Exhibit B

1	MICHAEL T. FIFE (State Bar No. 203025) BRADLEY J. HERREMA (State Bar No. 2289	076)
2	BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECI	K, LLP
3	21 East Carrillo Street Santa Barbara, California 93101	
4	Telephone No: (805) 963-7000 Facsimile No: (805) 965-4333	
5	Attorneys for: Gene T. Bahlman, William R. Ba	
6	Thomas M. and Julie Bookman, Bruce Burrows, John Calandri as Trustee of the John and B.J. Cal	300 A 40 H, LLC, B.J. Calandri, John Calandri, andri 2001 Trust, Calmat Land Company, Cameo
7		idated Rock Products, Del Sur Ranch LLC, Forrest
8	Lawrence A. Godde and Godde Trust, Gorrindo I	Family Trust, Leonard and Laura Griffin, Healy
9	A., & Paul S. Kindig, Paul S. & Sharon R. Kindig	adi, Juniper Hills Water Group, Eugene V., Beverly g, Kootenai Properties, Inc., Dr. Samuel Kremen,
10		Trust, James W. Kyle, James W. Kyle as Trustee of e, Malloy Family Partners, Jose Maritorena Living
11	Trust, Richard H. Miner, Barry S. Munz, Terry A Nebeker, R and M Ranch, Inc., John and Adrienn	. Munz and Kathleen M. Munz, Eugene B.
12	Ritter as Trustee of the Ritter Family Trust, Sahar	a Nursery, Marygrace H. Santoro as Trustee for the
13	Marygrace H. Santoro Rev Trust, Marygrace H. S Siebert, Helen Stathatos, Savas Stathatos, Savas S	Santoro, Mabel Selak, Jeffrey L. & Nancee J. Stathatos as Trustee for the Stathatos Family Trust,
14	Tierra Bonita Ranch Company, Beverly Tobias, V Antelope Valley Ground Water Agreement As	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
15		,
16	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
17	FOR THE COUNTY	Y OF LOS ANGELES
18	ANTELOPE VALLEY) GROUNDWATER CASES)	Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408
19) Included Actions:	Santa Clara Casa No. 1 05 CN 040052
20) Los Angeles County Waterworks District No.)	Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 Assigned to The Honorable Jack Komar
21	40 v. Diamond Farming Co. Superior Court of	AGWA'S OBJECTION AND JOINDER IN
22	California County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC	OBJECTIONS TO MOTION FOR
23	2District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co.	PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS SETTLEMENT FILED BY RICHARD
24	Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348Wm. Bolthouse) Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster Diamond)	WOOD AND LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40
25	Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster Diamond	DATE: MAY 24, 2011
26	Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist. Superior	TIME: 9:00 a.m. DEPT: 316
27	consolidated actions, Case No. RIC 353 840,	JUDGE: Hon. J. Komar
28	RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668	

BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP 21 East Carrillo Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

1 The Antelope Valley Groundwater Agreement Association ("AGWA") joins in the 2 objections filed by Bolthouse Properties and Copa De Oro Land Company. The proposed Wood 3 Class settlement is adverse to the rights of AGWA members in numerous ways in violation of the 4 Court's Order of Consolidation. The primary areas of adversity created are: 5 The Agreement purports to allocate up to 3 acre-feet per household. (Agreement 11:4-5.) The 6 Agreement says that if the Court does not "approve this provision" then the Agreement is void. 7 (Agreement 116-7.) The Court cannot approve an allocation of a specific acre-foot amount to the 8 Wood Class without reducing the amount of water available for allocation to the other landowners. 9 Thus, approval of the Agreement harms the rights of the other landowners in the consolidated 10 action.¹ 11 Such an allocation is especially prejudicial because it appears significantly overstated in 12 comparison to the actual historical pumping by rural residential users. The purveyor's Summary 13 Expert Report stated that: 14 "[I]n the general category of municipal-type water requirements, rural residences (considered to be represented by some 7,000 improved land 15 parcels located outside the service areas of municipal water purveyors or smaller mutual or other private water companies) were estimated to have 16 utilized a total of about 8,200 afy in 2006 (approximately 1.2 afy per 17 parcel). Similar to the method employed for estimating the historical rate of growth of mutual water company water demand (described below), the 18 2006 rural residential water requirement of 8,200 afy equaled approximately 8 percent of the requirement of the major purveyors, and 19 the historical rural residential water requirements are considered to be that 20 constant fraction of the historical M&I water requirements of the major purveyors. As such, the rural residential water requirement in 2009 is 21 estimated to be about 7,000 afy." (SER Appendix D, page D-20.) 22 The Agreement says that any assessments ultimately charged by the Watermaster will be 23 subject to the Wood Class 3-acre-foot exemption. (Agreement 11:9.) This will result in higher 24 assessments to the other landowners. Thus, approval of the Agreement harms the rights of the other 25 landowners in the consolidated action. 26 27 The Wood Class is defined as users who use 25 acre-feet or less. No where is an explanation given 28 as to how the settlement will affect class members who use more than 3 acre-feet.

