Michael J. La Cilento, Esq. SBN 170154 1 LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL J. LA CILENTO 2 1101 California Avenue, Suite #205 Corona, California 92881 3 (951) 273-3455 (951) 273-3456 - Fax 4 Attorney for Defendant and Cross-Defendants' - NORMAN BALICE and MARIA BALICE 5 6 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - CENTRAL DISTRICT 9 ANTELOPE VALLEY) Judicial Council Coordination No. 4408 10 **GROUNDWATER CASES CLASS ACTION** 11 Included Actions: Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 Los Angeles County Waterworks District 12 Assigned to The Honorable Jack Komar No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior 13 Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 325201; ANSWER OF DEFENDANT AND CROSS-DEFENDANTS, NORMAN BALICE TO 14 FIRST AMENDED CROSS-COMPLAINT OF Los Angeles County Waterworks District PUBLIC WATER SUPPLIERS FOR 15 No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of California, County of Kern, Case **DECLARATORY RELIEF AND** ADJUDICATION OF WATER RIGHTS 16 No. S-1500-CV-254-348; 17 Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. City of 18 Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist., Superior Court of 19 California, County of Riverside, Case Nos. RIC 353840, RIC 344436, RIC 344668 20 Defendant/Cross-Defendant, NORMAN BALICE hereby answerS the Complaint and all 21 22 Cross-Complaints which have been filed as of this date, specifically those of Antelope Valley East-23 Kern Water Agency, Palmdale Water District & Quarts Hill Water District, Rosamond Community Services District and Waterworks District No. 40 of Los Angeles County. Defendant/Cross-24 Defendant, NORMAN BALICE does not intend to participate at trial or other proceedings unless 25 ordered by the Court to do so, but Defendant/Cross-Defendant, NORMAN BALICE reserves the 26

APN: 3082-013-015-06-000 and APN: 3264-007-019-04-000.

Defendant, NORMAN BALICE owns the following property(ies) located in the Antelope Valley:

right to do so upon giving written notice to that effect to the Court and all parties. Defendant/Cross-

27

28

Sixth Affirmative Defense

(Self-Help)

7. Defendant and Cross-Defendant has, by virtue of the doctrine of self-help, preserved its paramount overlying right to extract groundwater by continuing, during all times relevant hereto, to extract groundwater and put it to reasonable and beneficial use on its property.

Seventh Affirmative Defense

(California Constitution Article X, Section 2)

8. Plaintiff and Cross-Complainant's methods of water use and storage are unreasonable and wasteful in the arid conditions of the Antelope Valley and thereby violate Article X, Section 2 of the California Constitution.

Eighth Affirmative Defense

(Additional Defenses)

9. The Complaint and Cross-Complainant do not state their allegations with sufficient clarity to enable defendant and cross-defendant to determine what additional defenses may exist to Plaintiff and Cross-Complainant's causes of action. Defendant and Cross-Defendant therefore reserve the right to assert all other defenses which may pertain to the Complaint and Cross-Complaint

Ninth Affirmative Defense

10. The prescriptive claims asserted by governmental entity Cross-Complainants are *ultra vires* and exceed the statutory authority by which each entity may acquire property as set forth in Water Code sections 22456, 31040 and 55370.

Tenth Affirmative Defense

11. The prescriptive claims asserted by governmental entity Cross-Complainants are barred by the provisions of Article 1 Section 19 of the California Constitution.

Eleventh Affirmative Defense

12. The prescriptive claims asserted by governmental entity Cross-Complainants are barred by the provisions of the 5th Amendment to the United States Constitution as applied to the states under the 14th Amendment of the United States Constitution.

Twelfth Affirmative Defense

13. Cross-Complainant's prescriptive claims are barred due to their failure to take affirmative steps that were reasonably calculated and intended to inform each overlying landowner of Cross-Complainants' adverse and hostile claim as required by the due process clause of the 5th and 14th Amendments of the United States Constitution.

