

1 **BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP**
ERIC L. GARNER, Bar No. 130665
2 JEFFREY V. DUNN, Bar No. 131926
WENDY Y. WANG, Bar No. 228923
3 18101 VON KARMAN AVENUE, SUITE 1000
IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92612
4 TELEPHONE: (949) 263-2600
TELECOPIER: (949) 260-0972
5 Attorneys for Cross-Complainant
LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS
6 DISTRICT NO. 40

**EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES
UNDER GOVERNMENT CODE
SECTION 6103**

7 **OFFICE OF COUNTY COUNSEL**
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
8 JOHN F. KRATTLI, Bar No. 82149
COUNTY COUNSEL
9 WARREN WELLEN, Bar No. 139152
PRINCIPAL DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL
10 500 WEST TEMPLE STREET
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012
11 TELEPHONE: (213) 974-8407
TELECOPIER: (213) 687-7337
12 Attorneys for Cross-Complainant LOS ANGELES
COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40

13
14 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
15 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT

16 ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER
CASES

Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding
No. 4408

17 Included Actions:
18 Los Angeles County Waterworks District No.
40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of
19 California, County of Los Angeles, Case No.
BC 325201;

CLASS ACTION

Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053
Assigned to the Honorable Jack Komar

20 Los Angeles County Waterworks District No.
40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of
21 California, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-
CV-254-348;

LOS ANGELES COUNTY
WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40'S
STATUS CONFERENCE STATEMENT
RE SETTLEMENT

22
23 Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of
Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. City of
24 Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale
Water Dist., Superior Court of California,
25 County of Riverside, Case Nos. RIC 353 840,
RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668

26 RICHARD WOOD, on behalf of himself and
27 all other similarly situated v. A.V. Materials,
Inc., et al., Superior Court of California,
28 County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC509546

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28


In compliance with the Court's March 6, 2014 order, Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 ("District No. 40") hereby submits its Status Conference Statement as follows:

District No. 40 and other parties to this coordinated proceeding have been diligently meeting to discuss settlement, and will continue to meet. District No. 40 respectfully requests the Court to keep the April 7, 2014 trial date as previously scheduled.

Dated: March 20, 2014

BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP

By



ERIC L. GARNER
JEFFREY V. DUNN
WENDY Y. WANG
Attorneys for Cross-Complainant
LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS
DISTRICT NO. 40

26345.00000\8678989.1

LAW OFFICES OF
BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP
18101 VON KARMAN AVENUE, SUITE 1000
IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92612

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Sandra K. Sandoval, declare:


I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is Best Best & Krieger LLP, 300 South Grand Avenue, 25th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90071. On March 20, 2014, I served the within document(s):

LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40'S STATUS CONFERENCE STATEMENT RE SETTLEMENT

- by posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Court website in regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater matter.
- by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Irvine, California addressed as set forth below.
- by causing personal delivery by ASAP Corporate Services of the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
- by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. Executed on March 20, 2014, at Los Angeles, California.



Sandra K. Sandoval