotal 0 0 70,486 13,898,000 3,888,000		Subtotal	2,370,586	188,000	118,744,000	1,184,000	61,647,383,000	1,740,550
10. Devil's Den Nater District   274,947   12,700   7,169,000   8,700   (e   1.1		SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY AREA						
11. Dudley Ridge Nater District 2. Empire News 13de Irrigation District 3. Expr. weeks 13de Irrigation District 4. Cast Plant Nater Agency 4. 2,549,595 1,153,400 325,991,000 5,161,000(f 30,197,536,000(f 480,460 1,000								84,900(
12. Empire West Side Irrigation District 61,796 3,000 1,094,000 7,400 (e 13. Kern County Water Agency 12,549,555 1,153,400 325,991,000 5,161,000(f 30,197,536,000(f 480,414) 104 115,000 105,100 1169,200 (e 150,100 1169,200 1169,200 (e 150,100 1169,200 1169,200 1169,200 1169,200 (e 150,100 1169,200 11							Y =	50 50
14. Oak Flat Water District							(e	50
15. Tulare Lake Basin Water Storage District   2,003,183(g   118,500   29,927,000   189,200   (e								480,600(1
CEPTRAL COASTAL AREA								50 50
16. San Luis Obispo County Flood Control and Mater Conservation District 0 25,000 4,984,000 2,131,300 9,253,619,000 190,7		Subtotal	16,010,544	1,355,000	382,817,000	6,293,570	32,464,309,000	565,750
Weter Conservation District		CENTRAL COASTAL AREA						
Neter Conservation District	16.	San Luis Obispo County Flood Control and						
Conservation District 0 45,486 8,914,000 1,756,900 13,734,978,000 334,65		Water Conservation District	0	25,000	4,984,000	2,131,300	9,253,619,000	190,100
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA AREA  18. Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency 479,826 138,400 72,532,000 1,524,000 4,627,210,000 117,  19. Castaic Lake Water Agency 49,848 41,500 26,824,000 125,000 3,769,160,000 91,  20. Coachells Valley Water District 130,566 23,100 21,261,000 637,600 7,417,437,000 111,  21. Crestline-Lake Arrowhead Water Agency 14,308 5,800 4,877,000 55,100 912,268,000 15,  22. Desser Water Agency 204,300 38,100 34,398,000 208,800 3,879,309,000 65,  23. Littlerock Creek Irrigation District 4,839 2,300 1,189,000 43,300 62,827,000 1,,  24. Mojave Water Agency 57,589 50,800 30,691,000 3,160,400 5,764,843,000 130,6  25. Palmdale Water District 1,558 17,300 8,193,000 73,900 860,464,000 30,5  26. San Bernardino Valley Municipal Water District 148,720 102,600 90,526,000 210,200 77,701,058,000 355,  27. San Gabriel Valley Municipal Water District 59,911 28,800 24,802,000 16,300 4,384,891,000 175,  28. San Gorgonio Pass Water Agency 0 17,300 12,877,000 140,600 853,231,000 359,2  29. The Metropolitan Water District 0 20,000 17,300 12,877,000 140,600 853,231,000 159,300  30. Ventura County Flood Control District 0 20,000 10,094,000 1,179,500(1 23,264,999,000(1 600,200,000)  Subtotal 7,610,932 2,497,500 1,901,063,000 10,655,700 522,265,397,000 14,771,200 100,000,000 17,809,200 10,094,000 17,809,200 14,771,200 12,877,000 100,314,000 968,620,000,000 17,869,200 100,314,000 968,620,000,000 26,365,450,000 100,314,000 968,620,000,000 26,365,500,000,000 26,365,	17.		0	45,486	8,914,000	1,756,900	13,734,978,000	334,600
18. Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency 479,826 138,400 72,532,000 1,524,000 4,627,210,000 117,19. Castaic Lake Water Agency 49,848 41,500 26,824,000 125,000 3,769,160,000 91,000 111,000 111,000 12,000 111,000 11		Subtota1	<del>-</del> 0	70,486	13,898,000	3,888,200	22,988,597,000	524,700
18. Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency 479,826 138,400 72,532,000 1,524,000 4,627,210,000 117,019. Castaic Lake Water Agency 49,848 41,500 26,824,000 125,000 3,769,160,000 91,020. Coachelle Valley Neter District 130,566 23,100 21,261,000 637,600 7,417,437,000 111,021. Creatine-Lake Arrowhead Water Agency 14,508 5,800 4,837,000 55,100 912,268,000 135,000 38,100 34,398,000 208,800 3,859,309,000 63,000 13,000 Water Agency 57,889 5,800 30,691,000 3,160,400 5,764,843,000 130,000 25. Littlerock Creek Irrigation District 4,839 2,300 1,189,000 43,300 62,827,000 1.000 130,000 Water Agency 57,889 50,800 30,691,000 3,160,400 5,764,843,000 130,000 25. Palmdale Water District 148,720 102,600 90,526,000 210,200 7,701,058,000 395,000 20,000 10,0		SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA AREA						
19. Castaic Lake Water Agency 49,848 41,500 26,824,000 125,000 3,769,160,000 91,000 120. Coechells Valley Water District 150,566 23,100 21,261,000 637,600 7,417,437,000 111,000 120. Coechells Valley Water District 14,508 5,800 4,837,000 55,100 912,268,000 113,000 130,00	18.		470 826	138,400	72.532.000	1.524.000	4.627.210.000	117,000
20. Coachells Valley Nater District 150,566 23,100 21,261,000 637,600 7,417,437,000 111,6 21. Crestline-Lake Arrowhead Water Agency 14,308 5,800 4,837,000 55,100 912,268,000 13; 22. Desert Water Agency 204,300 38,100 34,398,000 208,800 3,859,309,000 63; 23. Littlerock Creek Irrigation District 4,839 2,300 1,189,000 43,300 62,827,000 1.5 24. Mojave Nater Agency 57,589 50,800 30,691,000 3,160,400 5,764,843,000 130,6 2. Palmdale Water District 148,720 102,600 90,526,000 210,200 7,701,058,000 395,2 27. San Bermardino Valley Municipal Water District 59,911 28,800 24,802,000 16,300 43,540 855,231,000 375,2 28. San Gorgonio Pass Water Agency 0 17,300 12,877,000 140,600 853,231,000 379,3 29. The Metropolitan Water District 0 20,000 10,094,000 1,179,500(1 25,264,999,000(1 600,2 25,265,397,000 14,771,2 30,2 2,497,500 1,901,063,000 10,655,700 522,265,397,000 14,771,2 TOTAL STATE WATER PROJECT 26,085,327 4,217,786 2,444,037,000 25,821,120(1 657,440,805,000(1 17,869,3 100,314,000 968,620,000,000 26,365,000 26,365,000 24,502,000 26,365,000 24,502,000 25,821,120(1 657,440,805,000(1 17,869,3 100,314,000 968,620,000,000 26,365,000 24,502,204(k 641,214,025,000(k 17,869,3 100,314,000 968,620,000,000 26,365,000 26,365,000 24,502,204(k 641,214,025,000(k 17,869,3 100,314,000 968,620,000,000 26,365,000 26,365,000 24,502,204(k 641,214,025,000(k 17,869,3 100,314,000 968,620,000,000 26,365,000 26,365,000 24,302,000 26,365,000 24,302,000 26,365,000 24,302,000 26,365,000 24,302,000 26,365								91,000
22. Desert Water Agency 204,500 38,100 34,399,000 208,800 3,899,309,000 63,7 23. Littlerock Creek Irrigation District 4,839 2,300 1,189,000 43,300 62,827,000 1,2 24. Mojeve Water Agency 57,589 50,800 30,691,000 3,160,400 5,764,843,000 30,691,000 3,160,400 5,764,843,000 30,691,000 3,160,400 5,764,843,000 30,691,000 3,160,400 5,764,843,000 30,691,000 3,160,400 5,764,843,000 30,691,000 73,900 860,464,000 30,691,000 680,464,000 30,691,000 680,464,000 30,691,000 680,464,000 30,691,000 680,464,000 30,691,000 680,464,000 30,691,000 680,464,000 30,691,000 680,464,000 30,691,000 680,464,000 30,691,000 680,464,000 30,691,000 680,464,000 30,691,000 680,464,000 30,691,000 680,464,000 30,691,000 680,464,000 30,691,000 680,464,000 30,691,000 680,464,000 30,691,000 680,464,000 680,464,000 30,691,000 680,464,000 68			130,566					111,800
23. Littlerock Creek Irrigation District 4,839 2,300 1,189,000 43,300 62,827,000 1,2 24. Mojave Water Agency 57,889 50,800 30,691,000 3,160,400 5,764,843,000 130,6 25. Palmadle Water District 1,558 17,300 8,193,000 73,900 860,464,000 30,6 26. San Bernardino Valley Municipal Water District 148,720 102,600 90,526,000 210,200 7,701,058,000 355, 27. San Gabriel Valley Municipal Water District 59,911 28,800 24,802,000 16,300 4,384,891,000 175,6 28. San Gorgonio Pass Water Agency 9,01 17,300 12,877,000 140,600 853,231,000 39,3 29. The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California 6,459,467 2,011,500 1,562,839,000 3,281,000(h 458,782,060,000(h 13,015,4,600))  Subtotal 7,610,932 2,497,500 1,901,063,000 10,655,700 522,265,397,000 144,771,2  TOTAL STATE WATER PROJECT 26,085,327 4,217,786 2,444,037,000 25,821,120(j 657,440,805,000(j 18,181,5,5,60))  NET TOTAL, STATE WATER PROJECT SERVICE AREA 24,502,204(k 641,214,025,000(k 17,869,2,5,60))								13,200 63,700
24. Mojave Neter Agency 57,889 50,800 30,691,000 3,160,400 5,764,843,000 130,695,200 25. Palmdale Water District 148,720 102,600 90,526,000 210,200 7,701,058,000 305. 26. San Bernardino Valley Municipal Water District 148,720 102,600 90,526,000 210,200 7,701,058,000 355. 27. San Gabriel Valley Municipal Water District 59,911 28,800 24,802,000 16,300 4,384,891,000 175,828. San Gorgonio Pass Water Agency 0 17,300 12,877,000 140,600 853,231,000 39.3 29. The Matropolitan Water District of Southern California 6,459,467 2,011,500 1,562,839,000 3,281,000(h 458,782,060,000(h 13,015,300) 10,094,000 1,179,500(i 23,264,999,000(i 600,200) 10,094,000 1,179,500(i 23,264,999,000(i 600,200) 10,094,000 1,179,500(i 23,264,999,000(i 14,771,200) 10,094,000 1								1,900
25. Palmdale Water District 1,558 17,300 8,193,000 73,900 860,464,000 30,200 7,701,058,000 355,221,200 102,600 90,526,000 210,200 7,701,058,000 355,221 102,600 90,526,000 16,300 4,384,891,000 175,28 San Gargonio Pass Water Agency 0 17,300 12,877,000 140,600 853,231,000 39,29. The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California 6,459,467 2,011,500 1,562,839,000 3,281,000(h 458,782,060,000(h 30), 20,000 10,094,000 1,179,500(i 25,264,999,000(i 600,20), 20,000 10,094,000 10,655,700 522,265,397,000 14,771,200 1,7					30,691,000			130,600
26. San Bermardino Valley Municipal Water District 148,720 102,600 90,526,000 210,200 7,701,058,000 395,27. San Gabriel Valley Municipal Water District 59,911 28,800 24,802,000 16,300 4,384,891,000 175,400 29. The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California 6,459,467 2,011,500 10,094,000 1,179,500(1 23,264,999,000(1 50,000,000) 10,094,000 1,179,500(1 23,264,999,000(1 50,000,000) 10,094,000 1,179,500(1 23,264,999,000(1 50,000,000) 10,094,000 1,179,500(1 23,264,999,000(1 50,000,000) 10,094,000 1,179,500(1 23,264,999,000(1 50,000,000) 10,094,000 1,179,500(1 23,264,999,000(1 50,000,000) 10,094,000 1,179,500(1 23,264,999,000(1 50,000,000) 10,094,000 1,179,500(1 23,264,999,000(1 50,000,000) 10,094,000 1,179,500(1 23,264,999,000(1 50,000,000) 10,094,000 1,179,500(1 23,264,999,000(1 50,000,000,000) 10,094,000 1,179,500(1 23,264,999,000(1 50,000,000) 10,094,000 1,179,500(1 23,264,999,000(1 50,000,000) 10,094,000 1,179,500(1 23,264,999,000(1 50,000,000) 10,094,000 1,179,500(1 23,264,999,000(1 50,000,000) 10,094,000 1,179,500(1 23,264,999,000(1 50,000,000) 10,094,000 1,179,500(1 23,264,999,000(1 50,000,000,000) 10,094,000 1,179,500(1 23,264,999,000(1 50,000,000,000) 10,094,000 10,094,			1,558		8,193,000			30,900
28. Sen Gorgonio Pass Nater Agency 29. The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California 30. Ventura County Flood Control District  TOTAL STATE WATER PROJECT  TOTAL, STATE OF CALIFORNIA  0 17,300 12,877,000 140,600 853,231,000 39,3  1,562,839,000 1,562,839,000 1,779,500(1 23,264,999,000(1 23),2			148,720					395,500
29. The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California 30. Venture County Flood Control District 6,459,467 2,001,500 10,094,000 1,179,500(1 23,264,999,000(1 260,000) 10,094,000 1,179,500(1 23,264,999,000(1 260,000) 10,094,000 1,179,500(1 23,264,999,000(1 260,000) 10,094,000 1,179,500(1 23,264,999,000(1 260,000) 10,094,000 10,094,000 10,094,000 10,094,000 10,094,000 10,094,000 10,094,000 10,094,000 10,094,000 10,094,000 10,094,000 10,094,000 10,094,000 10,094,000 10,094,000 10,094,000 10,094,000 10,095,000 10,094,000 10								175,800 39,300
Southern California 30. Ventura County Flood Control District  6,459,467 0 20,000 1,562,839,000 3,281,000(h 1,779,500(i 23,264,999,000(i 600,20,000 1,0094,000 1,779,500(i 23,264,999,000(i 600,20,000 1,0094,000 1,779,500(i 23,264,999,000(i 600,20,000 1,0094,000 1,779,500(i 23,264,999,000(i 600,20,000 1,0094,			0	17,500	12.40114000	140,000	0,74271,000	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Subtotal 7,610,932 2,497,500 1,901,063,000 10,655,700 522,265,397,000 14,771,2  TOTAL STATE WATER PROJECT 26,085,327 4,217,786 2,444,037,000 25,821,120(j 657,440,805,000(j 18,181,55,000 10,000,000 10,655,700 1		Southern California						13,015,400(h 600,200(1
TOTAL STATE WATER PROJECT 26,085,327 4,217,786 2,444,037,000 25,821,120(j 657,440,805,000(j 18,181,55]  NET TOTAL, STATE WATER PROJECT SERVICE AREA 24,502,204(k 641,214,025,000(k 17,869,327)  TOTAL, STATE OF CALIFORNIA 100,314,000 968,620,000,000 26,365,000		•						14,771,200
NET TOTAL, STATE WATER PROJECT SERVICE AREA       24,502,204(k       641,214,025,000(k       17,869,3         TOTAL, STATE OF CALIFORNIA       100,314,000       968,620,000,000       26,365,0								18,181,970(j
TOTAL, STATE OF CALIFORNIA 100,314,000 968,620,000,000 26,365,0								17,869,371
•		•						26,365,000
PERCENT, NET SWP VS. TOTAL CALIFORNIA 24.4 66.2 67.8		PERCENT, NET SWP VS. TOTAL CALIFORNIA						67.8

