1	Michael Duane Davis, SBN 093678 Marlene Allen-Hammarlund, SBN 126418	
2	GRESHAM SAVAGE NOLAN & TILDEN, A Professional Corporation	
3	3750 University Avenue, Suite 250 Riverside, CA 92501-3335	
4	Telephone: (951) 684-2171 Facsimile: (951) 684-2150	
5	Attorneys for Cross-Defendant,	
6	SHEEP CREEK WATER COMPANY, INC.	
7	CUREDION COURT ON THE	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
10		
11	Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 1550(b))) Judicial Council Coordination) Proceeding No. 4408
12 13	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES) Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053
14	Including Actions:) Assigned to the Honorable Jack Komar) Department 17
15	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No.	ANSWER OF SHEEP CREEK WATER COMPANY TO CROSS-COMPLAINT
16	40 v. Diamond Farming Co. Superior Court of California, County of Los	OF MUNICIPAL PURVEYORS FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE
17	Angeles, Case No. BC 325 201) RELIEF AND ADJUDICATION OF) WATER RIGHTS
18	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co.))
19	Superior Court of California, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348	
20	Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster) }
21	Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist.	
22	Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, consolidated actions, Case Nos. RIC	
23	353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668))
24	ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES	
25	DISTRICT; LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS))
26	DISTRICT NO. 40; PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT; CITY OF LANCASTER:))
27	CITY OF LANCASTER; CITY OF PALMDALE; LITTLEROCK CREEK IRRIGATION))
28		

```
DISTRICT;
 1
    PALM RANCH IRRIGATION DISTRICT;
    QUARTZ HILL DISTRICT; and
 2
    CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE
 3
    COMPANY,
 4
          Cross-Complainants,
 5
    VS.
 6
    DIAMOND FARMING COMPANY;
    WM. BOLTHOUSE FARMS, INC.;
    BOLTHOUSE PROPERTIES LLC: ABC
    WILLIAMS ENTERPRISES LP:
    ACEH CAPITAL LLC;
 8
    JACQUELINE ACKERMANN:
    CENON ADVINCULA;
    OLIVA M. ADVINCULA:
101
    MASHALLAH AFSHAR;
    ANTONIO U. AGUSTINES:
11
    AIRTRUST SINGAPORE PRIVATE
    LIMITED:
12
    MARWAN M. ALDAIS:
    ALLEN ALEVY;
    ALLEN ALEVY AND ALEVY FAMILY
13
    TRUST:
    GEORGINE J. ARCHER;
14
    GEORGINE J. ARCHER AS TRUSTEE FOR
15
    THE GEORGINE J. ARCHER TRUST;
    A V MATERIALS, INC.;
16
    GUSS A. BARKS, JR.;
    PETER G. BARKS:
17
    ILDEFONSO S. BAYANI;
    NILDA V. BAYANI;
18
    BIG WEST CORP.:
    RANDALL Y. BLAYNEY:
19
    MELODY S. BLOOM;
    BOLTHOUSE PROPERTIES, INC.;
20
    DAVID L. BOWERS;
    RONALD E. BOWERS;
21
    LEROY DANIEL BRONSTON:
    MARILYN BURGESS;
    LAVERNE C. BURROUGHS;
LAVERNE C. BURROUGHS, TRUSTEE OF
22
23
    THE BURROUGHS FAMILY
    IRREVOCABLE TRUST DATED
    AUGUST 1, 1995; BRUCE BURROWS;
    JOHN & B. CALANDRI 2001 TRUST:
25
    CALIFORNIA PORTLAND CEMENT
    COMPANY;
26
    CALMAT LAND CO.:
    MELINDA E. CAMERON:
27
    CASTLE BUTTE DEV CORP.:
    CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT
28
    CORPORATION:
```

