

DATE: January 2016

44 Hermosa Avenue Hermosa Beach, CA 90254 Phone 310.954.8271 Fax 310.954.8271

Bill To:

Wood v. Los Angeles County Waterworks et al.

Legal services, Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases

For:

DESCRIPTION	HOURS	PARALEGAL
1/27: [starting at 8:45 a.m.] Phone calls and emails with client re incentive issues .3; review and analysis or KD hearing transcript summary memo, and several transcripts for fee motion .4; emails with DO re motion issues .3; continue drafting of fee motion, edit declarations ISO same, review and analysis of exhibits for motion 6.4; emails with RK re fee motion .1;	7.5	
1/27: Review and analysis of AV hearing transcripts for fee motion 3.4; assist with fee motion exhibit preparation and review 2.7 KD		6.1
1/28: Phone call with DO .5; phone call from Joyce re Ritter and appeal .3; phone call to Quass re TT conflict .2; emails with Pearl re fee motion .1; emails with RGK re Ritter issues .1;	1.2	
1/29: Brief research on expert conflict issue and email to JD and MF re Thompson project .3; review BB letter, email to client re same .1; emails with LO counsel re Lane challenge .1; review Stip and long email to Chester re Lane challenge .3; review and analysis re Davis voting proposal, emails with DO re same .2; emails with DO re Watermaster call .2; call from administrator and email to PWS re non payment .1; conf with KD re preparing court binders for hearing .1; email to same re filing and hearing binder .1; emails with counsel re opp to Ritter motion .4; review Fife email re Ritter issues, email to DO re same .1;	2.0	
1/30: Draft and revise long email to Fife re AGWA and Ritter .4;	.4	
1/31: Commence preparation of opposition to Ritter motion to set aside judgment, MM declaration 1.8; legal research on post judgment jurisdiction issues .9; review Lane motion and emails with RGK re handling same .3;	3.0	
TOTAL ATTORNEY HOURS	14.1	
TOTAL PARALEGAL HOURS		6.1



DATE: February 2016

44 Hermosa Avenue Hermosa Beach, CA 90254 Phone 310.954.8271 Fax 310.954.8271

Bill To: Wood v. Los Angeles County Waterworks et al.

For:

Legal services, Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases

DESCRIPTION	HOURS	PARALEGAL
2/1: Attend status conference .3; phone call with MD re watermaster, Robar and settlement issues, memo to file .5; phone call with DO re same and Ritter depo and motion .7; emails with Adair re settlement issues .3; review and summary of Ritter transcript 1.2; email to Adair re settlement .2; prepare opp to Ritter motion to amend judgement and MM declaration in support of same, emails with DO re same 3.8; call and emails with Wang n/c	7.0	
2/2: Further research and analysis re fee motion issues on rates for reply .5; emails with counsel re Phelan and watermaster issues .2;	.7	
2/3: Review and analysis of Davis letter on watermaster .1; phone call and emails with RK re appeal .2; emails with RW re filing issues .1; emails with JD re notice of entry .1; conf with KD re handling judgment filing .1; review filings of last two days .1;	.7	
2/4: Phone call with DO re fee motion .7; emails with defense counsel and analysis re court appointed expert non-payment .2;	.9	
2/5: review final transcript and email to KD re preparation of new Exhibit 18 for Ritter motion .2; review of new Ex. 18 and prepare MM supp decl4; many emails with Brumfield and DO re Ritter issues .5; phone call from RGK re Lane, Ritter, appeal and settlement issues, memo to file .9; legal research on multiplier cases for reply 1.5;	3.5	
2/5: Review and analysis of transcripts re Ritter, assist MM re exhibits 1.0		1.0
2/6: Emails to DO .2;	.2	
2/8: Emails with counsel re Robar issues .2; legal research on multiplier cases and 1021.5 issues 1.7; emails with Brumfield re hearing .1; review of reply and analysis or prior Ritter record, email to DO re handling hearing on Ritter motion .6;	2.6	
2/9: Prepare for court hearings 1.1; emails with Pearl re fee motion .1;	1.2	
2/10: Prepare for hearings .5; travel to and attend hearing on Ritter motions, settlement and other matters legal 3.4; research on multiplier cases and 1021.5 issues 1.2; phone call from RGK re watermaster and settlement issues .5;	5.6	

2/11: Analysis re various fee motion issues, review of 12 cases on various fee issues for reply 1.1; emails with BB .1; commence preparation of multiplier case summary spreadsheet .6; conf with KD re working on same .1;	1.9	
2/11: Review of many multiplier cases and input data re same into Excel summary table 1.8		1.8
2/12: Emails with client about 3/12: Emails with client about 4/12: Emails with client about 5/12: Emails with client about 6/12: Emails with client about	1.3	
2/13: Emails to counsel and administrator re non payment .1;	.1	
2/14: Attention to review of additional multiplier cases and memo to KD re modification of summary table 1.0;	1.0	
2/15: Supplement multiplier table, and pull new data columns 2.4		2.4
2/15: Continue review of multiplier cases, and research re relevant federal cases 2.6; email to DO re current analysis of same .2; emails with client re reply and trip .1;	2.9	
2/16: Review and analysis of Robar settlement documents and trial exhibits, long email to KB re prove up .4; emails with Carson and review SP settlement .1; emails with GCG re invoice payment status .1; review Cortner materials and emails with counsel re Robar claim .1;	.7	
2/17: Attend watermaster conference call, email to BB and RGK re SP class issues 1.7; emails with sett comm re Robar .1;	1.8	
2/18: Review Continental case .1; phone call with DO re judgment, watermaster and fee motion issues .7; review and analysis of multiplier case division and review, emails to DO re same .5;	1.3	
2/19: Call to BB re watermaster issues, email to same re contacts list .3; call from Carson re extension and Garden City bills .2; phone call with DO re .5; review case filings of this week .1;	1.1	
2/22: Phone calls with DO re fee motion continuance and legal issues .6; analysis re schedule and email to Carson re hearing .2; email to DO re .3; email to DO re motion to force payment of administrator .2; phone call from CM Landsgaard re and email to same .2;	1.3	
2/23: Review ex parte notice, call to RW re same .1; phone call from RK re hearing issues, emails with same .2; phone calls with DO re fee motion hearing issues, timing .4; phone call to RZ and A. Ramos re Steinbeck case, email to DO re same .3; call to JD re same, memo to file .2; preparation of opposition to ex parte, and MM decl., review of relevant file materials 2.2; emails to DO re fee motion timing problems .1; review ex parte applications, research on and email to DO re same .7; phone call with JD re hearing .1; phone call with DO re ex parte strategy .3; many calls and emails from JD, DO, TB, re ex parte and hearing dates, analysis and phone calls to counsel re same .8; review and revise stip, emails with counsel re same .3;	5.7	

2/24: Attend ex parte hearing .3; emails with DO re same .1; phone call to DO re fee motion issues, memo to file .5; phone call to RK re fee hearing and appellate issues, settlement .5; review and analysis of Ritter order, emails to DO re same .1; emails with Pearl re fee motion timing changes, and further issues .3; review draft opp to Willis motion, emails with counsel re same .2; email to DO re same .1; review and analysis re Willis 2011 fee order and email to DO re .3; legal research on , email to DO re same .7; email to Pearl re public entity and taxpayer issues, arguments for same .3; review and analysis of PWS allocation, email to Penny, and email to DO re analysis of same .2;	3.6	
2/25: Review and analysis of Willis fee motion, emails with DO re same .3;	.3	
TOTAL ATTORNEY HOURS	45.4	
TOTAL PARALEGAL HOURS		5.2



DATE: March 2016

44 Hermosa Avenue Hermosa Beach, CA 90254 Phone 310.954.8271 Fax 310.954.8271

Bill	To:					For	
			_				

Wood v. Los Angeles County Waterworks et al.

Legal services, Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases

DESCRIPTION	HOURS	PARALEGAL
3/1: Phone call and email to Lee Mc re Willis .1; emails with counsel and administrator re GCG payments .1;	.2	
3/2: Analysis re Willis fee motion issues and email to counsel re same .3; phone call from RGK re Willis motion, Lane, and appellate issues, many emails to same, memo to file .7; review filing of past week .1; emails with Pearl re new fee data, review of new survey .2;	1.3	
3/4: Phone call from RGK re Lane and appellate issues .4;	.4	
3/7: Review DO draft of taxpayer section for reply .2; review and analysis of new Pearl fee survey documents, research on pacer re central district opinions cited and long email to RP and DO re same 2.4; phone call with DO re handling new fee surveys and taxpayer status issue in reply .5; review and revise draft decl from RGK re settlement, many emails to same and DO .4; many emails with DO re reply issues on fee motion .2; review and execute revised decl. for RGK .1;	3.8	
3/8: Review and analysis of Willis record designation .3; phone call and emails with RGK and supp declaration .3; brief research on timing issues and phone call to DO refer to be motion .5; email to Dunn et al re same .1; phone call with RP re new fee surveys and supplemental declaration .5; emails with PWS re ex parte on administrator payment .1;	1.8	
3/9: Phone call with JD re appeal issues, memo to file .2; phone call from Landsgaard re watermaster and class issues, memo to file .8; legal research on post judgment duties of class counsel 1.7; prepare motion for order terminating representation 1.3; emails with RWS re administrator payment .1; many emails with counsel re appellate designation issues .4;	4.5	
3/10: Phone call with June Ailin .4; analysis re transcript designations and email to KD re instructions for summary of same .3; conf with KD re transcript issues .1; review and analysis summary .2;	1.0	
3/10: Conf with MM, review and summary or record designations 1.9 KD	0	1.9

3/11: Email to JD re transcript designations, further review of summary .2; emails with defense counsel on administrator and prepare notice .2; review and analysis of cost summary and prepare supp. decl of MM re fee motion .3;	.7	
3/11: Continue record designation summary and review 3.0 KD	0	3.0
3/12: Complete Will and Phelan record designation summary and analysis KD	0	1.2
3/14: Emails with TT re conflict .1; review and analysis of Lemieux fee opposition .4;	.5	
3/15: Review and analysis of D40 opp to fee motion .6; review Willis opp .1; emails with DO re opps to fee motion .1	.8	
3/16: Review and analysis of Willis Civ App Stmt and exhibits, email to counsel re standing issues, email to DO .3; phone call from Kuhs re Lane and fee issues, memo to file .3; phone call with DO re fee motion issues .3; phone call to J Krattiger re fee motion issues, memo to file .2; review and analysis of historical settlement emails, prepare summary memo re same 2.9; email to DO re same .1; legal research on use of in fee motion, email to DO re same 1.9; legal research on class costs issues, and incentive awards, review relevant cases, email to DO re same 2.5; prepare fee motion reply section on costs 1.4; emails with JD re brief length .1; review and analysis of Gov Code election sections and email to DO re same .4;	10.4	
3/17: Further review and analysis of D40 opp, prepare outline of work allocation .9; phone call with DO re same .3; phone call from RGK re Lane hearing, memo to file .2; research on page limits and prepare ex parte application re oversize brief .5; email to DO re reply brief work allocation .2; legal research on recoverable costs in 1021.5 action and 1033.5, prepare blurb for reply on same, email to DO 2.4; emails with RGK re fee motion issues, analysis of value of settlement for PWS .2; review of trial transcripts re Willis expert evidence and email to DO re use of same in reply .3; email with Leckie re settlement .1;	5.1	
3/18: Prepare notice of Errata .2; review ex parte of D40, court rules, and prepare opposition to same 1.0; emails with Quass re Thompson .1; review Willis opp to motion to terminate and email to RK .1;	1.4	
3/21: Prepare for hearing .2; emails with Pearl re reply .1; attend hearing on ex partes and Lane motion .8; phone call from Kuhs .2; emails to DO re hearing, review MO .1;	1.4	
3/22: Phone call from CM Chiodo re same .1; phone call with DO re reply .3; review transcripts, many emails with DO re reply brief and attention to drafting same 8.8	9.4	
3/22: Review of case hearing transcripts for reply brief evidence 3.0 KD	0	3.0
3/23: Phone call from CM Quigley re class issues .2; phone calls with DO re reply .4; review and analysis of historical filings of PWS and hearing transcripts on class issues 2.4; review Ailin brief, email to DO .1; many (25+) emails with DO re reply brief issues .4; analysis of evidence and drafting of reply brief, including fact section and draft intro 6.2	9.7	

3/23: Conf with MM, review of case hearing transcripts for reply brief evidence 2.5 KD	0	2.5
3/24: Continue drafting reply brief, MM decl., analysis of evidence and many emails with DO re same 9.5	9.5	
3/25: Complete drafting and revision of reply brief, MM decl, analysis of allocation of lodestar, many (30+) emails with DO re same 8.6; prepare ex parte re oversized brief .1;	8.7	
3/27: Emails to DO re fee hearing issues .2;	.2	
3/28: Emails with DO re fee hearing issues, .5;	.5	
3/28: Download and flag all cases cited by defendants in opposition, preparation of hearing binder re same, with index 4.8 KD	0	4.8
3/29: Phone calls with DO re Powerpoint .4; phone call with client re hearing .2; review evidence for Powerpoint, many emails with DO re same .9; email and call with client re hearing .2; review and analysis of PWS primary cases on allocation, email to DO re same .1; review PWS multiplier cases, email to DO re PP intro .6; review of AV Press articles .2; prepare supp. decl. of DO .4; emails with client re incentive award .2; prepare notice of unavailability .1; many emails with DO on PP and argument issues, analyze evidence for PP .6; full review of PP draft and prepare memo to DO re changes to same 1.2;	5.1	
3/29: Prepare hearing binder and index for fee motions 1.6	0	1.6
3/30: Research and review federal cases on incentive awards, email to DO re same .9; review revised PP .8; many emails with DO re hearing issues .4	2.1	
3/31: Review evidentiary objections .2; review CRC and emails with DO re objections .2; review PP revisions and emails with DO .5; review 1021.5 cases, email excerpts to DO for PP .5; prepare for fee motion hearing 2.4	3.8	
TOTAL ATTORNEY HOURS	82.3	
TOTAL PARALEGAL HOURS		18.0



DATE: April 2016

44 Hermosa Avenue Hermosa Beach, CA 90254 Phone 310.954.8271 Fax 310.954.8271

Bill To: For:

Wood v. Los Angeles County Waterworks et al.