All water delivered to long-term SWP contractors, including current and deferred entitlement, surplus, unscheduled, emergency relief, exchange, and non-SWP water delivered through SWP facilities to Napa County FC&WCD.

b) Statutes of 1978, Chapter 1207, added Section 135 to the Revenue and Taxation Code, requiring assessment at 100 percent of full value for the 1981-82 fiscal year and fiscal years thereafter.

c) Total for all of Plumes County, including the following contracting agencies: County of Kings, Dudley Ridge Water District, Empire West Side Irrigation District, nearly all of Tulare Lake Besin Water Storage District, and about 40 percent of Devil's Den Water District.

Assessed valuation not available on an agency area breakdown.

f) Total for all of Kern County, including the following contracting agencies: Kern County Water Agency, about 60 percent of Devil's Den Water District, and about 50 percent of Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency.

Includes 2,706 acre-feet of emergency relief water transferred to Tulare Lake Basin Water Storage District from Kern County Water Agency.

Total for all of Ventura County, including the following contracting agencies: Ventura County Flood Control District.

Total for all of Ventura County, including the following contracting agencies: Ventura County Flood Control District.

Total for all of Ventura County, including the following contracting agencies: Ventura County Flood Control District and portions of Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency, Castaic Lake Water Agency, and MMDSC.

J) Includes duplicate values. Some areas that are within two or more agencies are included in each agency's total.

Excludes duplicate values where agencies have overlapping boundaries.

His proposal also included a Delta Cross Channel, an electric power transmission system, an aqueduct to transport water from the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta to both Santa Clara and Alameda counties, and an aqueduct to transport water from the Delta to the San Joaquin Valley and Southern California. Later that year the Legislature authorized the Project, and gave the Division of Water Resources (reorganized as the Department of Water Resources in 1956) the authority to conduct future studies.

In 1959, the Legislature passed the Burns-Porter Act. This act provided the major financing for initial SWP facilities. The next year was a pivotal one for the SWP. In 1960, California's voters passed a \$1.75 billion bond issue under the Burns-Porter Act; a water contracting program was initiated; and more than a decade of intense construction activity was launched.

The Burns-Porter Act also authorized unspecified additional future storage facilities, facilities to transfer water across the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, and facilities to remove drainage water from the San Joaquin Valley. Studies are continuing for most of these features, as described in Chapters III and V.

In 1962, SWP water deliveries began to the South San Francisco Bay and Plumas County areas. Kern County first received SWP deliveries in 1968 and finally, in 1972, water reached Southern California. The 1973 dedication of Lake Perris, southern terminus of the California Aqueduct. marked the end of initial SWP construction. Present and future SWP facilities are shown in Figure 1. Phase II construction of the North Bay Aqueduct, begun in 1984, is scheduled for completion in late 1987. Enlargement of the East Branch of the California Aqueduct was approved in

1986, and the first stage of construction is scheduled for completion in the early 1990s. The only remaining major conveyance facility awaiting construction is Phase II of the California Aqueduct's Coastal Branch.

#### **SWP Service Areas**

After the 1960 bond issue passed, the State began signing water supply contracts with public agencies. Thirty original long-term contracts are still in effect; a thirty-first contractor, Hacienda Water District, merged with the Tulare Lake Basin Water Storage District in 1981. The long-term contracts require the recipients of Project services to repay all reimbursable Project costs. SWP entitlements, as outlined in the contracts, will eventually total 4.2 million acre-feet per year.

Figure 2 shows the boundaries of the 30 public agencies that have contracts with the SWP. Together they constitute 24 percent of the State's area and contain nearly 68 percent of the State's population.

Six contractors have yet to receive water from SWP facilities; their contracts specify initial deliveries to be made in 1980 or thereafter. A seventh contractor, Palmdale Water District, began taking delivery of SWP supplies in 1985. Napa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District has received only non-Project water pumped and delivered through SWP facilities.

As Figure 2 indicates, the 30 agencies represent a wide variety of size, location, climate, and prosperity. To aid in understanding the diverse nature of the SWP service areas, previous bulletins have included profiles of long-term contracting agencies. Bulletin 132-86 continues the series of contractor profiles in Chapter VIII.

#### **SWP Accomplishments**

The SWP's primary purpose is to conserve water originating in areas of surplus and transport water to areas of need for agricultural and municipal and industrial use. Additional Project purposes include flood control. hydroelectric power generation, salinity control, recreation, and fish and wildlife enhancement.

Table 1 summarizes SWP water deliveries, recreation, and energy generation accomplishments through (Table 1 water delivery totals exceed those in Figure 2 because Table 1 includes service to all customers. while Figure 2 shows deliveries to longterm contractors only.)

Figures for flood control accomplishments are not reflected in Table 1; however. Oroville Dam alone prevented millions of dollars of property damage from floods in 1964. 1967-68, 1970, 1974, 1980, 1982-83, and The SWP also helped to reduce flooding in the Tulare Lake Basin during 1978, 1980, 1982-84, and 1986. The latter was achieved by diverting floodwater into the California Aqueduct through the Kern River Intertie, built by the Corps of Engineers in 1976.

#### SWP 1985 Highlights

Alamo Powerplant began commercial operation on July 1, 1986. This 17-MW recovery facility, located on the East Branch of the California

TABLE 1: SWP ACCOMPLISHMENTS THROUGH 1985

		Entitlement Wa	ater	0 th	er Deliverie	В		1		
				Surp	lus				Hydro- electric	
Year	Municipal and Industrial Use	Agricultural Use	Total	Municipal and Industrial	Agricul- tural	Other Water(a	Total Delivery	Recreation Supported (Recreation Days)(b	Energy Generated (kilowatt- hours)(c	
1962				,		18,289	18,289	30,000		
1963						22,456	22.456	105,000		
1964	ľ					32,507	32,507	331,600		
1965						44,105	44,105	449,800		
1966						67,928	67.928	482,700		
1967	5.747	5.791	11,538	0	0	53,605	65,143	455,200		
1968	46.472	125,237	171,709	10,000	111,534	14,777	308.020	931,300	628,000,00	
1969	34,434	158,586	193,020	0	72.397	18,829	284,246	1,554,800	2,614,000,00	
1970	47.996	185,997	233,993	0	133,024	38.080	405.097	1.804.800	2,679,000,00	
1971	85,286	272.054	357,340	2,400	293,619	44,127	697,486	2,085,900	3,302,000,00	
1972	181.066	430.735	611.801	22,205	401,759	73,127	1,108,892	1,971,200	1,922,000,00	
1973	293.824	400,564	694,388	3,161	293,255	43.666	1.034.470	2,502,000	3,298,000,00	
1974	418,521	455,556	874,077	4,753	412,923	48,342	1,340,095	4,073,600	4,672,000,00	
1975	641,621	582,369	1,223,990	21,043	601.859	67.170	1,914,062	4,189,300	3,159,000,00	
1976	818.588	554,414	1,373,002	32,488	547,622	116.962	2,070,074	4,239,600	2,131,000,00	
1977	280,919	293,236	574,155	0	0	390,176	964,331	3,951,900	958,000,00	
1978	742,385	710.314	1,452,699	3,566	13,348	122,916	1,592,529	5,773,700	2,882,000,00	
1979	690,659	969,237	1,659,896	66,081	582,308	189,396	2,497,681	5,298,700	2,485,000,00	
1980	730,545	799,204	1,529,749	19,722	384.835	48,590	1,982,896	5,701,900	2,988,000,00	
1981	1,057,273	852,289	1,909,562	12,000	896,428	283,849	3,101,839	6,017,800	3,358,000,00	
1982	928,721(e	821,303	1,750,024	. 0	215,873	155,820(e	2,121,717	6.187.700	5.097.000.00	
1983	483,499	701,370	1,184,869	0	13,019	188,596	1,386,484	5,838,200	5,419,000,00	
1984	723,468(f	865,043	1,588,511	3,663	259,254	387,505(f	2,238,933	6,273,100	3,368,000,00	
1985	998,138	1,002,915	2,001,053	9,638	292,372	414,566	2,717,629	6,639,800	3,227,000,00	
Total(d	9,209,162	10,186,214	19,395,376	210,720	5,525,429	2,885,384	28.016.909	76,939,600	54,187,000,00	

a) Includes preconsolidation repayment water, emergency relief water, exchange water, regulated delivery of local supply, non-SWP water delivered to Napa County FC&WCD through SWP facilities, conveyance of CVP water (including Decision 1485 water), recreation water, and demonstration ground water fill withdrawal.

e) Revised and corrected from Bulletin 132-85 to reflect 557 acre-feet of 1978 exchange water (MWDSC Basin) changed from other water to municipal and industrial use entitlement water.