Gresham Savage Nolan & Tilden, a Professional Corporation 3750 University Ave., Ste 250 Riverside, CA 92501-3335 (951) 684-2171

```
BONG S. CHANG:
    JEANNA Y. CHANG;
    MOON S. CHANG;
 2
    JACOB CHETRIT:
    FRANK S. CHIODO;
 3
    LEE S. CHIOU:
 4
    M S CHUNG:
    CITY OF LOS ANGELES:
 5
    CAROL K. CLAYPOOL;
    CLIFFORD N. CLAYPOOL;
 6
    W. F. CLUNEN, JR.;
    W. F. CLUNEN, JR., AS TRUSTEE FOR
    THE P C REV INTER VIVOS TRUST;
    CONSOLIDATED ROCK PRODUCTS CO.;
    COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT NO. 14
    OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY;
 9
    COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT NO. 20
    OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY:
10
    RUTH A. CUMMING;
    RUTH A. CUMMING, AS TRUSTEE OF
    THE CUMMING FAMILY TRUST;
11
    CATHARINE M. DAVIS;
12
    MILTON S. DAVIS;
    DEL SUR RANCH LLC:
13
    DIAMOND FARMING COMPANY:
    SARKIS DJANIBEKYAN;
14
    HONG DONG:
    YING X. DONG:
15
    DOROTHY DREIER;
    GEORGE E. DREIER;
    EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE, CA;
    MORTEZA M. FOROUGHI;
17
    MORTEZA M. FOROUGHI, AS TRUSTEE
    OF THE FOROUGHI FAMILY TRUST;
    LEWIS FREDRICHSEN;
18
    LEWIS FREDRICHSEN, AS TRUSTEE OF
    THE FREDRICHSEN FAMILY TRUST;
19
    JOAN A. FUNK:
20
    EUGENE GABRYCH;
    MARIAN GABRYCH;
21
    AURORA P. GABUYA;
    RODRIGO L. GABUYA;
    GGF LLC;
22
    GENUS LP:
23
    BETTY GLUCKSTEIN;
    JOSEPH H. GLUCKSTEIN;
    FORREST G. GODDE;
24
    FORREST G. GODDE, AS TRUSTEE OF
25
    THE FORREST G. GODDE TRUST:
    LAWRENCE A. GODDE;
    LAWRENCE A. GODDE AND GODDE
26
    TRUST;
27
    MARIA B. GORRINDO:
    MARIA B. GORRINDO, AS TRUSTEE FOR
28
    THE M. GORRINDO TRUST:
```

```
WENDELL G. HANKS;
    ANDREAS HAUKE;
 2
    MARILYN HAUKE:
    HEALY ENTERPRISES, INC.;
 3
    WALTER E. HELMICK:
    DONNA L. HIGELMIRE:
 4
    MICHAEL N. HIGELMIRE;
    DAVIS L. AND DIANA D. HINES FAMILY
 5
    TRUST;
    HOOSHPACK DEV INC.;
 6
    CHI S. HUANG;
    SUCHU T. HUANG;
    JOHN HUI;
    HYPERICUM INTERESTS LLC:
 8
    DARYUSH IRANINEZHAD;
    MINOO IRANINEZHAD;
    ESFANDIAR KADIVAR;
    ESFANDIAR KADIVAR, AS TRUSTEE OF
10
    THE KADIVAR FAMILY TRUST:
    A. DAVID KAGON;
11
    A. DAVID KAGON, AS TRUSTEE FOR THE
    KAGON TRUST:
12
    JACK D. KAHLO;
    CHENG LIN KANG:
    HERBERT KATZ;
13
    HERBERT KATZ, AS TRUSTEE FOR THE
    KATZ FAMILY TRUST;
141
    MARIANNE KATZ;
15
    LILIAN S. KAUFMAN:
    LILIAN S. KAUFMAN, AS TRUSTEE FOR
16
    THE KAUFMAN FAMILY TRUST:
    KAZUKO YOSHIMATSU;
17
    BARBARA L. KEYS;
    BARBARA L. KEYS, AS TRUSTEE OF THE
    BARBARA L. KEYS FAMILY TRUST;
181
    BILL H. KIM;
    ILLY KING;
19
    ILLY KING, AS TRUSTEE OF THE ILLY
20
    KING FAMILY TRUST;
    KOOTENAI PROPERTIES, INC.;
21
    KUTU INVESTMENT CO.:
    GAILEN KYLE;
22
    GAILEN KYLE, AS TRUSTEE OF THE
    KYLE TRUST;
    JAMES W. KYLE;
23
    JAMES W. KYLE, AS TRUSTEE OF THE
24
    KYLE FAMILY TRUST;
    JULIA KYLE;
25
    WANDA E. KYLE:
    FARES A. LAHOUD;
26
    EVA LAI;
    PAUL LAI:
27
    YING WAH;
    LAND BUSINESS CORPORATION:
    RICHARD E. LANDFIELD:
```