Legal services, Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases

DESCRIPTION	HOURS	PARALEGAL
4/1: Preparation for hearing 2.3; travel to hearing and review of defense cases, attend hearing on fee motions and motion to be relieved 10.1;	12.4	
4/7: Review watermaster filings .1;	.1	
4/8: Review MO and emails with RW re corrections .1;	.1	
4/13: Review and analysis of watermaster motion and decls, email to DO and RGK re same .3; attend watermaster teleconference 1.1; emails with client re service on advisory comm .1;	1.5	
4/14: Emails with client on watermaster issues .2	.2	
4/15: Participate in watermaster call, memo to file 1.0; review Cortner decl n/c	1.0	
4/21: Emails with DO re supp fee motion .1;	.1	
4/25: Review and analysis of fee ruling, email to DO re same .8; email to Pearl re same .1;	.9	
4/26: Phone call from client .1; phone call with DO re appeal of fee ruling and supp motion for fees .3; further review and analysis of fee order and prepare memo re prevailing party issues, brief legal research re same 1.2; review and analysis of Cal Water public documents and corporate structure, prepare summary of same .7; analysis of judgment terms and fee ruling, prepare memo for motion for clarification 1.4	3.7	
4/27: Phone call with DO re cost memo and entry to judgment issues .2; email to DO re same .2; review of record, CRC and legal research on notice of entry, long email to DO on handling cost memo issues 1.1	1.5	
4/28: Analysis of 1033.5 issues, Nelson case, and email to DO re cost memo .5; research on CRC provisions impacting cost recovery, emails to DO re relevant caselaw .9; email with RGK re defect in notice of entry .2;	1.6	

4/29: Phone call with DO re cost memo, motion for clarification, and appellate issues .6; emails with DO re cost issues and clarification motion .3; email to JD re notice of entry problem .1; research and analysis re Gov code issues relevant to clarification motion .4; analysis of DO costs .5;	1.9	
4/30: Emails with DO re supp fee motion .1;	.1	
TOTAL ATTORNEY HOURS	25.1	
TOTAL PARALEGAL HOURS		0



DATE: May 2016

44 Hermosa Avenue Hermosa Beach, CA 90254 Phone 310.954.8271 Fax 310.954.8271

Bill To: For:

Wood v. Los Angeles County Waterworks et al.

Legal services, Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases

DESCRIPTION	HOURS	PARALEGAL
5/2: Phone call with DO re fee ruling motion for clarification .3; emails with JD re amending judgment .1; many emails with DO re motion for clarification of fee order .3; prepare and revise motion for clarification, and MM decl 1.8	2.5	
5/3: Phone call with RGK re watermaster and appellate issues .5; review motion to amend judgment .1;	.6	
5/4: Emails with DO re opposing nunc pro tunc .2; further research on joint and several for supp motion, emails with DO re same .9;	1.1	
5/5: Review DO draft opp to motion to amend judgment, email to same .2;	.2	
5/10: Review response to clarification motion and emails with DO re same .2; conf with KD re cost memo .2; analysis re handling cost memo, brief research on issues re same .5	.9	
5/11: Legal research on amendment of judgment .9; emails with DO re same .1; attention to cost memo, conf with KD and analysis re handling of same 1.8	2.8	
5/11: Assist MM in analysis and summary of costs for memo of costs 5.6		5.6
5/12: Amend opp to motion to amend for filing .3; phone call with KL re fees issues, memo to file .4; phone call with AG re same .1; email to DO re cost issues .1; legal research on cost issues and prepare brief re same, review prior filings re same 1.0;	1.9	
5/13: Email to DO on supp fee motion .1	.1	
5/16: Phone call with RP re fee motion issues .3; email to DO re same .1; review and analysis of AV United hearing notices .2;	.6	
5/17: Prepare draft order on clarification motion, emails with DO re same .3	.3	

5/18: Review and analysis of Lemieux opp, and preparation of reply brief and MM declaration, including brief legal research on several liability 1.7; emails with DO re same .1; analysis re PWS allocations and prepare proposed order 2, email to DO re same .3; phone all from DO re motion for clarification .2; phone call with Lemieux re fee allocation and payment issues, memo to file .2; email from DO and modify Reply brief and two proposed orders .4; review D40 reply on amended J, email with DO re motion to tax .2; email to JT re fee order n/c	3.1	
5/19: Email to DO re hearings and work allocation .1;	.1	
5/20: Review D40 and Willis filings, emails to DO re legal issues and hearing .2; review AV United and other filings this week .2; emails with DO re handling motion to amend .2; analysis re Judgment and emails with DO re amendment tactics and impact on fees and costs .5;	1.1	
5/24: Phone call with DO .2; prepare for hearing .5; legal research on substantive vs. clerical amendments and appellate timing issues 1.4; review evidence and objections re AV United Motion .3; review Robar hearing filings .1;	2.5	
5/25: Travel to and attend meeting with DO and court hearings 4.5;	4.5	
5/26: Emails with administrator .1;	.1	
5/31: Review CRC 3.1700 and analysis of timing on motion to tax, email to DO re same .3; review motion to tax, emails with DO re handling same .2	.5	
TOTAL ATTORNEY HOURS	22.9	
TOTAL PARALEGAL HOURS		5.6



DATE: June 2016

44 Hermosa Avenue Hermosa Beach, CA 90254 Phone 310.954.8271 Fax 310.954.8271

Bill To: For:

Wood v. Los Angeles County Waterworks et al. Legal services, Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases

DESCRIPTION	HOURS	PARALEGAL
6/3: Email from JD and review watermaster material .1; VM from JD and email to DO re same .1;	.2	
6/7 Emails to DO re supp fee mtn issues .4; phone call with JD re fee order, memo to file .2	.6	
6/8: Review and analysis of May 25 hearing transcript and prepare proposd order .5; phone call to DO re proposed order .3; brief research on appellate timing issues .4; review court orders .1; phone call to DO re fee motion, settlement and gov code election issues .5; legal research on govt code elections issues and emails with KL re same .9; emails with PWS re clarification order .3; review and analysis of and email to DO re same .3;	3.3	
6/9: Analysis re govt code election issue, review declarations, and emails with KL re same .4; revise proposed order and prepare notice of lodging .2; email and call with Dunn .1; prepare objection .1; call to DO .2;	1.0	
6/20: Review of court docket, email to DO re issues with same .2;	.2	
6/22: legal research on supp fee motion .6; phone call with DO re .5;	1.1	
6/23: Emails and call with DO re supp. fee motion .4;	.4	
6/24: Emails and call with DO re supp. fee motion .4; prepare supp fee motion 2.0	5.4	
6/25: Continue supp fee motion draft, MM declaration and review and analysis of exhibits, brief legal research for same 3.8	3.8	
6/26: Continue supp fee motion draft, MM declaration and review and analysis of exhibits, brief legal research for same 2.0	2.0	
TOTAL ATTORNEY HOURS	18	
TOTAL PARALEGAL HOURS		0

LAW OFFICES OF RICHARD M. PEARL 1816 FIFTH STREET BERKELEY, CA 94710

Tel: (510) 649-0810 Fax: (510) 548-3143 E-Mall: rpearl@interx.net

> February 9, 2016 By email only

Mike McLachlan Law Offices of Michael D. McLachlan, APC 44 Hermosa Avenue Hermosa Beach, CA 90254 mike@mclachlan-law.com

STATEMENT FOR SERVICES RENDERED

For Services Rendered June 29, 2015 – January 26, 2016 re *Antelope Valley* matter

Current Charges

FEES

9.15 hours, per attached itemization @ \$775 per hour

\$7,091.25

BALANCE DUE: \$7,091.25

Mike McLachlan Statement for Services Rendered February 9, 2016 Page 2

<u>DATE</u>	DESCRIPTION OF SERVICES	<u>HOURS</u>
	(by Richard M. Pearl)	
6/29/15	Exchange emails w/ Mike McLachlan (MM) re facts, issues, etc;	.10
12/9/15	Exchange emails w/ MM re 1021.5 issue	.10
12/17/15	Review emails from MM re facts; review prior fee awards in case	.20
12/30/15	Review email from MM and documents attached	.10
1/8/16	Phone conference w/ MM re schedule	.10
1/15/16	Phone conference w/MM re my declaration, etc.	.60
1/21/16	Review draft MM declaration; prepare notes re argument; prepare my declaration; phone conf. w/ MM re declarations	1.00
1/22/16	Review various pleadings and orders; revise MM and my declarations	1.25
1/23/16	Prepare my declaration; revise MM declaration, prepare email to MM re same	2.10
1/24/16	Review emails re my declaration	.10
1/25/16	Phone conf. w/ MM re declarations; review emails re declarations, attachments; revise MM and my declarations; review Daniel O'Leary declaration, Judgment	1.90
1/26/16	Review materials from case; revise my declaration; exchange emails re cases cited	1.60
TOTAL HOURS		9.15

DATE	<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>NOTES</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
3/17/2016	Glotrans	ex parte brief size	\$24.00
3/17/2016	LASC	filing fee ex parte	\$60.00
3/25/2016	CalWest	LASC (CW131614)	\$25.00
3/25/2016	Glotrans	reply fee motion (3x)	\$72.00
3/25/2016	LASC	filing fee ex parte	\$60.00
3/25/2016	Glotrans	ex parte reply brief	\$24.00
4/1/2016	Lexis	March	\$273.66
4/1/2016	Taxi	SJO to court	\$22.32
4/1/2016	Parking	LAX (fee motion)	\$24.71
4/1/2016	San Jose Joes	dinner airport (DOL and MM)	\$44.01
4/11/2016	Melissa Crawford CSR	April 1, 2016 hearing	\$178.00
4/21/2016	LASC	filing fee mtn for clarification	\$60.00
5/1/2016	Lexis	May	\$161.00
5/2/2016	Glotrans		\$24.00
5/11/2016	Glotrans		\$24.00
5/12/2016	Glotrans		\$48.00
5/18/2016	Glotrans		\$72.00
5/25/2016	Parking	hearings, Mosk	\$20.00
6/7/2016	Coalition Court Reporters	May 25, 2016 transcript	\$114.00
6/9/2016	Glotrans		\$48.00
6/21/2016	Glotrans		\$24.00
6/22/2016	Glotrans		\$24.00
6/25/2016	LASC	filing fee supp fee motion	\$60.00
6/26/2016	Glotrans		\$72.00
		TOTAL AFTER March 11, 2016	\$1,558.70

4 5 6 7	Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: 310-407-4000 Facsimile: 310-407-9090 Email: dstern@ktbslaw.com mtuchin@ktbslaw.com ckeating@ktbslaw.com jweiss@ktbslaw.com			
8	Attorneys for R. Todd Neilson, Chapter 11 Trusto	ee		
9	UNITED STATES BA	NKRUP	TCY C	OURT
10	CENTRAL DISTRIC	T OF C	AT IEOI	DNITA
11				MIIA
12	LOS ANGEL	ES DIVI	SION	
	In re	Case No	os.	2:15-
13	STATE FISH CO., INC. and			2:15- Joint
14	CALPACK FOODS, LLC,	Chaptei	· 11	
15	5.	-		
16 17	Debtors.	KLEE, LLP FO OF FE AS BA	INTER TUCHI OR ALI ES AND NKRUP	IN, BO LOWA EXPI TCY (
18 19	THIS FILING APPLIES TO:	PERIO MAY 3	FER 11 D FEBI 1, 2015;	RUAR' DECI
20		JONA' THER	THAN N EOF	A. WE
21	STATE FISH CO., INC. CALPACK FOODS, LLC			Ша
22				Hea
23		Date: Time: Judge:	July 9, 10:00 a Hon. S	a.m.
24		Place:	U.S. B	ankrup
25			255 E. Los Ar	
26				
27				
28				

David M. Stern (State Bar No. 67697) Michael L. Tuchin (State Bar No. 150375) Colleen M. Keating (State Bar No. 261213)

Jonathan M. Weiss (State Bar No. 281217) KLEE, TUCHIN, BOGDANOFF & STERN LLP

1999 Avenue of the Stars, Thirty-Ninth Floor

2:15-bk-11084-SK 2:15-bk-11085-SK Jointly Administered

ERIM APPLICATION OF HIN, BOGDANOFF & STERN LLOWANCE AND PAYMENT ND EXPENSES INCURRED JPTCY COUNSEL FOR THE 1 TRUSTEE FOR THE BRUARY 27, 2015 THROUGH 5; DECLARATION OF M. WEISS IN SUPPORT

Hearing

Sandra R. Klein **Bankruptcy Court**

E. Temple St., Ctrm. 1575 Angeles, CA 90012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

2			Page
3	I. SUMMAR	Y OF KTB&S's ENGAGEMENT	2
4	II. SUMMAR	Y OF COMPENSATION REQUESTED	3
5	A.	Interim Billing.	3
6	III. BACKGR	OUND	5
7	A.	General Background.	5
8	В.	Summary of Activity During First Interim Period.	6
9		1. Operation of Debtors' Businesses By Trustee	6
10		2. Post-Petition Financing.	7
11		3. Customs Bond for Value Added Business.	8
12		4. Trustee's Use of Cash Collateral	9
13		5. Motion to Dismiss and Relief From Stay Litigation	10
14		6. Other Litigation and Upcoming Mediation.	12
15		7. Provision of Access to Debtors' Records	15
16		8. Sale of HPP/Calpack Business	15
17	IV. SUMMAI	RY OF SERVICES RENDERED	15
18	A.	Project Billing and Narrative Statement of Services Rendered	15
19	В.	Case Administration – Billing Code B110.	17
20	C.	Reporting – Billing Code B115	17
21	D.	Asset Analysis & Recovery – Billing Code B120.	17
22	E.	Asset Disposition – Billing Code B130.	18
23	F.	Relief from Stay/Adequate Protection – Billing Code B140.	18
24	G.	Meetings & Communications with Creditors – Billing Code 150	19
25	H.	Employment & Fee Applications – Billing Code 160.	19
26	I.	Employment & Fee Objections – Billing Code B170	20
27	J.	Avoidance Action Analysis – Billing Code B180.	20
28	K.	Assumption & Rejection of Leases and Contracts – Billing Code B185	