A recreation day is the visit of one person to a recreation area for any part of one day.

Includes SWP share of generation from Hyatt-Thermalito, San Luis, Devil Canyon, Warne, and Castaic Powerplants.

In addition, SWP dams have prevented millions of dollars worth of flood damage.

f) Revised and corrected from Bulletin 132-85 to reflect 126 acre-feet of 1982 exchange water (MWDSC Basin) changed from other water to municipal and industrial use entitlement water.

Aqueduct, will recover energy formerly dissipated in the Cottonwood Chutes.

- o The 1984-85 water year was a "dry" year as classified by the SWRCB's Decision 1485 criteria. Like the year before, 1984-85 began with above normal precipitation, but below normal amounts of rain and snowfall after January resulted in a total water year precipitation of only 83 percent of normal.
- Deliveries of SWP entitlement water topped the 2 million acre-foot mark in 1985. Entitlement water deliveries to long-term contractors totaled 2,001,053 acre-feet, the largest amount yet delivered by the SWP. This amount exceeds by about 100,000 acre-feet the initial fall 1984 requested deliveries and is about 26 percent higher than the previous year's entitlement deliveries.
- o Surplus water deliveries totaled 302,010 acre-feet. This represents an increase of approximately 15 percent over 1984.
- Decision 1485 requirements produced balanced water conditions in the Delta, as defined in the Coordinated Operation Agreement. The three periods of balanced water conditions during 1985 were:

  January 30 to February 7; February 17 to March 9; and April 12 to November 30. This was the sixth year, out of the last seven, in which balanced water conditions in the Delta were achieved.
- The Coordinated Operation Agreement for the CVP and SWP is currently undergoing congressional approval. The draft agreement negotiated by DWR and the USBR includes provisions for conveyance of CVP water through SWP facilities and

- for SWP purchases of CVP water on an interim basis. Public review of the draft EIS-EIR took place between September and November 1985; a final EIS-EIR was written and published in early 1986, incorporating testimony from the review period.
- o Overall December 31, 1985 SWP reservoir storage was down by about 14 percent from 1984. Total reservoir storage was 3,644,000 acre-feet, down 589,000 acre-feet from the previous year. This was the lowest SWP storage since 1981. Lake Oroville, in November, dropped to the lowest storage level since the 1976-77 drought, but ended the year with storage of 2,098,000 acre-feet.
- o San Luis Dam again experienced a crack in the roadway in the same place as the 1981 slide. The discontinuous crack, although longer than the 1984 crack, was narrower, which could indicate stabilization of the slide area. Surveillance of the San Luis Dam will continue.
- o Units 10, 12, and 14 at the A. D. Edmonston Pumping Plant began operation, bringing the plant up to the full complement of 14 operational pumps. However, mechanical problems put Units 13 and 9 out of service, thereby delaying the scheduled outage of the west discharge line. At least six operational pumps were required to maintain pumping schedules.
- o Almost 44,500 acre-feet were delivered via the connection built in 1984 from the First Los Angeles Aqueduct to the East Branch of the California Aqueduct. The connection supplied 984 acrefeet of water to SWP contractor Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency in January and February

- 1985, while the East Branch was out of service to facilitate work on the Alamo Powerplant. Later in the year, the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power delivered 43,500 acre-feet of its water via the connection while its San Francisquito Powerplant No. 1 was out of service.
- down several weeks for maintenance and modifications to overcome problems with steam contamination, but returned to service August 1, 1985. However, the steam supplier experienced wellfield problems which created a steam supply reduction, resulting in the plant's inability to maintain full output.
- On March 11, 1986, MWDSC's Board of Directors voted to authorize the General Manager to execute a contract amendment covering the enlargement of the East Branch, and to request DWR to proceed with final design and construction. The amendment was signed on April 8, 1986. As of June 30, 1986, five of the other six contractors participating in the enlargement had executed contract amendments.

- o Construction began on raising the lining of the Aqueduct's East Branch by four feet, between Alamo Powerplant and Pearblossom Pumping Plant. Final design work for enlarging Pearblossom Pumping Plant and other East Branch facilities has also started.
- o DWR awarded the license to build a new fiber optics communications system for the SWP to MCI Telecommunications, Inc. in October 1985. The new system will provide increased capacity and reliability to the SWP. The estimated cost of \$9 million will be offset by a \$7.4 million right-of-way agreement, along the California Aqueduct, between DWR and MCI. Work began on the new system in 1986.
- Design is nearing completion for both the Cordelia and Barker Slough pumping plants. Of the five North Bay Aqueduct pipeline contracts: one is complete, two are under construction, and the two remaining contracts were awarded. Phase II of the North Bay Aqueduct is scheduled for completion in late 1987.

#### Water Service

The following sections summarize 1985 water conveyance and deliveries via SWP facilities.

#### Total Water Conveyed

A total of 2,629,493 acre-feet of water was conveyed through SWP facilities in 1985. Table 3 summarizes total water conveyance for the 24 years of SWP operation, and shows the disposition of that water under the headings discussed in the following paragraphs.

Entitlement Water. The SWP water supply contracts, executed in the early

1960s, establish specific annual entitlement water amounts each long-term water contractor may request. The initial "Table A" schedules reflected each contractor's estimate of future water needs at the time the contracts were signed; some have subsequently been revised. Table B-4 in Appendix B presents complete information on annual entitlements for each contractor, as set forth in Table A of each SWP water supply contract.

Columns (1) through (7) of Table 3 summarize annual contractual entitlements for the various SWP service areas for the 1962-85 period; actual entitlement deliveries by year are shown in Column (8).

#### DWR Is Helping To Alleviate Tom Paine Slough Problems

Water problems in Tom Paine Slough, in the South Delta, were exacerbated by the dry conditions during 1985. These dry conditions allowed for early planting and thereby promoted early diversions from the slough by South Delta agricultural users. At the same time, CVP and SWP diversions from the Delta were near maximum capacity. resulting in reduced water levels in the natural southern Delta channels. To reduce impacts on the southern Delta, SWP diversions to Clifton Court Forebay were limited to that portion of the tidal cycle with a minimal effect on the critical water levels.

SWP operations were not the only contributors to the Tom Paine water supply problems. Depth surveys of Tom Paine Slough and the natural channels leading to the control structure were conducted, and a diver inspected the inlet structure tide gates; investigations indicated that one of the two tide gates was operating incorrectly and that sedimentation restricted most of the channel. The local water district corrected the tide

gate operation and DWR dredged a blockage in Tom Paine Slough. These corrective actions were effective until mid-July, when the agricultural water demands again exceeded the slough's diversion capability.

In late July, DWR installed both an 11-cfs pump and a 100-kW engine generator at the control structure to augment the water flow. This single pump was insufficient; two additional 11-cfs pumps and a 400-kW engine generator were installed and began operation on August 1. The three pumps were operated until September 5, 1985, when enough water entered Tom Paine Slough through normal tide gate action. The pumps and engine generator set were removed by mid-September.

Plans were developed for facilities or actions that would eliminate the need to install the pumps in 1986. Options include dredging a portion of Tom Paine Slough and installing a siphon at the control structure to eliminate future agricultural water supply problems.

TABLE 3: HISTORICAL SUMMARY OF ENTITLEMENTS,

Calendar Year	Feather River Area	North Bay Area	South Bay Area	San Joaquin Valley Area	Central Coastal Area	Southern California Area	Total	Entitlement Water				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)				
1962	o	0	0	0	o	0	0	0				
1963	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
1964	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
1965	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
1966	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0				
1967	0	0	11,538	0	0	0	11,538	11,538				
1968	550	0	109,900	81,050	0	0	191,500	171,709				
1969	620	0	98,700	168,075	0	0	267,395	193,020				
1970	700	0	114,200	207,700	0	0	322,600	233,993				
1971	890	0	116,200	258,500	0	0	375,590	357,340				
1972	970	0	118,300	420,766	0	201,723	741,759	611,801				
1973	1,100	0	120,400	392 <b>,</b> 352	0	472,400	986,252	694,388				
1974	1,230	0	122,400	470,350	0	588,220	1,182,200	874,077				
1975	1,610	0	124,500	556,509	0	704,250	1,386,869	1,223,990				
1976	1,990	0	126,500	555,117	0	824,780	1,508,387	1,373,002				
1977	2,420	0	128,600	594,100	0	942,201	1,667,321	574,155				
1978	1,850	0	130,700	647,262	0	1,038,222	1,818,034	1,452,699				
1979	2,130	0	132,700	715,385	0	1,177,873	2,028,088	1,659,896				
1980	1,810	500	134,800	770,800	1,946	1,304,914	2,214,770	1,529,749				
1981	1,940	650	137,000	830,700	2,813	1,419,365	2,392,468	1,909,562				
1982	1,970	800	139,200	889,200	5 <b>,</b> 626	1,537,749	2,574,545	1,750,024(e				
1983	2,000	950	141,400	880,648	8,439	1,668,557	2,701,994	1,184,869				
1984	3,630	1,100	143,600	991,911	12,698	1,731,398	2,884,337	1,588,511(f				
1985	3 <b>,</b> 760	1,250	145,800	1,031,749	21,138	1,852,149	3,055,846	2,001,053				
Totals	31,170	5,250	2,296,438	10,462,174	52,660	15,463,801	28,311,493	19,395,376				

a) From Table B-4.

In September of every year, each contractor furnishes an updated estimate of future requirements for SWP water. In the fall of 1984, 23 contractors requested delivery of 1,891,849 acre-feet of entitlement water in 1985 (including 29,140 acre-

feet deferred from prior years). On January 4, 1985, DWR approved the initial schedule for 1985 water deliveries, based upon the 1985 rule curve criteria and the December 1, 1984, water supply forecast. The initial approval was for delivery of

b) Values include deliveries of SWP water to short-term contractors (Mustang Water District, 1970-1971; Tracy Golf and Country Club, 1974, 1979, and 1980; Green Valley Water District, 1974, 1975, 1978, 1979, 1980, and 1985; and Granite Construction Company, 1980).

c) Includes preconsolidation repayment water, 1977 emergency relief water, 1978 and 1982 exchange water, regulated delivery of local supply, non-SWP water delivered to Napa County FC&WCD through SWP facilities and conveyance of CVP water (including recreation water, fish and wildlife water, and Decision 1485 water).

