1 Michael Duane Davis, SBN 093678 Marlene Allen-Hammarlund, SBN 126418 2 GRESHAM SAVAGE NOLAN & TILDEN, A Professional Corporation 3 3750 University Avenue, Suite 250 Riverside, CA 92501-3335 (951) 684-2171 4 Telephone: Facsimile: (951) 684-2150 5 Attorneys for Cross-Defendants, Service Rock Products Corporation, as successor-6 in-interest to Owl Properties, Inc.; and Healy 7 Enterprises, Inc. [only in its capacity as Lessor to Owl Properties, Inc.] 8 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 11 12 Coordination Proceeding Judicial Council Coordination Special Title (Rule 1550(b)) Proceeding No. 4408 13 ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 14 CASES Assigned to the Honorable Jack Komar Department 17 15 **Including Actions:** ANSWER OF SERVICE ROCK 16 Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. PRODUCTS CORPORATION, AS 40 v. Diamond Farming Co. SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST TO OWL Superior Court of California, County of Los 17 PROPERTIES, INC.; AND HEALY Angeles, Case No. BC 325 201 ENTERPRISES, INC. [ONLY IN ITS 18 CAPACITY AS LESSOR TO OWL Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. PROPERTIES, INC. | TO CROSS-19 40 v. Diamond Farming Co. COMPLAINT OF MUNICIPAL Superior Court of California, County of Kern, PURVEYORS FOR DECLARATORY 20 Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348 AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND ADJUDICATION OF WATER RIGHTS 21 Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster 22 Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist. 23 Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, consolidated actions, Case Nos. RIC 24 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668 ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES 25 DISTRICT: LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS 26 DISTRICT NO. 40; PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT: 27 CITY OF LANCASTER; CITY OF PALMDALE; 28

Gresham Savage Nolan & Tilden, A Professional Corporation 3750 University Ave., Str 250 Riverside, CA 92501-3335 (951) 684-2171

77857.1

ANSWER OF SERVICE ROCK PRODUCTS CORPORATION AND HEALY ENTERPRISES, INC. [ONLY IN ITS CAPACITY AS LESSOR TO SERVICE ROCK PRODUCTS CORPORATION]

```
LITTLEROCK CREEK IRRIGATION
    DISTRICT:
    PALM RANCH IRRIGATION DISTRICT;
    QUARTZ HILL DISTRICT; and
 3
    CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE
    COMPANY,
          Cross-Complainants,
 5
    VS.
 6
    DIAMOND FARMING COMPANY;
    WM. BOLTHOUSE FARMS, INC.;
    BOLTHOUSE PROPERTIES LLC; ABC
 8
    WILLIAMS ENTERPRISES LP:
    ACEH CAPITAL LLC:
    JACQUELINE ACKERMANN;
    CENÒN ADVINCULA;
10
    OLIVA M. ADVINCULA;
    MASHALLAH AFSHAR;
11
    ANTONIO U. AGUSTINES:
    AIRTRUST SINGAPORE PRIVATE
12
    LIMITED;
    MARWAN M. ALDAIS;
13
    ALLEN ALEVY;
    ALLEN ALEVY AND ALEVY FAMILY
14
    TRUST:
    GEORGINE J. ARCHER;
    GEORGINE J. ARCHER AS TRUSTEE FOR
15
    THE GEORGINE J. ARCHER TRUST;
16
    A V MATERIALS, INC.;
    GUSS A. BARKS, JR.;
17
    PETER G. BARKS:
    ILDEFONSO S. BAYANI;
18
    NILDA V. BAYANI;
    BIG WEST CORP.:
19
    RANDALL Y. BLAYNEY:
    MELODY S. BLOOM;
    BOLTHOUSE PROPERTIES, INC.;
20
    DAVID L. BOWERS;
21
    RONALD E. BOWERS;
    LEROY DANIEL BRONSTON;
22
    MARILYN BURGESS;
    LAVERNE C. BURROUGHS;
    LAVERNE C. BURROUGHS, TRUSTEE OF
23
    THE BURROUGHS FAMILY
24
    IRREVOCABLE TRUST DATED
    AUGUST 1, 1995; BRUCE BURROWS;
25
    JOHN & B. CALANDRI 2001 TRUST;
    CALIFORNIA PORTLAND CEMENT
26
    COMPANY;
    CALMAT LAND CO.
27
    MELINDA E. CAMERON;
    CASTLE BUTTE DEV CORP.;
28
```

77857.1

```
CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT
 1
    CORPORATION:
    BONG S. CHANG:
    JEANNA Y. CHANG;
 3
    MOON S. CHANG;
    JACOB CHETRIT;
 4
    FRANK S. CHIODO;
    LEE S. CHIOU:
 5
    M S CHUNG;
    CITY OF LOS ANGELES:
 6
    CAROL K. CLAYPOOL:
    CLIFFORD N. CLAYPOOL;
 7.
    W. F. CLUNEN, JR.;
W. F. CLUNEN, JR., AS TRUSTEE FOR
 8
    THE P C REV INTER VIVOS TRUST:
    CONSOLIDATED ROCK PRODUCTS CO.:
 9
    COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT NO. 14
    OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY;
10
    COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT NO. 20
    OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY:
11
    RUTH A. CUMMING;
    RUTH A. CUMMING, AS TRUSTEE OF
121
    THE CUMMING FAMILY TRUST:
    CATHARINE M. DAVIS;
13
    MILTON S. DAVIS:
    DEL SUR RANCH LLC:
    DIAMOND FARMING COMPANY;
14
    SARKIS DJANIBEKYAN;
15
    HONG DONG;
    YING X. DONG;
16
    DOROTHY DREIER;
    GEORGE E. DREIER;
17
    EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE, CA;
    MORTEZA M. FOROUGHI;
    MORTEZA M. FOROUGHI, AS TRUSTEE
18
    OF THE FOROUGHI FAMILY TRUST;
    LEWIS FREDRICHSEN;
19
    LEWIS FREDRICHSEN, AS TRUSTEE OF
20
    THE FREDRICHSEN FAMILY TRUST:
    JOAN A. FUNK;
    EUGENE GABRYCH;
21
    MARIAN GABRYCH;
22
    AURORA P. GABUYA;
    RODRIGO L. GABUYA;
    GGF LLC;
23
    GENUS LP:
    BETTY GLUCKSTEIN;
24
    JOSEPH H. GLUCKSTEIN;
    FORREST G. GODDE;
25
    FORREST G. GODDE, AS TRUSTEE OF
26
    THE FORREST G. GODDE TRUST:
    LAWRENCE A. GODDE;
27
    LAWRENCE A. GODDE AND GODDE
    TRUST;
28
                                       -3-
```

