1	Law Offices of MATHENY SEARS LINKERT & JAIME, LLP	
2	NICHOLAS R. SHEPARD, ESQ. (SBN 300629) 3638 American River Drive	
3	Sacramento, California 95864 Telephone: (916) 978-3434	
4	Facsimile: (916) 978-3430 nshepard@mathenysears.com	
5	Attorneys for Defendants, JOHNNY ZAMRZLA,	
6	PAMEĽLA ZAMRZLA, JOHNNY LEE ZAMRZLA AND JEANETTE ZAMRZLA	
7	(collectively "ZAMRZLA'S")	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT	
10		
11	Coordinated Proceeding, Special Title (Rule 1550(b)) Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No : 4408	
12	Special Title (Rule 1550(b)) Proceeding No.: 4408	
13	ANTELOPE VALLEY LASC Case No. BC325201	
14	GROUNDWATER CASES. Santa Clara Sup. Court Case No.: 1-05-CV-049053	3
15	Assigned to Hon. Jack Komar, Judge of the Santa Clara County Superior Court	
16		
17	OBJECTION TO NOTICE OF DEPOSITION	
18	OF JOHNNY LEE ZAMRZLA AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF	
19	DOCUMENTS	
20	TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:	
21	Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 2025.410, Defendants JOHNNY	
22	ZAMRZLA, PAMELLA ZAMRZLA, JOHNNY LEE ZAMRZLA AND JEANETTE	
23	ZAMRZLA hereby object to the Notice of Taking Deposition of Johnny Lee Zamrzla, and request	
24	for production of documents, as follows:	
25	OBJECTIONS TO DEPOSITION	
26	Defendants object that this deposition was unilaterally set. Nonetheless, Mr. Zamrzla wil	11
27	appear for his deposition.	
28	1	
	1 OBJECTION TO NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF JOHNNY LEE ZAMRZLA AND REQUEST FOR	\dashv
	PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS	

LAW OFFICES OF MATHENY SEARS LINKERT & JAIME, LLP 3638 AMERICAN RIVER DRIVE SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95864

MATHENY SEARS LINKERT & JAIME, LLP 3638 AMERICAN RIVER DRIVE SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95864 10 11 12 **AW OFFICES OF** 13

Defendants further object to this deposition to the extent it seeks information outside the agreed-upon scope of the issues to be heard at the hearing on August 23, 2022.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1

2

RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 1:

All deeds RELATING TO the PROPERTY.

RESPONSE TO DEMAND NO. 1:

Responding party objects that this request is overbroad as to time. This request seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of the litigation, and this request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. This request seeks documents that are publicly available and thus equally available to propounding party.

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 2:

All title reports RELATING TO the PROPERTY.

RESPONSE TO DEMAND NO. 2:

14 Responding party objects that this request vague and ambiguous with respect to the term 15 "title reports." This request is overbroad as to time. This request seeks information that is not 16 relevant to the subject matter of the litigation, and this request is not reasonably calculated to lead 17 to the discovery of admissible evidence.

18 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 3**:

All real property tax bills RELATING TO the PROPERTY since January 1, 2000.

20 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND NO. 3:**

21 Responding party objects that this request is overbroad as to time. This request seeks 22 information that is not relevant to the subject matter of the litigation, and this request is not 23 reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. This request seeks 24 documents that are publicly available and thus equally available to propounding party.

25 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 4**:

26 All photographs and video taken on or after January 1, 2000, depicting the PROPERTY or any portion thereof. 27

28

///

19

RESPONSE TO DEMAND NO. 4:

2 Responding party objects that this request is so overbroad as to scope and time as to be 3 burdensome and harassing. This request seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter 4 of the litigation, and this request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible 5 evidence. This request invades responding party's right to privacy.

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 5:

All photographs and video depicting any water well or any portion thereof on the PROPERTY.

RESPONSE TO DEMAND NO. 5:

10 Responding party objects that this request is overbroad as to time. This request seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of the litigation, and this request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 6:

All photographs and video taken on or after January 1, 2000, depicting any electrical panel on the PROPERTY.

16 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND NO. 6:**

17 Responding party objects that this request is so overbroad as to scope and time as to be 18 burdensome and harassing. This request seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter 19 of the litigation, and this request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible 20 evidence. This request invades responding party's right to privacy.

21 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 7**:

22 All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO each and every water well on the PROPERTY 23 including, without limitation, drilling permits, drilling logs, installation, maintenance and repair 24 records.

25 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND NO. 7:**

26 Responding party objects that this request vague and ambiguous with respect to the term 27 "limitation, drilling permits, drilling logs, installation, maintenance and repair records." This 28 request is overbroad as to scope and time. This request seeks information that is not relevant to the

1

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

1 subject matter of the litigation, and this request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery

2 of admissible evidence.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 8:

All DOCUMENTS, including maps, plats and the like depicting the location of any water systems on the PROPERTY, including all mains, laterals, values and sprinkler lines.

RESPONSE TO DEMAND NO. 8:

Responding party objects that this request vague and ambiguous with respect to the term "maps, plats and the like depicting the location of any water systems on the PROPERTY, including all mains, laterals, values and sprinkler lines." This request is overbroad as to scope and time. This request seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of the litigation, and this request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 9:

All DOCUMENTS evidencing the amount of groundwater pumped from each well located on the PROPERTY since January 1, 2000.

15 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND NO. 9:**

Responding party objects that this request is overbroad as to scope and time.

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 10:

18 All Notices of Groundwater Extraction and Diversion ("NOTICES") filed with the State

19 Water Resources Control Board ("SWRCB") or any regional office for the PROPERTY.

20 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND NO. 10:**

Responding party objects that this request is overbroad as to time. This request seeks
information that is not relevant to the subject matter of the litigation, and this request is not
reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

24 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 11**:

25 All COMMUNICATIONS with the SWRCB RELATING TO groundwater extraction for

the PROPERTY.

