Exhibit G

	l .		
1	Michael D. McLachlan (State Bar No. 181705)		
2	LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL D. McLACHLAN, APC 523 West Sixth Street, Suite 215		
3	Los Angeles, California 90014 Telephone: (213) 630-2884 Facsimile: (213) 630-2886		
4	Facsimile: (213) 630-2886 mike@mclachlanlaw.com		
5	Daniel M. O'Leary (State Bar No. 175128)		
6	LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL M. O'LEAR' 523 West Sixth Street, Suite 215	Y	
7	Los Angeles, California 90014 Telephone: (213) 630-2880		
8	Facsimile: (213) 630-2886 dan@danolearylaw.com		
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
10			
11			
12	SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
13	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
14	Coordination Proceeding	Judicial Council Coordination	
15	Special Title (Rule 1550(b))	Proceeding No. 4408	
16	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES	(Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053, Honorable Jack Komar)	
17	RICHARD A. WOOD, an individual, on	Case No.: BC 391869	
18	behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,	SMALL PUMPER CLASS' CASE	
19	Plaintiff,	MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT	
20	v.	Date: October 12, 2011	
21	LOS ANGELES COUNTY	Time: 10:00 a.m. Room: 1515 (CCW)	
22	WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40; et al.		
23	Defendants.		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

- 1		
1	The public water supplies and certain of the larger landowners have given the	
2	Court rather favorable impressions of the prospects for a global resolution vis a vis the	
3	ongoing settlement talks. Plaintiff Richard Wood and his counsel do not agree with this	
4	assessment.	
5	The public water suppliers and the 'big five' landowners have agreed amongst	
6	themselves to allocate to the other all of the water they want, leaving very little of the	
7	safe yield for the other smaller parties. They understand the profound disadvantage clas	
8	counsel has been placed in by the lack of any reliable assessment of the class' water use	
9	and have elected to take advantage of that. These parties propose the class accept in	
10	settlement less water than the water suppliers' own experts testified the class uses for	
11	domestic purposes.	
12		
13	DATED: October 5, 2011 LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL D. McLACHLAN LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL M. O'LEARY	
14	LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL M. O LEAKT	
15		
16	By: //s//	
17	Michael D. McLachlan Attorneys for Plaintiff	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
20	II	

PROOF OF SERVICE

2

1

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and am not a party to the within action. My business address is 10490 Santa Monica Blvd., Los Angeles, California 90025.

4

On October 5, 2011, I caused the foregoing document(s) described as SMALL PUMPER CLASS' CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT to be served on the parties in this action, as follows:

6 7

(X) (BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE) by posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Court website: www.scefiling.org regarding the Antelope Valley Groundwater matter.

8

9

10

() (BY U.S. MAIL) I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing of documents for mailing. Under that practice, the above-referenced document(s) were placed in sealed envelope(s) addressed to the parties as noted above, with postage thereon fully prepaid and deposited such envelope(s) with the United States Postal Service on the same date at Los Angeles, California, addressed to:

11 12

13

() (BY FEDERAL EXPRESS) I served a true and correct copy by Federal Express or other overnight delivery service, for delivery on the next business day. Each copy was enclosed in an envelope or package designed by the express service carrier; deposited in a facility regularly maintained by the express service carrier or delivered to a courier or driver authorized to receive documents on its behalf; with delivery fees paid or provided for; addressed as shown on the accompanying service list.

15

16

14

() (BY FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION) I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of facsimile transmission of documents. It is transmitted to the recipient on the same day in the ordinary course of business.

17 18

(X) (STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

19 20

() (FEDERAL) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

21

22

//s// Ana Horga

23

24

25

26

27

28