Gresham Savage Nolan & Tilden, a Professional Corporation 3750 University Ave., Ste 250 Riverside, CA 92501-3335 (951) 684-2171

```
1
    RICHARD E. LANDFIELD, AS TRUSTEE
    OF THE RICHARD E. LANDFIELD TRUST:
 2
    LAWRENCE CHARLES TRUST:
    WILLIAM LEWIS;
 3
    MARY LEWIS:
    PEI CHI LIN:
 4 |
    MAN C. LO;
    SHIUNG RU LO;
    LYMAN C. MILES;
    LYMAN C. MILES, AS TRUSTEE FOR THE
    MILES FAMILY TRUST:
    MALLOY FAMILY PARTNERS LP:
    MISSION BELL RANCH DEVELOPMENT;
    BARRY S. MUNZ;
    KATHLEEN M. MUNZ;
    TERRY A. MUNZ;
 9
    M. R. NASIR;
    SOUAD R. NASIR;
10
    EUGENE B. NEBEKER;
    SIMIN C. NEMAN;
11
    HENRY NGO;
    FRANK T. NGUYEN:
12
    JUANITA R. NICHOLS:
    OLIVER NICHOLS;
    OLIVER NICHOLS, AS TRUSTEE OF THE NICHOLS FAMILY TRUST;
13
14
    OWL PROPERTIES, INC.;
    PALMDALE HILLS PROPERTY LLC:
15
    NORMAN L. POULSEN:
    MARILYN J. PREWOZNIK;
16
    MARILYN J. PREWOZNIK, AS TRUSTEE
    OF THE MARILYN J. PREWOZNIK TRUST;
17
    ELIAS QARMOUT;
    VICTORIA RAHIMI;
18
    R AND M RANCH, INC.;
    PATRICIA A. RECHT;
19
    VERONIKA REINELT;
    REINELT ROSENLOECHER CORP. PSP;
20
    PATRICIA J. RIGGINS;
    PATRICIA J. RIGGINS, AS TRUSTEE OF
    THE RIGGINS FAMILY TRUST:
21
    EDGAR C. RITTER;
22
    PAULA E. RITTER;
    PAULA E. RITTER, AS TRUSTEE OF THE
23
    RITTER FAMILY TRUST:
    ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHBISHOP OF
24
    LOS ANGELES;
    ROMO LAKE LOS ANGELES
25
    PARTNERSHIP:
    ROSEMOUNT EQUITIES LLC SERIES:
26
    ROYAL INVESTORS GROUP:
    ROYAL WESTERN PROPERTIES LLC:
27
    OSCAR RUDNICK;
    REBECCA RUDNICK:
28
    SANTA MONICA MOUNTAINS
```

```
1
    CONSERVANCY;
    MARYGRACE H. SANTORO;
 2
    MARYGRACE H. SANTORO, AS TRUSTEE
    FOR THE MARYGRACE H. SANTORO
    REV TRUST;
    SAN YU ENTERPRISES, INC.:
 4
    DANIEL SAPARZEDEH;
    HELEN STATHATOS;
 5 İ
    SAVAS STATHATOS:
    SAVAS STATHATOS, AS TRUSTEE FOR
 6
    THE STATHATOS FAMILY TRUST:
    SEVEN STAR UNITED LLC;
    MARK H. SHAFRON;
    ROBERT L. SHAFRON;
 8
    KAMRAM S. SHAKIB;
    DONNA L. SIMPSON;
    GARETH L. SIMPSON;
    GARETH L. SIMPSON, AS TRUSTEE OF
10
    THE SIMPSON FAMILY TRUST;
    SOARING VISTA PROPERTIES, INC.;
11
    STATE OF CALIFORNIA;
    GEORGE C. STEVENS, JR.;
    GEORGE C. STEVENS, JR., AS TRUSTEE
12
    OF THE GEORGE C. STEVENS, JR. TRUST:
13
    GEORGE L. STIMSON, JR.;
    GEORGE L. STIMSON, JR., AS TRUSTEE
14
    OF THE GEORGE L. STIMSON, JR. TRUST;
    TEJON RANCHCORP;
15
    MARK E. THOMPSON A P C PROFIT
    SHARING PLAN;
16
    TIERRA BONITA RANCH COMPANY;
    TIONG D. TIU;
17
    BEVERLY J. TOBIAS;
    BEVERLY J. TOBIAS, AS TRUSTEE OF
    THE TOBIAS FAMILY TRUST;
18
    JUNG N. TOM:
19
    WILLIAM BOLTHOUSE FARMS, INC.;
    WILMA D. TRUEBLOOD;
    WILMA D. TRUEBLOOD, AS TRUSTEE OF
20
    THE TRUEBLOOD FAMILY TRUST;
21
    UNISON INVESTMENT CO., LLC;
    DELMAR D. VAN DAM;
22
    GERTRUDE J. VAN DAM;
    KEITH E. WALES:
23
    E C WHEELER LLC;
    ALEX WODCHIS;
24
    ELIZABETH WONG:
    MARY WONG;
25
    MIKE M. WU;
    MIKE M. WU, AS TRUSTEE OF THE WU
    FAMILY TRÚST;
26
    STATE OF CALIFORNIA 50<sup>TH</sup> DISTRICT
    AND AGRICULTURAL ASSOCIATION;
    THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA:
    U. S. BORAX, INC.:
28
```