FIRST INTERIM FEE APPLICATION OF KLEE, TUCHIN, BOGDANOFF & STERN LLP

Case 2:15-bk-11084-SK Doc 379 Filed 06/18/15 Entered 06/18/15 16:15:05 Desc Main Document Page 3 of 38

1	L.	Business Operations – Billing Code B210.	20
2	M.	Employee Benefits / Pensions – Billing Code B220	21
3	N.	Financing & Cash Collateral – Billing Code B230.	21
4	O.	Tax Issues – Billing Code B240	22
5	P.	Claims Administration & Objections – Billing Code B310	22
6	Q.	Analysis & Strategy – Billing Code L120.	23
7	R.	Settlement / Non-Binding ADR – Billing Code L160.	23
8	S.	Other Case Assessment, Developments & Administration – Billing Code L190.	24
9	т		
10	T.	Pleadings – Billing Code L210.	
11	U.	Other Written Motions and Submissions – Billing Code L250	25
12	V.	Document Production – Billing Code L320.	25
13	II. SUMMAR	Y OF COSTS AND EXPENSES	25
14	A.	Copying.	26
15	В.	Delivery Service/Messenger.	26
16	C.	Online Research.	26
17	D.	Other Expenses.	26
18	E.	Parking.	27
19	F.	Postage.	27
20	G.	Telephone (Conference Call).	27
	Н.	Transcripts.	27
21	III. REQUES	T FOR INTERIM COMPENSATION	27
22	IV. CONCLU	ISION	28
23			

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

2	Page(s)
3	FEDERAL CASES
4	In re Hunt, 238 F.3d 1098 (9th Cir. 2001)29
56	In re Yermakov, 718 F.2d 1465 (9th Cir. 1983)29
7	FEDERAL STATUTES
8	11 U.S.C. § 101(14)
9	11 U.S.C. § 305(a)
10	11 U.S.C. § 328(a)
11	11 U.S.C. § 330
12	11 U.S.C. § 331
13	11 U.S.C. § 503(b)(9)23
14	11 U.S.C. § 1104(a)11
15	11 U.S.C. § 1112(b)
16	RULES
17	Local Bankruptcy Rule 2016-1
18	Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(a)(6)
19	Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2016
20	REGULATIONS
21	28 C.F.R. § 58, Appendix
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	EIDST INTERIM EEE ARDI IS ATION (

4 5 6

7 8 9

11 12

10

13

14 15

16

18

17

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28

TO THE HONORABLE SANDRA R. KLEIN, UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE; THE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRUSTEE; THE CHAPTER 11 TRUSTEE; AND OTHER PARTIES IN INTEREST:

Klee, Tuchin, Bogdanoff & Stern LLP ("KTB&S"), bankruptcy counsel for R. Todd Neilson, the chapter 11 trustee (the "Trustee") of the bankruptcy estates of State Fish Co., Inc. ("State Fish") and Calpack Foods, LLC ("Calpack" and collectively, the "Debtors"), hereby respectfully applies for an order (1) allowing interim compensation to KTB&S, for services rendered and expenses incurred during the period from February 27, 2015 through May 31, 2015 (the "First Interim Period"), in the total amount of \$676,334.70, comprised of fees for services rendered of \$651,404.00 and expenses incurred of \$24,930.70; (2) authorizing the Trustee to pay KTB&S 80% of its approved fees and 100% of its approved costs when, in the Trustee's reasonable discretion, there is adequate cash in the estates to make such payments; and (3) granting KTB&S any other relief that this Court deems necessary and appropriate (the "Application"). The foregoing amounts do not include \$19,933.00 representing 38.60 hours of work that has been written off in the exercise of billing discretion and is reflected as "No Charge" on the billing records appended hereto.

KTB&S submits this Application pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Section 330 and 331, Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(a)(6) and 2016, Local Bankruptcy Rule 2016-1, and the Guidelines for Reviewing Applications for Compensation & Reimbursement of Expenses Filed Under 11 U.S.C. § 330, 28 C.F.R. § 58, Appendix A (the "U.S. Trustee Guidelines"). This Application conforms to the requirements of Local Bankruptcy Rule 2016-1(a) for interim fee applications. See Declaration of Jonathan M. Weiss (attached hereto) ¶ 9. In support of the Application, KTB&S respectfully represents and shows the following:

The Revised U.S. Trustee Guidelines, set forth in 28 C.F.R. § 58, Appendix B, do not apply to these cases, because these cases involve less than \$50 million in assets and \$50 million in liabilities.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

SUMMARY OF KTB&S'S ENGAGEMENT

I.

KTB&S is a national boutique law firm that specializes in business reorganizations, corporate insolvency, commercial litigation, bankruptcy-related asset acquisitions, real estate matters in the bankruptcy context, bankruptcy litigation and appellate advocacy, expert witness services in the bankruptcy field and corporate transactions. These are areas in which KTB&S attorneys have extensive experience. In the insolvency area, KTB&S represents debtors, trustees, creditors, equity holders, committees, trustees, landlords, potential acquirers of assets, and other parties with interests in financially distressed businesses. KTB&S is located in Los Angeles, California. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is a summary of the background and qualifications of the KTB&S attorneys principally responsible for rendering services to the Trustee during the First Interim Period.

On March 10, 2015, a week after he accepted his appointment, the Trustee, on behalf of the bankruptcy estates of the Debtors, filed the Application of R. Todd Neilson, Chapter 11 Trustee, for Order Authorizing Employment of Klee, Tuchin, Bogdanoff & Stern LLP as Bankruptcy Counsel Nunc Pro Tunc to February 27, 2015 [Dkt. No. 199] to employ KTB&S as the Trustee's bankruptcy counsel. On April 1, 2015, this Court approved KTB&S's employment as the Trustee's bankruptcy counsel, nunc pro tunc to February 27, 2015, pursuant to the Order Authorizing Employment of Klee, Tuchin, Bogdanoff & Stern LLP as Bankruptcy Counsel to the Trustee Nunc Pro Tunc to February 27, 2015 [Dkt. No. 254] ("Employment Order").

In the Employment Order, the Court found that, except as otherwise disclosed, KTB&S is a "disinterested person" as that term is defined in Bankruptcy Code section 101(14), who does not hold or represent an interest adverse to the estates and does not have any connection either with the Debtors, their creditors, or any other party in interest in these cases or with their respective attorneys or accountants, or with the United States Trustee or any person employed in the Office of the United States Trustee. KTB&S supplemented its disclosures twice, on April 20, 2015 and April 22, 2015, to disclose certain connections to Karlin Real Estate, LLC, with whom the Trustee had entered into a term sheet for postpetition financing. Dkt. Nos. 276 & 282.

The KTB&S attorneys who have been principally responsible for rendering services to the Trustee have been David M. Stern, Michael L. Tuchin, Colleen M. Keating and Jonathan M. Weiss. Other KTB&S attorneys have rendered services on discrete matters from time to time, as necessary. In general, tasks have been allocated among KTB&S's attorneys based upon the necessary expertise required for the specific task. Whenever feasible, work was allocated to attorneys and/or KTB&S's paralegal with lower hourly rates.

KTB&S has neither received nor been promised any compensation for the services that it has rendered or the expenses that it has incurred in these cases, and KTB&S has not previously applied to this Court for payment of its expenses and fees in these cases. Furthermore, neither KTB&S nor any member of KTB&S has any agreement or understanding of any kind to divide, pay over, or share any portion of the fees to be awarded to KTB&S with any other person or attorney except as among members and associates of KTB&S.

II.

SUMMARY OF COMPENSATION REQUESTED

A. Interim Billing.

The following table summarizes the monthly fees and expenses incurred by KTB&S during the First Interim Period:

Period	Fees and Expenses Incurred
February 27-28, 2015	Total: \$7,101.00
	Fees: \$7,101.00 Expenses: \$0.00
March 1-31, 2015	Total: \$175,684.13
	Fees: \$170,850.50 Expenses: \$4,833.63
April 1-30, 2015	Total: \$290,646.26
	Fees: \$283,615.00 Expenses: \$7,031.26

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Period	Fees and Expenses Incurred
May 1-31, 2015	Total: \$202,903.31
	Fees: \$189,837.50 Expenses: \$13,065.81
Total:	Total: \$676,334.70
	Fees: \$651,404.00 Expenses: \$24,930.70

As summarized in the table above, KTB&S incurred fees and expenses totaling \$676,334.70 and has not received any payments therefore. Accordingly, the balance owed to KTB&S for services rendered and expenses incurred during the First Interim Period is \$676,334.70.

Exhibit 2 lists the billing rates for each of the individuals who performed services for the Trustee and summarizes the hours billed and fees incurred by each of those individuals during the First Interim Period.

Exhibit 3 contains a monthly summary of the total fees incurred in each activity category during the First Interim Period.

Exhibit 4 contains a monthly itemization and summary of the total expenses incurred in each expense category during the First Interim Period.

Exhibit 5 contains a complete chronological listing of each billing entry – sorted by activity category – showing the services that KTB&S rendered during the First Interim Period. KTB&S has redacted portions of the descriptions of its services that are contained in the line-item listings in Exhibit 5 to avoid revealing information that would put the Trustee or the Debtors' estates at a disadvantage in pending or future litigation. Complete descriptions for these line items will be available on request at the time KTB&S files its final fee application, to the extent making such information available does not prejudice the Trustee or the Debtors' estates.

III.

BACKGROUND²

General Background. Α.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

On January 26, 2015 (the "Petition Date"), the Debtors each filed voluntary chapter 11 bankruptcy petitions in this Court. This Court entered an order jointly administering the Debtors' cases on January 30, 2015. Dkt. No. 65.

On February 27, 2015, the United States Trustee filed a Notice of Appointment of Chapter 11 Trustee appointing the Trustee as the chapter 11 trustee in these cases. Dkt. No. 162. Also on February 27, 2015, this Court entered its Order Approving Appointment of Chapter 11 Trustee. Dkt. No. 167. The Trustee accepted his appointment on March 3, 2015. Dkt. No. 175. The Trustee retained KTB&S as his bankruptcy counsel, and Berkeley Research Group, LLC ("BRG") as his financial advisors and accountants. Dkt. Nos. 199, 200. The Trustee subsequently retained Michael M. Ozawa and Robert E. Bates, through Avant Advisory Partners, LLC, as consultants. Dkt. No. 242.

Immediately after his appointment, the Trustee and his counsel held separate meetings with each of the primary parties in these cases: (i) the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the "Committee"); (ii) the Debtors (through their counsel, Perkins Coie LLP, and their former Chief Restructuring Officer, George Blanco); (iii) State Fish's prepetition lenders and the DeLuca Sisters;³ and (iv) John DeLuca. The Trustee and his professionals used these meetings to gain an understanding of the Debtors' affairs and an overview of the major disputed issues in these cases. Since these initial meetings, the Trustee, both with and without his professionals, has continued to engage with the various parties to apprise them of events in these cases and to solicit their views.

25

26

27

28

²³ 24

KTB&S requests that the Court take judicial notice of the previous declarations filed in these cases, which provide evidence in support of this background and narrative.

State Fish's prepetition lenders are Pan Pac LLC and the Roseann DeLuca Revocable Trust dated 10/6/2011 (the "Lenders"). The Lenders' principals are, respectively, Vanessa DeLuca and Roseann DeLuca, who are also, with Janet Esposito, shareholders of State Fish. Vanessa DeLuca, Roseann DeLuca and Janet Esposito are referred to as the "Sisters."

KLEE, TUCHIN, BOGDANOFF & STERN LLP 1999 AVENUE OF THE STARS, THIRTY-NINTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067

State Fish's core business is buying, processing, and freezing fresh fish sourced from local fishing boats in southern California (the "Wet Fish Business"), as well as importing and repackaging frozen seafood from national and international sources (the "Value Added Business").

In addition to seafood purchasing and processing, State Fish provides custom food processing and pasteurization services through a division called High Pressure Pasteurization Food Service ("HPP"). HPP receives pre-packaged products from its customers which are then pasteurized using HPP's two state-of-the-art pasteurization machines and returned to the customer for shipment to end users.

The HPP business is operated in conjunction with Calpack, which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of State Fish that produces high quality custom food and beverage products. Calpack specializes in processing and packaging fresh juices and salad dressings. Calpack customers either send large quantities of raw materials to Calpack's facility, or Calpack orders fruits and vegetables, packaging materials, seafood, seasoning and some canned items on the customer's behalf and the customer is billed directly. The business operated by HPP and Calpack is known as the "HPP/Calpack Business."

Through May 31, 2015, State Fish's estate has \$2,568,648 in cash and State Fish is current on all routine operating expenses, not including professional fees incurred in these cases. Through May 31, 2015, Calpack's estate has \$501,675 in cash and Calpack is current on all routine operating expenses, not including professional fees incurred in these cases. State Fish is currently operating at a loss, while Calpack is operating at a profit. Each of the Debtors has sufficient operating cash flow. The Trustee has not yet filed a plan on behalf of the Debtors. The Trustee intends to first pursue sales of the Debtors' assets and to allow the claims bar date to pass.