#### DELIVERIES, AND WATER CONVEYED

	Water Conveyed (Acre-Feet)												
Calenda Year	Total	Recreation Water	Operational Losses and Storage Changes(d	Initial Fill Water	Subtotal	Other Water(c	Deliveries Surplus and Unscheduled Water (b						
(16)	(15)	(14)	(13)	(12)	(11)	(10)	(9)						
1962	18,570	0	272	9	18,289	18,289	0						
1963	22,712	0	185	71	22,456	22,456	0						
1964	32,830	ŏ	152	171	32,507	32,507	Ö						
1965	44,927	ŏ	729	93	44,105	44,105	ŏ						
1966	69,674	0	1,746	0	67,928	67,928	o						
1967	77,683	Ö	4,212	8,328	65,143	53,605	Ö						
1968	924.852	ő	117,906	498,926	308,020	14,777	121,534						
		0	72,196	510,614	284,246	18,829							
1969 1970	867,056 431,479	0	2,435	23,947	405,097	38,080	72,397 133,024						
1970	431,479	U	2,433	23,941	405,097	96,000	155,024						
1971	711,151	8	5,812	7,853	697,478	44,119	269,019						
1972	1,262,228	6,48 <del>9</del>	53,062	100,274	1,102,403	66,638	423,964						
1973	1,292,906	1,155	53 <b>,</b> 798	204,638	1,033,315	42,511	296,416						
1974	1,588,306	2,118	10,657	237,554	1,337,977	46,224	417,676						
1975	1,922,808	3,377	- 94,606	103,352	1,910,685	63,793	622,902						
1976	1,450,171	1,745	-681,025	61,122	2,068,329	115,217	580,110						
1977	833,180	1,111	-131,151	0	963,220	389,065	0						
1978	2,374,342	1,691	717,370	64,443	1,590,838	121,225	16,914						
1979	2,426,582	1,766	- 83,401	12,302	2,495,915	187,630	648,389						
1980	1,952,443	2,131	- 30,453	. 0	1,980,765	46,459	404,557						
1981	3,228,019	4.688	126,180	0	3,097,151	279,161	908,428						
1982	2,261,984	4,646	136,555	0	2,120,783	154,886(e	215.873						
1983	1,296,870	7,849	- 90,320	0	1,379,341	181,453	13,019						
		7,049	- 1,690	0	2,232,019	380,591(f	262,917						
198 <b>4</b> 1985	2,237,369 2,629,493	4,062	- 88,136	0	2,713,567	410,504	302,010						
1303	2,023,433	4,002	- 00,170	Ü	291129201	4,0,004	Jo2,010						
Totals													
1962-198	29,957,635	49,876	102,485	1,833,697	27,971,577	2,840,052	5,736,149						

d) Includes net effect of (1) operational losses from SWP transportation facilities, (2) changes in reservoir storage south of the Delta, (3) storable local inflows to SWP reservoirs, (4) side inflow to the San Luis Canal, and (5) diversions via the Kern River Intertie.

all requested 1985 entitlement water.

Actual entitlement water delivered in 1985 totaled 2,001,053 acre-feet to the 21 contractors accepting deliveries. (Two contractors took none of their scheduled water). Thirteen contractors

took less entitlement water than initially requested, and four contractors took the amounts initially requested (their full Table A entitlements). The other four contractors, Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency (AVEKWA), Crestline-Lake

e) Revised and corrected from Bulletin 132-85 to reflect 557 acre-feet of 1978 exchange water (MWDSC Basin) changed from other water to municipal and industrial entitlement water.

f) Revised and corrected from Bulletin 132-85 to reflect 126 acre-feet of 1982 exchange water (MWDSC Basin) changed from other water to municipal and industrial entitlement water.

Arrowhead Water Agency (CLAWA), MWDSC, and San Gabriel Valley Municipal Water District (SGVMWD), increased their requested deliveries of entitlement water during the year. AVEKWA received 16 percent more than initially requested (5,235 acre-feet), CLAWA received 2 percent more (34 acre-feet), SGVMWD received 3 percent more (128 acre-feet), and MWDSC took delivery of almost 30 percent more (162,473 acre-feet).

Surplus Water, In September 1984, seven contractors submitted estimates indicating they could use a total of 383,995 acre-feet of surplus water during 1985. In December 1984, DWR committed to deliver the full amount of surplus water requested, based upon the initial 1985 rule curve analysis. Later, the approved surplus deliveries for October, November, and December were withdrawn due to dry weather conditions during the spring and summer months of 1985. Some contractors rescheduled the undelivered portions of their surplus water approved for delivery during January through September 1985 into October through February 1986.

Surplus water deliveries in 1985 totaled 302,010 acre-feet; six SWP contractors received 301,844 acre-feet and 166 acre-feet went to Green Valley Water District, a non-SWP contractor. Alameda County Water District requested, but did not take, surplus water in 1985. Dudley Ridge Water District, Kern County Water Agency, and Tulare Lake Basin Water Storage District received carry-over credits for 12,270 acre-feet of surplus water to be delivered in 1986.

Unscheduled Water. For 1985, no unscheduled water deliveries were made because enough surplus water was available as of January 1985 to satisfy all requests.

Other Water. Column (10) of Table

3 summarizes deliveries of several other types of water, as defined in the accompanying footnote. These are shown in more detail (for 1985) in Table 5, and described in the accompanying text later in this chapter under the heading "Total 1985 Water Deliveries."

Initial Fill Water. The quantities shown in Column (12) of Table 3 are the amounts used for initially filling aqueducts and reservoir storage space south of the Delta to maximum operational capacities. Initial filling began in 1962 with the first filling of the South Bay Aqueduct and was completed in 1979, when Lake Perris reached its maximum operational capacity.

Operational Losses and Storage Changes. Column (13) of Table 3 shows the annual quantities of water conveyed to replenish losses through evaporation and seepage from SWP aqueducts and reservoirs south of the Delta, combined with corrections for changes in reservoir storage and for inflow from local drainage areas (including inflows from the Kern River Intertie and the First Los Angeles Aqueduct). Years with negative values are those in which storage withdrawals and storable local inflow exceed storage additions plus replenishment of evaporation and seepage losses.

Recreation Water. Column (14) of Table 3 summarizes historic deliveries of "recreation water," which actually includes both water for use at SWP recreation facilities and water used for fish and wildlife mitigation and enhancement. In 1985, a total of 4,194 acre-feet was conveyed under this category, as follows:

o 1,910 acre-feet for public recreation facilities at Lake Del Valle, San Luis Reservoir, O'Neill Forebay, Silverwood, Pyramid, and Castaic lakes, and Lake Perris;

- o 1,465 acre-feet released to maintain a trout fishery in Piru Creek, in accordance with a condition of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission license for power development at Pyramid Lake;
- o 334 acre-feet to replace water losses at Castaic Lagoon, an impoundment devoted entirely to recreation;
- o 485 acre-feet conveyed to about 830 acres of wildlife mitigation lands located below O'Neill Forebay and at the Pilibos Wildlife Area (30 miles south of Los Banos).

#### Water Deliveries and Credits to Long-Term Contractors

Table 4 summarizes 1985 water deliveries to each SWP long-term contractor that received water during the year. The table also shows future entitlement delivery and reduction credits, as explained in the following sections.

Future Entitlement Delivery Credits (Makeup Water). When the SWP is unable to deliver the requested entitlement water in any year, longterm contractors are afforded relief under Articles 12(d) and 14(b) of the water supply contract. Contractors may elect to receive the undelivered entitlement water at other times during the year, or in succeeding years, to the extent that the water and delivery capability are available. In 1977, as a result of the drought, quantities of initially-scheduled water were reduced. Through these reductions. 21 long-term contractors gained credits for future delivery totaling 457,066 acre-feet. These credits for undelivered entitlement water under Articles 12(d) and 14(b) have been reduced by delivery of "makeup" water over the years; now only 10 contractors have remaining rights. No

makeup water was delivered in 1985. As shown in Column (7) of Table 4, 128,116 acre-feet of credits for future deliveries remained available as of January 1, 1986 - 123,329 acre-feet under Article 12(d) and 4,787 acre-feet under Article 14(b).

Future Entitlement Delivery Credits
(Wet-Weather Water). Under water
supply contract Article 7 (South Bay
contractors) or Article 45 (San Joaquin
Valley contractors), SWP contractors
can acquire credits for future
deliveries when above-normal local
water supplies reduce the need for SWP
water. At the time of delivery, the
sum of current annual entitlement, plus
"wet-weather" water cannot, however,
exceed a contractor's maximum annual
entitlement.

Oak Flat Water District took delivery of its remaining 540 acre-feet of wet weather water in 1985. The District acquired the credit under Article 45 in 1982 and 1983. Tulare Lake Basin Water Storage District took 72,951 acrefeet of wet-weather water during 1985.

Also during 1985, two South Bay Aqueduct contractors acquired an additional 23,823 acre-feet of wet-weather credits under Article 7 of their water supply contracts. As of January 1, 1986, three contractors had credits totaling 273,759 acre-feet acquired under the wet-weather provisions of their contracts.

Future Entitlement Reduction Credits
(Wet-Weather Water). The "wetweather" contract provisions also allow
a contractor to increase entitlement
water deliveries in years of belowaverage local water supply and to
decrease entitlement deliveries by an
equal amount in later years. Oak Flat
Water District made such an increase in
1972, and has retained its reduction
credit of 2,466 acre-feet through 1985,
as shown in Column (12) of Table 4.

TABLE 4: SUMMARY OF 1985 DELIVERIES AND CREDITS

		Water	Deliveries in	1985 (acre-f	eet)			Future	Entitlement Cr	edits (acre-fe	et)	
	Entit	lement Water Del	iveries				Future Ent	titlement Deli	very Credit as	of Jenuary 1,	1986	Future
Long-Term Water Supply Contractor	1985 Entitlement (1)	Entitlement Deferred from Prior Year (2)	Total Entitlement (1+2=3) (3)	Surplus Water Deliveries (4)	Other Water Deliveries (5)	Total Deliveries (3+4+5=6) (6)	Make-Up Water Per Articles 12(d) or 14(b) (7)	Wet Weather Water Per Articles 7 or 45 (8)	Carry-Over Water Per Ltr.Agrumts. Dated 10/1/79 (9)		Total Delivery Credit (7+8+9) (11)	Entitlement Reduction Credit Articles 7 or 45 (12)
JPPER FEATHER RIVER AREA												
County of Butte Plumms County FC&WCD	308 25 <b>4</b>	-	308 254	-	Ξ	308 254	Ξ.	:	Ξ		-	
SOUTH BAY AREA												
Alameda County FC&WCD, Zn 7 Alameda County WD Santa Clara Valley WD	15,072 19,016 88,000	=	15,072 19,016 88,000	- 9,638	- 4,300(a	15,072 19,016 101,938	2,438 2,220 -	84,996 134,655 -	-		87,434 136,875	
SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY AREA												
County of Kings Devil's Den WD Dudley Ridge WD Empire West Side ID Green Valley WD/d Kern County WA Oak Flat WD	3,400 12,099 46,251 2,200 - 888,896 4,893 36,840	- - 2,997(b - 540(f 72,951	3,400 12,099 46,251 5,197  888,896 5,433 109,791	6,095 7,636 166 180,824 764 96,887	8,122(c - 14,029(e 5,602(g	3,400 18,194 62,009 5,197 166 1,083,749 6,197 206,678	-	0 0 - - - 0 54,108	0 - 0	601 949 800 26,104 7 8,709	0 0 - 0 - 0 54,108	2,46
Tulare Lake Basin WSD SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA AREA	30,040	124331	.00,101	50,001	31005(8	200,070		54,100		0,103	24,100	
Antelope Valley- East Kern WA Castaic Lake WA Conchella Valley WD Crestline-	37,064 12,401 16,989	- -	37,064 12,401 16,989	- - -	=	37,064 12,401 16,989	14,841(h 500 -	-	- - -		14,841 500 -	
Lake Arrowhead WA Desert WA Littlerock Creek ID	1,422 27,000 0	-	1,422 27,000 0	- - -	-	1,558 27,000 0	151 - 438	:	- - -		151 - 438	
Mojave WA Palmdale WD San Bernardino Valley MWD San Gabriel Valley WD	1,558 7,390 5,028	-	1,558 7,390 5,028	=	-	1,558 7,390 5,028	4,269 1,000	-	-		4,269 1,000	
The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California	698,484(1	-	698,484	_	0	698,484	102,239	-	-		102,239	
Subtotal	1,924,565	76,488	2,001,053	302,010	26,451	2,329,514	128,116	273,759	0	37,170	401,875	2,46
NORTH BAY AREA												
Napa County FC&WCD(j	4,039	-	4,039	-	-	4,039	_	-	-		-	
TOTAL ALL AREAS	1,928,604	76,488	2,005,092(k	302,010	26,451	2,333,553	128,116	273,759	0	37,170	401,875	2,46