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1

16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23 24

25 26

27 28

Comes now, Sheep Creek Water Company, Inc. ("Sheep Creek"), a mutual water company with its principal place of business at 4200 Sunnyslope Road, Phelan, CA 92329-1820 and the owner of properties within the jurisdictional boundaries of this adjudication from which Sheep Creek claims the right to produce and is preparing to produce water for delivery to its customers and is therefore a necessary and proper party, and answers the Cross-Complaint ("Cross Complaint") filed by Cross-Complainants, Rosamond Community Services District ("Rosamond CSD"), Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 ("LACWD No. 40"), Palmdale Water District ("Palmdale WD"), City Of Lancaster ("Lancaster"), City Of Palmdale ("Palmdale"), Littlerock Creek Irrigation District ("Littlerock CID"), Palm Ranch Irrigation District ("Palm Ranch ID"), Quartz Hill District ("Quartz Hill"), and California Water Service Company (individually "California WSD" and all collectively "Cross-Complainants"), and for itself and for no others, admits, denies, and alleges as follows:

<u>ANSWER</u>

Preliminary Statements

A. LASC Case No. BC325201. Sheep Creek is informed that LACWD No. 40 has previously served the parties to the instant action with a Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief and Adjudication of Water Rights (the "Complaint") that it filed in Los Angeles County Superior Court as Case No. BC325201. Though Sheep Creek was thereafter informed that it would be receiving service of an Order re Case Management Dates and Motions in which it would be directed not to answer the aforementioned Complaint, the Order that was eventually served on it was unclear in that regard. Sheep Creek has now been received the "Cross-Complaint" in the instant action, to which this Answer is made. To the extent necessary and appropriate, Sheep Creek intend this Answer to also constitute an answer to the Complaint.