B. Summary of Activity During First Interim Period.

1. Operation of Debtors' Businesses By Trustee.

Since his appointment, the Trustee has operated the Debtors' three businesses. Because, when the Trustee was appointed, the Debtors had no officers (the Debtors' prepetition officers resigned shortly before the Petition Date), the Trustee's professionals have been heavily involved

5

6

7

1

2. **Post-Petition Financing.**

12 13

14

15

16 17 18

20 21

19

23

24

22

25

26

27

28

approximately 150 employees.

in overseeing the day-to-day operation of the Debtors' businesses and managing the Debtors'

After assessing the operations and finances of the Wet Fish Business, the Trustee determined that the continued viability of the Wet Fish Business as a going concern was dependent on additional financing to fund working capital during the current fishing season. Although the fishing season began on April 1, the bulk of the actual fishing begins when fish become available, which cannot be predicted with any degree of certainty. State Fish's major suppliers for the Wet Fish Business are local fishermen, with whom State Fish has developed business relationships. State Fish has no written contracts with those fishermen. In order to maintain these critical relationships with the local fishermen and maintain the Wet Fish Business as a going concern, State Fish needs to be in a position to purchase and process fish when and as the fish become available, and needs additional capital in order to be in such a position.

In order to obtain financing to maintain the Wet Fish Business, the Trustee solicited proposals from, engaged in negotiations with, and provided due diligence information to potential lenders. The Trustee and his professionals analyzed and explored the proposals to determine the proposal that would maximize the value of State Fish's estate, and decided to pursue financing offered by Karlin Real Estate, LLC ("Karlin"). On April 15, 2015, the Trustee and Karlin executed a term sheet that provided for, among other things, a \$3,000,000 initial term loan and a delayed draw term loan of up to \$1,000,000, secured by a first priority lien on State Fish's real property and a second priority lien on State Fish's equipment. The term sheet provided that Karlin would be entitled to a \$100,000 break-up fee under limited circumstances, and a \$70,000 expense reimbursement. KTB&S prepared, and, on April 17, 2015, filed the Motion for Order Approving (I) Entry into Debtor-in-Possession Financing Term Sheet and (II) Related Lender Protections, by which the Trustee sought Court approval of the term sheet. Dkt. No. 265. At a hearing on April 23, 2015, the Court granted the Trustee's motion to approve the term sheet.

After approval of the term sheet, the Trustee, through KTB&S, and Karlin began negotiating loan documents. However, Karlin failed to timely confirm the satisfaction or waiver

3

1

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

13 14

16

17

15

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28 of the due diligence conditions set forth in the term sheet (and did not timely extend its deadline to do so). Because the Trustee determined that the terms and conditions proposed by Karlin in the loan documents were not in the estates' best interests, the Trustee determined not to proceed with Karlin. Because Karlin did not timely confirm satisfaction of the due diligence conditions, Karlin is not entitled to a break-up fee.

The Trustee is exploring other options for obtaining financing for the Wet Fish Business. As of the date hereof, the bulk of the fishing during this fishing season has not yet commenced, as the fish have not yet arrived. Accordingly, there remains time to obtain financing to provide working capital for the Wet Fish Business when the fish do arrive.

3. **Customs Bond for Value Added Business.**

In order to import seafood in its Value Added Business, State Fish is required to post a customs bond to ensure compliance with federal laws and the payment of all duties, taxes, and fees owed to the federal government in respect of imports. Absent the posting of the required bond, customs authorities will not release shipments of imported seafood to State Fish. Pursuant to the foregoing, State Fish currently maintains a customs bond in the amount of \$50,000 on the required Customs Form 301 (the "\$50,000 Bond"). The surety on the \$50,000 Bond is Western Surety Company. The \$50,000 Bond became effective on May 21, 2010. State Fish also maintains a separate \$600,000 bond with the United States Customs Service on the required Customs Form 301 (the "\$600,000 Bond"), the surety on which bond is also Western Surety, and which bond covered the time period May 19, 2008 through May 20, 2010. Both bonds were supported by a letter of credit issued by Farmers and Merchants Bank ("FMB") in the amount of \$600,000 (the "Letter of Credit").

On April 13, 2015, State Fish received a letter from Western Surety, stating that the \$50,000 Bond would be terminated as of May 20, 2015 due to a "change in underwriting status." Because of the necessity to maintain the \$50,000 Bond, the Trustee engaged in discussions with Western Surety, and was informed that Western Surety would agree to continue the \$50,000 Bond if the Letter of Credit were increased by \$50,000 on or before May 19, 2015 (or if a new letter of credit in the amount of \$50,000 were issued). FMB was willing to increase the Letter of Credit by

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

\$50,000 (i.e., to a total of \$650,000) or issue a new letter of credit as required by Western Surety, but only if the additional amount were cash collateralized by \$50,000 of State Fish's funds. Accordingly, KTB&S, on behalf of the Trustee, sought, and obtained, an order of the Court authorizing the use of \$50,000 of the Debtors' funds to cash collateralize the proposed increase to the Letter of Credit or to obtain, and cash collateralize, a new letter of credit in the amount of \$50,000. Once that order was entered, the Trustee worked with FMB and Western Surety to obtain a new \$50,000 letter of credit, which has allowed State Fish to continue importing seafood for its Value Added Business.

4. Trustee's Use of Cash Collateral.

In connection with his administration of the Debtors' estates, the Trustee, though KTB&S, has performed due diligence into any liens on the Debtors' property, including UCC searches and searches of the records of the United States Patent and Trademark Office and the United States Copyright Office. Those records reflect a financing statement filed by the Lenders asserting a lien on all of State Fish's personal property. KTB&S has determined that the foregoing lien is in respect of a credit agreement between State Fish and Lenders, executed in May 2014, pursuant to which the Lenders agreed to make revolving loans to State Fish up to \$7,175,000. There are no records of any liens on any real property owned by State Fish or on any assets of Calpack. The Trustee is aware that there may be one or more bases on which to challenge the Lenders' lien and claims. The Trustee believes that it is prudent to determine whether the estates are solvent and whether there is a basis for an amicable resolution of these cases before determining how to proceed with respect to any possible challenge to the Lenders' lien and claims.

Before the Trustee's appointment, State Fish and the Lenders had entered into a stipulation for the use of cash collateral. Dkt. No. 88-2. The stipulation provided, among other things, that State Fish was authorized to use cash collateral pursuant to the terms and conditions of the stipulation, and in accordance with the contemporaneously-filed budget. The stipulation also provided (i) a procedure for State Fish to propose and file monthly rolling budgets for the continued use of cash collateral beyond the timeframe of the initially-filed budget (the "Roll-

4 5

6 7

8 9

11 12

10

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

28

27

Forward Procedures"), and (ii) a period during which parties could challenge, among other things, the validity, enforceability, priority or extent of the Lenders' lien.

Since his appointment, after negotiations with the Lenders, John DeLuca, and the Committee, the Trustee, through KTB&S, has prepared and lodged two cash collateral orders that modify and extend the cash collateral stipulation, see Dkt. Nos. 206, 236, and has filed extended budgets in accordance with the Roll-Forward Procedures. The Court has scheduled a continued hearing on cash collateral matters for June 23, 2015.

5. Motion to Dismiss and Relief From Stay Litigation.

On February 19, 2015, John DeLuca filed his *Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to 11 U.S.C.* § 1112(b), or Abstain Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 305(a), or for the Appointment of a Chapter 11 Trustee Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 1104(a) (the "Motion to Dismiss"). Dkt. No. 130. In the Motion to Dismiss, John DeLuca sought an order: (i) dismissing State Fish's bankruptcy case⁴ pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 1112(b); or, alternatively, (ii) abstaining from exercising jurisdiction in State Fish's case pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 305(a); or, alternatively, (iii) appointing a Chapter 11 Trustee pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 1104(a), because, among other things, John alleged that "State Fish undeniably filed bankruptcy without corporate authority to do so, and in bad faith in order to avoid the entry of a judgment against the company's principals and majority shareholders." Dkt. No. 130, at 1.

The Motion to Dismiss is largely based on a tentative ruling in John DeLuca et al. v. Rose DeLuca, State Fish Co. Inc., et al., filed by John DeLuca and other minority shareholders of State Fish in 2006 in the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles (the "State Court"), Case No. BC358395 (the "Derivative Action"). On April 4, 2014, the State Court issued the tentative ruling, indicating that it would enter judgment ordering "(1) the removal of Defendants as directors of nominal defendant State Fish Co. (SFC); (2) the appointment of provisional independent directors for each vacancy on the Board created by the removal of Defendants; and

The Motion to Dismiss was only with respect to State Fish, although John reserved his rights with respect to Calpack.

4

5 6

7 8

9 10

12

11

13

15

14

17

16

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

(3) for an accounting to determine the amounts of legal fees Defendants caused SFC to expend with regard to the Trust Cases and Stolen Documents Case."

At a hearing on February 25, 2015, in considering the Motion to Dismiss and applications to employ the Debtors' professionals, this Court ruled that

> the key factor is whether or not the sisters' appointment of the independent directors in May violated the Court's order, and that's not something that this Court can determine. That's something that needs to be decided by the State Court, and everybody's arguing what the State Court meant by the tentative ruling and what the State Court was going to rule on January 30th, but I can't read those tea leaves, and neither can anybody else here, and the primary focus and the crux of the litigation here is whether or not the appointment of the independent directors who then hired your firm [Perkins Coie] and who then hired Mr. Blanco along with the sisters when Mr. Blanco was hired, whether or not they were properly in place. That's the crux of the issue. Everything else gets resolved once -- in the Court's mind or 99 percent of the issues before this Court get resolved once that issue is determined, and that issue, the Court believes, needs to be resolved by the State Court because the question of whether or not the appointment by the sisters who were supposed to no longer be directors of the company, they then took action to appoint the independent directors.

Accordingly, the Court sua sponte granted relief from the automatic stay to permit the State Court to resolve the corporate governance issues raised by John DeLuca. After the parties submitted different forms of order granting relief from stay, and after a hearing on the form of such order, the Court granted relief from stay to permit the Trustee to seek an order from the State Court with respect to:

> The possible removal and replacement of the "Defendants" referenced by the Superior Court in its 4/4/14 Tentative Decision as directors of State Fish. And, if the Superior Court determines that removal and replacement of the "Defendants" is warranted, whether such removal and replacement would be effective as of 4/4/14 or some later date: and

Whether on 5/12/14—after the Superior Court had issued its 4/4/14Tentative Decision in which it ordered "the removal of Defendants as directors of nominal defendant [State Fish]"—the "Defendants" had the authority to appoint Mark Stolper and Kirk Waldron as directors of State Fish. See In re State Fish Co., Inc., 15-bk-11084-SK, Docket #118-2 at 2 ("Written Consent to Action of the Directors in Lieu of a Meeting" dated 5/12/14).

Dkt. No. 203.

FIRST INTERIM FEE APPLICATION OF KLEE, TUCHIN, BOGDANOFF & STERN LLP

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

In accordance with that order granting relief from stay, KTB&S prepared and filed, on April 15, 2015, a motion in the State Court for an order, or in the alternative to set up a process, to answer the foregoing questions. KTB&S set a hearing on the motion for July 23, 2015, the first hearing date available before State Court Judge Hiroshige. John DeLuca filed a motion for relief from stay for permission to seek to expedite that hearing and with respect to a cross complaint in the Derivative Action that does not involve the Debtors. That motion was denied with respect to the expedited hearing.

At the February 25, 2015 hearing, the Court had continued the hearing on the Motion to Dismiss to June 23, 2015. Because the State Court will not have resolved the corporate governance questions before that time, the Court has ordered John DeLuca to file a copy of any ruling issued by the State Court during or after the July 23, 2015 State Court hearing, and has further continued the hearing on the Motion to Dismiss to August 20, 2015. The Court also ordered that, at the August 20, 2015 hearing, the Court may, if necessary, set a briefing schedule on the Motion to Dismiss (and the Perkins Coie and Avant/CRO employment applications). Dkt. No. 367.

6. Other Litigation and Upcoming Mediation.

The Derivative Action is one of several lawsuits involving the Debtors and their shareholders. Some or all of the Sisters, John DeLuca, his fish company, J. DeLuca Fish Company, and the siblings' uncle, Fred DiBernardo, have been party to numerous lawsuits over the past decade. These cases include, but are not limited to:

- John Michael DeLuca v. State Fish Company, Inc., Rose DeLuca, Vanessa DeLuca, Janet Esposito, and Roseann DeLuca, Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC358395: This case is the Derivative Action and is discussed above.
- b. Fred J. DiBernardo v. Michael Leight, Rose DeLuca, Janet Esposito, Roseann DeLuca, Vanessa DeLuca and Robert C. Danner, Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC365900: This case is referred to as the "Documents Case," and was brought by Fred DiBernardo (allegedly former general counsel to State

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Fish) after Michael Leight (also former counsel to State Fish) allegedly came into possession of, and attempted to use, certain confidential documents which were allegedly anonymously mailed to Leight. This case was settled in May 2011.

- c. J. DeLuca Fish Company, Inc. v. State Fish Company, Inc., Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC391583: Known as the "Business Interference Case," in this case John DeLuca's own fish company, J. DeLuca Fish Company, Inc. sued State Fish, alleging that State Fish delayed in vacating the premises known as "Plant 2" after John DeLuca, the owner of the premises, issued a notice to State Fish to vacate the premises. J. DeLuca Fish Company argued that State Fish's delay constituted intentional or negligent interference with J. DeLuca Fish Company's business. This case is pending.
- d. John Michael DeLuca v. State Fish Company, Inc., et al., Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC504002: Known as the "Waste Case," in this case John DeLuca, owner of Plant 2, alleged that defendants committed particular acts or omissions of waste in connection with State Fish's use of Plant 2 and certain equipment therein. This case is pending.
- State Fish Company, Inc. v. Fred DiBernardo, et al., Superior Court of e. California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. NC042394: Known as the "Seastar Case," in this case State Fish sued Fred DiBernardo, John DeLuca, Lenore DeLuca, and J. DeLuca Fish Company, alleging that, among other things, DiBernardo breached fiduciary duties to State Fish because he conducted improper business transactions with State Fish during the time that he was State Fish's counsel. This case has been dismissed.
- Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case Nos. NP012849, NP012850, NP012851, NP012852, and NP012853. Known as the "Trust Cases," in these cases Vanessa DeLuca and Janet Esposito petitioned to remove Fred DiBernardo as trustee of trusts for the benefit of Vanessa's and Janet's respective children. These cases appear to have been resolved.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

State Fish Co., Inc. v. John M. DeLuca, et al., Superior Court of California, g. County of Los Angeles, Case No. NC044211: In this case, State Fish sued John DeLuca alleging numerous instances of John DeLuca (and another defendant, Albert Demers) making payments not authorized by State Fish and other acts not in State Fish's best interests. This case was dismissed without leave to amend by a judgment in John's favor.