Gresham Savage Nolan & Tilden, a Professional Corporation 3/50 University Ave., Ste 250 Riverside, CA 92501-3335 (951) 684-2171

```
MARIA B. GORRINDO:
     MARIA B. GORRINDO, AS TRUSTEE FOR
     THE M. GORRINDO TRUST:
     WENDELL G. HANKS;
 3
     ANDREAS HAUKE;
     MARILYN HAUKE:
 4
     HEALY ENTERPRISES, INC.;
     WALTER E. HELMICK;
    DONNA L. HIGELMIRE;
    MICHAEL N. HIGELMIRE;
 6
    DAVIS L. AND DIANA D. HINES FAMILY
    TRUST:
 7
    HOOSHPACK DEV INC.:
    CHI S. HUANG;
    SUCHU T. HUANG;
 81
    JOHN HUI;
 9
    HYPERICUM INTERESTS LLC:
    DARYUSH IRANINEZHAD;
10
    MINOO IRANINEZHAD;
    ESFANDIAR KADIVAR;
    ESFANDIAR KADIVAR, AS TRUSTEE OF
11
    THE KADIVAR FAMILY TRUST:
12
    A. DAVID KAGON;
    A. DAVID KAGON, AS TRUSTEE FOR THE
13
    KAGON TRUST:
    JACK D. KAHLO:
    CHENG LIN KANG;
14.
    HERBERT KATZ;
15
    HERBERT KATZ, AS TRUSTEE FOR THE
    KATZ FAMILY TRUST:
    MARIANNE KATZ:
16
    LILIAN S. KAUFMAN;
    LILIAN S. KAUFMAN, AS TRUSTEE FOR
17
    THE KAUFMAN FAMILY TRUST:
18
    KAZUKO YOSHIMATSU;
    BARBARA L. KEYS;
19
    BARBARA L. KEYS, AS TRUSTEE OF THE
    BARBARA L. KEYS FAMILY TRUST;
20
    BILL H. KIM;
    ILLY KING:
    ILLY KING, AS TRUSTEE OF THE ILLY
21
    KING FAMÍLY TRUST;
22
    KOOTENAI PROPERTIES, INC.:
    KUTU INVESTMENT CO.:
23
    GAILEN KYLE:
    GAILEN KYLE, AS TRUSTEE OF THE
24
    KYLE TRUST:
    JAMES W. KYLE;
25
    JAMES W. KYLE, AS TRUSTEE OF THE
    KYLE FAMILY TRUST:
26
    JULIA KYLE;
    WANDA E. KYLE;
    FARES A. LAHOUD;
27
    EVA LAI;
28
```

-4

```
PAUL LAI:
  1
     YING WAH:
     LAND BUSINESS CORPORATION:
     RICHARD E. LANDFIELD;
 3
     RICHARD E. LANDFIELD, AS TRUSTEE
     OF THE RICHARD E. LANDFIELD TRUST:
 4
     LAWRENCE CHARLES TRUST:
     WILLIAM LEWIS;
 5
     MARY LEWIS;
     PEI CHI LIN;
 6
     MAN C. LO;
     SHIUNG RU LO:
 7
    LYMAN C. MILES:
    LYMAN C. MILES, AS TRUSTEE FOR THE
 8
    MILES FAMILY TRUST:
    MALLOY FAMILY PARTNERS LP:
 9
    MISSION BELL RANCH DEVELOPMENT;
    BARRY S. MUNZ;
10
    KATHLEEN M. MUNZ;
    TERRY A. MUNZ;
11
    M. R. NASIR;
    SOUAD R. NASIR:
    EUGENE B. NEBEKER;
12
    SIMIN C. NEMAN:
    HENRY NGO:
13
    FRANK T. NGUYEN;
14
    JUANITA R. NICHOLS;
    OLIVER NICHOLS;
    OLIVER NICHOLS, AS TRUSTEE OF THE
15
    NICHOLS FAMILY TRUST;
    OWL PROPERTIES, INC.
16
    PALMDALE HILLS PROPERTY LLC;
    NORMAN L. POULSEN;
17
    MARILYN J. PREWOZNIK;
18
    MARILYN J. PREWOZNIK, AS TRUSTEE
    OF THE MARILYN J. PREWOZNIK TRUST:
19
    ELIAS QARMOUT;
    VICTORIA RAHIMI:
20
    R AND M RANCH, INC.;
    PATRICIA A. RECHT;
21
    VERONIKA REINELT:
    REINELT ROSENLOECHER CORP. PSP;
    PATRICIA J. RIGGINS;
22
    PATRICIA J. RIGGINS, AS TRUSTEE OF
23
    THE RIGGINS FAMILY TRUST:
    EDGAR C. RITTER;
24
    PAULA E. RITTER;
    PAULA E. RITTER, AS TRUSTEE OF THE
25
    RITTER FAMILY TRUST;
    ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHBISHOP OF
26
    LOS ANGELES:
    ROMO LAKE LOS ANGELES
27
    PARTNERSHIP:
    ROSEMOUNT EQUITIES LLC SERIES;
28
```

```
ROYAL INVESTORS GROUP;
    ROYAL WESTERN PROPERTIES LLC:
 2
    OSCAR RUDNICK;
    REBECCA RUDNICK;
 3
    SANTA MONICA MOUNTAINS
    CONSERVANCY;
    MARYGRACE H. SANTORO:
    MARYGRACE H. SANTORO, AS TRUSTEE
 5
    FOR THE MARYGRACE H. SANTORO
    REV TRUST:
    SAN YU ENTERPRISES, INC.;
 6
    DANIEL SAPARZEDEH;
 7
    HELEN STATHATOS;
    SAVAS STATHATOS;
    SAVAS STATHATOS, AS TRUSTEE FOR
 8
    THE STATHATOS FAMILY TRUST;
    SEVEN STAR UNITED LLC;
    MARK H. SHAFRON;
10
    ROBERT L. SHAFRON:
    KAMRAM S. SHAKIB;
11
    DONNA L. SIMPSON;
    GARETH L. SIMPSON;
GARETH L. SIMPSON, AS TRUSTEE OF
12
    THE SIMPSON FAMILY TRUST;
13 l
    SOARING VISTA PROPERTIES, INC.;
    STATE OF CALIFORNIA:
    GEORGE C. STEVENS, JŔ.;
GEORGE C. STEVENS, JR., AS TRUSTEE
14
    OF THE GEORGE C. STEVENS, JR. TRUST;
15
    GEORGE L. STIMSON, JR.;
16
    GEORGE L. STIMSON, JR., AS TRUSTEE
    OF THE GEORGE L. STIMSON, JR. TRUST:
17
    TEJON RANCHCORP;
    MARK E. THOMPSON A P C PROFIT
18
    SHARING PLAN;
    TIERRA BONITA RANCH COMPANY;
    TIONG D. TIU;
19
    BEVERLY J. TOBIAS;
20
    BEVERLY J. TOBIAS, AS TRUSTEE OF
    THE TOBIAS FAMILY TRUST;
21
    JUNG N. TOM:
    WILLIAM BOLTHOUSE FARMS, INC.;
22
    WILMA D. TRUEBLOOD;
    WILMA D. TRUEBLOOD, AS TRUSTEE OF
23
    THE TRUEBLOOD FAMILY TRUST:
    UNISON INVESTMENT CO., LLC:
24
    DELMAR D. VAN DAM;
    GERTRUDE J. VAN DAM;
    KEITH E. WALES;
25
    E C WHEELER LLC;
26
    ALEX WODCHIS:
    ELIZABETH WONG;
27
    MARY WONG;
    MIKE M. WU:
28
```

MIKE M. WU, AS TRUSTEE OF THE WU FAMILY TRUST;
STATE OF CALIFORNIA 50TH DISTRICT AND AGRICULTURAL ASSOCIATION;
THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA;
U. S. BORAX, INC.;
and ROES 1 through 100,000, inclusive,

Cross-Defendants.

