1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Edward J. Casey (SBN 119571) Neal Maguire (SBN 234531) ALSTON & BIRD LLP 333 South Hope Street, 16th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071-1410 Telephone: 213-576-1000 Facsimile: 213-576-1100 ed.casey@alston.com neal.maguire@alston.com Attorneys for Cross-Defendants eSolar, In Red Dawn SunTower, LLC; Sierra SunTo Gaskell SunTower, LLC; Sierra SunTo Gaskell SunTower, LLC; Tumbleweed Su AV Solar Ranch 1, LLC SUPERIOR COURT (FOR THE CO	ower, LLC; intower, LLC and	
10 11	ANTELOPE VALLEY	budicial Council (Coordination No. 4408
12	GROUNDWATER CASES	Judicial Council Coordination No. 4408 ESOLAR, INC.'S OPPOSITION TO LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS NO. 40 NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR LEGAL FINDINGS ON WATER CODE REQUIREMENTS TO REPORT EXTRACTIONS OF GROUNDWATER IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY	
13	INCLUDED ACTIONS:		
14 15 16	LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40 v. DIAMOND FARMING COMPANY, et al., Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC325201;		
17 18 19	LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40 v. DIAMOND FARMING COMPANY, et al., Kern County Superior Court Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348;	Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 Assigned to The Honorable Jack Komar Hearing Date: February 14, 2012	
 20 21 22 23 	DIAMOND FARMING COMPANY, and W.M. BOLTHOUSE FARMS, INC., v. CITY OF LANCASTER, et al., Riverside Superior Court Case No. RIC 344436 [c/w case no. RIC 344668 and 355840]	Time:	9:00a.m.
24			
25	///		
26	///		
27	///		
28		TEDWORKSNA	
	ESOLAR, INC.'s OPPOSITION TO L.A. COUNTY WA FINDINGS ON WAT. CODE REQUIREMENTS TO		

1 Cross-Defendant eSolar, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries Red Dawn SunTower, 2 LLC, Sierra SunTower, LLC, Gaskell SunTower, LLC, and Tumbleweed Suntower, LLC, also 3 Cross-Defendants, and Cross-Defendant AV Solar Ranch 1, LLC submit this opposition to the 4 Motion for Legal Findings on Water Code Requirements to Report Extractions of Groundwater 5 in Los Angeles County (the "Motion") filed by Los Angeles County Waterworks No. 40 on 6 January 17, 2012.

First, the Motion, which purports to seek a legal determination from this Court regarding the scope and effect of Water Code section 4999 et seq., is procedurally improper at this time. The Motion does not rely on any provisions regarding specific allowable motions (such as a motion for summary judgment, demurrer, motion for judgment on pleading, etc.).

Second, note that the enforcement of Water Code section 4999 et seq. cannot affect 12 certain current and future rights of overlying property owners. (See City of Barstow v. Mojave Water Agency (2000) 23 Cal.4th 1224, 1247-49; see also 1-11 California Water Law and Policy § 11.12 [Bender 2011].)

By:

Dated: January 31, 2012

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ALSTON & BIRD LLP

EDWARD J. CASEY Attorneys for Cross-Defendants eSolar, Inc; Red Dawn SunTower, LLC; Sierra SunTower, LLC; Gaskell SunTower, LLC; Tumbleweed Suntower, LLC and AV Solar Ranch 1, LLC

ESOLAR, INC.'S OPPOSITION TO L.A. COUNTY WATERWORKS NO. 40 NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR LEGAL FINDINGS ON WAT. CODE REQUIREMENTS TO REPORT EXTRACTIONS OF GROUNDWATER IN L.A. COUNTY

1

1		PROOF OF SERVICE		
2		I, Yolanda S. Ramos, declare:		
3		I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. My business		
4 5	address is Alston & Bird LLP, 333 South Hope Street, Sixteenth Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90071. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the action in which this service is made.			
6	On January 31, 2012, I served the document(s) described as ESOLAR, INC.'s OPPOSITION TO LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS NO. 40 NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR LEGAL FINDINGS ON WATER CODE			
7				
8	REQUIREMENTS TO REPORT EXTRACTIONS OF GROUNDWATER IN L ANGELES COUNTY on the interested parties in this action by enclosing document(s) in a sealed envelope addressed as follows:			
9		BY MAIL: I am "readily familiar" with this firm's practice for the collection and		
10		the processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. In the ordinary course of business, the correspondence would be		
11		deposited with the United States Postal Service at 333 South Hope Street, Los Angeles, California 90071 with postage thereon fully prepaid the same day on		
12		which the correspondence was placed for collection and mailing at the firm. Following ordinary business practices, I placed for collection and mailing with		
13		the United States Postal Service such envelope at Alston & Bird LLP, 333 South Hope Street, Los Angeles, California 90071.		
14	×	BY ELECTRONIC MAIL: By posting the document listed above to the Santa Clara Superior Court website: www.scefiling.org regarding the ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER matter.		
15		BY FEDERAL EXPRESS UPS NEXT DAY AIR OVERNIGHT		
16 17		DELIVERY: I deposited such envelope in a facility regularly maintained by FEDERAL EXPRESS UPS Overnight Delivery [specify name of service:] with delivery fees fully provided for or delivered the envelope to a		
18		courier or driver of DELIVERY [specify name of service:] authorized to receive documents at Alston & Bird LLP, 333 South Hope Street, Los Angeles, California 90071 with delivery		
19		fees fully provided for.		
20		BY FACSIMILE: I telecopied a copy of said document(s) to the following addressee(s) at the following number(s) in accordance with the written		
21		confirmation of counsel in this action.		
22	X	[State] I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.		
23		[Federal] I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.		
24		/		
25		Executed on January 31, 2012, at Los Angeles, California.		
26 27		Jun St		
28		YOLANDA S. RAMOS		