1 2 3 4 5 6	SmithTrager LLP Susan M. Trager, Esq. (SBN 58497) Summer L. Nastich, Esq. (SBN 229985) Laurel E. Adcock, Esq. (SBN 234201) 19712 MacArthur Blvd., Suite 120 Irvine, CA 92612 Telephone: (949) 752-8971 Facsimile: (949) 863-9804 smt@smithtrager.com Attorneys for Cross-Complainant Phelan Piñon Hills Community Services Disc	EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES UNDER GOVERNMENT CODE § 6103	
8 9 10	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - CENTRAL DISTRICT		
11 12	Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 1550(b))	Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES Included Actions: Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., et al., Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC 325 201 Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., et al.,	For Filing Purposes Only: Santa Clara County Case No.: 1-05-CV-049053 Assigned to the Honorable Jack Komar, Department 17 CROSS-COMPLAINANT PHELAN PINON HILLS COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT'S JOINDER IN OPPOSITION TO THE SECTION 170.6 PEREMPTORY CHALLENGE TO THE ASSIGNED JUDGE (CCP § 170.6)	
202122	Kern County Superior Court, Case No. () S-1500-CV-254-348 () Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster ()		
23	Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster () Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water () Dist. ()		
24	Riverside County Superior Court, () Consolidated Action, Case Nos. RIC 353 () 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668 ()		
26	AND RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS)		
27 28			

Cross-Complainant Phelan Piñon Hills Community Services District ("PPHCSD") hereby joins in the Public Water Suppliers' Opposition to the Code of Civil Procedure Section 170.6 Peremptory Challenge filed against the sitting judge in these consolidated proceedings by certain landowner parties.

The peremptory challenge must be overruled because it is untimely, would create undue delay, would frustrate the administration of justice in coordinated proceedings, and provide endless opportunities for forum shopping in cases initiated more than a decade ago..

Dated: February 26, 2010

SmithTrager LLP

Şúsan M, Trager

Attorneys for Defendant and Cross-Complainant Phelan Piñon Hills Community Services District

1 2	Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408 For Filing Purposes Only: Santa Clara County Case No.: 1-05-CV-049053		
3	PROOF OF SERVICE		
4	- Anna	I, Marie W. Young, declare:	
5	I am employed in the County of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of 18 an		
6	am no Irvine	ot a party to the within action; my business address is 19712 MacArthur Blvd., Suite 120, c, California 92612.	
7	OPPO	On February 26, 2010, I served the foregoing documents(s) described as CROSS-IPLAINANT PHELAN PINON HILLS COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT'S DSITION TO THE PEREMPTORY CHALLENGE TO THE ASSIGNED JUDGE § 170.6), as follows:	
9	<u>X</u>	(ELECTRONIC SERVICE) By posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara	
10		County Superior Court website in regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater matter pursuant to the Court's Clarification Order. Electronic service and electronic posting completed through www.scefiling.org.	
11		(REGULAR MAIL) By enclosing the document(s) listed in sealed envelope(s),	
12 13		addressing as shown below, and placing the envelope for collection and mailing following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this firm's practice	
14		for collection and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course	
15 16		of business with the United States Postal Service in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.	
17		(FEDERAL EXPRESS) By placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed overnight	
18		envelope, with delivery fees paid or provided for; addressed as shown below, and depositing it for overnight delivery at a facility regularly maintained by the express service carrier or delivered to a courier or driver authorized to receive documents on its behalf for delivery on the part by increased.	
19		behalf, for delivery on the next business day.	
20		(FACSIMILE) by transmitting the document(s) listed above via facsimile to the office of the addressee(s) shown below. A true and correct copy of the transmission report indicating transmission without error is attached hereto.	
21			
22		(PERSONAL SERVICE) By delivering the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope addressed to the parties as noted by hand to the offices of the addressee.	
23			
24	I d	leclare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing and correct.	
25			
26	EX	secuted this 26th day of February, 2010, in Irvine, California.	
27		/s/ Marie W. Young	
8 8		Marie W. Young	