27 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND NO. 11:**

28 Responding party objects that this request is overbroad as to time. This request seeks

OBJECTION TO NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF JOHNNY LEE ZAMRZLA AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

information that is not relevant to the subject matter of the litigation, and this request is not
 reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 12:

ALL DOCUMENTS RELATING TO the amount of electrical power used on the
PROPERTY or any part thereof, since January 1, 2000, including all invoices and billing records,
pump tests, and the like.

RESPONSE TO DEMAND NO. 12:

Responding party objects that this request is so overbroad as to scope and time as to be burdensome and harassing. This request seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of the litigation, and this request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. This request invades responding party's right to privacy.

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 13:

All DOCUMENTS evidencing communications with Southern California Edison RELATING TO the PROPERTY or any part thereof.

RESPONSE TO DEMAND NO. 13:

Responding party objects that this request is so overbroad as to scope and time as to be
burdensome and harassing. This request seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter
of the litigation, and this request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible
evidence. This request invades responding party's right to privacy.

20 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 14**:

All DOCUMENTS evidencing any pump test performed on the wells on the PROPERTY
 or any part thereof.

23 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND NO. 14:</u>**

24 Responding party objects that this request is overbroad as to time.

25 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 15**:

26 All DOCUMENTS evidencing the lease of the PROPERTY or any part thereof.

27 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND NO. 15:**

28 Responding party objects that this request is overbroad as to scope and time. This request

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of the litigation, and this request is not
 reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. This request invades
 responding party's right to privacy.

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 16:

All DOCUMENTS evidencing the lease of any land adjacent to the PROPERTY or any part
thereof since January 1, 2000.

RESPONSE TO DEMAND NO. 16:

Responding party objects that this request is overbroad as to scope and time. This request seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of the litigation, and this request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. This request invades responding party's right to privacy.

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 17:

All DOCUMENTS evidencing COMMUNICATIONS with Gene Nebeker since January 1, 2000.

RESPONSE TO DEMAND NO. 17:

Responding party objects that this request is overbroad as to scope and time. This request
seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of the litigation, and this request is not
reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Responding party objects
that this request falls outside the agreed upon scope of discovery and the issues to be addressed at
the August 23, 2022 hearing.

21 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 18**:

All DOCUMENTS evidencing COMMUNICATIONS with Jan Hendrix since January 1,
 2000.

24 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND NO. 18:**

Responding party objects that this request is overbroad as to scope and time. This request
seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of the litigation, and this request is not
reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Responding party objects
that this request falls outside the agreed upon scope of discovery and the issues to be addressed at

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

10	responding party's right to privacy.
11	DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 20:
12	All DOCUMENTS evidencing any claimed overlying right to pump groundwater.
13	RESPONSE TO DEMAND NO. 20:
14	Responding party objects that this request falls outside the agreed upon scope of discover
15	and the issues to be addressed at the August 23, 2022 hearing. This request is burdensome an
16	harassing.
17	DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 21:
18	YOUR current CV or resume.
19	RESPONSE TO DEMAND NO. 21:
20	Responding party objects that this request seeks information that is not relevant to th
21	subject matter of the litigation, and this request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discover
22	of admissible evidence.
23	
24	Dated: June 2, 2022 MATHENY SEARS LINKERT & JAIME, LLP
25	By: NICHOLAS R. SHEPARD, ESQ., Attorney
26	for Defendants, JOHNNY ZAMRZLA, PAMELLA ZAMRZLA, JOHNNY LEE
27	ZAMRZLA AND JEANETTE ZAMRZLA (collectively "ZAMRZLA'S")
28	7
	7
	OBJECTION TO NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF JOHNNY LEE ZAMRZLA AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

7 8 seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of the litigation, and this request is not 9 reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. This request invades MATHENY SEARS LINKERT & JAIME, LLP 3638 AMERICAN RIVER DRIVE SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95864

LAW OFFICES OF

1

2

3

6

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 19:

privilege and/or the attorney work product doctrine.

4 All DOCUMENTS evidencing the purchase of water from any source since January 1, 2000. 5

Responding party objects that this request is overbroad as to scope and time. This request

the August 23, 2022 hearing. This request seeks documents protected by the attorney-client

RESPONSE TO DEMAND NO. 19:

1	PROOF OF SERVICE [Code Civ. Proc. §§ 1011, 1013, 1013(a)(3) & 2015.5]
2 3	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 (For filing purposes only) JCCP 4408
4	
5	(STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO)
6 7	I am a resident of the United States and employed in Sacramento County. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within entitled action. My business address is 3638 American River Drive, Sacramento, California.
8	On June 2, 2022, I served the following documents on the parties in this action described as follows:
9	
10	OBJECTION TO NOTICE OF TAKING DEPOSITION OF JOHNNY LEE ZAMRZLA
11	[V] DV ELECTDONIC SEDVICE, hy mosting the desugget of the desugget of the Autology
12	[X] BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE: by posting the document(s) listed above to the Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases to all parties listed on the Santa Clara Superior Court Service
13	List as maintained via Glotrans. Electronic service completed through <u>http://www.avwatermaster.org</u> .
14	[] BY OVERNIGHT MAIL: by causing document(s) to be picked up by an overnight delivery service company for delivery to the address(es) on the next business day.
15	I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, that the
16	foregoing is true and correct.
17	Executed on this 2nd day of June 2022, at Sacramento, California.
18	
19	
20	Kankan
21	Kym Green
22	
23	
24	
25	
26 27	
27	
28	·
	PROOF OF SERVICE