1 Bob H. Joyce, (SBN 84607) Andrew Sheffield, (SBN 220735) 2 Kevin E. Thelen, (SBN 252665) LAW OFFICES OF 3 LEBEAU • THELEN, LLP 5001 East Commercenter Drive, Suite 300 4 Post Office Box 12092 Bakersfield, California 93389-2092 5 (661) 325-8962; Fax (661) 325-1127 Attorneys for DIAMOND FARMING COMPANY, a California corporation, CRYSTAL ORGANIC FARMS, a limited liability company, GRIMMWAY ENTERPRISES, INC., and LAPIS LAND COMPANY, LLC 8 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 10 11 12 Coordination Proceeding Special Title Judicial Council Coordination No. 4408 (Rule 1550 (b)) 13 ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER Case No.: 1-05-CV-049053 14 CASES REQUEST OF GRIMMWAY 15 Included actions: ENTERPRISES, INC., LAPIS LAND COMPANY, LLC, CRYSTAL ORGANICS, LLC, AND DIAMOND 16 Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. FARMING COMPANY TO MODIFY 40 vs. Diamond Farming Company 17 Los Angeles Superior Court THE MARCH 22, 2010 CASE Case No. BC 325201 MANAGEMENT ORDER 18 Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. Date: May 6, 2010 19 Time: 9:00 a.m. 40 vs. Diamond Farming Company Kern County Superior Court Dept: 1 20 Case No. S-1500-CV 254348 NFT 21 Diamond Farming Company vs. City of Lancaster 22 Riverside County Superior Court Lead Case No. RIC 344436 [Consolidated 23 w/Case Nos. 344668 & 353840] 24 AND RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS. 25 Cross-defendants herein, GRIMMWAY ENTERPRISES, INC., LAPIS LAND COMPANY, 26 LLC, CRYSTAL ORGANICS, LLC, AND DIAMOND FARMING COMPANY, hereby respectfully 28

REQUEST OF GRIMMWAY ENTERPRISES, INC., LAPIS LAND COMPANY, LLC, CRYSTAL ORGANICS, LLC, AND DIAMOND FARMING COMPANY TO MODIFY THE MARCH 22, 2010 CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER

request on their own behalf and on behalf of other participating litigants that this court amend this court's "ORDER AFTER CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE ON MARCH 22, 2010" ("Order"). In relevant part, the Order provides:

"The parties shall comply with the provisions of Code of Civil Procedure Section 2034.210 and engage in a simultaneous disclosure and exchange of expert information, including any reports prepared by such experts, on July 1, 2010. Any supplemental disclosures and exchange of information shall occur on July 15, 2010. Expert depositions shall be taken between July 15 and August 30, 2010."

These moving cross-defendants hereby request that the Order be amended as follows:

"The parties shall comply with the provisions of Code of Civil Procedure Section 2034.210 and engage in a simultaneous disclosure and exchange of expert information, including any reports prepared by such experts, on July 15, 2010. Any supplemental disclosures and exchange of information shall occur on July 29, 2010. Expert depositions shall be taken between July 29 and September 13, 2010."

The foregoing request is justified based upon the following. For many months, the principals of most all primary participant stakeholder parties in this litigation, both public and private, have engaged in substantial and substantive settlement negotiations, principal-to-principal. Those efforts are ongoing and involve face-to-face meetings conducted every two weeks, lasting at least one day and in many instances, two days for each settlement negotiation session. Over the last two months, significant and substantial breakthroughs and progress has been achieved by and between the participating principals. There exists increasing optimism that a negotiated agreement will be achieved.

The purpose of the foregoing request for modification of the court's Order would permit the participating principals, both public and private, to defer with the hope of completely avoiding the additional litigation costs for expert witness preparation, thus saving both public funds and private capital.

Under the Code of Civil Procedure, and given the scheduled Phase III trial date, the simultaneous disclosure and exchange of expert information would under the Code of Civil Procedure have normally been required on August 9, 2010. The existing order contemplates that exchange approximately five weeks prior to the date that it would normally have been due pursuant to the Code of Civil Procedure.

The proposed amendment, would still result in an exchange approximately three weeks prior to when it would have otherwise been normally due pursuant to the Code of Civil Procedure. There exists no significant prejudice which would outweigh the substantial cost savings to be deferred and ultimately and hopefully avoided by the participating public and private principals involved. It is anticipated that the other participating principals will, through their respective attorneys of record, file joinders to this request. Respectfully submitted, LeBEAU • THELEN, LLP Dated: April 29, 2010 Attorneys for DIAMOND FARMING COMPANY, a California corporation, CRYSTAL ORGANIC FARMS, a limited liability company, GRIMMWAY ENTERPRISES, INC., and LAPIS LAND COMPANY, LLC

PROOF OF SERVICE

1 ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES JUDICIAL COUNCIL PROCEEDING NO. 4408 2 CASE NO.: 1-05-CV-049053 3 I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the county aforesaid: I am over the age 4 5 of eighteen years and not a party to the within action; my business address is: 5001 E. Commercenter Drive, Suite 300, Bakersfield, California 93309. On April 30, 2010, I served the within REQUEST 6 7 OF GRIMMWAY ENTERPRISES, INC., LAPIS LAND COMPANY, LLC, CRYSTAL ORGANICS, LLC, AND DIAMOND FARMING COMPANY TO MODIFY THE MARCH 22, 8 9 2010 CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER 10 (BY POSTING) I am "readily familiar" with the Court's Clarification Order. Electronic service and electronic posting completed through www.scefiling.org; All papers filed 11 in Los Angeles County Superior Court and copy sent to trial judge and Chair of Judicial Council. 12 Los Angeles County Superior Court Chair, Judicial Council of California 13 111 North Hill Street Administrative Office of the Courts Los Angeles, CA 90012 Attn: Appellate & Trial Court Judicial Services 14 (Civil Case Coordinator) Attn: Department 1 Carlotta Tillman (213) 893-1014 15 455 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102-3688 16 Fax (415) 865-4315 17 (BY MAIL) I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. 18 Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Bakersfield, California, in 19 the ordinary course of business. 20 (STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of 21 California that the above is true and correct, and that the foregoing was executed on April 30, 2010, in Bakersfield, California. 22 23 24 25 26

27

28