В. Paragraph 20 Allegations regarding Interconnected Sub-Basins. Though not alleged in the Complaint, Cross-Complainants now alleges, in Paragraph 20 of the Cross-Complaint, that the Antelope Valley Basin is divided into an unspecified number of sub-basins, which Cross-Complainants alleges are "sufficiently hydrologically connected to justify treating them as a single source of water for purposes of adjudicating the parties' water rights." As Sheep Creek's response to this specific allegation in Paragraph 20 is sufficiently central to its responses to a number of other allegations in the Cross-Complaint, Sheep Creek chooses to preliminarily state its responses to that specific allegation at this point. Sheep Creek is informed and believe and thereon alleges that some or all of said basins and sub-basins over which Sheep Creek's properties, and its water production facilities are situated may be, to some presently unknown extent, separate and independent from some or all of the remaining groundwater basins and sub-basins from which Cross-Complainants produce water and in which Cross-Complainants While Sheep Creek admits the existence of sub-basins, it lacks sufficient store water. information and belief to enable it to admits or denies the number, physical locations, compositions, dimensions and hydrologic interconnections between and amongst the various sub-basins, and must thereon denies the allegation that the sub-basins are sufficiently hydrologically connected to justify treating them as a single source of water for purposes of adjudicating the parties' water rights. Further, Cross-Complainants have not alleged any facts from which Sheep Creek can ascertain the number and location of Cross-Complainants' production facilities, or the production capacities and histories of Cross-Complainants wells and other production facilities, and must therefore denies that any present, historic or future production from Sheep Creek's facilities have, are, or will have, any adverse impacts on Cross-Complainants' abilities to meet their reasonable requirements from their unidentified production facilities. Finally, Cross-Complainants have not alleged any facts from which Sheep Creek can ascertain the number, location, characteristics and/or capacity of the sub-basins in which Cross-Complainants alleges they have stored imported and other water, as well as the amounts, quality and disposition of the water allegedly stored therein, and must therefore denies that any present, historic or future production from Sheep Creek's water production facilities have, are, or will

have, any adverse impacts on the waters allegedly stored by some or all of Cross-Complainants in said sub-basins. Sheep Creek further intend these responses to be applicable to its responses to the other paragraphs in the Cross-Complaint, which are dependent, either in whole or in part, upon this allegation in the Cross-Complaint.

Introduction

1. Answering Paragraph 1 of the "Introduction" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, Sheep Creek acknowledges that the action purports to seek a judicial determination of all rights to groundwater within an area described as the "Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin (the 'Basin')"; and, except therefor, Sheep Creek lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.

Cross-Complainants

- 2. Answering Paragraph 2 of the "Cross-Complainants" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.
- 3. Answering Paragraph 3 of the "Cross-Complainants" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek admits the first sentence commencing with the name "Los Angeles" on line 8 of page 8, through the word "Valley" on line 11 of page 8; and, except therefore, Sheep Creek lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies,

generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.

- 4. Answering Paragraph 4 of the "Cross-Complainants" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 5. Answering Paragraph 5 of the "Cross-Complainants" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 6. Answering Paragraph 6 of the "Cross-Complainants" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek admits the allegations contained therein.
- Answering Paragraph 7 of the "Cross-Complainants" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek admits the first sentence commencing with the word "The" on line 25 of page 8, through the word "uses" on line 27 of page 8; and, except therefore, Sheep Creek lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 8. Answering Paragraph 8 of the "Cross-Complainants" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with

-10-

28

Gresham Savage
Nolan & Tilden,
Professional Corporation

750 University Ave., Ste 250

KSIDE, CA 92501-3335

reference to Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.

- 9. Answering Paragraph 9 of the "Cross-Complainants" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 10. Answering Paragraph 10 of the "Cross-Complainants" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.

Cross-Defendants

11. Answering Paragraph 11 of the "Cross-Defendants" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek admits that it is the fee owner of the following described real properties (collectively "Sheep Creek's Properties") that are situated in that portion of the State of California comprised of portions of the Counties of Los Angeles and Kern that is described in Paragraph 19 of the *Cross Complaint*; and which is described as Assessor's Parcel Numbers 3089-012-004 and 3089-012-008, in Township 5 North, Range 8 West, San Bernardino Base and Meridian.

Further answering said Paragraph 11, Sheep Creek admits that it claims some right, title or interest to some of the water in the groundwater basins and/or sub-basins underlying Cross-

Defendants' Properties, and to the underflow of any of the washes to which Cross-Defendants' Properties are riparian, and that it produce water from said groundwater basins and/or sub-basins, and from the underflow of said washes. Further answering said Paragraph 11, Sheep Creek lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph as to all named Cross-Defendants save and except Sheep Creek, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein as to the other named Cross-Defendants.