_

9 10

11 12

13

15

16

14

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

2627

28

77857.1

Comes now, Cross-Defendants, Service Rock Products Corporation, as successor-ininterest to Owl Properties, Inc., ("Service Rock Products"), and Healy Enterprises, Inc. solely
in its capacity as Lessor to Service Rock Products (individually "Healy," and collectively with
Service Rock Products "these Answering Cross-Defendants"), and answers the Cross-Complaint
("Cross Complaint") filed by Cross-Complainants, Rosamond Community Services District
("Rosamond CSD"), Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 ("LACWD No. 40"),
Palmdale Water District ("Palmdale WD"), City Of Lancaster ("Lancaster"), City Of
Palmdale ("Palmdale"), Littlerock Creek Irrigation District ("Littlerock CID"), Palm Ranch
Irrigation District ("Palm Ranch ID"), Quartz Hill District ("Quartz Hill"), and California
Water Service Company (individually "California WSD" and all collectively "CrossComplainants"), and for themselves and for no others, admit, deny, and allege as follows:

ANSWER

Preliminary Statements

A. LASC Case No. BC325201. These Answering Cross-Defendants were previously served with a Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief and Adjudication of Water Rights (the "Complaint") that was filed by LACWD No. 40, in Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. BC325201. Though these Answering Cross-Defendants were thereafter informed by counsel for the LACWD No. 40 that they would be receiving service of an Order re Case Management Dates and Motions in which they would be directed not to answer the

11

15

14

17

16

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

77857 1

aforementioned *Complaint*, the <u>Order</u> that was eventually served on them was unclear in that regard. These Answering Cross-Defendants have now been served with the "Cross-Complaint" in the instant action, to which this Answer is made. To the extent necessary and appropriate, these Answering Cross-Defendants intend this Answer to also constitute an answer to the Complaint.

В. Paragraph 20 Allegations regarding Interconnected Sub-Basins. Though not alleged in the Complaint, Cross-Complainants now allege, in Paragraph 20 of the Cross-Complaint, that the Antelope Valley Basin is divided into an unspecified number of sub-basins, which Cross-Complainants allege are "sufficiently hydrologically connected to justify treating them as a single source of water for purposes of adjudicating the parties' water rights." As these Answering Cross-Defendants' response to this specific allegation in Paragraph 20 is sufficiently central to its responses to a number of other allegations in the Cross-Complaint, these Answering Cross-Defendants chose to preliminarily state their responses to that specific allegation at this point. These Answering Cross-Defendants are informed and believe and thereon allege that some or all of said basins and sub-basins over which these Answering Cross-Defendants' owned and leased properties, and their water production facilities are situated may be, to some presently unknown extent, separate and independent from some or all of the remaining groundwater basins and sub-basins from which Cross-Complainants produce water and in which Cross-Complainants store water. While these Answering Cross-Defendants admit the existence of sub-basins, they lack sufficient information and belief to enable them to admit or deny the number, physical locations, compositions, dimensions and hydrologic interconnections between and amongst the various sub-basins, and must thereon deny the allegation that the sub-basins are sufficiently hydrologically connected to justify treating them as a single source of water for purposes of adjudicating the parties' water rights. Further, Cross-Complainants have not alleged any facts from which these Answering Cross-Defendants can ascertain the number and location of Cross-Complainants' production facilities, or the production capacities and histories of Cross-Complainants wells and other production facilities, and must therefore deny that any present,

historic or future production from these Answering Cross-Defendants' facilities have, are, or will have, any adverse impacts on Cross-Complainants' abilities to meet their reasonable requirements from their unidentified production facilities. Finally, Cross-Complainants have not alleged any facts from which these Answering Cross-Defendants can ascertain the number, location, characteristics and/or capacity of the sub-basins in which Cross-Complainants allege they have stored imported and other water, as well as the amounts, quality and disposition of the water allegedly stored therein, and must therefore deny that any present, historic or future production from these Answering Cross-Defendants' water production facilities have, are, or will have, any adverse impacts on the waters allegedly stored by some or all of Cross-Complainants in said sub-basins. These Answering Cross-Defendants further intend these responses to be applicable to their responses to the other paragraphs in the Cross-Complaint, which are dependent, either in whole or in part, upon this allegation in the Cross-Complaint.

Introduction

1. Answering Paragraph 1 of the "Introduction" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, these Answering Cross-Defendants acknowledge that the action purports to seek a judicial determination of all rights to groundwater within an area described as the "Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin (the 'Basin')"; and, except therefor, these Answering Cross-Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.

Cross-Complainants

2. Answering Paragraph 2 of the "Cross-Complainants" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants lack sufficient

knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.

- 3. Answering Paragraph 3 of the "Cross-Complainants" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants admit the first sentence commencing with the name "Los Angeles" on line 8 of page 8, through the word "Valley" on line 11 of page 8; and, except therefore, these Answering Cross-Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 4. Answering Paragraph 4 of the "Cross-Complainants" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 5. Answering Paragraph 5 of the "Cross-Complainants" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 6. Answering Paragraph 6 of the "Cross-Complainants" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants admit the allegations contained therein.

77857.1

7. Answering Paragraph 7 of the "Cross-Complainants" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants admit the first sentence commencing with the word "The" on line 25 of page 8, through the word "uses" on line 27 of page 8; and, except therefore, these Answering Cross-Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.

- 8. Answering Paragraph 8 of the "Cross-Complainants" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 9. Answering Paragraph 9 of the "Cross-Complainants" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 10. Answering Paragraph 10 of the "Cross-Complainants" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.

