

ERIC L. GARNER, Bar No. 130665 1 JEFFREY V. DUNN, Bar No. 131926 2 MARC S. EHRLICH, Bar No. 198112 JILL N. WILLIS, Bar No. 200121 3 BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 5 Park Plaza, Suite 1500 4 Irvine, California 92614 Telephone: (949) 263-2600 5 Telecopier: (949) 260-0972 6 Attorneys for Cross-Complainant ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES 7 DISTRICT AND LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40 8 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 **COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES** 11 12 Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 1550(b)) 13 ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER 14 CASES 15 Included Actions: 16 Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co. Superior Court of California, County of 17 Los Angeles, Case No. BC 325 201 18 Los Angeles County Waterworks District 19 No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co. Superior Court of California, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348 20 21 Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster 22 Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water 23 Superior Court of California, County of 24 Riverside, consolidated actions, Case Nos. RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668 25 26 ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES 27 DISTRICT;

LOS ANGELES COUNTY

WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40;

28

EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES UNDER GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6103

Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408

CROSS-COMPLAINT OF MUNICIPAL PURVEYORS FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND ADJUDICATION OF WATER RIGHTS

1	PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT; CITY OF LANCASTER;
2	CITY OF PALMDALE, LITTLEROCK CREEK IRRIGATION
3	DISTRICT, PALM RANCH IRRIGATION
4	DISTRICT;
5	QUARTZ HILL DISTRICT; CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE
6	COMPANY,
7	Cross-Complainants,
8	V.
9	DIAMOND FARMING COMPANY; WM. BOLTHOUSE FARMS, INC.; BOLTHOUSE PROPERTIES LLC;
10	ABC WILLIAMS ENTERPRISES LP; ACEH CAPITAL LLC;
11	JACQUELINE ACKERMANN; CENON ADVINCULA:
12	OLIVA M. ADVINCULA; MASHALLAH AFSHAR;
13	ANTONIO U. AGUSTINES; AIRTRUST SINGAPORE PRIVATE
14	LIMITED; MARWAN M. ALDAIS;
15	ALLEN ALEVY; ALLEN ALEVY AND ALEVY FAMILY
16	TRUST; GEORGINE J. ARCHER;
17	GEORGINE J. ARCHER AS TRUSTEE FOR THE GEORGINE J. ARCHER
18	TRUST; A V MATERIALS, INC.;
19	GUSS A. BARKS, JR.; PETER G. BARKS;
20	ILDEFONSO S. BAYANI; NILDA V. BAYANI;
21	BIG WEST CORP; RANDALL Y. BLAYNEY;
22	MELODY S. BLOOM; BOLTHOUSE PROPERTIES, INC.;
23	DAVID L. BOWERS; RONALD E. BOWERS;
24	LEROY DANIEL BRONSTON; MARILYN BURGESS;
25	LAVERNE C. BURROUGHS; LAVERNE C. BURROUGHS, TRUSTEE
26	OF THE BURROUGHS FAMILY IRREVOCABLE TRUST DATED
27	AUGUST 1, 1995; BRUCE BURROWS;
28	JOHN & B. CALANDRI 2001 TRUST;

1	CALIFORNIA PORTLAND CEMENT
2	COMPANY;
2	CALMAT LAND CO.; MELINDA E. CAMERON;
3	CASTLE BUTTE DEV CORP;
	CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT
4	CORPORATION;
5	BONG S. CHANG;
3	JEANNA Y. CHANG; MOON S. CHANG;
6	JACOB CHETRIT;
	FRANK S. CHIODO;
7	LEE S. CHIOU;
8	M S CHUNG; CITY OF LOS ANGELES;
0	CAROL K. CLAYPOOL;
9	CLIFFORD N. CLAYPOOL;
	W. F. CLUNEN, JR.;
10	W. F. CLUNEN, JR. AS TRUSTEE FOR
11	THE P C REV INTER VIVOS TRUST;
11	CONSOLIDATED ROCK PRODUCTS CO.;
12	COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT NO.
	14 OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY;
13	COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT NO.
14	20 OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY;
14	RUTH A. CUMMING; RUTH A. CUMMING AS TRUSTEE OF
15	THE CUMMING FAMILY TRUST;
	CATHARINE M. DAVIS;
16	MILTON S. DAVIS;
17	DEL SUR RANCH LLC;
1,	DIAMOND FARMING COMPANY; SARKIS DJANIBEKYAN;
18	HONG DONG;
	YING X DONG;
19	DOROTHY DREIER;
20	GEORGE E. DREIER; EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE, CA;
20	MORTEZA M. FOROUGHI;
21	MORTEZA M. FOROUGHI AS
	TRUSTEE OF THE FOROUGHI
22	FAMILY TRUST;
23	LEWIS FREDRICHSEN; LEWIS FREDRICHSEN AS TRUSTEE
23	OF THE FRIEDRICHSEN FAMILY
24	TRUST;
	JOAN A. FUNK;
25	EUGENE GABRYCH;
26	MARIAN GABRYCH;
٠.0	AURORA P. GABUYA; RODRIGO L. GABUYA;
27	GGF LLC;
	GENUS LP;
28	BETTY GLUCKSTEIN;