<sup>)</sup> CVP exchange water.

f) Article 45 wet-weather water.

b) Carry-over storage of entitlement water under letter agreements dated October 1, 1979, with Devil's Den Mater District and Empire West Side Irrigation District (EMSID). EMSID took 2,997 acre-feet during 1985, and was assessed an additional 3 acre-feet for its proportionate share of evaporation losses in Lake Oroville. As of January 1, 1986, neither contractor had storage credits available to them.

c) Includes 1982 exchange water; 1,821 acre-feet from Lake Oroville storage and 1,301 acre-feet of MWDSC exchange water. This also includes 5,000 acre-feet of 1978 MWDSC exchange water.

d) Jenuary and February 1985 delivery of surplus water to a non-long term contractor from unregulated flows in the Delta.

e) Includes 5,471 acre-feet of 1977 emergency relief water, and 8,588 acre-feet of 1978 exchange water, including 2,706 acre-feet of 1977 emergency relief water and 2,896 acre-feet of 1978 exchange water transferred to Tulare Lake Basin Water Storage District.

g) 1977 emergency relief and 1978 exchange water transferred from KCNA (not included in totals).
h) Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency future entitlement delivery credits total 4,787 acre-feet under water supply contract Article 14(b) and 10,054 acre-feet under Article 12(d). Credits shown in this column for all other contractors are under Article 12(d) of their water supply contracts.

Includes 14,859 acre-feet of 1978 and 1982 exchange waters stored in MWDSC's ground water basin for Dudley Ridge Water District and Kern County Water Agency and recaptured by MWDSC in 1985.

j) Non-SWP water delivered to Napa County FCSWCD through SWP facilities; included here to match treatment in Appendix B.

Equals Table 85-B total for 1985 (plus 13,558 acre-feet of 1978 ground water exchange and 1,301 acre-feet of 1982 ground water exchange to MMDSC).

Future Entitlement Delivery Credits (Carry-Over Water). Both Empire West Side Irrigation District (EWSID) and Devil's Den Water District (DDWD) have reached their maximum annual entitlements. Therefore, EWSID is no longer able to exercise the delivery provisions of Article 45. while DDWD had not amended the wet-weather provisions into its contract prior to reaching maximum annual entitlement. EWSID and DDWD do, however, have temporary "carry-over" storage rights in either Lake Oroville or San Luis Reservoir under letter agreements executed on October 1, 1979.

At EWSID's request, a total of 3,000 acre-feet of undelivered 1984 entitlement water was stored in Lake Oroville as shown in Column (9) of Table 4 in Bulletin 132-85. During 1985, 2,997 acre-feet of this water was delivered to EWSID. EWSID was assessed 3 acre-feet for its proportionate share of evaporation losses at Lake Oroville. No carriage water losses were assessed on deliveries of this water, as no additional releases were needed above those required for export deliveries, fish mitigation, and power generation at the time this water was transported across the Delta to Clifton Court Forebay.

Future Entitlement Delivery Credits
(1985 Carry-Over Water). During
spring 1985, the lack of sufficient
rainfall prompted fears of a possible
drought similar to that experienced
during 1976-77. In accordance with
rule curve procedures requiring a
minimum carry-over storage, DWR
canceled scheduled surplus water
deliveries, approved as of May 31,
1985, for October through December
1985. Precipitation continued to be
below-normal during the fall of 1985,
and DWR imposed deficiencies on the
1986 agriculture entitlement requests.

The precipitation that fell during late 1985 delayed the harvesting of crops

and the application of pre-irrigation water from the contractors' remaining 1985 deliveries. Agreements executed in December allowed the carry over of 37,170 acre-feet of 1985 agricultural entitlement water and 12,270 acre-feet of 1985 agricultural surplus water for use during January and February 1986. Column (10) of Table 4 shows the delivery credits for entitlement water under these agreements. Surplus water carry-over credits were as follows:

	Acre-Feet
Dudley Ridge Water District	172
Kern County Water Agency	2,935
Tulare Lake Basin Water	
Storage District	9,163
Total	12,270

The agreements provided that the contractors receive delivery of this water on or before February 28, 1986. Carry-over surplus water was not to be delivered unless the contractor took the full approved amount of the 1985 carry-over entitlement and a minimum of 2 percent of the approved 1986 entitlement in January and 3 percent in February.

Also, both agreements provided for contractor payment of any identified cost increase that would otherwise be experienced by other SWP contractors or DWR as a result of activities under these agreements. All entitlement and surplus water carried over from 1985 was delivered during January and February of 1986.

#### Total 1985 Water Deliveries

During 1985, the SWP provided water service to 38 agencies. These included 22 long-term water contractors and 16 other agencies. Monthly deliveries to each of the 38 agencies, shown in Table 5, are summarized as follows:

o 2,001,053 acre-feet of 1985 entitlement water to 21 long-term contractors, including 14,859 acrefeet recaptured by MWDSC from its ground water system in exchange for water stored in 1978 and 1982 for Dudley Ridge Water District and Kern County Water Agency. Only ten contractors took their full contract entitlement. The twenty-second contractor, Napa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District, only received non-Project water delivered through SWP facilities;

- o 73,491 acre-feet of entitlement water to two long-term contractors deferred from 1983 under the wet-weather provisions (Article 45 of their contracts);
- o 2,997 acre-feet of entitlement water from carry-over storage to one long-term contractor;
- o 301,844 acre-feet of surplus water to six long-term contractors;
- o 166 acre-feet of surplus water to one noncontractor:
- o 5,471 acre-feet of 1977 emergency relief water to one long-term contractor:
- o 13,558 acre-feet of 1978 exchange water to three long-term contractors:
- o 3,122 acre-feet of 1982 exchange water to one long-term contractor;
- o 25,320 acre-feet of regulated local supply to two long-term contractors and two noncontractors:
- o 4,300 acre-feet of federal water transported to two long-term contractors:
- o 4,039 acre-feet of non-Project water to one long-term contractor:
- o 159,658 acre-feet of CVP water transported to nine Cross Valley Canal contractors and to four

- annual contractors in the San Joaquin Valley; and
- o 195,036 acre-feet of CVP water conveyed to O'Neill Forebay to replace curtailed CVP pumping during May and June in accordance with SWRCB Decision 1485.

Table 5 shows monthly deliveries of each type of water conveyed in 1985, along with summaries of Table A entitlements and cumulative entitlements not delivered. The types of water service not described in preceding sections are covered in the following paragraphs.

1977 Emergency Relief Water. At the end of 1977, the SWP had 95,176 acre-feet of water in storage for emergency relief of drought conditions. In 1978, when it became apparent that the 1976-77 drought was over, the stored water was sold. Two non-SWP contractors, (Green Valley Water District and Tracy Golf and Country Club) purchased a total of 650 acre-feet and took delivery of the water in 1978 and 1979.

Kern County Water Agency (KCWA) purchased the remaining 94,526 acrefeet of stored water for delivery before December 31, 1983. At KCWA's request, the agreement was amended to extend the delivery deadline to December 31, 1986. On January 1, 1985. the balance was 13,421 acre-feet and during 1985 KCWA took delivery of 2,765 acre-feet of this water and transferred 2.706 acre-feet to Tulare Lake Basin Water Storage District (TLBWSD). the total delivery of KCWA's 1977 emergency relief water during 1985 was 5,471 acre-feet, leaving a balance of 7,950 acre-feet as of January 1, 1986.

1978 Exchange Water. As described in Bulletin 132-84 (page 46), DWR acquired 30,000 acre-feet of water in 1978 through an exchange agreement with

MWDSC. Under subsequent agreements that water was sold; KCWA purchased 25,000 acre-feet and Dudley Ridge Water District (DRWD) purchased the remaining 5,000 acre-feet.

The original agreement required use of the 1978 exchange water prior to March 31, 1983. Under additional agreements, the delivery deadline was extended to July 31, 1986. During 1985, DRWD took delivery of all 5,000 acre-feet of its 1978 exchange water. KCWA took delivery of 5,662 acre-feet of its 1978 exchange water during 1985, and transferred an additional 2,896 acre-feet to TLBWSD. As of January 1986, KCWA had a balance of 15,885 acre-feet of undelivered 1978 exchange water.

1982 Exchange Water. Under the 1982 exchange agreements described in Chapter III, Dudley Ridge Water District (DRWD) took delivery of all 3,122 acre-feet of its 1982 exchange water during 1985. DRWD took 1,821 acre-feet stored in Lake Oroville, and 1,301 acre-feet stored in a MWDSC ground water basin. To complete the exchange, MWDSC was billed for the 1,307 acre-feet of 1982 exchange water at the variable OMP&R rate charged at the time the water was stored.

Regulated Delivery of Local Supply.

SWP facilities are also used to transport non-Project water for long-term SWP contractors and for other agencies under various agreements to honor local water rights. Some of this water simply passes through SWP transportation facilities; some is stored in SWP reservoirs for release later in the year, under agreements by which the water right holders pay storage fees. In 1985, a total of 25,320 acre-feet in this category was delivered to two long-term contractors and two other agencies.

Non-SWP Water to Napa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District (NCFC&WCD). Pending completion of Phase II of the North Bay Aqueduct, NCFC&WCD receives interim water from the USBR's Solano Project, transported by Solano Irrigation District to Reach 3B of the North Bay Aqueduct. From there the water is pumped through interim pumping facilities near Cordelia and transported through SWP facilities to the terminus. During 1985, NCFC&WCD received 4,039 acre-feet of Solano Project water.

Preconsolidation Repayment Water. DWR entered into two contracts during 1964 to obtain water to preconsolidate land within the right of way of the California Aqueduct. This water was to be paid back upon request after the Aqueduct began service. The contracts, which have changed hands over the years, are currently held by Shell California Production, Inc. (Shell), and the J. G. Boswell Company (Boswell). The original contracts expired December 31, 1984. As described in Chapter III, agreements were completed in 1985 to extend the period for return of preconsolidation water through December 31, 1994. No preconsolidation repayment water was requested in 1985. The remaining balances are 23,689 acre-feet to Shell and 14.170 acre-feet to Boswell.