KTB&S has spent a substantial amount of time during the First Interim Period analyzing pleadings in the foregoing cases, because, among other things, (i) it is important for the Trustee to understand the basis of the claims that John DeLuca has indicated he intends to assert absent a settlement; (ii) understanding the history of litigation between the parties is important to the Trustee's analysis of the Motion to Dismiss and the litigation of the Derivative Action in State Court; (iii) the Trustee is hopeful that he can bring peace to the DeLuca family and these estates, which endeavor requires a strong grasp of the facts underlying the family's disputes, and (iv) in the Trustee's meetings with both John DeLuca and the Sisters, each side has emphasized the importance of these disputes.

In furtherance of his effort to resolve the foregoing disputes and bring peace to the DeLuca family and the estates, the Trustee suggested to each of John DeLuca and the Sisters that a global mediation session be scheduled. Each side agreed, and both sides independently selected the Honorable Dickran M. Tevrizian (ret.) as an acceptable mediator. KTB&S, on behalf of the Trustee, contacted Judge Tevrizian and has scheduled a mediation for June 24-25, 2015.⁵ Fred DiBernardo is expected to attend as well. To prepare for the mediation, the Trustee and his professionals have solicited from the parties the issues and unresolved questions of fact and law they believe to be relevant, and the Trustee and his professionals are currently analyzing those issues.

25

26

27

28

²⁴

The Trustee paid the mediation fees from the Debtors' estates pursuant to an order of this Court. Dkt. No. 349.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

7. Provision of Access to Debtors' Records.

During meetings with the Trustee and his professionals, both the Sisters and John DeLuca expressed concerns regarding the completeness and security of the Debtors' books and records. John DeLuca also expressed interest in reviewing those books and records. The books and records had previously been stored in various different locations. In response to those concerns, the Trustee and his financial advisors undertook a lengthy process of gathering the Debtors' records (which comprise over 600 bankers boxes) into one area in the Debtors' headquarters, and securing the records room with a new lock and with a closed-circuit camera system. In addition, the Trustee and his financial advisors reviewed the contents of these boxes and prepared an inventory of the boxes. Once this process was complete, the Trustee invited the Sisters and John DeLuca to review the records (subject to supervision by a representative of the Trustee and the requirement that a second set of all copied documents be provided to the Trustee). John's representatives have accessed the records room on several occasions. In addition, the Trustee has copied all sensitive electronic data stored on the Debtors' servers and computers in order to secure and preserve such electronic information.

8. Sale of HPP/Calpack Business.

Finally, during the First Interim Period, the Trustee has been exploring a sale of some or all of the Debtors' assets. The Trustee's professionals have solicited bids for the Debtors' assets, have assembled due diligence materials concerning the Debtors' assets, and have provided such materials to parties that have expressed interest to the Trustee in a possible transaction, and who have executed a confidentiality agreement. The Trustee is currently in advanced discussions with a potential purchaser for the Debtors' assets that relate to the HPP/Calpack Business, and is hopeful that documentation will be signed and filed with the Court before the end of the month.

IV.

SUMMARY OF SERVICES RENDERED

Project Billing and Narrative Statement of Services Rendered. Α.

In accordance with the Central District Guidelines and the U.S. Trustee Guidelines, KTB&S categorized all services performed for which compensation is being sought. KTB&S

attempted to place the services performed in the category that best relates to the service provided. However, because certain services may relate to one or more categories, services pertaining to one category may, in fact, be included in another category. KTB&S has established the following billing categories:

Project Categories	Total Hours <u>Billed</u>	<u>Total Fees</u>
B110 – Case Administration	64.40	\$35,301.00
B115 – Reporting	20.60	\$10,326.50
B120 – Asset Analysis & Recovery	77.30	\$57,635.50
B130 – Asset Disposition	149.40	\$103,056.00
B140 – Relief from Stay/Adequate Protection	83.20	\$53,464.50
B150 – Meetings & Communications with Creditors	2.60	\$1,628.00
B160 – Employment & Fee Applications	68.90	\$31,285.00
B170 – Employment & Fee Objections	0.40	\$190.00
B180 – Avoidance Action Analysis	1.20	\$812.00
B185 – Assumption & Rejection of Leases and Contracts	10.90	\$4,792.00
B210 – Business Operations	54.20	\$35,756.50
B220 – Employee Benefits / Pensions	11.30	\$6,941.00
B230 – Financing & Cash Collateral	166.60	\$105,987.00
B240 – Tax Issues	1.00	\$717.00
B310 – Claims Administration & Objections	44.50	\$17,180.00
L120 – Analysis & Strategy	98.50	\$73,545.50
L160 – Settlement / Non-Binding ADR	36.90	\$29,875.50
L190 – Other Case Assessment, Developments & Administration	61.10	\$54,639.50
L210 – Pleadings	18.50	\$16,720.00
L250 – Other Written Motions and Submissions	2.10	\$1,295.00
L320 – Document Production	18.00	\$10,256.50
Total:	991.60	\$\$651,404.00.00

B. Case Administration – Billing Code B110.

For the First Interim Period, KTB&S is requesting approval of its fees in the Case Administration category in the amount of \$35,301.00, comprising 64.40 hours. The Debtors are subject to many administrative and procedural requirements imposed by the Bankruptcy Code, the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, this Court's Local Rules, and the U.S. Trustee. Time spent by KTB&S ensuring compliance with these obligations in a timely manner was billed to the Case Administration category. This category also includes review by KTB&S attorneys of the background of the cases shortly after being retained, as well as administrative tasks that did not clearly fit into one of the other activity categories.

C. Reporting – Billing Code B115.

For the First Interim Period, KTB&S is requesting approval of its fees in the Reporting category in the amount of \$10,326.50, comprising 20.60 hours. Time spent by KTB&S in this category includes: (i) analyzing the Debtors' Schedules of Assets and Liabilities, Statements of Financial Affairs and amendments thereto; (ii) preparing amended 7-day packages and amended Statements of Financial Affairs; and (iii) assisting the Trustee in the preparation of monthly operating reports.

D. Asset Analysis & Recovery – Billing Code B120.

For the First Interim Period, KTB&S is requesting approval of its fees in the Asset Analysis & Recovery category in the amount of \$57,635.50, comprising 77.30 hours. KTB&S's activity in this fee category includes investigating potential estate assets, including possible avoidance actions and several outstanding notes payable to the Debtors. The Trustee, through KTB&S, initiated discussions with various parties concerning collection of such notes and performed legal research regarding the Debtors' rights under those notes. In particular, KTB&S undertook an extensive analysis of an approximately \$4,000,000 note owing to State Fish stemming from State Fish's sale of the Atlantis Seafood business in 2012, which analysis included the review of contemporaneous transaction documentation as well as a meeting with counsel to the obligor on that note. KTB&S also spent time in this fee category advising the Trustee regarding the collection of accounts receivable.

E. Asset Disposition – Billing Code B130.

For the First Interim Period, KTB&S is requesting approval of its fees in the Asset Disposition category in the amount of \$103,056.00, comprising 149.40 hours. KTB&S's activity in this category was substantial, and included all matters relating to the solicitation of bids for the Debtors' various business lines; preparation, negotiation and execution of confidentiality agreements with potential bidders; and preparation, review, and dissemination of thousands of pages of due diligence material related to all of the Debtors' businesses. In addition, during the First Interim Period, the Trustee identified a potential purchaser for the HPP/Calpack Business. Accordingly, KTB&S spent a substantial amount of time during the First Interim Period negotiating an asset purchase agreement and related schedules, exhibits, and agreements with the prospective buyer, and preparing and negotiating a motion and order to approve bidding and sale procedures for the sale of the HPP/Calpack Business.

Although the Trustee did not identify a buyer during the First Interim Period for the Wet Fish Business or the Value Added Business, KTB&S also spent time during the First Interim Period reviewing due diligence materials and soliciting offers in connection with those businesses.

In addition, during the First Interim Period KTB&S prepared the *Notice of Motion and Motion for Authority to (1) Sell Property of the Estate Free and Clear of Liens; (2) Employ Van Horn Auctions and Appraisal Group, LLC as Auctioneer; and (3) Pay Compensation to the Auctioneer* [Dkt. No. 246], by which the Trustee sought, and obtained, an order permitting the Trustee to sell, at auction, two vehicles owned by State Fish. Net proceeds from that sale totaled \$30,350.

F. Relief from Stay/Adequate Protection – Billing Code B140.

For the First Interim Period, KTB&S is requesting approval of its fees in the Relief from Stay/Adequate Protection category in the amount of \$53,464.50, comprising 83.20 hours. KTB&S's activity in this fee category was substantial. As detailed above, immediately upon being retained by the Trustee KTB&S was required to quickly become familiar with the Court's ruling at its February 25, 2015 hearing at which the Court *sua sponte* granted relief from stay to permit the State Court to answer certain corporate governance questions. The initial proposed

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

form of order was submitted by John DeLuca. In response, KTB&S prepared and filed the Chapter 11 Trustee's Objection to Form of Order Granting Relief From Stay Proposed By John DeLuca and Notice of Lodgment of Alternative Form of Order [Dkt. No. 177], which objected to the form of order proposed by John, and included the Trustee's own form of order. The Court held a hearing on the form of order on March 11, 2015, at which KTB&S appeared and argued on behalf of the Trustee. The Court ultimately entered an order granting the Trustee relief from stay to seek answers to the corporate governance questions. After KTB&S, in accordance with that order, set a hearing for July 23, 2015 for the State Court to consider a motion seeking answers to the questions, John DeLuca filed a motion for relief from stay for permission to seek to expedite that hearing and with respect to a cross complaint in the Derivative Action that does not involve the Debtors. KTB&S prepared and filed the Chapter 11 Trustee's Opposition to Motion for Relief From Stay Filed by John DeLuca [Dkt. No. 278] opposing the portion of that motion seeking an expedited State Court hearing. The motion was denied with respect to the expedited hearing.

Activity in this category also included KTB&S's analysis of the motion for relief from the automatic stay filed by Wells Fargo Equipment Finance seeking to lift the automatic stay to permit Wells Fargo Equipment Finance to exercise remedies with respect to certain equipment leased by State Fish. KTB&S conferred with counsel to Wells Fargo Equipment Finance and ultimately stipulated with Wells Fargo Equipment Finance to withdraw the motion for relief from stay.

G. **Meetings & Communications with Creditors – Billing Code 150.**

For the First Interim Period, KTB&S is requesting approval of its fees in the Meetings & Communications category in the amount of \$1,628.00, comprising 2.60 hours. KTB&S's activity in this category included (i) preparation and revision of an agreement governing the sharing of confidential information with the Committee and (ii) meetings and communications with the Committee's counsel.

H. **Employment & Fee Applications – Billing Code 160.**

For the First Interim Period, KTB&S is requesting approval of its fees in the Employment & Fee Applications category in the amount of \$31,285.00, comprising 68.90 hours. KTB&S's activity in this category includes the preparation of employment applications for itself, Berkeley

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Research Group, LLC (the Trustee's financial advisors and accountants), Michael M. Ozawa and
Robert E. Bates (the Trustee's consultants), Kathryn Tyler (the Trustee's intellectual property
counsel), and Gribin Kapadia & Associates (the Trustee's real estate appraiser).

In addition, KTB&S spent time preparing stipulations to continue hearings on the employment applications filed by the Debtors (before the Trustee's appointment) of Gordon Rees Scully Mansukhani LLP and Antarctica Advisors LLC. Prior to the continued hearings on these applications, the Trustee withdrew these employment applications.

I. Employment & Fee Objections – Billing Code B170.

For the First Interim Period, KTB&S is requesting approval of its fees in the Employment & Fee Objections category in the amount of \$190.00, comprising 0.40 hours. Time spent in this category was de minimis and involved the analysis of John DeLuca's objection to the Debtors' application to employ Gordon Rees Scully Mansukhani LLP.

J. Avoidance Action Analysis - Billing Code B180.

For the First Interim Period, KTB&S is requesting approval of its fees in the Avoidance Action Analysis category in the amount of \$812.00, comprising 1.20 hours. Time spent in this category was de minimis and involved the analysis of materials provided to the Trustee by counsel to the Lenders regarding the Lenders' liens. Additional activity by KTB&S related to the Lenders' liens appears under Billing Code B230.

K. Assumption & Rejection of Leases and Contracts – Billing Code B185.

For the First Interim Period, KTB&S is requesting approval of its fees in the Assumption & Rejection of Leases and Contracts category in the amount of \$4,792.00, comprising 10.90 hours. Work in this category included preparation and filing of a motion for an order extending the Trustee's time to assume or reject non-residential leases of real property.