1	JOSEPH H. GLUCKSTEIN;
_	FORREST G. GODDE;
2	FORREST G. GODDE AS TRUSTEE OF
2	THE FORREST G. GODDE TRUST;
3	LAWRENCE A. GODDE;
4	LAWRENCE A. GODDE AND GODDE
7	TRUST; MARIA B. GORRINDO;
5	MARIA B. GORRINDO, MARIA B. GORRINDO AS TRUSTEE
J	FOR THE M. GORRINDO TRUST;
6	WENDELL G. HANKS;
	ANDREAS HAUKE;
7	MARILYN HAUKE;
	HEALY ENTERPRISES, INC.;
8	WALTER E. HELMICK;
^	DONNA L. HIGELMIRÉ;
9	MICHAEL N. HIGELMIRE; DAVIS L. AND DIANA D. HINES
10	FAMILY TRUST;
10	HOOSHPACK DEV INC.;
11	CHI S. HUANG;
	SUCHU T. HUANG;
12	JOHN HUI;
	HYPERICUM INTERESTS LLC;
13	DARYUSH IRANINEZHAD;
1.4	MINOO IRANINEZHAD;
14	ESFANDIAR KADIVAR; ESFANDIAR KADIVAR AS TRUSTEE
15	OF THE KADIVAR FAMILY TRUST;
	A. DAVID KAGON;
16	A. DAVID KAGON AS TRUSTEE FOR
	THE KAGON TRUST;
17	JACK D. KAHLO;
18	CHENG LIN KANG; HERBERT KATZ;
10	HERBERT KATZ AS TRUSTEE FOR
19	THE KATZ FAMILY TRUST;
	MARIANNE KATZ;
20	LILIAN S. KAUFMAN;
	LILIAN S. KAUFMAN AS TRUSTEE
21	FOR THE. KAUFMAN FAMILY TRUST;
22	KAZUKO YOSHIMATSU;
22	BARBARA L. KEYS; BARBARA L. KEYS AS TRUSTEE OF
23	THE BARBARA L. KEYS FAMILY
	TRUST:
24	BILLY H. KIM;
	ILLY KING;
25	ILLY KING AS TRUSTEE OF THE ILLY
	KING FAMILY TRUST;
26	KOOTENAI PROPERTIES, INC.;
27	KUTU INVESTMENT CO.; GAILEN KYLE;
41	GAILEN KYLE; GAILEN KYLE AS TRUSTEE OF THE
28	KYLE TRUST;
-~	

1	JAMES W. KYLE;	_
	JAMES W. KYLE AS TRUSTEE OF THE	
2	KYLE FAMILY TRUST;	
	JULIA KYLE;	
3	WANDA E. KYLE;	
	FARES A. LAHOUD;	
4	EVA LAI;	
5	PAUL LAI;	
,	YING WAH;	
6	LAND BUSINESS CORPORATION; RICHARD E. LANDFIELD;	
J	RICHARD E. LANDFIELD,	1
7	TRUSTEE OF THE RICHARD E.	ı
	LANDFIELD TRUST;	ı
8	LAWRENCE CHARLES TRUST;	ı
	WILLIAM LEWIS;	ı
9	MARY LEWIS;	ı
10	PEI CHI LIN;	
10	MAN C. LO;	i
11	SHIUNG RÚ LO; LYMAN C. MILES;	I
• • •	LYMAN C. MILES AS TRUSTEE FOR	1
12	THE MILES FAMILY TRUST;	i
	MALLOY FAMILY PARTNERS LP:	I
13	MISSION BELL RANCH	ł
	DEVELOPMENT;	ŀ
14	BARRY S. MUNZ;	ł
15	KATHLEEN M. MUNZ;	l
13	TERRY A. MUNZ; M.R. NASIR;	l
16	SOUAD R. NASIR;	l
	EUGENE B. NEBEKER;	l
17	SIMIN C. NEMAN;	l
	HENRY NGO;	l
18	FRANK T. NGUYEN;	l
19	JUANITA R. NICHOLS;	١
19	OLIVER NICHOLS; OLIVER NICHOLS AS TRUSTEE OF	
20	THE NICHOLS FAMILY TRUST;	l
	OWL PROPERTIES, INC.:	l
21	PALMDALE HILLS PROPERTY LLC;	l
	NORMAN L. POULSEN;	
22	MARILYN J. PREWOZNIK;	
22	MARILYN J. PREWOZNIK AS	
23	TRUSTEE OF THE MARILYN J. PREWOZNIK TRUST;	
24	ELIAS QARMOUT;	
	VICTORIA RAHIMI;	
25	R AND M RANCH, INC.;	
	PATRICIA A. RECHT;	
26	VERONIKA REINELT;	
	REINELT ROSENLOECHER CORP.	
27	PSP;	
28	PATRICIA I. RIGGINS;	
ا ە∠	PATRICIA J. RIGGINS AS TRUSTEE OF	