Conveyance and Transportation
of CVP Water. During 1985, four
arrangements for conveying CVP water
through SWP facilities were in effect.
In each arrangement the USBR provided
the electrical energy required for
moving the water through the Banks
Delta Pumping Plant and, if needed,
the Dos Amigos Pumping Plant.

o An August 30, 1985 exchange agreement between DWR and the USBR provided for DWR to transport up to 12,800 acre-feet of CVP water to O'Neill Forebay in exchange for 12,000 acre-feet of CVP water delivered to Santa Clara Valley Water District (SCVWD). DWR ultimately transported 4,587 acre-

(in acre-feet)

TABLE 5: MONTHLY

	1	1 -1 -1 -1 -1							
					,	<b>l</b> onth		•	
Line No.	Contracting Agency and Type of Service	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	
	FEATHER RIVER AREA			l					_
	Cinn of Wibo Cinn								
1.	City of Yuba City Entitlement Water	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	County of Butte:								
2.	Entitlement Water Last Chance Creek Water District:	0	21	151	60	7	26	11	
3.	Regulated Delivery of Local Supply Plumas County Flood Control and Water	0	0	0	0	3,120	3,656	3,094	
	Conservation District:								
4.	Entitlement Water	0	0	1	1	57	58	58	
5.	Thermalito Irrigation District: Regulated Delivery of Local Supply	83	79	86	173	291	331	350	
6.	AREA TOTAL	83	100	238	234	3,475	4,071	3,513	
	NORTH BAY AREA								
	Napa County Flood Control and Water								
7.	Conservation District: Non-SWP Water via SWP Facilities	665	345	147	222	271	283	287	
	Solano County Flood Control and Water					_,_			
8.	Conservation District: Entitlement Water	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
								-	
9.	AREA TOTAL	665	345	147	222	271	283	287	
	SOUTH BAY AREA								
	Alameda County Flood Control and Water								
	Conservation District, Zone 7:								
10.	Entitlement Water	259	2	6	571	990	2,372	2,932	
11. 12.	Regulated Delivery of Local Supply Agency Total	672 931	1,039 1,041	1,208 1,214	1,228 1,799	1,223 2,213	325 2,697	0 2,932	
12.	Alameda County Water District:		1,041	1,214	1,755	2,213	2,037	2,332	
13.	Entitlement Water	698	91	0	611	768	2,468	3,763	
14.	Regulated Delivery of Local Supply	730	878	738	0	525	402	0	
15.	Agency Total	1,428	969	738	611	1,293	2,870	3,763	
16.	Santa Clara Valley Water District	4 400	4 400	5 765	0 206	0.500	0.600	0 435	
10. 17.	Entitlement Water Surplus Water	4,400 3,394	4,400 2,728	5,765 2,551	8,386 480	9,580 0	9,680 485	9,425 0	
18.	Central Valley Project Exchange Water	3,3,4	2,720	0	400	0	0	ő	
19.	Agency Total	7,794	7,128	8,316	8,866	9,580	10,165	9,425	
20.	Recreation/Fish and Wildlife Water	4	4	4	7	13	19	29	
21.	AREA TOTAL	10,157	9,142	10,272	11,283	13,099	15,751	16,149	
	SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY AREA						_		
	SWP Water								
22	County of Kings:		0.10	840	810	•		0.10	
22.	Entitlement Water Devil's Den Water District:	340	340	340	340	0	340	340	
23.	Entitlement Water	254	381	762	1,016	1,270	2,032	2,286	
24.	Surplus Water	769	2,100	253	728	822	307	925	
25.	Agency Total	1,023	2,481	1,015	1,744	2,092	2,339	3,211	
	Dudley Ridge Water District:		,	-,	-,	.,	-,	.,	
26.	Entitlement Water	944	1,416	2,832	2,799	6,285	8,496	8,496	
27.	Surplus Water	594	391	104	0	1,864	212	3,466	
28.	1978 Exchange Water	0	0	700	250	800	1,000	1,000	
29.	1982 Exchange Water (Oroville Storage)	0	0	0	0	0	599	1,022	
30.	1982 Exchange Water (MWDSC Basin)	0	0	0	0	500	801	0	
31,	Agency Total	1,538	1,807	3,636	3,049	9,449	11,108	13,984	
••	Empire West Side Irrigation District:	_	_	_					
32.	Entitlement Water	0	1 190	700	526	120	403	711	
	Carry-over Water	277	1,189	782	749	0	0	0	
33. 34.	Agency Total	277	1,189	782	1,275	120	403	711	

#### WATER DELIVERIES IN 1985

(in acre-feet)

		Net Cumu Entitlem Delivered	1985 Entitlement Not	1985				Month			
Line No.	1985	1984	Delivered	Contract Entitlement	TOTAL	DEC	NOV	OCT	SEP	AUG	
1.	3,300	1,600	1,700	1,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2.	12,743	11,851	892	1,200	308	7	0	0	25	0	
3.					13,117	0	99	370	670	2,108	
4.	5,164	4,558	606	860	254	0	0	9	15	55	
5.					2,229	81	73	166	181	335	
6.	21,207	18,009	3,198	3,760	15,908	88	172	545	891	2,498	
7.	ı				4,039	619	352	275	287	286	
8.	2,350	1,100	1,250	1,250	0	0	0	0	0	0	
9.	2,350	1,100	1,250	1,250	4,039	619	352	275	287	286	
					-						_
10. 11. 12.	133,461	121,533	11,928	27,000	15,072 6,701 21,773	864 343 1,207	652 422 1,074	1,860 59 1,919	2,082 89 2,171	2,482 93 2,575	
13. 14.	233,143	221,359	11,784	30,800	19,016 3,273	1,850	921	984 0	2,946	3,916	
15.					22,289	1,850	921	984	2,946	3,916	
16. 17.	40,782	40,782	0	88,000	88,000 9,638	3,617 0	6,237 0	7,765 0	9,224 0	9,521 0	
18. 19.					4,300 101,938	531 4,148	506 6,743	3,013 10,778	250 9,474	0 9,521	
20.					152	4	4	25	18	21	
21.	407,386	383,674	23,712	145,800	146,152	7,209	8,742	13,706	14,609	16,033	
22.	0	0	0	3,400	3,400	340	0	340	340	340	
23.	729	128 (c	601 (b	12,700	12,099	469	307	6	523	2,793	
24. 25.					6,095 18,194	0 469	0 307	0 6	0 523	191 2,984	
26.	949	0	949 (b	47,200	46,251	1,251	1,886	1,196	2,154	8,496	
27. 28.					7,636 5,000	0 300	0	0 700	0 250	1,005 0	
29. 30.					1,821 1,301	0	0 0	200 0	0	0 0	
31.					62,009	1,551	1,886	2,096	2,404	9,501	
32.	10,193	12,390 (c	800 (Ъ	3,000	2,200	0	0	0	10	430	
33. 34.					2,997 5,197	0	0	0	0 10	0 430	

(in acre-feet) TABLE 5: MONTHLY WATER

		Month									
ne o.	Contracting Agency and Type of Service	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	NUL	JUL			
	Kern County Water Agency:			<b>'</b>	'				1		
5.	Entitlement Water	13,587	31,137		74,937		141,974				
6.	Surplus Water	0	36,898	38,597	8,653	18,770	25,890	51,868			
7.	1977 Emergency Relief Water	0	124	0	0	1,000	1,641	0			
8. 9.	1977 Emergency Relief Transferred to TLBWSD 1978 Exchange Water	0 0	0	0	0 1,000	0 1,000	0 1,596	0 2,000			
ő.	1978 Exchange Water Transferred to TLBWSD	0	0	0	0	1,000	0	2,000			
1.	Agency Total	13,587	68,159	93,497	84,590		171,101				
	Oak Flat Water District:										
42.	Entitlement Water	0	114	326	772	1,052	898	883			
3.	Article 45(e) Wet Weather Water	0	0	0	0	180	180	180			
44.	Surplus Water	0	0 114	476 802	288	1 222	1 078	0			
45.	Agency Total Tulare Lake Basin Water Storage District:	"	1.14	802	1,060	1,232	1,078	1,063			
46.	Entitlement Water	2,370	0	0	0	0	519	21,330			
47.	Article 45(e) Wet Weather Water	2,370	3,555	7,110	9,480	11,850	18,441	0			
48.	Surplus Water	2,480	12,897	8,112	6,648	9,175	13,298	10,439			
49.	Water Transferred from KCWA	0	0	. 0	´ 0	C	0	0			
50.	Agency Total (excludes transferred water)	4,850	16,452	15,222	16,128	21,025	32,258	31,769			
51.	Recreation/Fish and Wildlife Water	7	15	40	33	63	88	47			
۱	Green Valley Water District:				-	_	-	_			
52.	Surplus Water	55	111	0	0	0	0	0			
53.	AREA SUBTOTAL (SWP Water)	21,677	90,668	115,334	108,219	147,125	218,715	271,143			
	SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY AREA CVP Water										
	Green Valley Water District										
54.	Conveying CVP WaterAnnual Contract	0	0	324	227	24	301	658			
	Musco Olive Products, Inc.:			1		^					
55.	Conveying CVP WaterAnnual Contract Tracy Golf and Country Club:	3	1	1	4	0	4	1			
56.	Conveying CVP WaterAnnual Contract	١ ،	21	14	43	54	80	62			
	U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service:										
57.	Conveying CVP WaterAnnual Contract	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
.	U. S. Bureau of Reclamation:										
58.	Conveying CVP WaterCross Valley Canal	208	0	10,566	21,365	14,814	14,410	10,674			
59.	Conveying CVP WaterDecision 1485 Conveying CVP WaterCalifornia Department	1,446	0	26,154	0	0	0	97,523			
60.	of Fish and Game	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
۱ .	Conveying CVP WaterRecreation/U.S. Fish		·	•	Ū	·	·	Ū			
61.	and Wildlife Service	4	1.3	34	28	51	70	46			
62.	AREA SUBTOTAL (CVP Water)	1,661	35	37,093	21,667	14,943	14 865	108,964			
63.	AREA SUBTOTAL (SWP Water)	21,677			108,219						
54.	AREA TOTAL (CVP Plus SWP Water)	23,338	90,703	152,427	129,886	162,068	233,580	380,107			
	CENTRAL COASTAL AREA										
	San Luis Obispo County Flood Control and										
	Water Conservation District:										
65.	Entitlement Water	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
	Santa Barbara County Flood Control and										
	Water Conservation District:	_		•				_			
66.	Entitlement Water	0	O	0	0	0	0	0			
67.	AREA TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
	SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA AREA						-				
	Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency:										
68.	Entitlement Water	779	748	2,116	3,594	4,819	5,203	5,966			
_	Castaic Lake Water Agency:										
69.	Entitlement Water	889	855	976	939	936	948	1,303			
70.	Coachella Valley Water District: Entitlement Water	0	1,415	1,557	1,557	1,557	1,557	1,557			
	AMILALAUSMEN WALEE		1.413	1.33/							

DELIVERIES IN 1985 (continued) (in acre-feet)

				(III aci e-				_		
Line		Net Cumu Entitlem Delivered	1985 Entitlement Not	1985 Contract				Month		
No.	1985	1984	Delivered	Entitlement	TOTAL	DEC	NOV	OCT	SEP	AUG
35. 36. 37. 38. 39.	265,397	239,293 (c	26,104 (b	915,000	888,896 180,824 2,765 2,706 5,662 2,896	32,024 0 0 2,706 0 1,227	32,783 0 0 0 0 0	37,638 0 0 0 0	49,487 0 0 0 0	161,905 148 0 0 66
41. 42. 43. 44. 45.	647	1,180 (c	7 (Ъ	4,900	1,083,749 4,893 540 764 6,197	35,957 0 0 0	34,452 23 0 0	37,638 130 0 0	105 0 0	162,119 590 0 0 590
46. 47. 48. 49. 50. 51.	62,817	127,059	8,709 (b	45,549	36,840 72,951 96,887 5,602 206,678 495	0 0 15,186 3,933 15,186 18	0 0 5,679 1,669 5,679 26	0 3,141 0 0 3,141 49	0 10,665 9,769 0 20,434 26	12,621 8,709 3,204 0 24,534 83
53.	340,732	380,050	37,170	1,031,749	1,386,085	53,521	42,373	43,400	73,329	200,581
54.					2,175	0	0	9	18	614
55.					18	0	1	2	1	0
56.					463	8	15	45	49	72
57.					3,100	0	1,834	1,266	0	0
58. 59.					125,488 195,036	9,804 0	6,880 0	6,395 0	8,436 0	21,936 69,913
60.					28,000	13,618	14,382	0	0	0
61.					414	12	24	40	24	68
62. 63.	340,732	380,050	37,170	1,031,749	354,694 1,386,085	23,442 53,521	23,136 42,373	7,757 43,400	8,528 73,329	92,603 200,581
64.	340,732	380,050	37,170	1,031,749	1,740,779	76,963	65,509	51,157	81,857	293,184
65.	19,000	11,500	7,500	7,500	٥	0	0	0	0	0
66.	33,660	20,022 (d	13,638	13,638	o	0	0	0	0	0
67.	52,660	31,522	21,138	21,138	o	0	0	0	0	0
68.	254,426	251,490	2,936	40,000	37,064	890	994	2,168	3,960	5,827
69.	158,388	141,689	16,699	29,100	12,401	924	938	1,154	1,223	1,316
70.	5,200	5,200	0	16,989	16,989	1,561	1,557	1,557	1,557	1,557