AGWA's OBJECTION 2

The Agreement says that "any assessments shall be borne by the Overlying Landowners" 2 which includes landowners not party to the Wood Class action. (Agreement 11:8-9.) Thus, approval 3 of the Agreement harms the rights of the other landowners in the consolidated action.

The Agreement binds the Watermaster with regard to potential ordered reduction in pumping with respect to other Overlying Landowners. (Agreement 11:25-12:16.) This acts as a limitation on other landowners ability to make such legal claims in the future with regard to the Wood Class members. Thus, approval of the Agreement harms the rights of the other landowners in the consolidated action.

The Agreement states that, "Any pumping reductions needed because of the Wood Class exemption would be made solely by Overlying Owners from their 85% share of the Federally Adjusted Native Safe Yield." (Agreement 12:14-16.) Thus, approval of the Agreement harms the rights of the other landowners in the consolidated action.

13 The Agreement states, "The Wood Class members recognize that other Overlying Owners 14 may have the right to pump correlatively with them 85% of the Federally Adjusted Native Safe 15 Yield of the Basin for reasonable and beneficial uses on their overlying land. However, by approving 16 this Agreement, the Court expressly recognizes that the 3 acre-foot per year Assessment-exemption 17 pumping right, set forth is IV.D.2, above, is domestic use pursuant to California Water Code section 18 106." (Agreement 12:23-27.) Thus, the approval of the Agreement requires the Court to make a 19 specific determination that could affect the priority of use as between other landowners and the 20 Wood Class.

21 Use of water for "domestic purposes," only includes consumption for sustenance of human 22 beings, for household conveniences and for care of livestock. (Deetz v. Carter (1965) 232 23 Cal.App.2d 851.) The Agreement requires the Court to make such a factual determination without 24 any evidence of same, potentially in derogation of the rights of other parties to the case. As the 25 Bolthouse objection points out, residential water use in the Antelope Valley is approximately one-26 acre foot per household. This use estimate includes water for outdoor irrigation. Thus, the proposed 27 settlement requires the Court to make the factual finding that water use by Wood Class members for 28

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

AGWA's OBJECTION

1	sustenance of human beings and household conveniences (i.e., excluding outdoor irrigation) is three	
2	times higher than the average of all water use by residential users in the Valley.	
3		
4	Dated: May 11, 2011 BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP	
5	Julie it	
6	By:	
7	MICHAEL T. FIFE BRADLEY J. HERREMA	
8	ATTORNEYS FOR AGWA	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	AGWA's OBJECTION	
	AGWA'S OBJECTION 4	
I		

BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP 21 East Carrillo Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

3	PROOF OF SERVICE	
1	<u>FROOF OF SERVICE</u>	
2 3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA	
4	I am employed in the County of Santa Barbara, State of California. I am over the age of 18	
5	and not a party to the within action; my business address is: 21 E. Carrillo Street, Santa Barbara, California 93101.	
6	On May 11, 2010, I served the foregoing document described as:	
7	AGWA'S OBJECTION TO MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS	
8 9	SETTLEMENT FILED BY RICHARD WOOD AND LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40	
10	on the interested parties in this action.	
11	By posting it on the website by 5:00 p.m. on May 11, 2010. This posting was reported as complete and without error.	
12	(STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California	
13	that the above is true and correct.	
14	Executed in Santa Barbara, California, on May 11, 2010.	
15		
16		
17		
18	Mh	
19	MARIA KLACHKO-BLAIR	
20	TYPE OR PRINT NAME SIGNATURE	
21		
22		
23		
24	SB 579910 v1:007966.0001	
25		
26		
27		
28		
	AGWA's OBJECTION	
	S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	

BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP 21 East Carrillo Street Sauta Barbara, CA 93101