1	THE RIGGINS FAMILY TRUST;
2	EDGAR C. RITTER;
2	PAULA E. RITTER; PAULA E. RITTER AS TRUSTEE OF
3	THE RITTER FAMILY TRUST;
	ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHBISHOP OF
4	LOS ANGELES;
_	ROMO LAKE LOS ANGELES
5	PARTNERSHIP;
6	ROSEMOUNT EQUITIES LLC SERIES; ROYAL INVESTORS GROUP;
•	ROYAL WESTERN PROPERTIES LLC;
7	OSCAR RUDNICK;
_	REBECCA RUDNICK;
8	SANTA MONICA MOUNTAINS
9	CONSERVANCY; MARYGRACE H. SANTORO;
	MARYGRACE H. SANTORO,
10	TRUSTEE FOR THE MARYGRACE H.
	SANTORO REV TRUST;
11	SAN YU ENTERPRISES, INC.;
12	DANIEL SAPARZADEH; HELEN STATHATOS;
12	SAVAS STATHATOS;
13	SAVAS STATHATOS AS TRUSTEE
	FOR THE STATHATOS FAMILY
14	TRUST;
15	SEVEN STAR UNITED LLC; MARK H. SHAFRON;
	ROBERT L. SHAFRON;
16	KAMRAM S. SHAKIB;
17	DONNA L. SIMPSON;
17	GARETH L. SIMPSON; GARETH L. SIMPSON AS TRUSTEE OF
18	THE SIMPSON FAMILY TRUST;
	SOARING VISTA PROPERTIES, INC.:
19	STATE OF CALIFORNIA;
20	GEORGE C. STEVENS, JŔ;. GEORGE C. STEVENS, JR. AS
20	TRUSTEE OF THE GEORGE C.
21	STEVENS, JR. TRUST;
	GEORGE L. STIMSON, JR.;
22	GEORGE L. STIMSON, JR. AS
23	TRUSTEE OF THE GEORGE L. STIMSON, JR. TRUST;
23	TEJON RANCHCORP;
24	MARK E. THOMPSON A P C PROFIT
6	SHARING PLAN;
25	TIERRA BONITA RANCH COMPANY;
26	TIONG D. TIU;
20	BEVERLY J. TOBIAS; BEVERLY J. TOBIAS AS TRUSTEE OF
27	THE TOBIAS FAMILY TRUST;
	JUNG N. TOM;
28	WILLIAM BOLTHOUSE FARMS, INC.;

1	WILMA D. TRUEBLOOD;
	WILMA D. TRUEBLOOD AS TRUSTEE
2	OF THE TRUEBLOOD FAMILY
	TRUST;
3	UNISON INVESTMENT CO., LLC;
	DELMAR D. VAN DAM;
4	GERTRUDE J. VAN DAM;
	KEITH E. WALES;
5	E C WHEELER LLC;
	ALEX WODCHIS;
6	ELIZABETH WONG;
	MARY WONG;
7	MIKE M. WU;
	MIKE M. WU AS TRUSTEE OF THE
8	WU FAMILY TRUST;
	STATE OF CALIFORNIA 50TH
9	DISTRICT AND AGRICULTURAL
	ASSOCIATION;
10	THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA;
	U.S. BORAX, INC.; and ROES 1 through
11	100,000 inclusive,

Cross-Defendants.

