1	EDGAR B. WASHBURN (BAR NO. 34038)			
2	WILLIAM M. SLOAN (BAR NO. 203583) MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP			
	425 Market Street			
3	San Francisco, California 94105-2482			
4	Telephone: 415.268.7000 Facsimile: 415.268.7522			
	Email: wsloan@mofo.com			
5	Attorneys for U.S. BORAX INC.			
6	RICHARD G. ZIMMER (BAR NO. 107263)			
7	T. MARK SMITH (BAR NO. 162370) CLIFFORD & BROWN			
8	1430 Truxtun Avenue, Suite 900			
	Bakersfield, California 93301-5230			
9	Telephone: 661.322.6023 Facsimile: 661.322.3508			
10	Email: rzimmer@clifford-brownlaw.com			
11	Attorneys for BOLTHOUSE PROPERTIES, LLC and WM. BOLTHOUSE FARMS, INC.			
12	and wivi. BOLITIOUSE PARMS, INC.			
13	(List of Counsel Continues on Next Page)			
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
15	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES			
13				
16	Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 1550(b))	Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408		
17	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES	Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-		
18	Included Actions:	049053		
19	Log Angeles County Weterwarks District No. 40 y	A soi sured to		
20	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co. Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles,	Assigned to The Honorable Jack Komar		
21	Case No. BC 325 201	SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY IN		
22	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co.	RESPONSE TO THE WOOD AND WILLIS CLASS		
23	Superior Court of California, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348	SUBMISSIONS REGARDING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO		
24	Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster	DISMISS		
25	Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster	Date: June 19, 2009		
26	Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist. Superior Court of California, County of Riverside,	Time: 10:00 a.m. Dept: 17C		
27	Case Nos. RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668 (Consolidated Actions)			
28				
_~				

1	List of Counsel (Continued):
2	BOB H. JOYCE (BAR NO. 84607) ANDREW SHEFFIELD (BAR NO. 220735)
3	KEVIN E. THELEN (BAR NO. 252665) LAW OFFICES OF LEBEAU THELEN, LLP
4	5001 East Commercenter Drive, Suite 300 Post Office Box 12092
5	Bakersfield, California 93389-2092 Telephone: 661.325.8962
6	Facsimile: 661.325.1127 Email: bjoyce@lebeauthelen.com
7	Attorneys for DIAMOND FARMING COMPANY, a
8	California corporation, CRYSTAL ORGANIC FARMS, a limited liability company, GRIMMWAY
9	Enterprises, Inc., and LAPIS LAND COMPANY, LLC.
10	ROBERT M. DOUGHERTY (BAR NO. 41317)
11	JESSE T. MORRISON (BAR NO. 247185) COVINGTON & CROWE, LLP
12	1131 West Sixth Street, Suite 300 Ontario, California 91762
13	Telephone: 909.983.9393 Facsimile: 909.391.6762
14	Email: jmorrison@covcrowe.com
15	Attorneys for A.V. UNITED MUTUAL GROUP
16	MICHAEL T. FIFE (BAR NO. 203025) BRADLEY J. HERREMA (BAR NO. 228976)
17	BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP 21 East Carrillo Street
18	Santa Barbara, California 93101 Telephone: 805.963.7000
19	Facsimile: 805.965.4333 Email: mfife@bhfs.com
20	
21	Attorneys for the ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER AGREEMENT ASSOCIATION
22	("AGWA")
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

The moving Cross-Defendants respectfully submit this brief supplemental reply in response to the submissions this week that have been filed by the Wood and Willis classes. The Wood Class, in response, has indicated in no uncertain terms:

> In the case of Richard Wood v. Los Angeles Waterworks District No. 40 et al., the Court certified a Plaintiff class based on a defined set of legal claims asserted in the complaint. The Court did not certify a defendant class.

7

8

9

10

11

1

2

3

4

5

6

Richard Wood's Response re Mot. to Dismiss ("Wood Response") at 2:9-12 (emphasis added). Similarly, the Willis Class has responded that it is:

> actively participating in these coordinated proceedings, albeit as **Plaintiffs** challenging the Public Water Suppliers (the "Suppliers") prescription claims, and *not as defendants* to any claims seeking a Basin-wide allocation of water rights.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Rebecca Willis' and the Class' MPA with Respect to the Landowners' Mot. to Dismiss ("Willis Response") at 2:2-4 (emphasis added). It is plainly evident from these submissions that the class members are not parties and are not being represented in defense against the totality of claims alleged by the Public Water Suppliers in their operative pleadings. But, hundreds of other landowners in the valley, and the United States, have been named and must defend themselves against those claims. The law governing indispensable parties exists precisely to avoid these inequitable circumstances.

