1 2	H. Jess Senecal (CSB #026826)EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES UNDER GOVERNMENT CODE § 6103Thomas S. Bunn III (CSB #89502)GOVERNMENT CODE § 6103LAGERLOF, SENECAL, BRADLEY, GOSNEY & KRUSE, LLPGOVERNMENT CODE § 6103		
3	301 N. Lake Avenue, 10th Floor Pasadena, CA 91101-4108		
4	Telephone: (626) 793-9400 Facsimile: (626) 793-5900		
5	Attorneys for Palmdale Water District and		
6	Quartz Hill Water District		
7			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS A	NGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT	
10			
11	Coordination Proceeding	Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding	
12	Special Title (Rule 1550(b))	No. 4408	
13	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES	Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 Assigned to The Honorable Jack Komar, D17	
14		ANSWER OF PALMDALE WATER	
15 16		DISTRICT AND QUARTZ HILL WATER DISTRICT	
10			
17	Defendants Palmdale Water District and Qu	artz Hill Water District ("Districts") answer the	
10	complaints of Los Angeles County Waterworks Di	strict No. 40 as follows. Each District answers for	
20	itself and for no other defendant, and the use of the word "Districts" to refer to both Districts is a matter		
21	of readability and convenience and is not intended to imply a joint answer.		
22			
23	1. Districts generally deny the allegation	ons of the complaints.	
24			
25	FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Failure to State a Cause of Action)		
26			
27	2. Plaintiff has failed to state facts suff	icient to state a cause of action against Districts.	
28			
		1	
	G:\PALMDALE\diamond farming co\pleadings\Pladings\Pladings\Pladings\Pladings\Pladings\Pladings\Pladings\Pl		

1	SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
2	(Uncertainty)		
-3	3. The plaintiff has failed to describe with specificity the groundwater basin from which		
4	plaintiff contends it enjoys rights to produce percolating groundwater.		
5			
6	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
7	(Non-Interference)		
8	4. On information and belief, Districts' water production does not interfere in any way with		
9	plaintiff's claimed water rights.		
10			
11	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
12	(Consent, Waiver, Estoppel, Laches)		
13	5. On information and belief, the plaintiff and its predecessors have been aware for many		
14	years of the Districts' production of groundwater, and of Districts' spending significant amounts of		
15	public money, time and resources to develop the facilities necessary to extract the groundwater and		
16	deliver it to their customers, in reliance on their right to extract groundwater. The plaintiff, by its silence		
17	and inaction, has acquiesced to the Districts' extraction of groundwater. Plaintiff has unreasonably		
18	delayed commencement of this action to the prejudice of Districts.		
19			
20	FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
21	(Civil Code, Section 1007)		
22	6. The relief sought by plaintiff is barred by Civil Code, Section 1007.		
23			
24	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
25	(Statute of Limitations)		
26	7. Plaintiff is barred from relief by the provisions of one or more of sections 318, 319, 321,		
27	338, or 343 of the Code of Civil Procedure.		
28			
	G:\PALMDALE\diamond farming co\pleadings\Plandale answer.doc		
	ANSWER OF PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT AND QUARTZ HILL WATER DISTRICT		

1	SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
2	(Failure to Join Necessary Parties)		
3	8. Plaintiff has failed to join indispensable and necessary parties, namely other landowners		
4	and water producers within the Antelope Valley Basin.		
5			
6	EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
7	(Stream Rights)		
8	9. Palmdale Water District has a license to divert water from Little Rock Creek, which is		
9	one of the sources of water to the Basin. Its right to continue to divert water from Little Rock Creek is		
10	superior in priority to the rights claimed by plaintiff.		
11			
12	NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
13	(Right to recapture imported water)		
14	10. Districts purchase water imported from outside the watershed, and distributes the		
15	purchased water through the Districts' waterworks systems to its customers. After use by the customers		
16	for irrigation, domestic, municipal and industrial uses, a portion of these imported waters percolates into		
17	the ground and commingles with the percolating ground waters contained in the Basin and thereby		
18	augments the natural supply of water in the Basin.		
19	11. Districts have a right to extract from the Basin an amount of water equal to the portion of		
20	the water imported by Districts from outside the watershed that augments the supply of water in the		
21	Basin. This right is superior in priority to the rights claimed by plaintiff.		
22			
23	TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
24	(Appropriative/Prescriptive Rights)		
25	12. For many years, Districts have produced groundwater from the Basin and distributed the		
26	water through its waterworks system to its customers for reasonable and beneficial uses. Districts'		
27	production of groundwater from the Basin has been open, notorious and under claim of right, hostile to		
28	any rights of plaintiff and has continued for a period of more than five consecutive years, during which		

time, Districts are informed and believes, there existed a period of five consecutive years during which
 the Basin was in a state of overdraft.