Cross-Complainants Rosamond Community Services District, Los Angeles County Water District No. 40, Palmdale Water District, City of Palmdale, City of Lancaster, Quartz Hill Water District, Little Rock Creek Irrigation District, and California Water Service Company, (collectively, the "Public Water Suppliers") allege:

INTRODUCTION

1. This cross-complaint seeks a judicial determination of rights to all water within the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin (the "Basin"). An adjudication is necessary to protect and conserve the limited water supply that is vital to the public health, safety and welfare of all persons and entities that depend upon water from the Public Water Suppliers. For these reasons, the Public Water Suppliers file this cross-complaint to promote the general public welfare in the Antelope Valley; protect the Public Water Suppliers' rights to pump groundwater and provide water to the public; protect the Antelope Valley from a loss of the public's water supply; prevent degradation of the quality of the public groundwater supply; stop land subsidence; and avoid higher water costs to the public.

CROSS-COMPLAINANTS

2. Rosamond Community Services District provides water to more than 3,500 residents of Kern County for domestic uses, fire protection, and irrigation. Rosamond has drilled and equipped wells to pump groundwater from the Basin. Rosamond has constructed, maintained and operated a public waterworks system to supply water to the public.

3. Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 is a public agency governed by the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors. District 40 has been lawfully organized to

perform numerous functions, including providing Basin groundwater to the public in a large

portion of the Antelope Valley. To this end, District 40 has constructed, maintained and operated

a public waterworks system to supply water to the public.

4. Palmdale Water District is an irrigation district organized and operating under Division 11 of the California Water Code. Palmdale Water District extracts groundwater from the Basin for delivery to customers.

5. Quartz Hill Water District is a county water district organized and operating under Division 12 of the California Water Code. Quartz Hill extracts groundwater from the Lancaster Sub-basin of the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin for delivery to customers.

6. The City of Palmdale is a municipal corporation in the County of Los Angeles.

The City of Palmdale receives water from the Basin.

7. The City of Lancaster is a municipal corporation located in the County of Los Angeles, and which produces and receives water for reasonable and beneficial uses, including overlying uses. The City of Lancaster further provides ministerial services to mutual water companies that produce groundwater from the Basin.

•		
INVINE, CALIFORNIA DEGLA		
INVINE,		

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 8. Littlerock Creek Irrigation District is a public agency which extracts groundwater from the Basin to serve customers within the Basin.
- 9. Palm Ranch Irrigation District is a public agency which extracts groundwater from the Basin to serve customers within the Basin.
- 10. California Water Service Company is a California corporation which extracts groundwater from the Basin to serve customers within the Basin.

