Michael Duane Davis, State Bar No. 93678 1 Marlene Allen-Hammarlund, State Bar No. 126418 2 GRESHAM SAVAGE NOLAN & TILDEN, A Professional Corporation 3750 University Avenue, Suite 250 Riverside, CA 92501-3335 Telephone: (951) 684-2171 (951) 684-2150 Facsimile: 5 Attorneys for Cross-Defendant, 6 SHEEP CREEK WATER COMPANY, INC. 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA 8 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - CENTRAL DISTRICT 9 10 **Judicial Council Coordination** Coordination Proceeding 11 Proceeding No. 4408 Special Tile (Rule 1550(b)) 12 Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER Assigned to the Honorable Jack Komar 13 CASES REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE 14 IN SUPPORT OF SHEEP CREEK **Including Actions:** WATER COMPANY'S MOTION TO BE 15 **EXCLUDED FROM THE ANTELOPE** Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. VALLEY GROUNDWATER 40 v. Diamond Farming Co. 16 ADJUDICATION, OR, IN THE Superior Court of California, County of Los ALTERNATIVE, FOR RECOGNITION Angeles, Case No. BC 325 201 17 OF ITS PRIOR RIGHTS TO THE WATERS OF SHEEP CREEK Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 18 40 v. Diamond Farming Co. DATE: October 3, 2008 Superior Court of California, County of Kern, 19 TIME: 9:00 a.m. Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348 **DEPT: 17** 20 Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of [Filed concurrently with Notice of Motion, Lancaster 21 Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster Memorandum of Points and Authorities, Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist. Declarations of Michael Duane Davis, Chris 22 Cummings and Dr. Ram Arora, Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, consolidated actions, Case Nos. RIC Hydrogeologist. and Proposed Order] 23 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668 24 AND ALL RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS. 25 26 /// 27 28

REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF SHEEP CREEK WATER COMPANY'S MOTION TO BE EXCLUDED FROM THE ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER ADJUDICATION, OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR RECOGNITION OF ITS PRIOR RIGHTS TO THE WATERS OF SHEEP CREEK S030-008 = 252335.1

~ 11	COMMON TO THE CO		
4	1.	8/24/1926	Decision 5885 D 119 [certification letter attached]
5			Division of Water Rights, Department of Public Works, State of California
6			In the Matter of Application No. 5885 of the Sheep Creek Water Company To Appropriate from Surface and Sub-Surface Flow of Sheep Creek, Tributary
7			to the Mojave Desert Drainage Area in San Bernardino County for Irrigation and Domestic Purposes
8 9	2.	Undated	Complaint
		(Prior to	Los Angeles County Superior Court
10 11		5/11/1926)	Pacific Southwest Trust and Savings Bank v. Sheep Creek Water Co., Case No. 195605
12	3.	7/20/1926	Opinion on Demurrer
13			Riverside County Superior Court
14			Pacific Southwest Trust and Savings Bank v. Sheep Creek Water Company, Case No. 15583
15	4.	Undated	Sheep Creek Water Company's Answer
16			Riverside County Superior Court
17 18			Pacific Southwest Trust and Savings Bank v. Sheep Creek Water Company, Case No. 15583
19	5.	5/29/1929	Memorandum of Motion to Set Cause for Trial
			Riverside County Superior Court
20 21			Pacific Southwest Trust and Savings Bank v. Sheep Creek Water Company, Case No. 15583
22	6.	Undated	Court's Order of Temporary Injunction
23			Riverside County Superior Court
24			Pacific Southwest Trust and Savings Bank v. Sheep Creek Water Company, Case No. 15583
25			

-1-

26

27

28

2

1	7.	9/24/1931	Agreement of Parties In Connection With Stipulation for Judgment
2			Riverside County Superior Court
3			Pacific Southwest Trust and Savings Bank v. Sheep Creek Water Company, Case No. 15583
4	8.	Undated	Stipulation for Permanent Injunction
3		(9/24/1931)	Riverside County Superior Court
6 7			Pacific Southwest Trust and Savings Bank v. Sheep Creek Water Company, Case No. 15583
8	9.	1/27/1932	Judgment
9			Riverside County Superior Court
10			Pacific Southwest Trust and Savings Bank v. Sheep Creek Water Company, Case No. 15583
11		1	

The original certified copy will be available at the time of the hearing, however true and correct copies of the certified documents are attached hereto as Exhibits 1-9.

In this Request for Judicial Notice, Item No. 1 is admissible under Evidence Code section 452, subsection (c), which provides that judicial notice may be taken of, "[o]fficial acts of the legislative, executive, and judicial departments of the United States or of any state of the United States." Evidence Code § 452(c). Furthermore, California courts have upheld the right of a trial court to take judicial notice of records of a public agency under Evidence Code section 452. People v. Bartlett (1962) 199 Cal.App.2d 173, 177. Additionally, under Evidence Code section 1530(a), a purported copy of a writing in the custody of a public entity is prima facie evidence of the existence and content of the writing if the writing purports to be published by the authority of the public entity in which the writing is kept. Evidence Code § 1530(a)(1).

Item No. 1 above is a Decision by the Division of Water Rights, Department of Public Works to grant a permit to extract and divert water from Sheep Creek. The Division of Water rights obtains its power from the executive branch, and granting a Decision is an official act of the agency. Additionally, a certified copy of this Decision was obtained from the State Water Resources Quality Control Board and was thus published by the authority of the public entity in

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

which it is kept.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Item Nos. 2-9 are admissible under Evidence Code section 452, subsection (d), which provides that judicial notice may be taken of, "[r]ecords of (1) any court of this state or (2) any court of record of the United States or of any state of the United States." Evidence Code § 452(d). These documents comprise the court record for the case of Pacific Southwest Trust and Savings Bank, et al. v. Sheep Creek Water Company, Case No. 195605, Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, 1931, that was thereafter moved to Riverside County. Therefore, these documents are records of a court of this state.

Evidence Code Section 453 states that the trial court "shall take judicial notice" of matters listed under section 452 upon request and proper notice. Evidence Code § 453. All of the above requests for judicial notice are categorized as matters under section 452. Additionally, the adverse parties have been sufficiently notified of the request through service of this motion, and the Court has been provided with all the documents and information enabling it to take judicial notice. Therefore, the Court should take judicial notice of all nine requested exhibits.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Dated: September 9, 2008

GRESHAM SAVAGE NOLAN & TILDEN, A Professional Corporation

By:

Michael Duane Davis

Mariene L. Allen-Hammarlund

Attorneys for Cross-Defendant,

SHEEP CREEK WATER COMPANY, INC.

23

24

25

26

27

28