1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10	SUPERIOR COURT FOR TH	IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
12	Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 1550(b))	Judicial Council Coordination	
13		Proceeding No. 4408	
14	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES	(Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053, Honorable Jack Komar)	
15 16	RICHARD A. WOOD, an individual, on behalf of himself and all others similarly	Case No.: BC 391869	
17	situated,	[proposed] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF	
18	Plaintiff,	CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND NOTICE TO THE CLASS	
19	V.		
20	LOS ANGELES COUNTY		
21	WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40; et al.		
22	Defendants.		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			

28

On June 16, 2011, this matter has come before the Court on the Motion of Plaintiff Richard Wood and Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 ("District 40") (the "Motion") for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement (the "Stipulation" or the "Settlement") between and among Richard Wood and the Wood Class, on the one hand, and Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 ("District 40"), California Water Service Company, City of Lancaster, City of Palmdale, Littlerock Creek Irrigation District, Palmdale Water District, Palm Ranch Irrigation District, Rosamond Community Services District, Quartz Hill Water District, Phelan Pinon Hills Community Services District, Desert Lake Community Services District, and North Edwards Water District (collectively, "Settling Defendants") on the other hand, all of whom are referred to herein as the "Settling Parties."

The Motion having come on for hearing before the above Court; the Court having reviewed and considered all documents, evidence and arguments presented by counsel in support of and opposition to said Motion; the Court being fully advised in the premises and good cause appearing, the Court enters its order and, subject to final determination by the Court as to the fairness, reasonableness, and adequacy of the Settlement following Notice to the Class and a final fairness hearing, finds and orders as follows:

The Stipulation of Settlement (filed as Exhibit "F" to the Motion) and the Settlement set forth therein are *preliminarily* approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate, subject to a final fairness hearing to be held after notice has been provided to the Class.

1. The Court finds that the form and content of the notice of the proposed Settlement (as set forth in the Notice of Proposed Wood Class Action Settlement lodged as Exhibit "G" to the Motion) (the "Class Notice") will provide the best practicable notice to Class Members, certified by this Court's Order of September 2, 2008. Accordingly, District 40 and class counsel shall cause the administrator to provide notice of the proposed Settlement by mailing the Class Notice via first class U.S. mail to the last known address of Class Members and by posting the Class Notice

18 19

21

22

23

20

24

26

25

27 28 on the Class website. Any further mailed notice, if necessary, shall occur as set forth in paragraph VI.B of the Settlement.

- 2. District 40 shall also cause to be published a Summary Notice of Proposed Class Action Settlement ("Summary Notice") in three widely read newspapers papers in the area. The costs and expenses of said Class Notice and Summary Notice shall be paid by District 40. The Court authorizes the Settling Parties to make minor, non-substantive revisions to the Class Notice as they may jointly deem necessary or appropriate, without the necessity of further Court action or approval.
- 3. A final approval hearing shall be held by this Court on ______, 2011 at 9:00 A.M. in Department 316 to consider and finally determine:
 - a. Whether the Settlement should be finally approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate;
 - b. The merits of any objections to the Stipulation and the Settlement set forth therein, or any of its terms; and
 - c. Whether attorneys' fees, costs and expenses should be awarded to Plaintiff's Counsel and an incentive award made to the Representative Plaintiff.
- 4. Any Class Member who objects to approval of the proposed Settlement may appear at the final approval hearing in person or through counsel to show cause why the proposed Settlement should or should not be approved as fair, reasonable, or adequate. Any member of the Class who seeks to intervene in the Action may file and serve a motion to intervene in accordance with applicable law.
- 5. However, no person may be heard at the final approval hearing nor file any papers or briefs with respect thereto, unless at least 14 days before the hearing, such person files with the Clerk of the Court and serves on counsel for the Class and Settling Defendants a timely written objection and notice of intent to appear, in accordance with the procedures specified in the Class Notice. Any Class Member

who does not make his or her objection to the Settlement or request for intervention in the manner provided herein and in the Class Notice, shall be deemed to have waived such objection or right to intervene for purposes of appeal, collateral attack, or otherwise.

- 6. The dissemination of the Class Notice, as directed by this Order, constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances and sufficient notice to all Class Members. The contents of the Class Notice and the manner of its dissemination satisfy the requirements of Rule 3.769 of the California Rules of Court, other applicable California laws, and state and federal due process.
- 7. Any and all persons who timely excluded themselves from the Class and did not rejoin the Class shall *not* be Class Members and shall have no rights and obligations with respect to the Settlement.
- 8. If the Settlement is finally approved, the Court shall enter a Final Judgment approving the Settlement, which shall be binding on the Settling Parties, including all Class Members.
- 9. In the event, for any reason, the proposed Settlement as provided in the Stipulation is not approved by the Court, or the Court does not enter the Final Judgment, then the Stipulation, and all drafts, negotiations, discussions, and documentation relating thereto, shall become null and void.
- 10. The dates of performance of this Order are as follows:
 - a. The Class Notice shall be disseminated by website posting and U.S. mail. A Summary Notice shall also be published in three widely read newspapers in the area. District 40 shall its best effort to complete such publication and dissemination by July 1, 2011.
 - b. By August 1, 2011, District 40 shall certify to the Court that it has complied with the notice requirements set forth in this Order.

1	c. Plaintiff shall file a motion for final approval of the Settlement and		
2	supporting papers by, 2011.		
3	d. Any objections or oppositions to the Settlement, requests for intervention		
4	and notices of intention to appear and be heard at the final approval hearing	ıg	
5	shall be deemed timely only if filed with the Court and served on counsel		
6	for the parties by, 2011.		
7	e. The Final Settlement Hearing shall take place in Courtroom 316 of the		
8	above Court on, 2011, at 9:00 A.M.	above Court on, 2011, at 9:00 A.M.	
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
10			
11			
12	Dated:, 2011 Judge of the Superior Court		
13	Judge of the Superior Court		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	5		

PROOF OF SERVICE

age of 18 and am not a party to the within action. My business address is 10490 Santa

On June 14, 2011, I caused the foregoing document(s) described as [proposed]

ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND NOTICE TO THE CLASS to be served on the parties in this

Monica Blvd., Los Angeles, California 90025.

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the

action, as follows:
(X) (BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE) by posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Court website: www.scefiling.org regarding the Antelope Valley Groundwater matter.

() (BY U.S. MAIL) I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing of documents for mailing. Under that practice, the above-referenced document(s) were placed in sealed envelope(s) addressed to the parties as noted above, with postage thereon fully prepaid and deposited such envelope(s) with the United States Postal Service on the same date at Los Angeles, California, addressed to:

- () (BY FEDERAL EXPRESS) I served a true and correct copy by Federal Express or other overnight delivery service, for delivery on the next business day. Each copy was enclosed in an envelope or package designed by the express service carrier; deposited in a facility regularly maintained by the express service carrier or delivered to a courier or driver authorized to receive documents on its behalf; with delivery fees paid or provided for; addressed as shown on the accompanying service list.
- () (BY FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION) I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of facsimile transmission of documents. It is transmitted to the recipient on the same day in the ordinary course of business.
- (X) (STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.
- () (FEDERAL) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

//s//

Michael McLachlan