12

13

1415

16

17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

2728

77857.1

Gresham Savage Nolan & Tilden, A Professional Corporation 3750 University Ave., Ste 250 Riverside, CA 92501-3335 (951) 684-2171

Cross-Defendants

11. Answering Paragraph 11 of the "Cross-Defendants" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants admit that they are the fee owner and/or lessee of the following described real properties (collectively "Cross-Defendants' Properties") that are situated in that portion of the State of California comprised of portions of the Counties of Los Angeles and Kern that is described in Paragraph 19 of the *Cross Complaint*:

The South ½ of the Southeast ¼ of the Southeast ¼ of Section 3, Township 4 North, Range 8 West, San Bernardino Base and Meridian [APN: 3064 003 031 05 000], in fee;

and

116.92 acres, more or less, being exclusive of streets, the East ½ of the Southwest ¼ and the East ½ of the East ½ of Lots 1 and 2 in the Northwest ¼ of Section 3, Township 4 North, Range 8 West, San Bernardino Base and Meridian [APN: 3064 003 064 05 000], in fee;

and

38.75 acres, more or less, being exclusive of streets, the West ½ of the East ½ of Lots 1 and 2 in the Northwest ¼ of Section 3, Township 4 North, Range 8 West, San Bernardino Base and Meridian [APN: 3064 003 065 05 000], in fee;

and

40 acres, more or less, the Southwest ¼ of the Southeast ¼ of Section 3, Township 4 North, Range 8 West, San Bernardino Base and Meridian [APN: 3064 003 066 05 000], in fee;

and

39.09 acres, more or less, being exclusive of streets, the Northwest ¼ of the Southeast ¼ of Section 3, Township 4 North, Range 8 West, San Bernardino Base and Meridian [APN: 3064 003 067 05 000], in fee;

and

36.7 acres, more or less, being exclusive of streets, the West ½ of the West ½ of Lots 1 and 2 in the Northeast ¼ of Section 3, Township 4 North, Range 8 West, San Bernardino Base and Meridian [APN: 3064 003, 068 05 000], in fee;

-12-

9

14

12

17

18 19

20

21 22

24

23

2526

2728

77857 1

and

38.5 acres, more or less, being exclusive of streets, the East ½ of the West ½ of Lots 1 and 2 in the Northeast ¼ of Section 3, Township 4 North, Range 8 West, San Bernardino Base and Meridian [APN: 3064 003 069 05 000], in fee;

and

the West ½ of the Northeast ¼ of Section 36, Township 6 North, Range 11 West, San Bernardino Base and Meridian [APN: 3024 015 055], under lease;

and

the West ½ of the Northwest ¼ of Section 1, Township 5 North, Range 11 West, San Bernardino Base and Meridian [APNS: 3051 008 004 and 3051 008 006], under lease;

and

the West ½ of the Southeast ¼ of Section 36, Township 6 North, Range 11 West, San Bernardino Base and Meridian [APN: 3024 016 001], under lease;

and

The West 80 acres of the aggregate of Lots 1 and 2 of the Northeast Quarter of Section 2, Township 5 North, Range 11 West, San Bernardino Base and Meridian [APN: 3051 008 002], under lease.

Further answering said Paragraph 11, these Answering Cross-Defendants admit that they claim some right, title or interest to some of the water in the groundwater basins and/or sub-basins underlying Cross-Defendants' Properties, and to the underflow of the washes to which Cross-Defendants' Properties are riparian, and that they produce water from said groundwater basins and/or sub-basins, and from the underflow of said washes. Further answering said Paragraph 11, these Answering Cross-Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph as to all named Cross-Defendants save and except these Answering Cross-Defendants, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein as to the other named Cross-Defendants.

12. Answering Paragraph 12 of the "Cross-Defendants" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.

The United States is a Necessary Party to this Action

- 13. Answering Paragraph 13 of the "The United States is a Necessary Party to this Action" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein as to the other named Cross-Defendants.
- 14. Answering Paragraph 14 of the "The United States is a Necessary Party to this Action" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein as to the other named Cross-Defendants.
- 15. Answering Paragraph 15 of the "The United States is a Necessary Party to this Action" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants admit the application of 43 U.S.C. § 666 (the "McCarran Amendment"); and, save and except therefor, lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth

of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.

- Action" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants admit the application of the McCarran Amendment; and, save and except therefor, lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein as to the other named Cross-Defendants.
- Action" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants admit the application of the McCarran Amendment; and, save and except therefor, lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein as to the other named Cross-Defendants.

The History of the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin

- 18. Answering Paragraph 18 of "The History of the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants admit the allegations contained therein.
- 19. Answering Paragraph 19 of "The History of the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary

27

77857.1

Statement B, above, admit that the Basin is located in an arid valley in the Mojave Desert, about fifty miles northeast of the City of Los Angeles; and, save and except therefor, lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.

20. Answering Paragraph 20 of "The History of the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin" portion of the preliminary allegations to the Cross-Complaint of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants admit that the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin is divided into a number of sub-basins, but lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations that the sub-basins are "sufficiently hydrologically connected to justify treating them as a single source of water for purposes of adjudicating the parties' water rights," and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein with respect to that specific Further answering said Paragraph 20, these Answering Cross-Defendants are allegation. informed and believe and thereon allege that some or all of said basins and sub-basins over which the Cross-Defendants' Properties and water production facilities are situated may be, to some presently unknown extent, separate and independent from some or all of the remaining groundwater basins and sub-basins from which Cross-Complainants produce water and in which Cross-Complainants store water. Further answering said Paragraph 20, these Answering Cross-Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the physical locations, compositions, dimensions and hydrologic interconnections between and amongst the various sub-basins, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein with respect to that specific allegation that the sub-basins are sufficiently hydrologically connected to justify treating them as a single source of water for purposes of adjudicating the parties' water rights. Further answering said Paragraph 20, Cross-Complainants have not alleged any facts from which these Answering Cross-Defendants can

77857,1

ascertain the number and location of Cross-Complainants' production facilities, or the production capacities and histories of Cross-Complainants wells and other water production facilities, and must therefore deny that any present, historic or future production from these Answering Cross-Defendants' facilities have, are, or will have, any adverse impacts on Cross-Complainants' abilities to meet their reasonable water requirements from their unidentified production facilities. Finally, Cross-Complainants have not alleged any facts from which these Answering Cross-Defendants can ascertain the number, location, characteristics and/or capacity of the sub-basins in which Cross-Complainants allege they have stored imported and other water, as well as the amounts, quality and disposition of the water allegedly stored therein, and must therefore deny that any present, historic or future production from these Answering Cross-Defendants' facilities have, are, or will have, any adverse impacts on the waters allegedly stored by some or all of Cross-Complainants in said sub-basins.

- 21. Answering Paragraph 21 of "The History of the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants state that they lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein. Further answering said Paragraph 21, these Answering Cross-Defendants state that the groundwater basin and/or sub-basins under the Cross-Defendants' Properties do not appear to have suffered a destroyed groundwater level equilibrium or a systematic long-term decline in groundwater levels and storage, but rather appear to experience seasonal water level fluctuations.
- 22. Answering Paragraph 22 of "The History of the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants admit that portion of the second

sentence in said Paragraph commencing with the word "urbanization" at line 24 through the word "water" at line 25, all on page 13; and, save and except therefor, lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.