12. Answering Paragraph 12 of the "Cross-Defendants" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.

The United States is a Necessary Party to this Action

- 13. Answering Paragraph 13 of the "The United States is a Necessary Party to this Action" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein as to the other named Cross-Defendants.
- 14. Answering Paragraph 14 of the "The United States is a Necessary Party to this Action" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and

Gresham Savage Nolan & Tilden, Professional Corporation 50 University Ave., Ste 250

RSIDE, CA 92501-3335

severally, each and every other allegation contained therein as to the other named Cross-Defendants.

- Action" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek admits the application of 43 U.S.C. § 666 (the "McCarran Amendment"); and, save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- Action" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek admits the application of the McCarran Amendment; and, save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein as to the other named Cross-Defendants.
- Action" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek admits the application of the McCarran Amendment; and, save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein as to the other named Cross-Defendants.

The History of the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin

18. Answering Paragraph 18 of "The History of the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on

2

3 |

4

file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to its denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek admits the allegations contained therein.

- 19. Answering Paragraph 19 of "The History of the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to its denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, admits that the Basin is located in an arid valley in the Mojave Desert, about fifty miles northeast of the City of Los Angeles; and, save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- Answering Paragraph 20 of "The History of the Antelope Valley Groundwater 20. Basin" portion of the preliminary allegations to the Cross-Complaint of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to its denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek admits that the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin is divided into a number of sub-basins, but lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations that the sub-basins are "sufficiently hydrologically connected to justify treating them as a single source of water for purposes of adjudicating the parties' water rights," and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein with respect to that specific allegation. Further answering said Paragraph 20, Sheep Creek is informed and believe and thereon alleges that some or all of said basins and sub-basins over which the Cross-Defendants' Properties and water production facilities are situated may be, to some presently unknown extent, separate and independent from some or all of the remaining groundwater basins and sub-basins from which Cross-Complainants produce water and in which Cross-Complainants store water. Further answering said Paragraph 20, Sheep Creek lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the physical locations, compositions, dimensions and hydrologic interconnections between and amongst the various sub-basins, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein with respect to that specific allegation that the sub-

28

basins are sufficiently hydrologically connected to justify treating them as a single source of water for purposes of adjudicating the parties' water rights. Further answering said Paragraph 20, Cross-Complainants have not alleged any facts from which Sheep Creek can ascertain the number and location of Cross-Complainants' production facilities, or the production capacities and histories of Cross-Complainants wells and other water production facilities, and must therefore deny that any present, historic or future production from Sheep Creek's facilities have, are, or will have, any adverse impacts on Cross-Complainants' abilities to meet their reasonable water requirements from their unidentified production facilities. Finally, Cross-Complainants have not alleged any facts from which Sheep Creek can ascertain the number, location, characteristics and/or capacity of the sub-basins in which Cross-Complainants allege they have stored imported and other water, as well as the amounts, quality and disposition of the water allegedly stored therein, and must therefore deny that any present, historic or future production from Sheep Creek's facilities have, are having, or will have, any adverse impacts on the waters allegedly stored by some or all of Cross-Complainants in said sub-basins. Answering Paragraph 21 of "The History of the Antelope Valley Groundwater 21.

- Basin" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to its denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek states that it lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein. Further answering said Paragraph 21, Sheep Creek states that the groundwater basin and/or sub-basins under the Cross-Defendants' Properties do not appear to have suffered a destroyed groundwater level equilibrium or a systematic long-term decline in groundwater levels and storage, but rather appear to experience seasonal water level fluctuations.
- 22. Answering Paragraph 22 of "The History of the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to its denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek admits that portion of the second sentence in said Paragraph commencing

25

26

27

with the word "urbanization" at line 24 through the word "water" at line 25, all on page 13; and, save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.

