1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Thomas S. Bunn III (CSB #89502) LAGERLOF, SENECAL, GOSNEY & KRUSE, LLP 301 N. Lake Avenue, 10th Floor Pasadena, CA 91101-5123 Telephone: (626) 793-9400 Facsimile: (626) 793-5900 Attorneys for Defendant and Cross-Complainant, Palmdale Water District	EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES UNDER GOVERNMENT CODE § 6103	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT		
10			
11	Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 1550 (b))	LASC Case No. BC 325201	
12	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER	Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408	
13 14	CASES	[Assigned to The Honorable Jack Komar, Judge Santa Clara County Superior Court]	
15		Santa Clara Court Case No. 1-05-CV-049053	
16		Notice of Motion to Approve Metering	
17 18		Requirements and for an Extension of Time to Install Meters; Points and Authorities; Declaration of Holly H. Hughes	
19		Date: November 17, 2017	
20		Time: 9:00 a.m.	
		Place: CourtCall only	
21 22			
23	To all parties and their attorneys of record:		
$\begin{bmatrix} 23 \\ 24 \end{bmatrix}$		17. at 9:00 a.m., via CourtCall, Palmdale Water	
$\begin{bmatrix} 24 \\ 25 \end{bmatrix}$	Please take notice that on November 17, 2017, at 9:00 a.m., via CourtCall, Palmdale Water  District will move for an order approving the metering requirements attached as Exhibit A, and for a		
$\begin{bmatrix} 25 \\ 26 \end{bmatrix}$	two-month extension of time for the Parties to install meters on their wells, until March 1, 2018.		
$\begin{bmatrix} 20 \\ 27 \end{bmatrix}$		nalf of the Watermaster (which does not yet have its	
$\begin{bmatrix} 27 \\ 28 \end{bmatrix}$		(	
20			
	1		

1	own attorneys), by authorization of the Wa	termaster board. The motion is based on this notice, the
2	attached Memorandum of Points and Author	orities, and the attached Declaration of Holly H. Hughes.
3		
4	Dated: October 6, 2017	Lagerlof, Senecal, Gosney & Kruse LLP
5		
6		By: /s/ Thomas S. Bunn III
7		Attorneys for Palmdale Water District
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22 23		
23 24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

### 

#### 

## 

#### MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. The Court should approve the metering requirements because they were prepared by the Watermaster Engineer and adopted in accordance with the Judgment.

The Judgment provides that the Court may adopt appropriate rules and regulations prepared by the Watermaster Engineer and proposed by the Watermaster. (Judgment ¶18.4.2 at p. 46.) The Judgment requires the Watermaster Engineer to propose, and the Watermaster to adopt and maintain, rules and regulations regarding determination of Production amounts and installation of individual water meters. (*Id.* ¶18.5.5 at p. 49.) The rules and regulations must set forth approved devices or methods to measure or estimate production. (*Id.*)

In accordance with these requirements, the Watermaster Engineer proposed metering requirements, which are attached as Exhibit A. A properly noticed public hearing was held to consider the requirements. (Judgment ¶18.4.2; Hughes Decl.) The Watermaster adopted the metering requirements by resolution, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit B.

Because the metering requirements were proposed and adopted in accordance with the Judgment, and because they are consistent with the Judgment, the Court should approve the metering requirements.

# II. The Court should extend the time for installing water meters for two months, until March 1, 2018.

The Judgment requires all Parties other than the Small Pumper Class to install meters on their wells for monitoring Production within two years from the entry of Judgment, i.e. by December 28, 2017. The court is requested to extend this deadline by two months, until March 1, 2018.

The contract for the Watermaster Engineer was approved April 26, 2017. The Watermaster Engineer immediately got busy preparing the 2016 Annual Report, which went through several drafts and reviews before it was timely filed on August 1, 2017. The next major order of business was to prepare rules and regulations, especially the metering rules, because of the upcoming deadline for metering. The metering rules also went through several drafts, and were reviewed by the Advisory Committee and Watermaster Board at regular public meetings before being sent to the Parties.

1	Extension of the deadline will serve two purposes. First, it will give the Watermaster Engineer		
2	time to pre-qualify contractors for the meter installation. The Watermaster Engineer intends to proceed		
3	with this now that the metering rules have been adopted, even though they have not yet been approved		
4	by the Court. Second, the extension will give the Parties enough time to install the meters following the		
5	end of the growing season.		
6	The downside to the extension is that 2018 is the first year in which Parties' unassessed		
7	Production will be limited by the Judgment. It would be preferable to have meters operating the entire		
8	year, in order to monitor compliance with these limitations. Nevertheless, the Watermaster Engineer and		
9	the Watermaster Board believe the advantages to the extension outweigh this disadvantage.		
10	Dated: October 6, 2017 Lagerlof, Senecal, Gosney & Kruse LLP		
11			
12	By:/ <sub>S</sub> /		
13	Attorneys for Palmdale Water District		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27	G:\PALMDALE\ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER\POST GLOTRANS DOCS\MOTION TO APPROVE METERING REQUIREMENTS.DOCX		
28			