L. **Business Operations – Billing Code B210.**

For the First Interim Period, KTB&S is requesting approval of its fees in the Business Operations category in the amount of \$35,756.50, comprising 54.20 hours. As discussed above, because, when the Trustee was appointed, the Debtors had no officers (the Debtors' prepetition officers resigned shortly before the Petition Date), the Trustee's financial advisors and consultants

have been heavily involved in overseeing the day-to-day operation of the Debtors' businesses and managing the Debtors' approximately 150 employees. Accordingly, KTB&S's activity in this fee category was substantial and involved, among other things, (i) preparation of consulting agreements for certain individuals the Trustee wished to retain as consultants for the Debtors, (ii) analysis of the Debtors' intellectual property to ensure that the rights to such intellectual property were preserved, (iii) review and revisions of several contracts and agreements for, among other things, supplies and temporary labor, (iv) revisions of certain non-disclosure agreements required by several customers of the HPP/Calpack Business, (v) investigation into allegations of interference with the Debtors' Wet Fish Business operations, (vi) meetings and communications with the Trustee and BRG regarding the financial results of the Debtors' business operations, and (vii) preparation of the *Notice of Motion and Motion for Order Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Sections 105(a), 363(b), and 364(d) Approving \$50,000 Cash Collateralized Letter of Credit to Preserve Customs Bond* [Dkt. No. 303], by which, as described in more detail above, the Trustee preserved the ability of State Fish to import frozen seafood for the Value Added Business.

M. Employee Benefits / Pensions – Billing Code B220.

For the First Interim Period, KTB&S is requesting approval of its fees in the Employee Benefits / Pensions category in the amount of \$6,941.00, comprising 11.30 hours. KTB&S's activity in this category included the analysis of certain employment-related claims asserted against the Debtors by current and former employees, and correspondence with representatives of the claimants (attorneys or governmental entities, as applicable) regarding the same.

N. Financing & Cash Collateral – Billing Code B230.

For the First Interim Period, KTB&S is requesting approval of its fees in the Financing & Cash Collateral category in the amount of \$105,987.00, comprising 166.60 hours. KTB&S's activity in this category was substantial, and was largely comprised of work on (i) seeking postpetition financing and (ii) use of cash collateral.

With respect to postpetition financing, KTB&S assisted the Trustee in negotiating a term sheet for postpetition financing with Karlin, and prepared and filed the *Notice of Motion and Motion for Order Approving (I) Entry into Debtor-in-Possession Financing Term Sheet and*

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

With respect to cash collateral, KTB&S has performed due diligence into any liens on the Debtors' property and has identified a financing statement filed by the Lenders asserting a lien on all of State Fish's personal property. KTB&S has determined that the lien is in respect of a credit agreement between State Fish and Lenders, executed in May 2014, pursuant to which the Lenders agreed to make revolving loans to State Fish up to \$7,175,000. KTB&S has performed a preliminary investigation into the validity of this lien, and is aware that there may be one or more bases on which to challenge the Lenders' lien and claims. Before the Trustee's appointment, State Fish and the Lenders had entered into a stipulation for the use of cash collateral. During the First Interim Period, KTB&S negotiated with the Lenders, John DeLuca, and the Committee, and, in accordance with those negotiations, prepared and lodged two cash collateral orders that modify and extend the cash collateral stipulation, and analyzed and filed extended budgets in accordance

then worked with counsel to Karlin to negotiate loan agreements. As noted above, the Trustee has

Ο. Tax Issues – Billing Code B240.

with the procedures set forth in the stipulation.

determined not to proceed with the financing offered by Karlin.

For the First Interim Period, KTB&S is requesting approval of its fees in the Tax Issues category in the amount of \$717.00, comprising 1.00 hour. Time spent in this category was de *minimis* and involved correspondence regarding the Debtors' tax returns.

P. Claims Administration & Objections – Billing Code B310.

For the First Interim Period, KTB&S is requesting approval of its fees in the Claims Administration & Objections category in the amount of \$17,180.00, comprising 44.50 hours. Fees in this category primarily include the preparation and filing of the Notice of Motion and Motion for Order (1) Fixing Deadlines for Filing Proofs of Claim, Proofs of Interest, and Certain Administrative Expense Requests; (2) Establishing Ramifications for Failure to Comply Therewith; and (3) Approving Form and Manner of Notice Thereof [Dkt. No. 300]. The Court approved that motion and set a general bar date of July 7, 2015, and KTB&S then spent time

preparing, serving, and publishing notice of the bar date. In addition, KTB&S spent time in this

category analyzing the Debtors' motion to pay certain Bankruptcy Code section 503(b)(9) and

"PACA" claims (which motion was filed before the Trustee's appointment) and preparing an order

6

7

11

1999 AVENUE OF THE STARS, THIRTY-NINTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067

Klee, Tuchin, Bogdanoff & Stern Llp

24

2

approving that motion.

Q. Analysis & Strategy – Billing Code L120.

For the First Interim Period, KTB&S is requesting approval of its fees in the Analysis & Strategy category in the amount of \$73,545.50, comprising 98.50 hours. KTB&S's activity in this fee category was substantial. The Debtors and/or their shareholders are (or have been) party to numerous lawsuits over the past decade, as listed in section. These lawsuits (both those that are pending and those that have already concluded) are important to the Trustee because, among other things, (i) it is important for the Trustee to understand that basis of the claims that John DeLuca has indicated he intends to assert absent a settlement; (ii) understanding the history of litigation between the parties is important to the Trustee's analysis of John DeLuca's Motion to Dismiss; (iii) the Trustee is hopeful that he can bring peace to the DeLuca family and these estates, which endeavor requires a strong grasp of the facts underlying the family's disputes, and (iv) in the Trustee's meetings with both John and the Sisters, each side has emphasized the importance of these disputes. Accordingly, KTB&S has spent substantial time analyzing pleadings, transcripts, and other documents in and related to the State Court cases listed in section III.B.6 above, communicating with the Trustee, BRG, and counsel to the various parties regarding the facts and merits of these cases, and assessing the relative strengths and weaknesses of each party's arguments in each of these cases. KTB&S has also spent time in this fee category analyzing and conducting legal research related to John DeLuca's Motion to Dismiss.

R. Settlement / Non-Binding ADR – Billing Code L160.

For the First Interim Period, KTB&S is requesting approval of its fees in the Settlement / Non-Binding ADR category in the amount of \$29,875.50, comprising 36.90 hours. In the early stages of KTB&S's involvement in these cases, time spent in this category involved correspondence with counsel to the Sisters and counsel to John DeLuca regarding settlement

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

proposals. In addition, as discussed in section III.B.6 above, the Trustee has organized a mediation, set for June 24-25, 2015, at which all the parties to the Debtors' and the Debtors' shareholders' various lawsuits will attempt to resolve their disputes. KTB&S has spent substantial time during the First Interim Period preparing for the mediation, including through communications with counsel to both the Sisters and to John DeLuca, soliciting from each of them a list of issues requiring resolution, and analyzing documents and pleadings that are responsive to those issues.

S. Other Case Assessment, Developments & Administration – Billing Code L190.

For the First Interim Period, KTB&S is requesting approval of its fees in the Other Case Assessment, Developments & Administration category in the amount of \$54,639.50, comprising 61.10 hours. KTB&S's activity in this fee category overlaps to some extent with its activity in fee category L120, and is related to KTB&S's work on the various lawsuits involving the Debtors and their shareholders. Particular tasks in this category include (i) analysis of memoranda regarding the pending litigation; (ii) research regarding certain pleadings that the Trustee was required to file on behalf of the Debtors in the State Court; (iii) correspondence with counsel to the Sisters and to John DeLuca regarding the various lawsuits; (iv) analysis of case updates in the various lawsuits; (v) meetings with the Trustee regarding litigation and settlement strategy; and (vi) correspondence with the State Court regarding setting a hearing date for the Trustee's motion regarding the corporate governance questions (as detailed in section III.B.5).

T. **Pleadings – Billing Code L210.**

For the First Interim Period, KTB&S is requesting approval of its fees in the Pleadings category in the amount of \$16,720.00, comprising 18.50 hours. KTB&S's activity in this fee category involved (i) preparing its opposition to John DeLuca's motion for relief from stay for authority to seek an expedited hearing date for the Trustee's motion regarding the corporate governance questions (as detailed in section III.B.5), and (ii) drafting pleadings that were filed in certain of the State Court lawsuits involving the Debtors, including the Trustee's motion in the Derivative Action seeking Judge Hiroshige's answers to the corporate governance questions.

27

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

U. Other Written Motions and Submissions – Billing Code L250.

For the First Interim Period, KTB&S is requesting approval of its fees in the Other Written Motions and Submissions category in the amount of \$1,295.00, comprising 2.10 hours. KTB&S's performed a de minimis amount of work in this fee category, which included additional activity regarding the Trustee's motion in the Derivative Action seeking answers to the corporate governance questions.

V. **Document Production – Billing Code L320.**

For the First Interim Period, KTB&S is requesting approval of its fees in the Document Production category in the amount of \$10,256.50, comprising 18.00 hours. During the First Interim Period, both the Sisters and John DeLuca expressed concerns regarding the completeness and security of the Debtors' books and records. John DeLuca also expressed interest in reviewing those books and records. In response to those concerns, the Trustee and his financial advisors undertook a lengthy process of gathering the Debtors' records (which comprise over 600 bankers boxes) into one area in the Debtors' headquarters, and securing the records room with a new lock and with a closed-circuit camera system. In addition, the Trustee and his financial advisors reviewed the contents of these boxes and prepared an inventory of the boxes. KTB&S was required to address numerous legal issues relating to the provision of these documents, and communicated with counsel to the Sisters and John on multiple occasions regarding these issues. KTB&S also carefully analyzed these records to ensure that privileged documents were not made available.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SUMMARY OF COSTS AND EXPENSES

II.

The U.S. Trustee Guidelines and Local Bankruptcy Rule 2016-1(a)(1)(F) require that an application seeking reimbursement of expenses include a summary listing of all expenses by category and month. Accordingly, annexed hereto as Exhibit 4 is a summary of the reimbursable expenses incurred by KTB&S on a monthly basis during the First Interim Period per expense category. The total of costs and expenses incurred during the First Interim Period for which KTB&S seeks reimbursement is \$24,930.70.

6 7

5

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

> 15 16

17

19

18

20 21

22

23

24 25

26 27

28

To assist the Court in reviewing KTB&S's request for reimbursement of the expenses incurred in connection with its representation of the Trustee, KTB&S's accounting procedures for the general categories of costs and expenses for which it seeks reimbursement by this Application are described below. All of the requested expenses are charged at rates customarily applied to KTB&S's non-debtor clients.

A. Copying.

KTB&S's internal photocopying projects are billed to the client at the cost of \$0.10 per page. This rate is comparable to the rate charged by a substantial number of other law firms in its community in both bankruptcy and non-bankruptcy engagements. The total expenses incurred by KTB&S in the Copying expense category during the First Interim Period at the rate of \$0.10 per page were \$6,660.30.

В. **Delivery Services/Messengers.**

When the exigencies of these cases required it, KTB&S used messenger services and overnight courier services, such as Federal Express, to deliver documents. KTB&S charges its clients for the costs of such services, without surcharge. The total expenses incurred by KTB&S in the Delivery Services/Messengers expense category during the First Interim Period were \$554.90.

C. Online Research.

In the course of its representation of the Trustee, it sometimes became necessary and cost efficient to research by means of computer research services such as LEXIS/NEXIS. KTB&S bills the actual cost of these services directly to its clients without any surcharge. The total expenses incurred by KTB&S in the Online Research expense category during the First Interim Period were \$9,848.98.

D. Other Expenses.

This expense category includes (1) viewing online pleadings in the State Court, (2) the fee for UCC searches, and (3) fees for obtaining title reports. The total expenses incurred by KTB&S in the Other Expenses expense category during the First Interim Period were \$2,190.17.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

20

18

24 25

26

27

28

E. Parking.

This category includes costs incurred by KTB&S for parking fees to attend various meetings and Court hearings. The total expenses incurred by KTB&S in the Parking expense category during the First Interim Period were \$23.00.

F. Postage.

KTB&S calculates postage costs at the rate set by the postal service for the weight and class of a given mailing. KTB&S charges its clients for the costs of such services without any surcharge. The total expenses incurred by KTB&S in the Postage expense category during the First Interim Period were \$5,511.57.

G. **Telephone (Conference Call).**

KTB&S only seeks reimbursement for actual charges for conference call services provided by a third party in this expense category, without surcharge, which during the First Interim Period totaled \$39.78.

H. Transcripts.

This expense category includes costs incurred by KTB&S for transcripts of various hearings in the Debtors' cases, without surcharge. The total expenses incurred in the Transcripts expense category during the First Interim Period were \$102.00.

III.

REQUEST FOR INTERIM COMPENSATION

Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 330, the Court may award to a professional person reasonable compensation for actual, necessary services rendered, and reimbursement for actual, necessary expenses incurred. Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 331, the Court may award interim compensation and reimbursement to a professional. As set forth above, the fees for which KTB&S requests compensation and the costs incurred for which KTB&S requests reimbursement are for actual and necessary services rendered and costs incurred.

The professional services rendered by KTB&S have required an expenditure of substantial time and effort. Moreover, the time and labor devoted in these cases is only one of many pertinent factors in determining an award of fees and costs. Based on the skill brought to bear in these cases

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

by	KTB&S	and t	he re	esults	obtained,	KTB&S	submits	that t	he	compensation	requested	herein	is
re	asonable a	ınd ap	prop	riate.									

Bankruptcy Code section 330 provides for the award of duly employed professional persons of:

- reasonable compensation for actual, necessary services (1) rendered by such . . . professional person . . . based on the nature, the extent, and the value of such services, the time spent on such services, and the cost of comparable services other than in a case under the title; and
 - reimbursement for actual, necessary expenses. (2)

11 U.S.C. § 330(a). As stated by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals in *In re Yermakov*, 718 F.2d 1465, 1471 (9th Cir. 1983): "The primary method used to determine a reasonable attorney fee in a bankruptcy case is to multiply the number of hours expended by an hourly rate." See also In re *Hunt*, 238 F.3d 1098, 1105 (9th Cir. 2001) (citing to *In re Yermakov*).

The issues that arose in these cases demanded a high level of skill and perseverance by KTB&S attorneys.

IV.

CONCLUSION

The interim compensation sought in this Application is on account and is not final. Upon the conclusion of these cases, KTB&S will seek approval of fees for the totality of the services rendered as bankruptcy counsel to the Trustee based on the applicable standards. Any interim fees approved by the Court and received by KTB&S will be credited against such final fees as this Court may allow.