Thirteenth Affirmative Defense

14. The prescriptive claims asserted by governmental entity Cross-Complainants are barred by the provisions of Article 1 Section 7 of the California Constitution.

Fourteenth Affirmative Defense

15. The prescriptive claims asserted by governmental entity Cross-Complainants are barred by the provisions of the 14rh Amendment of the United States Constitution.

Fifteenth Affirmative Defense

16. The governmental entity Cross-Complainants were permissively pumping at all times

Sixteenth Affirmative Defense

17. The request for the court to use its injunctive powers to impose a physical solution seeks a remedy that is in violation of the doctrine of separation of powers set forth in Article 3 section 3 of the California Constitution.

Seventeenth Affirmative Defense

18. Cross-Complainants are barred from asserting their prescriptive claims by operation of law as set forth in *Civil Code* sections 1007 and 1214.

Eighteenth Affirmative Defense

19. Each Cross-Complainant is barred from recovery under each and every cause of action contained in the Cross-Complainant by the doctrine of unclean hands and/or unjust enrichment.

Nineteenth Affirmative Defense

20. The Cross-Complaint is defective because it fails to name indispensable parties in violation of *California Code of Civil Procedure* Section 389(a).

Page 5 of 6

Twentieth Affirmative Defense

WHEREFORE, Defendant and Cross-Defendant prays that judgment be entered as follows: That Plaintiff and Cross-Complainant take nothing by reason of its Complaint or 1. Cross-Complaint; That the Complaint and Cross-Complainants be dismissed without prejudice; 2. For Defendant and Cross-Defendant's costs incurred herein; and 3. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper. 4. Law Offices of Michael J. La Cilento Dated: July 6, 2009 Attorney for Defendant and Cross-Defendant, NORMAN BALICE

PROOF OF SERVICE

+ II	
2	
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA) COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE)
	COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE)
5	I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is: 1101 California Avenue, Suite #205, Corona, California 92881
6 7	On July 1, 2009, I served the foregoing document described as: ANSWER OF DEFENDANT AND CROSS-DEFENDANTS, NORMAN BALICE TO FIRST AMENDED CROSS-COMPLAINT OF PUBLIC WATER SUPPLIERS FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF AND ADJUDICATION OF WATER RIGHTS on interested parties in this action by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes addressed as follows:
8	
9	PLEASE SEE THE ATTACHED SERVICE MAILING LIST
LO	EXECUTE: WAIL. I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Riverside, California, in the ordinary on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Riverside, Service is presumed invalid if
L2	course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage metered date is more than one day after the date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.
13	DAY EA COLDING E TO ANSMISSION. The document was transmitted by facsimile
14	transmission and the transmission was reported as complete and without error. A copy of the facsimile transmission report is attached hereto
15	Executed on, July, 2009, at Corona, California.
16 17	I declare, under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, that the above is true and correct.
18	ALMA G. SUAREZ
19	ALMA G. SUAREZ
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

SERVICE LIST - PAGE NO. 1 ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES LOS ANGELES COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT - CENTRAL DISTRICT CASE NO. 1-05-CV-049053

Jeffrey V. Dunn, Esq. Best, Best & Krieger, LLP 5 Park Plaza, Suite 1500 Irvine, CA 92614

Office of County Counsel County of Los Angeles 500 West Temple Street Los Angeles, CA 90012

Douglas J. Evertz, Esq. Stradling, Yocca, Carlson & Rauth 660 Newport Center Drive, Suite 1600 Newport Beach, CA 92660

James L. Markman, Esq. Richards, Watson & Gershon 355 S. Grand Avenue, 40th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071-3101

Wayne Lemieux, Esq. Lemieux & O'Neill 2393 Townsgate Road, Suite 201 Westlake Village, CA 91361

Thomas Bunn, III Lagerlof, Senecal, Bradley, Gosney & Kruse 301 North Lake Avenue, 10th Floor Pasadena, CA 91101-4108

John Tootle, Esq. California Water Service Company 2632 West 237th Street Torrance, CA 90505