1			
2			
3	IGNACIA S. MORENO Assistant Attorney General United States Department of Justice Environment and Natural Resources Division		
4			
5	R. LEE LEININGER	EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES UNDER	
6	United States Department of Justice Environment and Natural Resources Division	GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6103	
7	999 18 th Street South Terrace, Suite 370		
8	Denver, Colorado 80202 lee.leininger@usdoj.gov Phone: 303/844-1364 Fax: 303/844-1350		
9	Attorneys for Federal Defendants		
10	Attorneys for rederal Defendants		
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
12	Coordination Proceeding) Judicial Council Coordination	
13	Special Title (Rule 1550(b))) Proceeding No. 4408	
14	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES)) UNITED STATES' RESPONSE TO	
15	Included actions:) AGWA'S NOTICE OF MOTION) AND MOTION FOR LEGAL	
16	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., et al.) FINDINGS REGARDING SCOPE) OF IMMUNITY UNDER CIVIL	
17	Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC 325 201) CODE SECTION 1007	
18	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v.) Date: February 14, 2012) Time: 9:00 am	
19	<u>Diamond Farming Co., et al.</u> Kern County Superior Court, Case No. S-1500-CV-) Room: 1515)	
20	254-348))	
21	Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster Diamond Farming Co. v. Polymodela Water Diatrict)	
22	Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water District Riverside County Superior Court, Consolidated Action,)	
23 24	Case nos. RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668 AND RELATED CROSS ACTIONS)	
25	——————————————————————————————————————)	
26	The United States hereby responds to the Antelope Valley Groundwater Agreement		
27	Association ("AGWA") motion regarding the scope of governmental immunity under Civil Code		
28	§ 1007. See AGWA'S Notice of Motion and Motion for Legal Findings Regarding Scope of		
	Immunity Under Civil Code Section 1007; Memorandun	n of Points and Authorities In Support	

I

Thereof, filed January 18, 2012. AGWA requests that the Court make a determination that, prior to the 1968 amendment to Civil Code § 1007, the scope of governmental immunity is limited to water rights on public lands used in a governmental capacity, and not in a proprietary capacity. *Id.* at 5-6. Further, AGWA argues that prior to 1968 property owned by the state or municipalities in a proprietary capacity, and not devoted to public use, was subject to adverse possession and prescription. *Id.*

While AGWA does not specifically confine its argument for prescription of pre-1968 governmental water rights to state and local California entities, the United States assumes the motion was not directed at federal rights. It is black letter law that "prescriptive rights cannot be obtained against the federal government." *See United States v. 1,629.6 Acres of Land*, 503 F.2d 764, 767 (3rd Cir. 1974)(holding that riparian rights cannot be obtained by prescription against the federal government); *see also Yamashita v. Territory of Guam*, 59 F.3d 114, 116 (9th Cir. 1995); *United States v. Hato Rey Bldg.*, 886 F.2d 448, 450 (1st Cir. 1989); *Sweeten v. U.S. Dep't of Agric. Forest Service*, 684 F.2d 679, 682 (10th Cir. 1982). Prescriptive claims cannot be made against the federal government for the simple reason that adverse possession cannot be achieved against the federal government. *United States v. Vasarajs*, 908 F.2d 443, 447 (9th Cir. 1990) (citing *United States v. Pappas*, 814 F.2d 1342, 1343 n. 3 (9th Cir. 1987)).

Thus, whatever the merits of AGWA's claim of limited immunity from prescription by state and local governments and municipalities, there is no merit to such an argument against the United States.

Dated this 31st day of January 2012.

/s/ R. Lee Leininger
R. LEE LEININGER

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Amber Petrie, declare:

I am a resident of the State of Colorado and over the age of 18 years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is U.S. Department of Justice, Environment and Natural Resources Section, 999 18th Street, South Terrace - Suite 370, Denver, Colorado 80202.

On January 31, 2012, I caused the foregoing document(s) described as: **United States' Response to AGWA's Notice of Motion and Motion for Legal Findings Regarding Scope of Immunity Under Civil Code Section 1007**, to be served on the parties via the following service:

X	BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE AS FOLLOWS by posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara website in regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater matter.
	BY MAIL AS FOLLOWS (to parties so indicated on attached service list): By placing true copies thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes addressed as indicated on the attached service list.
	BY OVERNIGHT COURIER: I caused the above-referenced document(s) be delivered to FEDERAL EXPRESS for delivery to the above address(es).
	Executed on January 31, 2012 at Denver, Colorado.
	/a/ A make an Destaile

/s/ Amber Petrie
Amber Petrie
Legal Assistant