1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Cross-Complainant Phelan Piñon Hills Community Services District ("Phelan CSD") hereby submits this Case Management Statement in response to the Minute Order filed by the Court on December 28, 2009.

Introduction

Phelan CSD is a community services district located in western San Bernardino County. It serves approximately 21,000 residents of the unincorporated communities of Phelan and Piñon Hills in a 128 square-mile area, the western edge of which overlies the county line between Los Angeles and San Bernardino counties.

One of Phelan CSD's principal ground water production wells is located with the boundaries of the Antelope Valley Ground Water Basin ("Basin"), as determined by the Court in its "revised Order After Hearing on Jurisdictional Boundaries" issued March 12, 2007.

On December 6, 2008, cross-complainants Rosamond Community Services District and Los Angeles Waterworks District (collectively, "LA Waterworks District 40") filed an amendment to their First Amended Cross-Complaint naming Phelan CSD as Roe 624, and shortly thereafter served Phelan CSD with a copy of that complaint. On or about December 30, 2008, Phelan CSD filed its cross-complaint and its answer.

On January 19, 2009, Bolthouse Properties, LLC cross-complained against Phelan CSD. Phelan CSD answered that cross-complaint on February 17, 2009.

On March 10, 2009, A. V. United Mutual Group cross-complained against Phelan CSD. Phelan CSD answered that cross-complaint on April 7, 2009.

On April 16, 2009, Diamond Farming Company and Crystal Organic Farms jointly cross-complained against Phelan CSD for monetary and equitable relief. Phelan CSD answered that cross-complaint on May 15, 2009

On April 24, 2009, Grimmway Enterprises, Inc., and Lapis Land Company, LLC, jointly cross-complained against Phelan CSD for monetary and equitable relief. Phelan CSD answered that cross-complaint on May 24, 2009.

SMITH I RAGER LLP

A Partnership of Professional Corporations 19712 MacArthur Blvd., Suite 120

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Discovery

On May 29, 2009, the Plaintiff Rebecca Lee Willis propounded sets one of form interrogatories, special interrogatories, demand for production of documents, and request for admissions. Phelan CSD responded to said discovery on August 6, and 10, 2009.

Issues for Consideration at the Further Case Management Conference

Phelan CSD supports the consolidation and transfer order. In addition, Phelan CSD believes a continuance of the February 5, 2010 hearings is appropriate.

Dated: January 8, 2010

SmithTrager LLP

By Julan Re TVE of Susan M. Trager

Attorneys for Defendant and Cross-Complainants Phelan Piñon Hills Community Services District

SMITH TRAGER LLP

Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408 For Filing Purposes Only: Santa Clara County Case No.: 1-05-CV-049053

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Robin Steele, declare:

I am employed in the County of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and am not a party to the within action; my business address is 19712 MacArthur Blvd., Suite 120, Irvine, California 92612.

On January 8, 2009, I served the foregoing documents(s) described as **CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT**, as follows:

- X (ELECTRONIC SERVICE) By posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Court website in regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater matter pursuant to the Court's Clarification Order. Electronic service and electronic posting completed through www.scefiling.org.
- (REGULAR MAIL) By enclosing the document(s) listed in sealed envelope(s), addressing as shown below, and placing the envelope for collection and mailing following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this firm's practice for collection and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.
- (FEDERAL EXPRESS) By placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed overnight envelope, with delivery fees paid or provided for; addressed as shown below, and depositing it for overnight delivery at a facility regularly maintained by the express service carrier or delivered to a courier or driver authorized to receive documents on its behalf, for delivery on the next business day.
- **(FACSIMILE)** by transmitting the document(s) listed above via facsimile to the office of the addressee(s) shown below. A true and correct copy of the transmission report indicating transmission without error is attached hereto.
- ____ (PERSONAL SERVICE) By delivering the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope addressed to the parties as noted by hand to the offices of the addressee.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 8TH day of January, 2009, in Irvine, California.

Robin Steele