

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT**

Coordination Proceeding
Special Title (Rule 1550 (b))
**ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER
CASES**

Judicial Council Coordination
Proceeding No. 4408
[Assigned to The Honorable Jack Komar, Judge
Santa Clara County Superior Court, Dept. 17]
Santa Clara Court Case No. 1-05-CV-049053
JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT

The parties listed on the attached Exhibit 1 submit this case management statement pursuant to the *Minute Order from May 23, 2014*, filed May 28, 2014. Counsel for the listed parties have been engaged in an extensive effort to complete a comprehensive global settlement consisting of a proposed Interlocutory Judgment and Physical Solution (“Judgment”) and a Stipulation for the entry of the Judgment by the Court. This settlement group is comprised of varied groundwater users including public water providers, agricultural users and other landowners, mutual water companies, the Wood Class and the federal government. The settlement group jointly reports on its efforts and the status of the negotiations.

Following the May 23 case management conference, the settlement group has met regularly in telephonic negotiation sessions to edit and refine the draft Judgment, and to create a Stipulation in support of the Judgment. The most recent drafts of these documents were emailed to the Court website’s list of all counsel of record in the adjudication on June 27. The on-going discussions have resulted in further edits and revised versions will be distributed to all counsel of record today or early on Wednesday, July 9.

1 The negotiations continue to be productive and the settlement group is optimistic that final
2 settlement documents will be completed in a matter of days. With respect to a proposed Interlocutory
3 Judgment and Stipulation to Enter Judgment, the parties continue intensive efforts to resolve a few
4 discreet issues. The settlement group is hopeful that these limited issues will be resolved in the near
5 future. The settlement group is focusing its attention on these issues and expects to report on the
6 progress of resolving all remaining issues at the scheduled case management conference on July 11,
7 2014.

8 In addition, the Public Water Suppliers report that they have recently amended their First
9 Amended Cross-Complaint to name twenty-six (26) persons/entities as Roes 2303 through 2328.¹ Roes
10 2302 through 2309 are former Wood Class Members, who have opted out of the Wood Class Settlement.
11 Roes 2310 through 2318 are previously unnamed water companies. Roes 2319 through 2328 are entities
12 operating and/or located in Adjudication Area and are expected to be annexed into Rosamond
13 Community Services District. .

14 Respectfully submitted this 8th day of July, 2014.

15
16 _____/s/
17 R. LEE LEININGER
18 JAMES J. DUBOIS
19 United States Department of Justice
20 On behalf of and with permission by counsel of
21 record listed on attached Exhibit 1.
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 ¹ Littlerock Creek Irrigation District and Palm Ranch Irrigation District did not join the other Public Water Suppliers in naming Joshua Acres Mutual Water Company as Roe 2316.