- 23. Answering Paragraph 23 of "The History of the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to its denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 24. Answering Paragraph 24 of "The History of the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to its denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek admits that land subsidence is the general sinking of the Earth's surface and that some of the harmful effects of land subsidence can include a loss of groundwater storage space, cracks and fissures in the Earth's surface and damage to real property; and, save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein. Further answering said Paragraph 24, Sheep Creek states that the groundwater basin and/or sub-basins under the Cross-Defendants' Properties appear to have suffered no chronic declines in groundwater levels, and said properties appear to have suffered no land subsidence.
- 25. Answering Paragraph 25 of "The History of the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to its denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek admits that declining groundwater levels, diminished groundwater storage and land subsidence can damage any groundwater basin; and, save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations

contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein. Further answering said Paragraph 24, Sheep Creek states that the groundwater basin and/or sub-basins under the Cross-Defendants' Properties appear to have suffered no declines in groundwater levels and no diminished groundwater storage, and the Cross-Defendants' Properties appear to have suffered no land subsidence.

Public Water Suppliers Supplement and Commingle Their Supplemental Supply of Water With Basin Water

- 26. Answering Paragraph 26 of the "Public Water Suppliers Supplement and Commingle Their Supplemental Supply of Water With Basin Water" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to its denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek admits that State Project water generally originates in Northern California; and save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 27. Answering Paragraph 27 of the "Public Water Suppliers Supplement and Commingle Their Supplemental Supply of Water With Basin Water" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to its denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 28. Answering Paragraph 28 of the "Public Water Suppliers Supplement and Commingle Their Supplemental Supply of Water With Basin Water" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to its denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek lacks

sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.

The Basin Has Been in a State of Over-Draft for Over Five Years

- 29. Answering Paragraph 29 of "The Basin Has Been in a State of Over-Draft for Over Five Years" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to its denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 30. Answering Paragraph 30 of "The Basin Has Been in a State of Over-Draft for Over Five Years" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to its denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek admits those portions of said Paragraph, as to Sheep Creek alone and no other, commencing with the word "cross-defendant" on line 21 through the word "water" on line 23, all on page 15; and, save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 31. Answering Paragraph 31 of "The Basin Has Been in a State of Over-Draft for Over Five Years" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to its denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek admits those portions of said Paragraph, as to Sheep Creek alone and no other, commencing with the word "claims" on line 26 through the word "water" on line 27, all on page 15; and, save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said

paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.

- 32. Answering Paragraph 32 of "The Basin Has Been in a State of Over-Draft for Over Five Years" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to its denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 33. Answering Paragraph 33 of "The Basin Has Been in a State of Over-Draft for Over Five Years" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to its denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.

There is a Dispute Among the Parties Regarding The Extent and Priority of Their Respective Water Rights

- 34. Answering Paragraph 34 of the "There is a Dispute Among the Parties Regarding the Extent and Priority of Their Respective Water Rights" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to its denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 35. Answering Paragraph 35 of the "There is a Dispute Among the Parties Regarding the Extent and Priority of Their Respective Water Rights" portion of the preliminary allegations

-19-

to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to its denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek admits those portions of said Paragraph, as to Sheep Creek alone and no other, commencing with the word "cross-defendants" on line 18 through the word "water" on line 19, all on page 16; and, save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.

- 36. Answering Paragraph 36 of the "There is a Dispute Among the Parties Regarding the Extent and Priority of Their Respective Water Rights" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to its denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek admits that public water suppliers generally have appropriative rights to produce water for the public they serve; and, save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 37. Answering Paragraph 37 of the "There is a Dispute Among the Parties Regarding the Extent and Priority of Their Respective Water Rights" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to its denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 38. Answering Paragraph 38, including subparagraphs A through E, of the "There is a Dispute Among the Parties Regarding the Extent and Priority of Their Respective Water Rights" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to its denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of

the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.