At one stage (several years ago), all parties recognized that a defendant class had to be formed to achieve a comprehensive adjudication. Indeed, the Public Water Suppliers filed a motion to certify such a defendant class on January 10, 2007. (See Public Water Suppliers' Mot. for Class Cert. at 5:20-21.) And this Court granted the Public Water Suppliers' motion for certification of a defendant class on March 12, 2007, subject to the creations of subclasses for dormant pumpers and small or "de minimis" pumpers. (See Minute Order from March 12, 2007; March 12, 2007 Hearing Transcript at 39:1-13.) Moreover, counsel for the Willis class even recognized that certification of a broad defendant class was necessary "in order to achieve a comprehensive resolution to the ground water claims in the Antelope Valley Basin" and proposed that Willis could serve as class representative for

a subclass of dormant pumpers. (Putative Class Pl. Willis' Response to Public Water Suppliers' 1 2 Proposed Class Definitions and Notice at 2:5-6, 3:5-7.) 3 The intervening appearance of these non-pumper and small pumper plaintiff class actions did 4 not eliminate the need to join all of those class members as defendants. Rather, these submissions by 5 the classes—affirmatively insisting that they are not defendants—only further confirm that this 6 adjudication still suffers from a jurisdictional flaw. Unless all indispensable parties are brought in as 7 defendants to the comprehensive adjudication that the Public Water Suppliers have alleged, the 8 adjudication should be dismissed. 9 Dated: June 18, 2009 EDGAR B. WASHBURN 10 WILLIAM M. SLOAN MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 11 By: /s/ William M. Sloan 12 William M. Sloan 13 Attorneys for U.S. BORAX, INC. 14 RICHARD G. ZIMMER (BAR NO. 107263) T. MARK SMITH (BAR NO. 162370) 15 CLIFFORD & BROWN 16 By: 17 Richard G. Zimmer Attorneys for BOLTHOUSE PROPERTIES, 18 LLC and WM. BOLTHOUSE FARMS, INC. 19 BOB H. JOYCE (BAR NO: 84607) 20 ANDREW SHEFFIELD (BAR NO. 220735) KEVIN E. THELEN (BAR NO. 252665) 21 LAW OFFICES OF LEBEAU THELEN, LLP 22 By: 23 Bob H. Joyce Attorneys for DIAMOND FARMING 24 COMPANY, a California corporation, CRYSTAL ORGANIC FARMS, a limited 25 liability company, GRIMMWAY Enterprises, Inc., and LAPIS LAND COMPANY, LLC. 26 27

28

1	a subclass of dormant pumpers. (Putative C	Class Pl. Willis' Response to Public Water Suppliers'	
2	Proposed Class Definitions and Notice at 2:5-6, 3:5-7.)		
3	The intervening appearance of these non-pumper and small pumper plaintiff class actions did		
4	not eliminate the need to join all of those class members as defendants. Rather, these submissions by		
5	the classes—affirmatively insisting that they are not defendants—only further confirm that this		
6	adjudication still suffers from a jurisdictional flaw. Unless all indispensable parties are brought in as		
7	defendants to the comprehensive adjudication that the Public Water Suppliers have alleged, the		
8	adjudication should be dismissed.		
9			
10	Dated: June 18, 2009	EDGAR B. WASHBURN WILLIAM M. SLOAN	
11		MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP	
12		By:William M. Sloan	
13		Attorneys for U.S. BORAX, INC.	
14			
15		RICHARD G. ZIMMER (BAR NO. 107263) T. MARK SMITH (BAR NO. 162370) CLIFFORD & BROWN	
16		By:	
17		Richard G. Zimmer	
18 19		Attorneys for BOLTHOUSE PROPERTIES, LLC and WM. BOLTHOUSE FARMS, INC.	
20		BOB H. JOYCE (BAR NO. 84607)	
21		ANDREW SHEFFIELD (BAR NO. 220735) KEVIN E. THELEN (BAR NO. 252665)	
22		LAW OFFICES OF LEBEAU THELEN, LLP	
23		By: Bob H. Joyce	
24		Attorneys for DIAMOND FARMING	
25		COMPANY, a California corporation, CRYSTAL ORGANIC FARMS, a limited liability company, GRIMMWAY Enterprises,	
26		Inc., and LAPIS LAND COMPANY, LLC.	
27			
28			

1	ROBERT M. DOUGHERTY (BAR NO. 41317) JESSE T. MORRISON (BAR NO. 247185)
2	COVINGTON & CROWE, LLP
3	By: Jesse T. Morrison
4	Attorneys for A.V. UNITED MUTUAL
5	GROUP
6	MICHAEL T. FIFE (BAR NO. 203025)
7	MICHAEL T. FIFE (BAR NO. 203025) BRADLEY J. HERREMA (BAR NO. 228976) BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP
8	Du /a/ Michael W. Bife
9	By: /s/ Michael T. Fife Michael T. Fife
10	Attorneys for the ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER AGREEMENT
11	ASSOCIATION ("AGWA")
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

I declare that I am employed with the law firm of Morrison & Foerster LLP, whose address is 425 Market Street, San Francisco, California 94105-2482. I am not a party to the within cause, and I am over the age of eighteen years. I further declare that on June 18, 2009, I served a copy of the attached SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY IN RESPONSE TO THE WOOD AND WILLIS CLASS SUBMISSIONS REGARDING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS by electronically posting a true copy thereof to Santa Clara County Superior Court's electronic filing website for complex civil litigation cases (Judge Jack Komar, Dept. 17C — http://www.scefiling.org) with respect to Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408 (Antelope Valley Groundwater matter). I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that this document was executed at San Francisco, California, on June 18, 2009. Catherine & Berte Catherine L. Berté (typed)

PROOF OF SERVICE