CROSS-DEFENDANTS

11. The following persons and/or entities are the owners of, and/or are beneficial interest holders in real property within the geographic boundaries of the Basin. These persons and/or entitles claim overlying rights to extract water from the Basin, whether or not they have heretofore exercised such overlying rights: ABC Williams Enterprises LP, ACEH Capital, LLC, Jacqueline Ackermann, Cenon Advincula, Oliva M. Advincula, Mashallah Afshar, Antonio U. Agustines, Airtrust Singapore Private Limited, Marwan M. Aldais, Allen Alevy, Allen Alevy and Alevy Family Trust, Georgine J. Archer, Georgine J. Archer as Trustee for the Georgine J. Archer Trust, A V Materials, Inc., Guss A. Barks, Jr., Peter G. Barks, Ildefonso S. Bayani, Nilda V. Bayani, Big West Corp, Randall Y. Blayney, Melody S. Bloom, Bolthouse Properties, Inc., David L. Bowers, Ronald E. Bowers, Leroy Daniel Bronston, Marilyn Burgess, Laverne C. Burroughs, Laverne C. Burroughs, Trustee of the Burroughs Family Irrevocable Trust Dated August 1, 1995, Bruce Burrows, John and B. Calandri 2001 Trust, California Portland Cement Company, Calmat Land Co., Melinda E. Cameron, Castle Butte Dev Corp, Catellus Development Corporation, Bong S. Chang, Jeanna Y. Chang, Moon S. Chang, Jacob Chetrit, Frank S. Chiodo, Lee S. Chiou, M S Chung, City of Los Angeles, Carol K. Claypool, Clifford N. Claypool, W. F. Clunen, Jr., W. F. Clunen, Jr. as Trustee for the P C Rev Inter Vivos Trust, Consolidated Rock Products Co., County Sanitation District No. 14 of Los Angeles County, County Sanitation District No. 20 of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Los Angeles County, Ruth A. Cumming, Ruth A. Cumming as Trustee of the Cumming Family Trust, Catharine M. Davis, Milton S. Davis, Del Sur Ranch LLC, Diamond Farming Company, Sarkis Djanibekyan, Hong Dong, Ying X Dong, Dorothy Dreier, George E. Dreier, Morteza M. Foroughi, Morteza M. Foroughi as Trustee of the Foroughi Family Trust, Lewis Fredrichsen. Lewis Fredrichsen as Trustee of the Friedrichsen Family Trust, Joan A. Funk, Eugene Gabrych, Marian Gabrych, Aurora P. Gabuya, Rodrigo L. Gabuya, GGF LLC, Genus LP, Betty Gluckstein, Joseph H. Gluckstein, Forrest G. Godde, Forrest G. Godde as Trustee of the Forrest G. Godde Trust, Lawrence A. Godde, Lawrence A. Godde and Godde Trust, Maria B. Gorrindo, Maria B. Gorrindo as Trustee for the M. Gorrindo Trust, Wendell G. Hanks, Andreas Hauke, Marilyn Hauke, Healy Enterprises, Inc., Walter E. Helmick, Donna L. Higelmire, Michael N. Higelmire, Davis L. and Diana D. Hines Family Trust, Hooshpack Dev Inc., Chi S. Huang, Suchu T. Huang, John Hui, Hypericum Interests LLC, Daryush Iraninezhad, Minoo Iraninezhad, Esfandiar Kadivar, Esfandiar Kadivar as Trustee of the Kadivar Family Trust, A. David Kagon, A. David Kagon as Trustee for the Kagon Trust, Jack D. Kahlo, Cheng Lin Kang, Herbert Katz, Herbert Katz as Trustee for the Katz Family Trust, Marianne Katz, Lilian S. Kauffman, Lilian S. Kaufman as Trustee for the Kaufman Family Trust, Kazuko Yoshimatsu, Barbara L. Keys, Barbara L. Keys as Trustee of the Barbara L. Keys Family Trust, Billy H. Kim, Illy King, Illy King as Trustee of the Illy King Family Trust, Kootenai Properties, Inc., Kutu Investment Co., Gailen Kyle, Gailen Kyle as Trustee of the Kyle Trust, James W. Kyle, James W. Kyle as Trustee of the Kyle Family Trust, Julia Kyle, Wanda E. Kyle, Fares A. Lahoud, Eva Lai, Paul Lai, Ying Wah Lam, Land Business Corporation, Richard E. Landfield, Richard E. Landfield as Trustee of the Richard E. Landfield Trust, Lawrence Charles Trust, William Lewis, Mary Lewis, Pei Chi Lin, Man C. Lo, Shiung Ru Lo, Lyman C. Miles, Lyman C. Miles as Trustee for the Miles Family Trust, Malloy Family Partners LP, Mission Bell Ranch Development, Barry S. Munz, Kathleen M. Munz, Terry A. Munz, M.R. Nasir, Souad R. Nasir, Eugene B. Nebeker, Simin C. Neman, Henry Ngo, Frank T. Nguyen, Juanita R. Nichols, Oliver Nichols, Oliver Nichols as Trustee of the Nichols Family Trust, Owl Properties, Inc., Palmdale Hills Property LLC, Norman L. Poulsen, Marilyn J. Prewoznik, Marilyn J. Prewoznik as Trustee of the Marilyn J. Prewoznik