3 13. By reason of their historical production of groundwater, Districts have acquired an
4 appropriative or prescriptive right to groundwater that is equal or superior in priority to that of the
5 plaintiff.

7	ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
8	(Dedication to Public Use)	
9	14. All the groundwater extracted by Districts from the Basin is devoted to the p	oublic use of
10	distributing the same through their waterworks systems for irrigation, domestic, municipal, and	
11	industrial uses by the Districts' customers.	
12	15. As a result of this dedication to public use, plaintiff cannot obtain any judicity	al relief that

will in any way restrain or prevent Districts from exercising their rights to extract groundwater from the
Basin.

## TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

## (Physical Solution)

18 16. In the event of the imposition of a physical solution or some form of declaratory relief,
19 due regard must be given to the water rights of the Districts.

## THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

## (Hardship)

17. Any injunction against the Districts' production of groundwater will cause undue hardship to the Districts and their customers.

 $G: \label{eq:palmond} G: \label{eq:palmond$ 

1	Dated: November 28, 2005	LAGERLOF, SENECAL, BRADLEY, Gosney & Kruse, llp
2		
3		By: Thomas S. Bunn III
4 5		Attorneys for Palmdale Water District and Quartz Hill Water District
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	G:\PALMDALE\diamond farming co\pleadings\Pleadings\Palmdale answer.doc	5
	ANSWER OF PALMDALE WAT	FER DISTRICT AND QUARTZ HILL WATER DISTRICT

1	PROOF OF SERVICE	
1 2		
2 3 4	I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years, and am not a party to the within action; my business address is 301 North Lake Avenue, 10th Floor, Pasadena, California 91101-4108.	
5 6 7	On November 28, 2005, I served the document, described as ANSWER OF PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT AND QUARTZ HILL WATER DISTRICT TO THE COMPLAINTS OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40 on the interested parties in this action by placing true copies thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes addressed as follows:	
8	[SEE ATTACHED PROOF OF SERVICE LIST]	
9 10 11 12	X (BY REGULAR MAIL) As follows: I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Pasadena, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.	
13 14	X (VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL) BY SANTA CLARA SUPERIOR COURT E-FILING IN COMPLEX LITIGATION PURSUANT TO CLARIFICATION ORDER DATED OCTOBER 27, 2005.	
15 16 17	(VIA FACSIMILE) On ***, I caused the above-referenced document(s) to be transmitted via facsimile to the offices of the addressee(s) as follows: A true and correct copy of the transmission report indicating transmission without error is attached hereto.	
18 19	(BY FEDERAL EXPRESS) I caused such envelope(s) to be delivered by air courier, with next day service.	
20	(BY PERSONAL SERVICE) I delivered such envelope(s) by hand to the offices of the addressee(s).	
21 22	$\underline{\mathbf{X}}$ (STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.	
23 24	(FEDERAL) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.	
25 26	EXECUTED at Pasadena, California on November 28, 2005.	
27		
28	Barbara J. Parker Declarant	
	6 G:\PALMDALE\diamond farming co\pleadings\Pladings\Palmdale answer.doc	
	ANSWER OF PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT AND QUARTZ HILL WATER DISTRICT	

1	PROOF OF	SERVICE LIST
2	Via Regular Mail	
3	Chair, Judicial Council of California Administrative Office of the Courts	
4	Attn.: Appellate & Trial Court Judicial Services	
	(Civil Case Coordination)	
5	455 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102-3688	
6	San Francisco, CA 94102-3088	
7	Via E-File	
8	Honorable Jack Komar Santa Clara County Superior Court of California	
9	191 North First Street, Department 17C	
	San Jose, CA 95113	
10	Via E-Mail	
11	Michael T. Fife, Esq.	Attorneys for Antelope Valley Ground
12	Bradley J. Herrema, Esq.	Water Agreement Association ("AGWA")
13	HATCH & PARENT, A Law Corporation 21 East Carrillo Street	
14	Santa Barbara, CA 93101	
	(805) 963-7000; Fax (805) 965-4333 Addresses for electronic service:	
15	mfife@hatchparent.com	
16		
17	Eric L. Garner, Esq. Jeffrey V. Dunn, Esq.	Attorneys for Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 and for Rosamond Community
18	Jill N. Willis, Esq.	Services District
	BEST, BEST & KREIGER LLP	
19	3750 UNIVERSITY Avenue, Suite 400 P.O. Box 1028	
20	Riverside, CA 92502-1028	
21	(951) 686-1450; Fax (951) 682-3083	
22	Addresses for electronic service: ELGarner@bbklaw.com	
23	jeffrey.dunn@bbklaw.com	
	Develop I Everte Esc	Atterneys for City of Longoster
24	Douglas J. Evertz, Esq. STRADLING, YOCCA, CARLSON & RAUCH	Attorneys for City of Lancaster
25	660 Newport Center Drive, Suite 1600	
26	Newport Beach, CA 92660-6522 (949) 725-4000; fax (949) 725-4100	
27	Address for electronic service:	
28	devertz@sycr.com	
20		
	G:\PALMDALE\diamond farming co\pleadings\Pleadings\Palmdale answer.doc	7
		RICT AND QUARTZ HILL WATER DISTRICT

