

1 Ralph B. Kalfayan, SBN133464  
David B. Zlotnick, SBN 195607  
2 KRAUSE, KALFAYAN, BENINK  
& SLAVENS LLP  
3 625 Broadway, Suite 635  
San Diego, CA 92101  
4 Tel: (619) 232-0331  
Fax: (619) 232-4019

5 Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class

6

7

8

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

9

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

10

**ANTELOPE VALLEY  
GROUNDWATER CASES**

11

12

This Pleading Relates to Included Action:  
13 REBECCA LEE WILLIS, on behalf of herself  
and all others similarly situated,

14

Plaintiff,

15

vs.

16

17 LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS  
DISTRICT NO. 40; CITY OF LANCASTER;  
CITY OF LOS ANGELES; CITY OF  
18 PALMDALE; PALMDALE WATER  
DISTRICT; LITTLEROCK CREEK  
19 IRRIGATION DISTRICT; PALM RANCH  
IRRIGATION DISTRICT; QUARTZ HILL  
20 WATER DISTRICT; ANTELOPE VALLEY  
WATER CO.; ROSAMOND COMMUNITY  
21 SERVICE DISTRICT; MOJAVE PUBLIC  
UTILITY DISTRICT; and DOES 1 through  
22 1,000;

23

Defendants.

24

I, Ashley Polyascko, declare:

25

26 I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years, and not a  
27 party to the within action; my business address is 625 Broadway, Suite 635, San Diego,  
California, 92101. On **March 15, 2011**, I served the within document(s):

28

JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION  
PROCEEDING NO. 4408

CASE NO. BC 364553

Assigned to The Honorable Jack Komar

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28


1. **PLAINTIFF'S REPLY MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF APPLICATION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES IN RESPONSE TO THE OPPOSITION FILED BY THE CITY OF LANCASTER AND ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT; and**
2. **MARCH 15, 2011 SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF DAVID B. ZLOTNICK IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES.**

by posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Court website in regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater matter.

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with the postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on **March 15, 2011**, at San Diego, California.

  
Ashley Polyascko