

1 JOHN S. TOOTLE, Bar No. 181822
2 CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE COMPANY
3 2632 WEST 237TH STREET
4 TORRANCE, CA, 90505
5 jtootle@calwater.com
6 (310) 377-1716 (Direct)
7 (310) 257-1400 ext. 74312

8
9 Attorney for: CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE COMPANY
10
11

12 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
13 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT
14
15

16 ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER)	JUDICIAL COUNCIL
17 CASES)	COORDINATED PROCEEDING
18)	NO. 4408
19 Included Actions:)	
20)	
21 Los Angeles County Waterworks District No.)	Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053
22 40 v. Diamond Farming Company)	Assigned to the Honorable Jack Komar
23 Superior Court of California, County of Los)	Department I
24 Angeles, Case No. BC325201)	
25)	CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE CO'S
26 Los Angeles County Waterworks District)	JOINER LITTLE ROCK CREEK
27 No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co.)	IRRIGATION DISTRICT'S MOTION
28 Superior Court of California)	FOR AN ORDER MODIFYING AND
29 County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348)	CLARIFYING COURT-APPOINTED
30)	EXPERT COSTS
31 Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster)	
32 Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster)	DATE: July 29, 2013
33 Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water District)	
34 Superior Court of California)	
35 County of Riverside, Consolidated Actions)	
36 <u>Case Nos. RIC 353840, RIC 344436, RIC 344668</u>)	

1 CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE COMPANY (Cal Water) joins Littlerock Creek Irrigation District,
2 Palm Ranch Irrigation District, North Edwards Water District, Desert Lake Community Services District,
3 Llano Del Rio Water Co., Llano Mutual Water Company, and Gig Rock Mutual Water Co. Motion for an
4 Order Authorizing Court-Appointed Expert Work. Cal Water is a small public water supplier (PWS).

5
6 The Court should proportionately allocate its Court-Appointed Expert Work costs to PWS and other
7 parties in proportion to their groundwater pumping from the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin (Basin).
8 Phase IV has determined current groundwater pumping for all parties, except the Wood's Class.

9
10 If the Court finds that only the PWS should pay for the Court-Appointed Expert Work, the Court should,
11 consistent with the Court's Order to Pay Willis' Class Attorney Fees, allocate payment proportionately to
12 the number of customers. There is a significant difference in the size of PWS, ranging from less than
13 1,000 customers to over 45,000 customers, and any particular PWS customer should not disproportionately
14 bear these costs.

15
16
17
18 DATED: July 16, 2013

CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE COMPANY

19
20
21 By:  _____

John S. Tootle

22
23 Attorney for California Water Service Company
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

