

1 **BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP**
ERIC L. GARNER, Bar No. 130665
2 JEFFREY V. DUNN, Bar No. 131926
WENDY Y. WANG, Bar No. 228987
3 18101 VON KARMAN AVENUE, SUITE 1000
IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92612
4 TELEPHONE: (949) 263-2600
TELECOPIER: (949) 260-0972
5 Attorneys for Cross-Complainant
LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS
6 DISTRICT NO. 40

EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES
UNDER GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION
6103

7 **OFFICE OF COUNTY COUNSEL**
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
8 JOHN F. KRATTLI, Bar No. 82149
COUNTY COUNSEL
9 WARREN WELLEN, Bar No. 139152
PRINCIPAL DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL
10 500 WEST TEMPLE STREET
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012
11 TELEPHONE: (213) 974-8407
TELECOPIER: (213) 687-7337
12
13 Attorneys for Cross-Complainant LOS ANGELES
COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40

14 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
15 **COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT**

17 **ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER**
18 **CASES**
19 Included Actions:
Los Angeles County Waterworks District No.
20 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of
California, County of Los Angeles, Case No.
21 BC 325201;
22 Los Angeles County Waterworks District No.
40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of
23 California, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-
CV-254-348;
24 Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of
25 Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. City of
Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale
26 Water Dist., Superior Court of California,
County of Riverside, Case Nos. RIC 353 840,
27 RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668

Judicial Council Coordination No. 4408
CLASS ACTION
Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053
Assigned to The Honorable Jack Komar
LOS ANGELES COUNTY
WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40'S
NOTICE OF AMENDED EXHIBIT A TO
STATEMENT OF CLAIMS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

TO ALL PARTIES AND TO THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:


PLEASE TAKE NOTICE Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 (“District No. 40”) hereby amends its Statement of Claim, originally posted on to the Court’s website on September 5, 2013, by replacing Exhibit “A” attached thereto with the attached amended Exhibit “A”.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the attached amended Exhibit “A” differs from the original Exhibit “A” in three respects:

1. The groundwater pumped in 1992 was reduced from 15,498 acre-feet (“af”) to 14,761 af to remove 737 af of groundwater that was pumped from well(s) in Region 37, which is located outside of the Antelope Valley Groundwater Adjudication Basin (“Basin”).
2. The groundwater pumped in 1998 was increased from 17,589 af to 17,659.07 af to correct a clerical error that resulted in the omission of several months of pumping record from Well No. 39-1.
3. The return flow amount in the original Exhibit “A” was previously calculated using 39.08% instead of 39.1%.

Dated: February 17, 2014

BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP

By: 
ERIC L. GARNER
JEFFREY V. DUNN
WENDY Y. WANG
Attorneys for Cross-Complainant
LOS ANGELES COUNTY
WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40

26345.00000\8575957.1

LAW OFFICES OF
BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP
18101 VON KARMAN AVENUE, SUITE 1000
IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92612

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Sandra K. Sandoval, declare:


I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is Best Best & Krieger LLP, 300 South Grand Avenue, 25th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90071. On February 17, 2014, I served the within document(s):

LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40'S NOTICE OF AMENDED EXHIBIT A TO STATEMENT OF CLAIMS

- by posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Court website in regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater matter.
- by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Irvine, California addressed as set forth below.
- by causing personal delivery by ASAP Corporate Services of the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
- by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. Executed on February 17, 2014, at Los Angeles, California.



Sandra K. Sandoval