- 23. Answering Paragraph 23 of "The History of the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 24. Answering Paragraph 24 of "The History of the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants admit that land subsidence is the general sinking of the Earth's surface and that some of the harmful effects of land subsidence can include a loss of groundwater storage space, cracks and fissures in the Earth's surface and damage to real property; and, save and except therefor, lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein. Further answering said Paragraph 24, these Answering Cross-Defendants state that the groundwater basin and/or sub-basins under the Cross-Defendants' Properties appear to have suffered no chronic declines in groundwater levels, and said properties appear to have suffered no land subsidence.
- 25. Answering Paragraph 25 of "The History of the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on

file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants admit that declining groundwater levels, diminished groundwater storage and land subsidence can damage any groundwater basin; and, save and except therefor, lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein. Further answering said Paragraph 24, these Answering Cross-Defendants state that the groundwater basin and/or subbasins under the Cross-Defendants' Properties appear to have suffered no declines in groundwater levels and no diminished groundwater storage, and the Cross-Defendants' Properties appear to have suffered no land subsidence.

Public Water Suppliers Supplement and Commingle Their Supplemental Supply of Water With Basin Water

- 26. Answering Paragraph 26 of the "Public Water Suppliers Supplement and Commingle Their Supplemental Supply of Water With Basin Water" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants admit that State Project water generally originates in Northern California; and save and except therefor, lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 27. Answering Paragraph 27 of the "Public Water Suppliers Supplement and Commingle Their Supplemental Supply of Water With Basin Water" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically,

77857 1

jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.

28. Answering Paragraph 28 of the "Public Water Suppliers Supplement and Commingle Their Supplemental Supply of Water With Basin Water" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.

The Basin Has Been in a State of Over-Draft for Over Five Years

- 29. Answering Paragraph 29 of "The Basin Has Been in a State of Over-Draft for Over Five Years" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 30. Answering Paragraph 30 of "The Basin Has Been in a State of Over-Draft for Over Five Years" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants admit those portions of said Paragraph, as to these Answering Cross-Defendants alone and no other, commencing with the word "cross-defendant" on line 21 through the word "water" on line 23, all on page 15; and, save and except therefor, lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.

- 31. Answering Paragraph 31 of "The Basin Has Been in a State of Over-Draft for Over Five Years" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants admit those portions of said Paragraph, as to these Answering Cross-Defendants alone and no other, commencing with the word "claim" on line 26 through the word "water" on line 27, all on page 15; and, save and except therefor, lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 32. Answering Paragraph 32 of "The Basin Has Been in a State of Over-Draft for Over Five Years" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 33. Answering Paragraph 33 of "The Basin Has Been in a State of Over-Draft for Over Five Years" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.

///// /////

/////

/////

-21-

There is a Dispute Among the Parties Regarding The Extent and Priority of Their Respective Water Rights

- 34. Answering Paragraph 34 of the "There is a Dispute Among the Parties Regarding the Extent and Priority of Their Respective Water Rights" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 35. Answering Paragraph 35 of the "There is a Dispute Among the Parties Regarding the Extent and Priority of Their Respective Water Rights" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants admit those portions of said Paragraph, as to these Answering Cross-Defendants alone and no other, commencing with the word "cross-defendants" on line 18 through the word "water" on line 19, all on page 16; and, save and except therefor, lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 36. Answering Paragraph 36 of the "There is a Dispute Among the Parties Regarding the Extent and Priority of Their Respective Water Rights" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants admit that public water suppliers generally have appropriative rights to produce water for the public they serve; and, save and except therefor, lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on

that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.

- 37. Answering Paragraph 37 of the "There is a Dispute Among the Parties Regarding the Extent and Priority of Their Respective Water Rights" portion of the preliminary allegations to the Cross-Complaint of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- Answering Paragraph 38, including subparagraphs A through E, of the "There is a 38. Dispute Among the Parties Regarding the Extent and Priority of Their Respective Water Rights" portion of the preliminary allegations to the Cross-Complaint of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.

First Cause of Action

(Declaratory Relief - Prescriptive Rights - Against All Cross-Defendants **Except the United States and Other Public Entity Cross-Defendants)**

- Answering Paragraph 39 of the First Cause of Action of the Cross-Complaint of 39. Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, these Answering Cross-Defendants reallege and reincorporate their Preliminary Statements and their answers and allegations to Paragraphs 1 through 38, inclusive.
- Answering Paragraph 40 of the First Cause of Action of the Cross-Complaint of 40. Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their

77857.1

denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants admit those portions of said Paragraph commencing with the word "For" on line 7½ through the word "rights" on line 8½, all on page 18; and, save and except therefor, lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.

- 41. Answering Paragraph 41 of the First Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.
- 42. Answering Paragraph 42 of the First Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants admit the allegations contained therein.
- 43. Answering Paragraph 43 of the First Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.

Second Cause of Action

(Declaratory Relief - Appropriative Rights - Against All Cross-Defendants)

44. Answering Paragraph 44 of the Second Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their

77857.1

denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants reallege and reincorporate their answers and allegations to Paragraphs 1 through 43, inclusive.

- 45. Answering Paragraph 45 of the Second Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants admit that public water suppliers generally have appropriative rights to produce water for the public they serve; and, save and except therefor, lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 46. Answering Paragraph 46 of the Second Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein as an oversimplification of the law in California concerning appropriative rights.
- 47. Answering Paragraph 47 of the Second Cause of Action of the Cross-Complaint of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants generally admit those portions of said paragraph commencing with the word "safe" on line 11½ through the word "subsidence" on line 15½, all on page 19; and, save and except therefor, lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 48. Answering Paragraph 48 of the Second Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants admit that all uses of water in California are subject to the "reasonable and beneficial" requirements of Article X, Section 2 of the *California Constitution* and the Reasonable Use Doctrine; and, save and except

therefor, lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.

- 49. Answering Paragraph 48 of the Second Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants admit the allegations contained in the first sentence thereof; and save and except therefor, lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 50. Answering Paragraph 48 of the Second Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants admit the allegations contained therein.

Third Cause of Action

(Declaratory Relief - Physical Solution - Against All Cross-Defendants)

- 51. Answering Paragraph 51 of the Third Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, these Answering Cross-Defendants reallege and reincorporate their answers and allegations to Paragraphs 1 through 50, inclusive.
- 52. Answering Paragraph 52 of the Third Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants admit those portions of said Paragraph commencing with the word "cross-defendants" on line 8½ through the word "pumping" on line 10½, all on page 20, as the allegations relates to these Answering Cross-Defendants alone and to no others; and, save and except therefor, lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis,

77857.3

deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.