(in acre-feet) TABLE 5: MONTHLY WATER

Crestline-Lake Arrowhead Water Agency:     Rhitllement Water     Desert Water Agency:     Rhitllement Water     Desert Water Agency:     Rhitllement Water     Desert Water Agency:     Rhitlement Water     Palmdale Water District:     Rhitlement Water     San Bernardino Valley Municipal     Water District:     Rhitlement Water     San Bernardino Valley Municipal     Water District:     San Cabriel Valley Municipal     Water Mater     San Cabriel Valley Municipal     Water District:     San Cabriel Valley Municipal     Water District     San Cabriel Valley Municipal     Water District     San Cabriel Valley Municipal     Water District		(in acr	6-166							
Contracting Agency and Type of Service							Month			
Entitlement Water   99   93   81   75   103   134   163		Contracting Agency and Type of Service	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	
Desert Water Agency: Entitlement Water Littlement Water Littlement Water Littlement Water Entitlement Water  Entitlement Water Flandale Water District: Entitlement Water San Bernardino Valley Municipal Entitlement Water San Gabriel Valley Municipal Entitlement Water San Gabriel Valley Municipal Entitlement Water San Gabriel Valley Municipal Water District: Entitlement Water San Gabriel Valley Municipal Water District: Entitlement Water San Gabriel Valley Municipal Water District: Entitlement Water San Gorgonio Pass Water Agency: Entitlement Water The Metropolitan Water Exchange San Gorgonio Pass Water Agency: Entitlement Water The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California: Entitlement Water The Metropolitan Water Exchange San Gabriel Valley Municipal Water District of Southern California: Entitlement Water The Metropolitan Water Exchange San Gorgonio Pass Water Agency: San Gabriel Valley Municipal Water District of Southern California: Entitlement Water The Metropolitan Water Exchange San Gorgonio Pass Water Agency: Southern California: Entitlement Water The Metropolitan Water Exchange San Gorgonio Pass Water Agency: San Gorgonio Pass Water Agency: San Gabriel Valley Municipal Water District of Southern California: Entitlement Water The Metropolitan Water Exchange The Metropolitan Water Exchange The Metropolitan Water San Gorgonio Pass Water The Metropolita										
Entitlement Water	•		99	93	81	75	103	134	163	
Littlement Water		_ · ·	١ ,	2 250	2 475	2 475	2 475	2 475	2 475	
Entitlement Water Agency:	•			2,230	2,473	2,473	2,473	2,473	2,473	
Entitlement Water     0			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Palmdale Water District:   Entitlement Water   2 9 15 28 42 45 50		Mojave Water Agency:	1							
San Bentardino Valley Municipal Water District: Entitlement Mater San Gabriel Valley Municipal Water District: Entitlement Mater San Gargonio Pass Water Agency: Entitlement Mater Southern California: Entitlement Water Southern California: Entitlement Water Exchange Southern California: Entitlement Water County Flood Control District: Entitlement Water Southern California: Entitlement Water County Flood Control District: Entitlement Water Southern California: Entitlement Water County Flood Control District: Entitlement Water Southern California: Entitlement Water County Flood Control District: Entitlement Water Southern California: Entitlement Water County Flood Control District: Entitlement Water Southern California: Entitlement Water County Flood Control District: Entitlement Water County Flood			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
San Bernardino Valley Municipal   Water District:   Entitlement Water   San Gabriel Valley Municipal Water District:     238   373   104   5   5   382   1,923   583   580			_	_						
Matter District:   Sentitlement Water   San Gabriel Valley Municipal Water District:   Sentitlement Water   San Gabriel Valley Municipal Water District:   Sentitlement Water   San Gorgonio Pass Water Agency:   Sentitlement Water   San Gorgonio Pass Water Agency:   San Gorgonio Pass Water Water Project Exchange Water   San Gorgonio Pass Water Agency:   San Gorgonio Pass Water Water:   San Go	•		2	9	15	28	42	45	50	
San Captriel Valley Municipal Water District:  San Captriel Valley Municipal Water District:  Entitlement Water San Corgonio Pass Water Agency:  Entitlement Water The Matropolitan Water District of Southern California:  Entitlement Water Southern California:  Entitlement Water Southern California:  Entitlement Water Southern California:  Entitlement Water Exchange 1978 Ground Water Exchange 1978 Ground Water Exchange 2000 1000 1250 1,800 2,596 3,000 1978 Ground Water Exchange 2000 1000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 00		• •	ļ							
San Gabriel Valley Municipal Water District: Entitlement Water Southern California: Entitlement Water Exchange Southern California: Southern California Department of Flsh and Came Southern California Department of Southern Cal			238	373	104	5	5	382	1 923	
San Grogono Pass Water Agency: Entitlement Water The Metropolitarn Water District of Southern California: Southern California: Entitlement Water The Metropolitarn Water District of Southern California: Entitlement Water The Metropolitarn Water Exchange The Metropolitarn Water The Metropolitarn Water The Metropolitarn Water The Metropolitarn Water Exchange The Metropolitarn Water The Metropolitarn Water The Metropolitarn Water The Metropolitarn Water Exchange The Metropolitarn Water Exchange The Metropolitarn Water Exchange The Metropolitarn Water The Metropolitarn Water The Metropolitarn Water The Metropolitarn Water Exchange The Metropolitarn Water The Metropolitarn Water The Metropolitarn Water The Metropolitarn Water Water The Metropolitarn Water The Metropolitarn Water Water The Metropolitarn Water The Metropolitarn Water Water The Metropolitarn Water Water The Metropolitarn Water The Metropolitarn Water The Metropolitarn Water Water The Metropolitarn Water Water The Metropolitarn Water The Metropolit	•			3,3		•	_	302	2,723	
Entitlement Water District of Southern California:			0	0	0	66	0	0	0	
The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California: Entitlement Hater										
Southern California:     Entitlement Water   7,478   9,291   42,816   58,460   80,270   75,892   96,200   1978 Ground Water Exchange   0 0 0 700   1,250   1,800   2,596   3,000   1982 Ground Water Exchange   0 0 0 700   1,250   1,800   2,596   3,000   1982 Ground Water Exchange   0 0 0 0 0 0   0 0 0 801   0 0 0 0   0 0 0 0 0 0   0 0 0 0 0 0	١.		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Entitlement Water Exchange										
1978 Ground Water Exchange			7 / 70	0.004				75 000		
1982 Ground Water Exchange			,	•		-			•	
Agency Total   7,478   9,291   43,516   59,710   82,570   79,289   99,200   Ventura County Flood Control District:		. •	_	_		•	•		•	
Ventura County Flood Control District:   Entitlement Water	-	_	_		-				-	
Entitlement Water Recreation/Fish and Wildlife Water    100	•		','''	,,,,,,,	,		,-,-	,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
AREA TOTAL  ALL AGENCIES  Entitlement Water (1985) Deliveries Under Wet Weather Provisions Carry-over Water 1978 Ground Water Exchange SUBTOTAL (Entitlement Water Delivered) Surplus Water 1977 Emergency Relief Water 1978 Exchange Water 1978 Exchange Water 1977 Emergency Relief Water 1978 Exchange Water 1978 Exchange Water 1977 Emergency Relief Water 1978 Exchange Water 1979 Exchange Water 1970 1970 1,250 1,800 2,596 3,000 1971 Exchange Water 1970 0 0 700 1,250 1,800 2,596 3,000 1972 Exchange Water 1973 Exchange Water 1974 Exchange Water 1975 Exchange Water 1976 Exchange Water 1977 Emergency Relief Transferred to TLBWSD 1978 Exchange Water 1977 Emergency Relief Transferred to TLBWSD 1978 Exchange Water 1970 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	١.		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
ALL AGENCIES  Entitlement Water (1985) Deliveries Under Wet Weather Provisions Deliveries Under Wet Wet Weather Provisions Deliveries Under Wet Provisions Deliveries Under Provisions Deliver Provisions Deliver Provisions Deliver Provisions Deliver Provis Under Provisions Deliver Provisions Deliver Provisions Deliver		Recreation/Fish and Wildlife Water	100	142	162	210	146	255	397	
Entitlement Water (1985) Deliveries Under Wet Weather Provisions Carry-over Water Carry-over Water Carry-over Water Carry-over Water Carry-over Water Deliveries Under Wet Weather Provisions Carry-over Water Carry-over W		AREA TOTAL	9,585	15,176	51,002	68,659	92,653	90,288	113,034	
Deliveries Under Wet Weather Provisions   Carry-over Water   277   1,189   782   749   0   0   0   0   1978 Ground Water Exchange   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0		ALL AGENCIES								
Deliveries Under Wet Weather Provisions   0   3,555   7,110   9,480   12,030   18,621   180		Ential amount Matter (1985)	20 227	E2 026	115 222	157 010	202 712	055 000	206 202	
Carry-over Water 1978 Ground Water Exchange 1982 Ground Water Exchange 20 0 0 700 1,250 1,800 2,596 3,000 1982 Ground Water Exchange 27,292 55,125 50,093 16,797 30,631 40,192 66,698 1977 Emergency Relief Water 27,292 55,125 50,093 16,797 30,631 40,192 66,698 201978 Exchange Water 20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0										
1978 Ground Water Exchange			_		•	•	-	-		
SUBTOTAL (Entitlement Water Delivered) Surplus Water 1977 Emergency Relief Water 1978 Exchange Water 1982 Exchange Water 1977 Emergency Relief Exchange Water 1982 Exchange Water 1977 Emergency Relief Transferred to TLBWSD 1977 Emergency Relief Transferred to TLBWSD 1978 Exchange Water Transferred to TLBWSD 1979 Exchange Water Transferred to TLBWSD 1970 Family Transferred Tran		•		-			_	_	-	
Surplus Water 1977 Emergency Relief Water 1978 Exchange Water 1982 Exchange Water 1982 Exchange Water 1977 Emergency Relief Water 1982 Exchange Water 1977 Emergency Relief Transferred to TLBWSD 1977 Emergency Relief Transferred to TLBWSD 1978 Exchange Water Transferred to TLBWSD 1979 Exchange Water Transferred to TLBWSD 1970 TRANSFER TRANSFER TO 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	١.	1982 Ground Water Exchange	0	О	0	. 0	500	801	0	
1977 Emergency Relief Water 1978 Exchange Water 1982 Exchange Water 1977 Emergency Relief Water 1982 Exchange Water 1977 Emergency Relief Transferred to TLBWSD 1977 Emergency Relief Transferred to TLBWSD 1977 Emergency Relief Transferred to TLBWSD 1978 Exchange Water Transferred to TLBWSD 1979 Exchange Water Transferred to TLBWSD 1970 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		SUBTOTAL (Entitlement Water Delivered)	32,614	57,680	123,815	168,697	217,040	277,920	329,202	
1978 Exchange Water 1982 Exchange Water 1982 Exchange Water 1983 Exchange Water 1984 Exchange Water 1985 Exchange Water 1985 Exchange Water 1986 Exchange Water 1987 Exchange Water 1987 Exchange Water 1988 Exchange Water 1988 Exchange Water 1988 Exchange Water 1989 Exchange Water 1989 Exchange Water Transferred to TLBWSD 1998 Exchange Water Transferred to TLBWSD 1978 Exchange Water Transferred to TLBWSD 1979 Exchange Water Transferred to TLBWSD 1979 Exchange Water Transferred to TLBWSD 1970 Exchange Water Transferred to TLBWSD 19					•	16,797				
1982 Exchange Water		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_		_			-		
Central Valley Project Exchange Water 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		T	_	-			•			
1977 Emergency Relief Transferred to TLBWSD 1978 Exchange Water Transferred to TLBWSD Recreation/Fish and Wildlife Water  111 161 206 250 222 362 473  SUBTOTAL (SWP WATER)  40,017 113,090 174,814 186,994 251,193 324,111 400,395  Regulated Delivery of Local Supply Non-SWP Water to Napa County FC&WCD Conveying CVP WaterAnnual Contracts Conveying CVP WaterCross Valley Canal Conveying CVP WaterDecision 1485 Conveying CVP WaterCalifornia Department of Fish and Game Conveying CVP WaterRecreation/Fish and Conveying CVP WaterRecreation/Fish and				-		-		-		
1978 Exchange Water Transferred to TLBWSD   0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				_		_	-	_	_	
Recreation/Fish and Wildlife Water  111 161 206 250 222 362 473  40,017 113,090 174,814 186,994 251,193 324,111 400,395  Regulated Delivery of Local Supply 1,485 1,996 2,032 1,401 5,159 4,714 3,444 186,994 251,193 324,111 400,395  Regulated Delivery of Local Supply 665 345 147 222 271 283 287 271 271 271 271 271 271 271 271 271 27			1	-						
. Regulated Delivery of Local Supply 1,485 1,996 2,032 1,401 5,159 4,714 3,444  . Non-SWP Water to Napa County FC&WCD 665 345 147 222 271 283 287  . Conveying CVP WaterAnnual Contracts 3 22 339 274 78 385 721  . Conveying CVP WaterCross Valley Canal 208 0 10,566 21,365 14,814 14,410 10,674  . Conveying CVP WaterDecision 1485 1,446 0 26,154 0 0 0 97,523  Conveying CVP WaterCalifornia Department of Fish and Game 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  Conveying CVP WaterRecreation/Fish and			111	161	206	250	222	362		
. Non-SWP Water to Napa County FC&WCD 665 345 147 222 271 283 287 . Conveying CVP WaterAnnual Contracts 3 22 339 274 78 385 721 . Conveying CVP WaterCross Valley Canal 208 0 10,566 21,365 14,814 14,410 10,674 . Conveying CVP WaterDecision 1485 1,446 0 26,154 0 0 0 97,523 Conveying CVP WaterCalifornia Department of Fish and Game 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Conveying CVP WaterRecreation/Fish and		SUBTOTAL (SWP WATER)	40,017	113,090	174,814	186,994	251,193	324,111	400,395	
. Non-SWP Water to Napa County FC&WCD 665 345 147 222 271 283 287 . Conveying CVP WaterAnnual Contracts 3 22 339 274 78 385 721 . Conveying CVP WaterCross Valley Canal 208 0 10,566 21,365 14,814 14,410 10,674 . Conveying CVP WaterDecision 1485 1,446 0 26,154 0 0 0 97,523 Conveying CVP WaterCalifornia Department of Fish and Game 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Conveying CVP WaterRecreation/Fish and		Regulated Delivery of Local Supply	1,485	1,996	2,032	1,401	5,159	4,714	3,444	
Conveying CVP WaterCross Valley Canal Conveying CVP WaterDecision 1485 Conveying CVP WaterCalifornia Department of Fish and Game Conveying CVP WaterRecreation/Fish and									•	
. Conveying CVP WaterDecision 1485 Conveying CVP WaterCalifornia Department of Fish and Game Conveying CVP WaterRecreation/Fish and										
Conveying CVP WaterCalifornia Department of Fish and Game Conveying CVP WaterRecreation/Fish and			1						•	
of Fish and Game 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Conveying CVP WaterRecreation/Fish and	•	1	1,446	0	26,154	0	0	0	97,523	
Conveying CVP WaterRecreation/Fish and			_	^	^	^	^	^	^	
	•	_ =	"	U	U	U	U	U	U	
1			4	13	34	28	51	70	46	
	•		1				7.	. •		
TOTAL WATER 43,828 115,466 214,086 210,284 271,566 343,973 513,090	,	TOTAL MATER	43 939	115 466	214 086	210 294	271 566	2//2 072	512 nan	