 $\left| \right|$ 

1	John Toole, Esq. CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE COMPANY	Attorneys for Antelope Valley Water Company
2	3625 Del Amo Boulevard, Suite 350	
3	Torrance, CA 90503 (310) 257-1488; Fax (310) 257-4654	
4	Address for electronic service: jtoole@calwater.com	
5		
6	Richard G. Zimmer, Esq. CLIFFORD & BROWN	Attorneys for WM Bolthouse Farms
7	1430 Truxton Avenue, Suite 900 Bakersfield, CA 93301	
8	(661) 322-6023; Fax (661) 322-3508	
9	Address for electronic service: rzimmer@clifford-brownlaw.com	
10	<u>12ininici @ cintord-browniaw.com</u>	
11	Bob H. Joyce, Esq.	Attorneys for Diamond Farming Company
12	Dave R. Lampe, Esq.	
13	Andrew Sheffield, Esq. LEBEAU • THELEN, LLP	
14	5001 East Commercenter Drive, Suite 300 P.O. Box 12092	
15	Bakersfield, CA 93389-2092	
16	(661) 325-8962; Fax (661) 325-1127 Addresses for electronic service:	
17	bjoyce@lebeauthelen.com	
18	DLuis@lebeauthelen.com	
19	James L. Markman, Esq.	Attorneys for City of Palmdale
20	Steve Orr, Esq. RICHARDS, WATSON & GERSHON	
21	P.O. Box 1059 Brea, CA 92822-1059	
22	(714) 990-0901; Fax (714) 990-2308	
23	Addresses for electronic service: jmarkman@rwglaw.com	
	sorr@rwglaw.com	
24	Janet Goldsmith, Esq.	Attorneys for City of Los Angeles
25	KRONICK, MOSKOWITZ, TIEDEMANN & GIR 400 Capital Mall, 27 <sup>th</sup> Floor	ARD
26	Sacramento, CA 95814-4417	
27	Fax (916) 321-4555 Address for electronic service:	
28	jgoldsmith@kmtg.com	
	G:\PALMDALE\diamond farming co\pleadings\Pleadings\Palmdale answer.doc	8
		ICT AND QUARTZ HILL WATER DISTRICT

1 2 3 4 5	John Slezak, Esq. IVERSON, YOAKUM, PAPIANO & HATCH One Wilshire Boulevard, 27 <sup>th</sup> Floor 624 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90017 (213) 624-7444; Fax (213) 629-4563 Address for electronic service: Jslezak@iyph.com	Attorneys for Los Angeles Department of Water and Power
6	Julie A. Conboy, Esq.	Attorneys for Los Angeles Department of Water
7	Deputy City Attorney	and Power
8	Department of Water and Power 111 North Hope Street	
9	P.O. Box 111	
10	Los Angeles, CA 90012 (213) 367-4513; Fax (213) 241-1416	
11	Address for electronic service:	
12	Julie.Conboy@ladwp.com	
13	Henry Weinstock, Esq. Fred Fudacz, Esq.	Attorneys for Tejon Ranchcorp
14	NOSSAMAN, GUTHNER, KNOX, ELLIOTT, LI	.P
15	445 South Figueroa Street, 31 <sup>st</sup> Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071	
15 16	(213) 612-7839; Fax (213) 612-7801	
10	Addresses for electronic service: hweinstock@nossaman.com	
	ffudacz@nossaman.com	
18		
19 20		
20		
21 22		
22		
23 24		
2 <del>4</del> 25		
23 26		
20 27		
27		
20		
	G:\PALMDALE\diamond farming co\pleadings\Pleadings\Plalmdale answer.doc	9
	ANSWER OF PALMDALE WATER DISTR	RICT AND QUARTZ HILL WATER DISTRICT