- 53. Answering Paragraph 53 of the Third Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein, as the allegations relates to these Answering Cross-Defendants alone and to no others. Further answering said Paragraph 53, these Answering Cross-Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.
- 54. Answering Paragraph 54 of the Third Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants admit those portions of said Paragraph commencing with the word "California" on line 22½ through the word "capable" on line 27½, all on page 20, as the allegation relates to these Answering Cross-Defendants alone and to no others, and to the extent that the mechanism of a physical solution has the potential for providing a practical solution if it is properly developed and implemented; and excepting therefore, these Answering Cross-Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.
- 55. Answering Paragraph 55 of the Third Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation

contained therein. Further answering said Paragraph 55, these Answering Cross-Defendants admit that a physical solution may, but need not, contain the factors identified in said Paragraph 55.

Fourth Cause of Action

(Declaratory Relief - Municipal Priority - Against All Cross-Defendants)

- 56. Answering Paragraph 56 of the Fourth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, these Answering Cross-Defendants reallege and reincorporate their answers and allegations to Paragraphs 1 through 55, inclusive.
- 57. Answering Paragraph 57 of the Fourth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants admit those portions of said Paragraph commencing with the word "It" on line 17½ through the citation to "Water Code § 106" on line 19½, all on page 21; and, save and except therefor, lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.
- 58. Answering Paragraph 58 of the Fourth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants admit that the quote is a portion of "*Water Code* § 106.5"; deny that it is the entirety of said Section 106.5; and further deny that it is an accurate reflection of the will and actions of the California Legislature relative to the water rights of a public water supplier.
- 59. Answering Paragraph 59 of the Fourth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.

77857.1

60. Answering Paragraph 60 of the Fourth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants admit those portions of said Paragraph commencing with the word "An" on line 1 through the word "cross-defendants" on lines 1 and 2, all on page 22; and, save and except therefor, lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.

61. Answering Paragraph 61 of the Fourth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants admit the allegations contained therein.

Fifth Cause of Action

(Declaratory Relief - Storage of Imported Water - Against All Cross-Defendants)

- 62. Answering Paragraph 62 of the Fifth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, these Answering Cross-Defendants reallege and reincorporate their answers and allegations to Paragraphs 1 through 61, inclusive.
- 63. Answering Paragraph 63 of the Fifth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants admit those portions of said Paragraph commencing with the first word "State" on line 19½ through the word "Basin" on line 20½, all on page 22; and, save and except therefor, lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.

77857.1

64. Answering Paragraph 64 of the Fifth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.

- 65. Answering Paragraph 65 of the Fifth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.
- 66. Answering Paragraph 66 of the Fifth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants admit those portions of said Paragraph commencing with the word "An" on line 8 through the word "cross-defendants" on lines 7 and 8, all on page 23; re-allege and re-incorporate their answers to Paragraphs 1 through 39 of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action; and, save and except therefor, lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.
- 67. Answering Paragraph 67 of the Fifth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants admit the allegations contained therein.

77857.1

Sixth Cause of Action

(Declaratory Relief - Recapture of Return Flows

from Imported Water Stored in the Basin - Against All Cross-Defendants)

- 68. Answering Paragraph 68 of the Sixth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, these Answering Cross-Defendants reallege and reincorporate their answers and allegations to Paragraphs 1 through 67, inclusive.
- 69. Answering Paragraph 69 of the Sixth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.
- 70. Answering Paragraph 70 of the Sixth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.
- 71. Answering Paragraph 71 of the Sixth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.
- 72. Answering Paragraph 72 of the Sixth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants admit those

73. Answering Paragraph 73 of the Sixth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants admit the allegations contained therein.

Seventh Cause of Action

(Unreasonable Use of Water - Against All Cross-Defendants Except Public Entity Cross-Defendants)

- 74. Answering Paragraph 74 of the Seventh Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, these Answering Cross-Defendants reallege and reincorporate their answers and allegations to Paragraphs 1 through 73, inclusive.
- 75. Answering Paragraph 75 of the Seventh Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants admit those portions of said Paragraph commencing with the first word "The" on line 20½ through the word "case" on line 23½, all on page 24; and, save and except therefor, lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.
- 76. Answering Paragraph 76 of the Seventh Cause of Action of the Cross-Complaint of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their

25

26

27

28

77857.1

28

denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein, as for themselves and for no others; and, save and except therefor, lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein as to the other named cross-defendants, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.

- Answering Paragraph 77 of the Seventh Cause of Action of the Cross-Complaint 77. of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants admit those portions of said Paragraph commencing with the word "An" on line 4 through the word "crossdefendants" on lines 4 and 5, all on page 25; re-allege and re-incorporate their answers to Paragraphs 1 through 43 of the Cross-Complaint of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action; and, save and except therefor, lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.
- 78. Answering Paragraph 78 of the Seventh Cause of Action of the Cross-Complaint of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants admit the allegations contained therein.

Note: There are no paragraphs numbered 79 through 90 in the copies of the Cross-Complaint of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action that were served on these Answering Cross-Defendants.

///// /////

/////

/////

10

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

Eighth Cause of Action

(Declaratory Relief re Boundaries of Basin)

- 91. Answering Paragraph 91 of the Eighth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, these Answering Cross-Defendants reallege and reincorporate their answers and allegations to Paragraphs 1 through 78, inclusive.
- 92. Answering Paragraph 92 of the Eighth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants admit those portions of said Paragraph commencing with the word "An" on line 18½ through the word "Basin" on line 20½, all on page 25; re-allege and re-incorporate their answers to Paragraphs 1 through 38 of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action; and, save and except therefor, lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, deny, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.
- 93. Answering Paragraph 93 of the Eighth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, these Answering Cross-Defendants admit the allegations contained therein.

/////

/////

/////

/////

/////

///// /////

/////

/////

-

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

As and for affirmative defenses to the causes of action purported to be set forth against them in the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, these Answering Cross-Defendants allege as follows:

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to State Cause of Action)

As and for a first separate and affirmative defense to said *Cross-Complaint*, and each cause of action thereof, whether considered separately or apart, these Answering Cross-Defendants allege that the *Cross-Complaint*, and each cause of action thereof, fails to state a cause of action against these Answering Cross-Defendants upon which the Court can grant relief.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Estoppel)

As and for a second separate and affirmative defense to said *Cross-Complaint*, and each cause of action thereof, whether considered separately or apart, these Answering Cross-Defendants are informed and believes, and on that basis allege, that Cross-Complainants are estopped from asserting each and every cause of action against these Answering Cross-Defendants by reason of the facts that, there are a number of groundwater basins and sub-basins situated in that portion of the State of California generally described in the *Cross-Complaint* as the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin; that the physical locations, composition, dimensions and interconnections between and amongst those groundwater basins and sub-basins has not been sufficiently alleged by Cross-Complainants to state a cause of action against these Answering Cross-Defendants; that some or all of said basins and sub-basins over which these Answering Cross-Defendants' Properties, and water production facilities are situated may be, to some presently unknown extent, separate and independent from some or all of the remaining groundwater basins and sub-basins; and that Cross-Complainants have failure to specifically

identify the locations of each and every of the wells that they allege to have drilled, equipped, operated and maintained.