The services for which compensation is sought in this Application have been beneficial to the Debtors' estates, the costs incurred have been necessary and proper, and the sums requested for the services rendered and the costs incurred are fair and reasonable.

WHEREFORE, KTB&S respectfully requests that the Court issue an order: (1) allowing interim compensation to KTB&S for services rendered and expenses incurred during the period from February 27, 2015 through May 31, 2015, in the total amount of \$676,334.70, comprised of fees for services rendered of \$651,404.00 and expenses incurred of \$24,930.70; (2) authorizing the

1	Trustee to pay KTB&S 80% of its approved fees and 100% of its approved costs when there is
	adequate cash in the estates to make such payments; and (3) granting KTB&S any other relief that
3	this Court deems necessary and appropriate.
4	
5	DATED: June 18, 2015 /s/ Jonathan M. Weiss

JONATHAN M. WEISS, an attorney with KLEE, TUCHIN, BOGDANOFF & STERN LLP Bankruptcy Counsel for R. Todd Neilson, Chapter 11 Trustee

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

DECLARATION OF JONATHAN M. WEISS

I, Jonathan M. Weiss, do hereby declare as follows:

- 1. I am over 18 years of age, and if called as a witness, I could and would testify from my own personal knowledge regarding the matters set forth in this declaration.
- 2. I am admitted to practice law in the State of California and before this Court. I am an associate of Klee, Tuchin, Bogdanoff & Stern LLP ("KTB&S"), which serves as bankruptcy counsel for R. Todd Neilson, the chapter 11 trustee (the "Trustee") of the bankruptcy estates of State Fish Co., Inc. ("State Fish") and Calpack Foods, LLC ("Calpack" and collectively, the "Debtors").
- 3. I submit this Declaration in support of the First Interim Application of Klee, Tuchin, Bogdanoff & Stern LLP for Allowance and Payment of Fees and Expenses Incurred as Bankruptcy Counsel for the Chapter 11 Trustee for the Period February 27, 2015 through May 31, 2015 (the "Application").
- 4. I prepared, am familiar with, and have read the Application. To the best of my knowledge, the facts therein are true and copies of the billing statements attached thereto are true and correct copies of KTB&S's billing statements for these cases.
- 5. I am one of the KTB&S attorneys principally responsible for rendering services to the Debtors. The other principally responsible attorneys are David M. Stern, Michael L. Tuchin, and Colleen M. Keating. Other KTB&S attorneys rendered services on discrete matters from time to time, as necessary.
- 6. The compensation and expense reimbursements requested in the Application are billed at rates, and in accordance with billing practices, no less favorable than those customarily used by KTB&S in other debtor and non-debtor engagements.
- 7. Neither KTB&S, nor any member of KTB&S, has any agreement or understanding of any kind or nature to divide, pay over or share any portion of the fees or expenses to be awarded to KTB&S with any other person or attorney except as among the partners of KTB&S.
- 8. I have reviewed KTB&S's time records in these cases on a monthly basis, reviewing each line item entry. I, and other attorneys in charge of this matter, have made certain

Case 2:15-bk-11084-SK Doc 379 Filed 06/18/15 Entered 06/18/15 16:15:05 Desc Main Document Page 35 of 38

1

2

3

4

5

write-offs to our invoices in the exercise of our billing judgment, based upon our evaluation
which totaled \$19,933.00 representing 38.60 hours of work that has been written off in the
exercise of billing discretion and is reflected as "No Charge" on the billing records appended
hereto. To the best of my knowledge, information and belief, formed after reasonable inquiry, no
time has been billed to the Trustee outside the scope of work authorized by the order authorizing
KTB&S's employment in these cases.

9. I am familiar with the Bankruptcy Code, the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, the Local Bankruptcy Rules, and the Guidelines for Reviewing Applications for Compensation & Reimbursement of Expenses Filed Under 11 U.S.C. § 330, 28 C.F.R. § 58, Appendix A (the "U.S. Trustee Guidelines"), which are promulgated by the Office of the United States Trustee. I believe that the Application complies with applicable law and the requirements of the U.S. Trustee Guidelines. Specifically, I have reviewed Local Bankruptcy Rule 2016-1(a) and I believe the Application complies with the rule.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this June 18, 2015 at Los Angeles, California.

/s/ Jonathan M. Weiss

Jonathan M. Weiss

PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is: 1999 Avenue of the Stars, 39th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90067.

A true and correct copy of the foregoing document entitled: FIRST INTERIM APPLICATION OF KLEE, TUCHIN, BOGDANOFF & STERN LLP FOR ALLOWANCE AND PAYMENT OF FEES AND EXPENSES INCURRED AS BANKRUPTCY COUNSEL FOR THE CHAPTER 11 TRUSTEE FOR THE PERIOD FEBRUARY 27, 2015 THROUGH MAY 31, 2015; DECLARATION OF JONATHAN M. WEISS IN SUPPORT THEREOF was served (a) on the judge in chambers in the form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d); and (b) in the manner stated below:

controlling General to the document. O proceeding and det	Orders and LBR, the foregoing June 18, 2015, I checked the	ig docu CM/E0	OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF): Pursuant to ment will be served by the court via NEF and hyperlink CF docket for this bankruptcy case or adversary e on the Electronic Mail Notice List to receive NEF
SEE ATTACHED	SERVICE LIST	\boxtimes	Service information continued on attached page
On June 18, 2015, case by placing a troprepaid, and address	rue and correct copy thereof in	a sealed	entities at the last known addresses in this bankruptcy d envelope in the United States mail, first class, postage e constitutes a declaration that mailing to the judge will s filed.
SEE ATTACHED	SERVICE LIST		
			Service information continued on attached page
EMAIL (state met June 18, 2015, I am mail service, or (fo email as follows. I	hod for each person or entity so ranged for service on the follow r those who consented in writing	erved): ving pe ng to su es a dec	GHT MAIL, FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION OR Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 5 and/or controlling LBR, on rsons and/or entities by personal delivery, overnight ach service method), by facsimile transmission and/or claration that personal delivery on, or overnight mail to, after the document is filed.
SERVED VIA PER Hon. Sandra R. Kle U.S. Bankruptcy C 255 E. Temple St., Los Angeles, CA 9	ourt Ctrm. 1575		
			Service information continued on attached page
I declare under pen	alty of perjury under the laws	of the U	United States that the foregoing is true and correct.
June 18, 2015 Date	Jonathan M. Weiss Printed Name		/s/ Jonathan M. Weiss Signature

TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF):

- Martin J Brill mjb@lnbrb.com
- Alexandre I Cornelius aicornelius@costell-law.com, jgalliver@costell-law.com;mharris@costell-law.com;jcostell-law.com;jlcostell@costell-law.com
- Caroline Djang cdjang@rutan.com
- Amir Gamliel agamliel@perkinscoie.com, cmallahi@perkinscoie.com
- Sasha M Gurvitz sgurvitz@ktbslaw.com
- Michael J Hooi mhooi.ecf@srbp.com, gnorthwood@srbp.com
- Marsha A Houston mhouston@reedsmith.com
- Eric P Israel eisrael@dgdk.com, danninggill@gmail.com;eisrael@ecf.inforuptcy.com
- Colleen M Keating ckeating@ktbslaw.com
- Dare Law dare.law@usdoj.gov, ron.maroko@usdoj.gov
- R. Todd Neilson (TR) tneilson@brg-expert.com, sgreenan@brg-expert.com;tneilson@ecf.epiqsystems.com;ntroszak@brg-expert.com
- Queenie K Ng queenie.k.ng@usdoj.gov, dare.law@usdoj.gov
- Jeffrey N Pomerantz jpomerantz@pszjlaw.com
- Daniel H Reiss dhr@lnbyb.com, dhr@ecf.inforuptcy.com
- Christopher O Rivas crivas@reedsmith.com
- Victor A Sahn vsahn@sulmeyerlaw.com, agonzalez@sulmeyerlaw.com,agonzalez@ecf.inforuptcy.com;asokolowski@sulmeyerlaw.com;vsahn@ecf.inforuptcy.com
- Norman D Schoenfeld lsi@liquiditysolutions.com
- Zev Shechtman @zshechtman@dgdk.com, danninggill@gmail.com;zshechtman@ecf.inforuptcy.com
- Jonathan Shenson jshenson@shensonlawgroup.com
- Evan D Smiley esmiley@swelawfirm.com, gcruz@swelawfirm.com
- Alan D Smith adsmith@perkinscoie.com,
 DocketLA@perkinscoie.com,nsaldinger@perkinscoie.com,bcosman@perkinscoie.com
- Richard A Solomon richard@sgsslaw.com
- Philip E Strok pstrok@swelawfirm.com, gcruz@swelawfirm.com
- John N Tedford jtedford@dgdk.com, DanningGill@gmail.com;jtedford@ecf.inforuptcy.com
- Scott J Tepper scottjtepper@msn.com, scottjtepper@gmail.com
- United States Trustee (LA) ustpregion16.la.ecf@usdoj.gov
- Jonathan M Weiss jweiss@ktbslaw.com
- Steven Werth swerth@sulmeyerlaw.com, asokolowski@sulmeyerlaw.com;slee@sulmeyerlaw.com;slee@ecf.inforuptcy.com;asokolowski@ecf.inforuptcy.com

August 2009 F 9013-3.1

TO BE SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL:

State Fish Co., Inc. 2194 Signal Place San Pedro, CA 90731 Calpack Foods, LLC 2194 Signal Place San Pedro, CA 90731 Office of the United States Trustee c/o Dare Law, Esq. 915 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1850 Los Angeles, CA 90017

Queen City Seafood Attn: Johnathan Ranard, President 10101 Chatham Woods Drive Loveland, OH 45140 Robert W. Bollar Southern Counties Oil Co. dba SC Fuels Attn: Legal Department 1800 W. Katella Avenue, Ste 400 P.O. Box 4159

Orange, CA 92863-4159

Star Box, Inc. Attn: Robert J Weiner, President 1770 E. Creston Street Signal Hills, CA 90755

Cedar Cold Services Attn: Sherry Perry, CFO 146 S. Country Club Drive Mesa, AZ 85210

The Donovan Offices 915 Wilshire Boulevard - #1610 Los Angeles, CA 90017

August 2009 F 9013-3.1

EXHIBIT 1

Attorneys

DAVID M. STERN

David M. Stern is a founding member of KTB&S.

Mr. Stern is a litigation attorney, specializing in business litigation, bankruptcy, reorganization and creditor's remedies. He is a Fellow of the American College of Bankruptcy and has been listed in The Best Lawyers in America since 1995. Mr. Stern has widely written and lectured on issues of federal civil practice and discovery and co-authored the two-volume treatise, California Civil Discovery Practice (1988 and 1998 editions), published by the Continuing Education of the Bar



through the University of California. Among his other writings are "Recent Developments in Truth in Lending Class Actions and Proposed Alternatives," 27 Stanford Law Review 101, "Law Firm Bankruptcies," 37 Litigation 8 and "Mediation: An Old Dog With Some New Tricks," 24 Litigation 31.

Mr. Stern served as the 1998-99 President of the Association of Business Trial Lawyers (ABTL) of which he has been a member since 1980. He has twice been a member of the Ninth Circuit Judicial Conference, from 1987 through 1991, serving as Co-Chair in 1990-91. He was reappointed in 2011 and will be serving through 2015. Mr. Stern is also a member of the American Bar Association, the Los Angeles County Bar Association, the Financial Lawyers Conference of Los Angeles and a member of the Board of Directors of Bet Tzedek.

Mr. Stern earned a Bachelor of Arts in Economics cum laude from Columbia University in 1972 and a Juris Doctor from Stanford University Law School in 1975, where he was elected to the Order of the Coif and was a member of the Stanford Law Review. Following law school, Mr. Stern served as judicial law clerk to the Honorable Ben C. Duniway of the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

Mr. Stern has served as special litigation counsel in the Chapter 11 cases of Adelphia Communications, Corp., Brill Media Company, LLC, Enron Corp., Iridium Operating LLC, National Century Financial Enterprises, Inc., National Energy Gas & Transmission, Inc., and Pliant Corp., as trial and appellate counsel in connection with the bankruptcy cases of Barry's Jewelers, Inc., Brobeck, Phleger & Harrison, LLP, Crescent Jewelers, Inc., Computer Communications, Inc., Dewey & LeBoeuf, LLP, Enron Corp., Heller Ehrman, LLP, Howrey, LLP,

Partner

Tel: 310-407-4025 Fax: 310-407-9090 dstern@ktbslaw.com Download vcard

EDUCATION

- Stanford University (J.D.)
- Columbia University

BAR ADMISSIONS

- California
- US Supreme Court
- First, Fourth, Ninth and Eleventh Circuits
- US District Courts for the Northern District of CA, Central District of CA, Sourthern District of CA, and Eastern District of CA

PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS

- Financial Lawyers Conference of Los Angeles
- American Bar Association
- Los Angeles County Bar Association
- Fellow, American College of Bankruptcy
- Lawdragon 500 (2012)

Case 2:15-bk-11084-SK Doc 379-1 Filed 06/18/15 Entered 06/18/15 16:15:05 Desc

IndyMac Bancorp., Jefferson County, Alabama, Lake at La Exability Int Venage 3 of 8 LLC, Tronox, Inc., Washington Group, Inc. and Washington Mutual, Inc., and as trial counsel in In re San Juan Dupont Plaza Hotel Fire Litigation, MDL No. 721. Reported cases include FDIC v. Siegel (In re IndyMac Bancorp, Inc.), 554 Fed. Appx. 668 (9th Cir. 2014); LID Acquisition, LLC v. Lake at Las Vegas Joint Venture, LLC (In re Lake at Las Vegas Joint Venture, LLC), 497 Fed. Appx. 709 (9th Cir. 2012); In re Dominguez, 51 F.3d 1502 (9th Cir. 1995); In re Dominguez, 995 F.2d 883 (9th Cir. 1993); In re Recticel Foam Corp., 859 F.2d 1000 (1st Cir. 1988); Computer Communications, Inc. v. Codex Corp., 824 F.2d 725 (9th Cir. 1987); In re Shaw, 16 B.R. 875 (Bankr. 9th Cir. 1982); In re Howrey LLP, 2014 WL 3899309 (N.D. Cal. 2014); Siegel v. F.D.I.C., 2012 WL 1951474 (C.D. Cal. 2012) & 2011 WL 2883012 (C.D. Cal. 2011); Enron Corp. v. Citigroup, Inc., (In re Enron Creditors Recovery Corp.), 410 B.R. 374 (S.D.N.Y. 2008); In re Enron Corp., 379 B.R. 425 (S.D.N.Y. 2007); Canada Life Assur. Co. v. Bank of America, 2006 WL 45427 (N.D. III. 2006); In re GGW Brands, LLC, 504 B.R. 577 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. 2013); In re Jefferson County, Ala., 465 B.R. 243, 469 B.R. 92, 474 B.R. 228 & 474 B.R. 725 (Bankr. N.D. Ala. 2012); In re IndyMac Bancorp, Inc., 2012 WL 103748 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. 2012); In re Balas, 449 B.R. 567 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. 2011); In re Adelphia Comm. Corp., 330 B.R. 364 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2005). Mr. Stern has also served as trial or appellate counsel in numerous unreported cases and decisions.

