

1 EDGAR B. WASHBURN (BAR NO. 34038)
Email: EWashburn@mofo.com
2 WILLIAM M. SLOAN (BAR NO. 203583)
Email: WSloan@mofo.com
3 **MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP**
425 Market Street
4 San Francisco, California 94105-2482
Telephone: 415.268.7000
5 Facsimile: 415.268.7522

6 Attorneys for U.S. BORAX INC.
7

8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
10

11 Coordination Proceeding
Special Title (Rule 1550(b))

12 **ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES**

13 Included **CONSOLIDATED** Actions:

14 **Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v.**
15 **Diamond Farming Co.**
Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles,
16 Case No. BC 325 201

17 **Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v.**
18 **Diamond Farming Co.**
Superior Court of California, County of Kern,
19 Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348

20 **Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster**
Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster
Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist.
21 Superior Court of California, County of Riverside,
Case Nos. RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668
22 (Consolidated Actions)

Judicial Council Coordination
Proceeding No. 4408

Los Angeles County Superior Court
Lead Case No. BC 325201

Assigned to: Hon. Jack Komar
Dept. 12

**U.S. BORAX'S STATEMENT FOR
MAY 2, 2012 CASE
MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE**

Date: May 2, 2012
Time: 10:00 a.m.
Dept: 316

For Court's Use Only:
Santa Clara County
Case No. 1-05-CV-049053
(For E-Posting/E-Service Purposes Only)


23
24 The Parties have continued to have very positive discussions working towards a stipulated
25 physical solution that would enable a final resolution of this case including the issues involving
26 allocation of water rights. Since the last case management conference with this Court, substantial
27 progress has been made on a draft physical solution with the input from a broad range of parties on
28 all sides of the litigation, both in meetings hosted by the Antelope Valley East Kern Water Agency

1 (AVEK) and before Justice Robie. A further mediation session in Sacramento has been scheduled for
2 May 30, 2012, and the parties have been provided specific directions by Justice Robie on how to
3 revise the language in the draft physical solution. Leading up to that May 30 session, AVEK has
4 indicated its willingness to host further meetings so that the parties can continue their collaboration to
5 reach as much consensus as possible. Given the time and resources expended on these meetings, as
6 well as the progress being made, U.S. Borax believes that the most prudent course of action with
7 respect to any formal court proceedings is to set another case management conference for a status
8 update in early June, or to simply have that update occur at the hearing already scheduled for June 19,
9 2012.

10 Respectfully submitted,

11 Dated: May 1, 2012

EDGAR B. WASHBURN
WILLIAM M. SLOAN
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

13
14 By: 
15 William M. Sloan
16 Attorneys for U.S. BORAX INC.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28