First Cause of Action

(Declaratory Relief – Prescriptive Rights – Against All Cross-Defendants Except the United States and Other Public Entity Cross-Defendants)

- 39. Answering Paragraph 39 of the First Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, Sheep Creek realleges and reincorporates its Preliminary Statements and its answers and allegations to Paragraphs 1 through 38, inclusive.
- 40. Answering Paragraph 40 of the First Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to its denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek admits those portions of said Paragraph commencing with the word "For" on line 7½ through the word "rights" on line 8½, all on page 18; and, save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 41. Answering Paragraph 41 of the First Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to its denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.
- 42. Answering Paragraph 42 of the First Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to its denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek admits the allegations contained therein.
- 43. Answering Paragraph 43 of the First Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to its denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek lacks sufficient knowledge or information to

form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.

Second Cause of Action

(Declaratory Relief - Appropriative Rights - Against All Cross-Defendants)

- 44. Answering Paragraph 44 of the Second Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to its denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek realleges and reincorporates its answers and allegations to Paragraphs 1 through 43, inclusive.
- 45. Answering Paragraph 45 of the Second Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to its denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek admits that public water suppliers generally have appropriative rights to produce water for the public they serve; and, save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 46. Answering Paragraph 46 of the Second Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to its denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein as an oversimplification of the law in California concerning appropriative rights.
- 47. Answering Paragraph 47 of the Second Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to its denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek generally admits those portions of said paragraph commencing with the word "safe" on line 11½ through the word "subsidence" on line 15½, all on page 19; and, save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that

135648 1

basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.

- 48. Answering Paragraph 48 of the Second Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to its denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek admits that all uses of water in California are subject to the "reasonable and beneficial" requirements of Article X, Section 2 of the *California Constitution* and the Reasonable Use Doctrine; and, save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.
- 49. Answering Paragraph 48 of the Second Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to its denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek admits the allegations contained in the first sentence thereof; and save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 50. Answering Paragraph 48 of the Second Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to its denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek admits the allegations contained therein.

Third Cause of Action

(Declaratory Relief - Physical Solution - Against All Cross-Defendants)

- 51. Answering Paragraph 51 of the Third Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, Sheep Creek realleges and reincorporates its answers and allegations to Paragraphs 1 through 50, inclusive.
- 52. Answering Paragraph 52 of the Third Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to its denials

in Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek admits those portions of said Paragraph commencing with the word "cross-defendants" on line 8½ through the word "pumping" on line 10½, all on page 20, as the allegations relates to Sheep Creek alone and to no others; and, save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.

- 53. Answering Paragraph 53 of the Third Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to its denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein, as the allegations relates to Sheep Creek alone and to no others. Further answering said Paragraph 53, Sheep Creek lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.
- 54. Answering Paragraph 54 of the Third Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to its denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek admits those portions of said Paragraph commencing with the word "California" on line 22½ through the word "capable" on line 27½, all on page 20, as the allegation relates to Sheep Creek alone and to no others, and to the extent that the mechanism of a physical solution has the potential for providing a practical solution if it is properly developed and implemented; and excepting therefore, Sheep Creek lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.
- 55. Answering Paragraph 55 of the Third Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to its denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, denies,

135648.1

generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein. Further answering said Paragraph 55, Sheep Creek admits that a physical solution may, but need not, contain the factors identified in said Paragraph 55.

Fourth Cause of Action

(Declaratory Relief - Municipal Priority - Against All Cross-Defendants)

- 56. Answering Paragraph 56 of the Fourth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, Sheep Creek realleges and reincorporates its answers and allegations to Paragraphs 1 through 55, inclusive.
- 57. Answering Paragraph 57 of the Fourth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to its denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek admits those portions of said Paragraph commencing with the word "It" on line 17½ through the citation to "*Water Code* § 106" on line 19½, all on page 21; and, save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.
- 58. Answering Paragraph 58 of the Fourth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to its denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek admits that the quote is a portion of "Water Code § 106.5"; denies that it is the entirety of said Section 106.5; and further denies that it is an accurate reflection of the will and actions of the California Legislature relative to the water rights of a public water supplier.
- 59. Answering Paragraph 59 of the Fourth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to its denials in Preliminary Statement B, above, Sheep Creek denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.
- 60. Answering Paragraph 60 of the Fourth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* of Cross-Complainants on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to its denials