News

 Robert J. Pfister and David M. Stern Obtain Groundbreaking Ruling That The Defense of Marriage Act Is Unconstitutional

1999 AVENUE OF THE STARS, 39TH FLOOR, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067-6049 TEL: 310.407.4000 Home | Disclaimer | Sitemap | © 2015 Klee, Tuchin, Bogdanoff & Stern LLP | Site by Firmseek

Attorneys

MICHAEL L. TUCHIN

Michael L. Tuchin is a founding member and co-manager of KTB&S.

Mr. Tuchin graduated with Honors and Distinction from Stanford
University with a Bachelor of Arts
Degree in Psychology. He received his J.D. from Boalt Hall School of Law at the University of California,
Berkeley, in 1990. He served as an extern to the Honorable Joseph R.
Sneed, United States Court of
Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, in 1989.
Mr. Tuchin represents debtors, equity holders, secured and unsecured creditors, committees, trustees, and parties interested in acquiring assets



from troubled companies. Mr. Tuchin has been recognized as one of the top 100 lawyers in Los Angeles County every year since 2004 and ranked by Chambers USA in the 1st Tier for Bankruptcy and Restructuring in California every year since 2007.

Mr. Tuchin has represented numerous debtors in court, including Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Studios, Inc. (motion picture studio and licensor of intellectual property) in its successful chapter 11 reorganization, Lake at Las Vegas Joint Venture, LLC and Affiliates (owner-developers of a 3,592-acre master-planned residential development and resort located in Henderson, Nevada) in its successful chapter 11 case, the Town of Mammoth Lakes, California, in its successful chapter 9 case, Nevada Cancer Institute (owner and operator of nonprofit cancer research and treatment facilities) in its successful chapter 11 case. American Restaurant Group (the owner of the Stuart Anderson's Black Angus chain of restaurants) in connection with their successful chapter 11 cases, Samuels Jewelers, Inc. (a national publicly traded retailer of fine jewelry operating more than 130 stores) in connection with its successful chapter 11 case, Avado Brands, Inc. and affiliates (a national operator of 90 Don Pablo's Mexican Kitchen and 22 Hops Grillhouse and Brewery restaurants in 20 states) in their successful chapter 11 cases, Fountain View, Inc. (operator of more than 50 skilled care nursing and assisted care living facilities) in connection with its successful chapter 11 reorganization, Frederick's of Hollywood, Inc. (a worldrenowned retailer of innovative specialty apparel operating more than 150 stores, a catalogue, and an internet business) in connection with its successful chapter 11 case, and Maple Plaza, Ltd. (the owner of a significant commercial property in Beverly Hills, California) in its successful chapter 11 case.

Partner

Tel: 310-407-4040 Fax: 310-407-9090 mtuchin@ktbslaw.com Download vcard

EDUCATION

- Boalt Hall, UC Berkeley (J.D.)
- Stanford University

BAR ADMISSIONS

California

PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS

- Board, Los Angeles Bankruptcy Forum
- Board of Governors, Financial Lawyers Conference of Los Angeles
- Editor, California Bankruptcy Journal
- Fellow, American Bar Foundation
- Fellow, American College of Bankruptcy

Out of court, Mr. Tuchin has led successful restructurings of McMirage (Frage 5 of 8 the world's largest owners and operators of casino resorts), the Lusk Company (a large California homebuilder with close to \$1 billion in debt), a large giftware company, an international manufacturer of computer accessories, a national express delivery business, and L.A. Kings, Ltd. (the then-owner of the Los Angeles Kings hockey franchise).

Mr. Tuchin has represented creditors in chapter 11 cases across the country, including Ameriserve (Delaware), Black Hawk Casino (Denver, CO), Blockbuster, Inc. (New York, NY), Chevy's Restaurants (Oakland, CA), Circuit City Stores, Inc. (Richmond, VA), Crescent Jewelers (Oakland, CA), Diversified Restaurant Concepts (San Jose, CA), Eastman Kodak Company (New York, NY), Edwards Theatres (Orange County, CA), ERLY Industries, Inc. (Corpus Christi, TX), Falcon Industries (St. Louis, MO), Fox & Hound (Delaware), Kmart (Chicago, IL), Lodgenet Interactive Corp. (New York, NY), Merry-Go-Round (Delaware), Natrol, Inc. (Delaware), Pegasus Satellite Television (Portland, ME), Petries Retail (New York, NY), Sega Gameworks (Los Angeles, CA), Sydran Services (Oakland, CA), Tower Records (Delaware), United Airlines (Chicago, IL), Young Broadcasting, Inc. (New York, NY). Mr. Tuchin recently represented Suzuki Motor Corporation, a Japan-based manufacturer of engines and vehicles sold worldwide, as the largest creditor (secured and unsecured) in the chapter 11 case of American Suzuki Motor Corporation. He has represented Viacom, Paramount, CBS and Cerberus as creditors in numerous cases. He has represented multiple bondholder committees in restructurings (in and out of court), including in the restructurings of Physiotheraphy Associates, Black Hawk Casino, ICO Global & Lieberman Broadcasting.

Mr. Tuchin currently represents the chapter 7 trustee in the high-profile Girls Gone Wild chapter 11 cases in Los Angeles, California.

Mr. Tuchin has represented numerous purchasers of assets and is an expert on successor liability issues. He recently represented Suzuki Motor of America, Inc. in connection with its purchase of the motorcycle, ATV, and marine divisions of American Suzuki Motor Corporation.

News

- Chambers USA Recognizes Klee, Tuchin, Bogdanoff & Stern LLP and its Attorneys with Top Ratings for 2015
- Klee, Tuchin, Bogdanoff & Stern LLP Named a Top California Boutique Firm
- Kenneth Klee Recognized by Who's Who Legal as One of the Ten Most Highly Regarded Insolvency & Restructuring Lawyers In The World for 2014
- Chambers USA Recognizes Klee, Tuchin, Bogdanoff & Stern LLP and its Attorneys with Top Ratings for 2014
- Kenneth Klee Recognized by Who's Who Legal as One of the Ten Most Highly Regarded Insolvency & Restructuring Lawyers In The World
- Chambers USA Recognizes Klee, Tuchin, Bogdanoff & Stern LLP and its Attorneys with Top Ratings for 2013
- Kenneth Klee Recognized by Who's Who Legal as One of the Ten Most Highly Regarded Insolvency & Restructuring Lawyers In The World
- Chambers USA Recognizes Klee, Tuchin, Bogdanoff & Stern LLP and its Attorneys with Top Ratings for 2012
- Chambers USA Recognizes Klee, Tuchin, Bogdanoff & Stern LLP And Its Attorneys With Top Ratings for Bankruptcy and Restructuring Law

Attorneys

COLLEEN M. KEATING

Colleen Keating is counsel with KTB&S.

Ms. Keating received her J.D. from the UCLA School of Law in 2008 and was elected to the Order of the Coif. During law school, she was a Senior Editor of the UCLA Law Review and served as a judicial extern to the Honorable A. Wallace Tashima of the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. Ms. Keating received her undergraduate degree, *magna cum laude*, from Rice University in 2005.



Counsel
Tel: 310-407-4085
Fax: 310-407-9090
CKeating@ktbslaw.com
Download vcard

EDUCATION

- UCLA (J.D.)
- Rice University

BAR ADMISSIONS

California

Following law school, Ms. Keating

served as a law clerk to the Honorable Philip S. Gutierrez of the United States District Court for the Central District of California. She was named a "Rising Star" by Super Lawyers magazine in 2012, 2013, and 2014. Ms. Keating is a member of the Financial Lawyers Conference and the Women Lawyers Association of Los Angeles.

1999 AVENUE OF THE STARS, 39TH FLOOR, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067-6049 TEL: 310.407.4000

Home | Disclaimer | Sitemap | © 2015 Klee, Tuchin, Bogdanoff & Stern LLP | Site by Firmseek

Attorneys

JONATHAN M. WEISS

Jonathan M. Weiss is an associate with KTB&S.

Mr. Weiss received his J.D. from the UCLA School of Law, where he graduated second in his class, and his B.S. in Accounting, summa cum laude, from Yeshiva University. During law school, he was a Managing Editor of the UCLA Law Review, and a Production Editor of the Journal of International Law & Foreign Affairs. Mr. Weiss has authored "Tax Claims in Transnational Insolvencies: A 'Revenue Rule' Approach" (Virginia Tax Review 2010) and "The Need for



Federal Solutions to Interstate and International Ethics Conflicts: A Case Study in Confidentiality" (forthcoming, Journal of International Business & Law 2012).

Mr. Weiss was awarded the International Insolvency Institute's Gold Medal in international bankruptcy research, and, in 2013, was selected as a member of the class of delegates to the International Insolvency Institute's NextGen Leadership Program in New York. Mr. Weiss was also selected as the 2011 Distinguished Bankruptcy Student of the Ninth Circuit by the American College of Bankruptcy. In addition, his work has been recognized and published by the American Bankruptcy Institute.

Since joining KTB&S, Mr. Weiss has represented clients across the bankruptcy spectrum. On the debtor side, his work includes having represented the Nevada Cancer Institute as a chapter 11 debtor in possession, and the Town of Mammoth Lakes, California, in its chapter 9 municipal bankruptcy. Mr. Weiss also represents the trustee of the distributor of Girls Gone Wild adult entertainment, in which case the trustee has alleged that the distributor's intellectual property was transferred to an offshore entity as part of a fraudulent scheme. A sampling of Mr. Weiss's other representations includes: serving on the defense team of a defendant in a multi-billion dollar fraudulent transfer lawsuit; representation of a state-court receiver in the chapter 11 case of a technology company in the Central District of California; and serving as restructuring counsel to a California-based internet advertising company in a successful out-of-court assignment for benefit of creditors and organized wind-down.

Mr. Weiss is a member of the State Bar of California, the Financial Lawyers Conference, and the Los Angeles County Bar Association. He is admitted to Associate
Tel: 310-407-4045
Fax: 310-407-9090
JWeiss@ktbslaw.com
Download ycard

EDUCATION

- UCLA (J.D.)
- Yeshiva University, B.S.

PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS

The American Bar Association

Case 2:15-bk-11084-SK Doc 379-1 Filed 06/18/15 Entered 06/18/15 16:15:05 Desc

practice before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, and Distraction before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, and Distraction before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, and Distraction before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, and Distraction before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, and Distraction before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, and Distraction before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, and Distraction before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, and Distraction before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, and Distraction before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, and Distraction before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, and Distraction before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, and Distraction before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, and Distraction before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, and Distraction before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, and Distraction before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, and Distraction before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, and Distraction before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals and Distraction before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals and Distraction before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals and Distraction before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals and Distraction before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals and Distraction before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals and Distraction before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals and Distraction before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals and Distraction before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals and Distraction before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals and Distraction before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals and Distraction before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals and Distraction before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals and Distraction before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals and Distraction before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals and Distraction before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals and Distraction before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals and Distraction before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals and Di

1999 AVENUE OF THE STARS, 39TH FLOOR, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067-6049 TEL: 310.407.4000

Home | Disclaimer | Sitemap | © 2015 Klee, Tuchin, Bogdanoff & Stern LLP | Site by Firmseek

EXHIBIT 4

Case 2:15-bk-11084-SK Doc 379-2 Filed 06/18/15 Entered 06/18/15 16:15:05 Desc Exhibit 2 Page 2 of 2 EXHIBIT 2

Timekeeper Totals February 27, 2015 through May 31, 2015

		Total Hours	No Charge	
Partners	Rate	Billed	Hours	Amount
David M. Stern	\$ 1,080.00	137.00	0.40	\$ 147,960.00
Michael L. Tuchin	\$ 1,080.00	104.40	4.80	\$ 112,752.00
Maria Sountas-Argiropoulos	\$ 675.00	44.00		\$ 29,700.00
Vijay S. Sekhon	\$ 675.00	58.10	0.20	\$ 39,217.50
Counsel				
Colleen M. Keating	\$ 650.00	181.30	4.80	\$ 117,845.00
Associates				
Samuel L. Kidder	\$ 475.00	10.80		\$ 5,130.00
Jonathan M. Weiss	\$ 475.00	316.50	7.50	\$ 150,337.50
Kathryn T. Zwicker	\$ 440.00	1.30		\$ 572.00
Sasha M. Gurvitz	\$ 395.00	74.40	13.70	\$ 29,388.00
<u>Paralegal</u>				
Shanda D. Pearson	\$ 290.00	63.80	7.20	\$ 18,502.00
Total:		991.60	38.60	\$ 651,404.00