16

20

21

24

27

28

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Reasonable and Beneficial Use)

As and for a third separate and affirmative defense to said Cross-Complaint, and each cause of action thereof, whether considered separately or apart, these Answering Cross-Defendants allege that Cross-Complainants' use of water, both presently and in the future, is limited by the reasonable and beneficial use limitations set forth in Article X, Section 2 of the California Constitution and Water Code § 100.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Riparian Rights)

As and for a fourth separate and affirmative defense to said Cross-Complaint, and each cause of action thereof, whether considered separately or apart, these Answering Cross-Defendants claim riparian rights to the underflow of the Little Rock Wash, as to

> the West ½ of the Northeast ¼ of Section 36, Township 6 North, Range 11 West, San Bernardino Base and Meridian [APN: 3024 015 055], under lease;

and

the West ½ of the Northwest ¼ of Section 1, Township 5 North, Range 11 West, San Bernardino Base and Meridian [APNS: 3051] 008 004 and 3051 008 006], under lease;

and

the West ½ of the Southeast ¼ of Section 36, Township 6 North, Range 11 West, San Bernardino Base and Meridian [APN: 3024 016 001], under lease;

and

The West 80 acres of the aggregate of Lots 1 and 2 of the Northeast Quarter of Section 2, Township 5 North, Range 11 West, San Bernardino Base and Meridian [APN: 3051 008 002],

-36-

consistent with the provisions of Water Code § 101; and that some or all of their production is from said underflow of the Little Rock Wash; and to that extent, these Answering Cross-Defendants allege that Cross-Complainants are barred from the relief sought on each and every cause of action against these Answering Cross-Defendants.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Appropriative Rights)

cause of action thereof, whether considered separately or apart, these Answering Cross-

Defendants claim the appropriative rights to produce water from the basins and sub-basins over

As and for a fifth separate and affirmative defense to said Cross-Complaint, and each

12

14

18

23

24

26

27

28

which these Answering Cross-Defendants' Properties and water production facilities are situated: and, to the extent that the basins and sub-basins over which Cross-Complainants' water

production facilities are situated are separate and independent from the basins and sub-basins

over which these Answering Cross-Defendants' Properties and water production facilities are situated, these Answering Cross-Defendants allege that Cross-Complainants are barred from the

relief sought on each and every cause of action against these Answering Cross-Defendants.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Permitted Rights to Extract)

As and for a sixth separate and affirmative defense to said Cross-Complaint, and each cause of action thereof, whether considered separately or apart, these Answering Cross-Defendants allege that they have permitted rights to extract water from the basins and sub-basins over which these Answering Cross-Defendants' Properties and water production facilities are situated, with the Division of Water Rights of the State Water Resources Control Board for the State of California.

-37-

<u>SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>

(Waiver)

As and for a seventh separate and affirmative defense to said *Cross-Complaint*, and each cause of action thereof, whether considered separately or apart, these Answering Cross-Defendants allege that even if the facts alleged in the *Cross-Complaint* are true, and these Answering Cross-Defendants deny the same, Cross-Complainants have engaged in conduct and activities that it knew or should have known that these Answering Cross-Defendants would, in fact, rely on to their prejudice and detriment, sufficient to constitute a waiver of any claims and demands against these Answering Cross-Defendants; and, accordingly, Cross-Complainants are barred from the relief sought on each and every cause of action against these Answering Cross-Defendants.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to Mitigate Damages)

As and for an eighth separate and affirmative defense to said *Cross-Complaint*, and each cause of action thereof, whether considered separately or apart, these Answering Cross-Defendants are informed and believes and on that basis allege that Cross-Complainants failed to take reasonable, prudent, and necessary steps to diminish, control and/or mitigate the damages allegedly suffered by Cross-Complainants, if any.

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to Do Equity)

As and for a ninth separate and affirmative defense to said *Cross-Complaint*, and each cause of action thereof, whether considered separately or apart, these Answering Cross-Defendants are informed and believes and on that basis allege that Cross-Complainants' ability to obtain relief as prayed in the *Cross-Complaint* is or may be limited by reason of Cross-Complainants' failure to do equity in the matters alleged in the *Cross-Complaint* filed herein.

2

3

5 6

7 8

9 10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

2324

25

26

27

77857 1

28

GRESHAM SAVAGE NOLAN & TILDEN, A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 3750 UNIVERSITY AVE., STE 250 RIVERSIDE, CA 92501-3335 (951) 684-3171

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Comparative Fault)

As and for a tenth separate and affirmative defense to said *Cross-Complaint*, and each cause of action thereof, whether considered separately or apart, these Answering Cross-Defendants are informed and believes and on that basis allege that Cross-Complainants failed to exercise ordinary care, caution and prudence in connection with their water production and the use of water by their customers; and that Cross-Complainants' lack of care, caution and prudence was independent of and unrelated to the actions, if any, of these Answering Cross-Defendants; and to that extent, Cross-Complainants' production and uses are unreasonable and beneficial, and Cross-Complainants' remedies and recovery, if any, should be proportionately reduced.

ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Doctrine of Laches)

As and for an eleventh separate and affirmative defense to said *Cross-Complaint*, and each cause of action thereof, whether considered separately or apart, these Answering Cross-Defendants allege that some of Cross-Complainants' claims are barred by the doctrine of laches.

<u>TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>

(Excuse)

As and for a twelfth separate and affirmative defense to said *Cross-Complaint*, and each cause of action thereof, whether considered separately or apart, these Answering Cross-Defendants allege that any purported misconduct on their part, which is denied but alleged herein solely for the purpose of asserting this affirmative defense, has been excused by some or all of Cross-Complainants' own misconduct.

10

13

15

14

16 17

18 19

20 21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

<u>THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>

(Justification)

As and for a thirteenth separate and affirmative defense to said *Cross-Complaint*, and each cause of action thereof, whether considered separately or apart, these Answering Cross-Defendants allege that these Answering Cross-Defendants' productions and use of water is and has been justified.

FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Good Faith)

As and for a fourteenth separate and affirmative defense to said *Cross-Complaint*, and each cause of action thereof, whether considered separately or apart, these Answering Cross-Defendants allege a good faith belief that they had the rights to produce and use water they have produced and used.

FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Lack of Good Faith)

As and for a fifteenth separate and affirmative defense to said *Cross-Complaint*, and each cause of action thereof, whether considered separately or apart, these Answering Cross-Defendants allege that some or all of Cross-Complainants failed to act in good faith and to deal fairly with these Answering Cross-Defendants and on that basis, Cross-Complainants are precluded from obtaining some or all of the relief sought in their *Cross-Complaint*.

SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Statute of Limitations)

As and for a sixteenth separate and affirmative defense to said *Cross-Complaint*, and each cause of action thereof, whether considered separately or apart, these Answering Cross-

-40-

Defendants allege that Cross-Complainants' claims, and each of them, are barred by the applicable statute of limitation provisions of the Code of Civil Procedure.

SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Additional Affirmative Defenses)

As and for a seventeenth separate and affirmative defense to said Cross-Complaint, and each cause of action thereof, whether considered separately or apart, these Answering Cross-Defendants are informed and believes and on that basis allege that they may have additional affirmative defenses available of which these Answering Cross-Defendants are not fully aware at the present time. These Answering Cross-Defendants reserve the right to assert additional affirmative defenses after the same have been ascertained.

WHEREFORE, these Answering Cross-Defendants pray as follows:

- 1. That Cross-Complainants take nothing by their Cross-Complaint, and that it be dismissed with prejudice;
 - 2. For attorneys' fees as authorized by law;
 - 3. For costs of suit herein incurred; and
 - 4. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: April 12, 2006 GRESHAM SAVAGE NOLAN & TILDEN. A Professional Corporation

Michael Duane Davis

Attorneys for Cross-Defendants, Service Rock Products Corporation, as successor-in-interest to Owl Properties, Inc.; and Healy Enterprises, Inc. [only in its capacity as Lessee to Service Rock Products Corporation

-41-

28

1	VERIFICATION	
2		
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
4	COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE	
5	V 1	
6 7 8	HEALY ENTERPRISES, INC. [ONLY IN ITS CAPACITY AS LESSOR TO SERVICE ROCK PRODUCTS CORPORATION] TO CROSS-COMPLAINT OF MUNICIPAL PURVEYORS FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND	
9	ADJUDICATION OF WATER RIGHTS and know its contents.	
ŀ	X CHECK APPLICABLE PARAGRAPH	
10 11	I am a party to this action. The matters stated in the foregoing document are true of my own knowledge except as to those matters which are stated on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true.	
12 13 14	I am President of SERVICE ROCK PRODUCTS CORPORATION, success-in-interest to OWL PROPERTIES, INC., a party to this action, and am authorized to make this verification for and on its behalf, and I make this verification for that reason.	
15	I am informed and believe and on that ground allege that the matters stated in the foregoing document are true.	
16 17 18	The matters stated in the foregoing document are true of my own knowledge except as to those matters which are stated on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true.	
19 20	I am one of the attorneys for, a party to this action. Such party is absent from the aforesaid county where such attorneys have their offices, and I make this verification for and on behalf of that party for that reason. I am informed and believe and on that ground allege that the matters stated in the foregoing document are true.	
21	Executed on (1), 2006, at Henderson, Nevada.	
22	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the	
24	foregoing is true and correct.	
25		
26	Kolon Etant	
27	Robert Burmeister	
28		
æ	M376-01 1\Verification-Service Rock-Owl	

VERIFICATION

I have read the foregoing ANSWER OF OWL PROPERTIES, INC., A FORMER

AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND ADJUDICATION OF WATER RIGHTS and know its

3

STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

5

6

4

SUBSIDIARY AND NOW A PART OF SERVICE ROCK PRODUCTS CORPORATION AND A SUBSIDIARY OF MITSUBISHI CEMENT CORPORATION: AND HEALY ENTERPRISES, INC. JONLY IN ITS CAPACITY AS LESSOR TO OWL PROPERTIES. INC.] TO CROSS-COMPLAINT OF MUNICIPAL PURVEYORS FOR DECLARATORY

contents.

X

9

10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

M376-011\Pld\Verification

80352.1

GRESHAM SAVAGE NOLAN & TILDEN. ROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 750 University Ave., 5tc. 250 Riverside, CA 92501-3335

VERIFICATION

I am a party to this action. own knowledge except as to and as to those matters I beli	The matters stated in the foregoing document are true of my to those matters which are stated on information and belief, leve them to be true.
I am an officer of HEALY I	ENTERPRISES, INC. [only in its capacity as Lessor to Owl

Properties, Inc.], a party to this action, and am authorized to make this verification for

X CHECK APPLICABLE PARAGRAPH

I am informed and believe and on that ground allege that the matters stated in the foregoing document are true.

and on its behalf, and I make this verification for that reason.

 $|\mathbf{X}|$ The matters stated in the foregoing document are true of my own knowledge except as to those matters which are stated on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true.

I am one of the attorneys for ___, a party to this action. Such party is absent from the aforesaid county where such attorneys have their offices, and I make this verification for and on behalf of that party for that reason. I am informed and believe and on that ground allege that the matters stated in the foregoing document are true.

, 2006, at Thousand Oaks, California. Executed on ()

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

1	PROOF OF SERVICE
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
3 4	Re: ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES Los Angeles County Superior Court Judicial Council Coordinated Proceedings No. 4408; Santa Clara County Superior Court Case No. 1-05-CV-049053
5 6	I am employed in the County of Riverside, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action; my business address is: 3750 University Avenue, Suite 250, Riverside, CA 92501-3335.
7 8 9	On November 13, 2006, I served the foregoing document(s) described as ANSWER OF SERVICE ROCK PRODUCTS CORPORATION, AS SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST TO OWL PROPERTIES, INC.; AND HEALY ENTERPRISES, INC. [ONLY IN ITS CAPACITY AS LESSOR TO OWL PROPERTIES, INC.] TO CROSS-COMPLAINT OF MUNICIPAL PURVEYORS FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND ADJUDICATION OF WATER RIGHTS on the interested parties in this action in the following manner:
11 12	(X) BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE – I posted the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Court website, http://www.scefiling.org , in the action of the Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases,
13 14 15	(X) BY MAIL - I served a true copy of the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope and placed for collection and mailing following the usual business practice of the Firm. I am "readily familiar" with the Firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, it would be deposited with the United States Postal Service with postage thereon fully prepaid at Riverside, California, on the same day in the ordinary course of business, addressed as follows:
16 17 18	Honorable Jack Komar Santa Clara County Superior Court 191 North First Street, Dept. 17C San Jose, CA 95113
19	Original Document(s) to be filed at this location:
20	Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles
21	Stanley Mosk Courthouse, Dept. 1, Room 534 111 North Hill Street
22	Los Angeles, CA 90012
23	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.
24	Executed on November 13, 2006, at Riverside, California.
25	4
26	Lei Hallagher TERID. GALLAGHER
27	

GRESHAM SAVAGE NOLAN & TILDEN A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 3750 UNIVERSITY AVE., SUITE 250 RIVERSIDE, CA 92501-3335 (951) 684-2171

28

-1-