a) This column includes entitlement not delivered, deferred or otherwise, whether or not the water contractor has received any remuneration.

b) This amount was delivered as carry-over entitlement water in January/February 1986.
 c) Corrected from Bulletin 132-85 to compensate for entitlements not delivered prior to 1971, and which were omitted inadvertently in earlier bulletins.

#### DELIVERIES IN 1985 (continued)

(in acre-feet)

			Month				1985	1985 Entitlement	Entitle	mulative (a ement Not ed Through	
	AUG	SEP	ост	NOV	DEC	TOTAL	Contract Entitlement	Not Delivered	1984	1985	Line No.
										_	
	180	143	130	110	111	1,422	4,350	2,928	17,220	20,148	71.
	2,475	2,475	2,475	2,475	2,475	27,000	27,000	0	8,000	8,000	72.
	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,730	1,730	7,699	9,429	73.
	0	0	0	0	0	0	39,000	39,000	231,994	270,994	74.
	343	292	285	295	152	1,558	14,180	12,622	110,820	123,442	75.
	2,085	1,436	506	297	36	7,390	81,500	74,110	587,847	661,957	76.
	0	0	1,183	2,101	1,678	5,028	21,800	16,772	138,639	155,411	77.
	0	0	0	0	0	0	11,800	11,800	44,000	55,800	78.
	97,096 66 0 97,162	80,727 250 0 80,977	67,135 700 0 67,835	45,865 1,669 0 47,534	22,395 1,527 0 23,922	683,625 13,558 1,301 698,484	1,558,700	860,216	4,972,149 (e	5,832,365	79. 80. 81. 82.
	0	0	0	0	0	0	6,000	6,000	15,000	21,000	83.
	905	527	459	69	43	3,415					84.
	11,850	92,590	77,752	56,370	31,792	810,751	1,852,149	1,044,813	6,531,747	7,576,560	85.
	14,028 8,709 0 66	158,724 10,665 0 250	3,141 0 700	97,441 0 0 1,669	70,644 0 0 1,527	1,909,706 73,491 2,997 13,558	3,055,846	1,131,281	7,346,102	8,400,895	86. 87. 88. 89.
	0 22,803 4,548 0	0 169,639 9,769 0	0 130,362 0 0	0 99,110 5,679 0	0 72,171 15,186 0	1,301 2,001,053 302,010 2,765					90. 91. 92. 93.
	66 0	250 0	700 200	0	300 0	10,662 3,122					94. 95.
	0	250 0	3,013 0	506 0	531 2,706	4,300 2,706					96. 97.
	0	0 571	0 533	1,669	1,227	2,896 4,062					98.
			134,808			2,333,576					100.
	2,536	940	595	594	424	25,320					101.
	286	287	275	352	619	4,039					102.
2	686 1,936	68 8,436	1,322 6,395	1,850 6,880	8 9,804	5,756 125,488					103.
	59,913	0	0	0	0	195,036					105.
	0	0	0	14,382	13,618	28,000					106.
	68	24	40	24	12	414					107.
42	23,851	190,234	143,435	131,145	116,671	2,717,629	3,055,846	1,131,281	7,346,102	8,400,895	108.

d) Revised and corrected from Bulletin 132-85 to reflect Amendment 9 (August 31,1981) which changed Table A entitlements.

e) Revised and corrected from Bulletin 132-85 to reflect a total of 683 acre-feet of 1978 and 1982 Exchange Water (MWDSC basin) recaptured in 1982 and 1984.

feet to O'Neill Forebay in exchange for 4,300 acre-feet delivered to SCVWD. DWR transported more water than it received because the SWP transportation service charge is slightly less than the USBR's charge for the water. The water to SCVWD was used as a supplement to, not as a substitute for, SWP water. SCVWD paid for energy required to transport this water through the South Bay Pumping Plant (at the rates charged for pumping SWP surplus water).

o The USBR and DWR have three-party contracts with nine local agencies; the contracts provide for transportation of CVP water through SWP facilities to KCWA's Cross Valley Canal through 1995. Another contract between DWR and the USBR provides that the USBR will furnish the electrical energy needed for pumping the CVP water at the Banks Delta Pumping Plant for these nine Cross Valley Canal contractors.

DWR's charges under the nine contracts are for use of SWP facilities to transport water from the Delta to O'Neill Forebay and from Reach 8C, the first repayment reach beyond the joint-use facilities, to the Cross Valley Canal in Reach 12E.

The SWP began transportation service for the Cross Valley Canal contractors in 1976; during 1985, 125,488 acre-feet of water was transported. Article 4(b) of the three-party contracts allows the contractors to request deliveries greater than what is provided in the contracts if additional supplies of water and delivery capacity are available. During 1985. DWR conveyed 38,102 acre-feet to Pixley Irrigation District, which exceeds its contractual limit by 7.000 acre-feet. Part of the water delivered to the Cross Valley Canal (39,105 acre-feet) was released from the State's



The California Aqueduct winds its way through a patchwork of California agricultural land.

share of San Luis Reservoir storage in accordance with April 24, 1985 letter agreements between DWR and three Cross Valley Canal contractors. The deliveries from storage were made during May, June, and July, when there was insufficient conveyance capacity available for transporting CVP water. This was due to curtailment of SWP pumping from the Delta in accordance with the SWRCB's Decision 1485. The USBR returned the water released from storage to the State later in the year.

Under the annual conveyance agreement executed February 20. 1985. DWR conveyed water to four USBR annual contractors. On August 9. 1985, this agreement was extended through February 28, 1986 (with new transportation service rates set for 1986). A total of 2,656 acre-feet was conveyed to Green Valley Water District, Musco Olive Products, Inc., and Tracy Golf and Country Club in 1985. This agreement was amended on October 11, 1985, to convey 3,100 acre-feet during November and December from O'Neill Forebay to Reach 10A for the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service. The water was transported by Buena Vista Water Storage District to the Kern National Wildlife Refuge.

As part of this annual contract, the USBR agreed to provide its share of water to meet Delta water quality requirements and to curtail its Delta exports in accordance with Decision 1485. This agreement also provides that the SWP would furnish conveyance capacity from the Delta to replace the May and June curtailment of CVP pumping. (Decision 1485 curtails both CVP and SWP pumping from the Delta during May, June, and July to protect striped bass, but the July curtailment does not restrict

existing CVP pumping capability.)
A total of 195,036 acre-feet of CVP water was conveyed in 1985 of which 27,600 acre-feet were to replace capacity foregone during May and June 1984, and 167,436 acre-feet during May and June 1985.

o An agreement executed October 24, 1985, provided for the transporting of 28,000 acre-feet of CVP water from the Delta to O'Neill Forebay for the Department of Fish and Game/U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service for wildlife habitat purposes in the Grasslands area. This water, delivered in November and December 1985, is shown in Table 5, separate from the 3,100 acre-feet mentioned in the preceding description.

#### **Power Operations**

DWR began operation as a bulk power agency in April 1983. As such, DWR operates a mix of owned, contracted, and purchased power resources to meet SWP needs via contracted transmission capacity. This was DWR's second full year as a bulk power agency.

#### Energy Use

Table 6 summarizes monthly SWP energy use at SWP plants during 1985. energy use and losses for the year were 5.52 billion kWh, approximately 52 percent more than what was used in 1984. This increased energy use reflected increased water deliveries to SWP contractors. Total SWP water deliveries in 1985 increased about 22 percent over 1984; deliveries to Southern California (which require the greatest amount of energy) increased by nearly 44 percent. SWP energy use was nearly evenly distributed between the two major power service areas: Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PGandE) and Southern California Edison Company (SCE). About 2.68 billion kWh were