2 Reinelt Rosenloecher Corp. PSP, Patricia J. Riggins, Patricia J. Riggins as Trustee of the Riggins 3 Family Trust, Edgar C. Ritter, Paula E. Ritter, Paula E. Ritter as Trustee of the Ritter Family 4 Trust, Roman Catholic Archbishop of Los Angeles, Romo Lake Los Angeles Partnership, 5 Rosemount Equities LLC Series, Royal Investors Group, Royal Western Properties LLC, Oscar 6 Rudnick, Rebecca Rudnick, Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy, Marygrace H. Santoro, 7 Marygrace H. Santoro as Trustee for the Marygrace H. Santoro Rev Trust, San Yu Enterprises, 8 Inc., Daniel Saparzadeh, Helen Stathatos, Savas Stathatos, Savas Stathatos as Trustee for the 9 Stathatos Family Trust, Seven Star United LLC, Mark H. Shafron, Robert L. Shafron, Kamram S. Shakib, Donna L. Simpson, Gareth L. Simpson as Trustee of the Simpson 10 Family Trust, Soaring Vista Properties, Inc., State of California, George C. Stevens, Jr., George 11 12 C. Stevens, Jr. as Trustee of the George C. Stevens, Jr. Trust, George L. Stimson, Jr., George L. 13 Stimson, Jr. as Trustee of the George L. Stimson, Jr. Trust, Tejon Ranch, Mark E. Thompson A P 14 C Profit Sharing Plan, Tierra Bonita Ranch Company, Tiong D. Tiu, Beverly J. Tobias, Beverly J. 15 Tobias as Trustee of the Tobias Family Trust, Jung N. Tom, Wilma D. Trueblood, Wilma D. Trueblood as Trustee of the Trueblood Family Trust, Unison Investment Co., LLC, Delmar D. 16 17 Van Dam, Gertrude J. Van Dam, Keith E. Wales, E C Wheeler LLC, William Bolthouse Farms, Inc., Alex Wodchis, Elizabeth Wong, Mary Wong, Mike M. Wu, Mike M. Wu as Trustee of the 18 Wu Family Trust, State of California 50th District and Agricultural Association, and U.S. Borax, 19 20

Trust, Elias Qarmout, Victoria Rahimi, R and M Ranch, Inc., Patricia A. Recht, Veronika Reinelt,

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Inc.

1

12. The Public Water Suppliers are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that cross-defendant Roes 1 through 100,000 are the owners, lessees or other persons or entities holding or claiming to hold ownership or possessory interests in real property within the boundaries of the Basin; extract water from the Basin; claim some right, title or interest to water located within the Basin; or that they have or assert claims adverse to the Public Water Suppliers' rights and claims. The Public Water Suppliers are presently unaware of the true names and capacities of the Roe cross-defendants, and therefore sue those cross-defendants by fictitious

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

names. The Public Water Suppliers will seek leave to amend this cross-complaint to add names and capacities when they are ascertained.

THE UNITED STATES IS A NECESSARY PARTY TO THIS ACTION

- 13. This is an action to comprehensively adjudicate the rights of all claimants to the use of a source of water located entirely within California, i.e., the Basin, and for the ongoing administration of all such claimants' rights.
- 14. The Public Water Suppliers are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that the United States claims rights to the Basin water subject to adjudication in this action by virtue of owning real property overlying the Basin, including Edwards Air Force Base.
- 15. For the reasons expressed in this cross-complaint, the United States is a necessary party to this action pursuant to the McCarran Amendment, 43 U.S.C. § 666.
- 16. Under the McCarran Amendment, the United States, as a necessary party to this action, is deemed to have waived any right to plead that the laws of California are not applicable, or that the United States is not subject to such laws by virtue of its sovereignty.
- 17. Under the McCarran Amendment, the United States, as a necessary party to this action, is subject to the judgments, orders and decrees of this Court.

HISTORY OF THE ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN

18. For over a century, California courts have used the concept of a groundwater basin to resolve groundwater disputes. A groundwater basin is an alluvial aquifer with reasonably welldefined lateral and vertical boundaries.

19. The Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin is located in an arid valley in the Mojave Desert, about 50 miles northeast of the City of Los Angeles. The Basin encompasses about 940 square miles in both Los Angeles and Kern Counties, and is separated from the northern part of the Antelope Valley by faults and low-lying hills. The Basin is bounded on the south by the San Gabriel Mountains and on the northwest by the Tehachapi Mountains. The Basin generally includes the communities of Lancaster, Palmdale and Rosamond as well as Edwards Air Force Base.

- 20. Various investigators have studied the Antelope Valley and some have divided the Basin into "sub-basins." According to the Public Water Suppliers' information and belief, to the extent the Antelope Valley is composed of such "sub-basins," they are sufficiently hydrologically connected to justify treating them as a single source of water for purposes of adjudicating the parties' water rights.
- 21. Before public and private entities began pumping water from the Basin, its natural water recharge balanced with water discharged from the Basin. Its water levels generally remained in a state of long-term equilibrium. In approximately 1915, however, agricultural uses began to pump groundwater and since then, greatly increased agricultural pumping has upset the Basin's groundwater equilibrium causing a continuous decline in the Basin's groundwater storage.
- 22. Although private agricultural entities temporarily curtailed their pumping activities when groundwater levels were extremely low, agricultural pumping has increased overall during the past decade. During the same time, urbanization of the Antelope Valley has resulted in increased public demand for water.
- 23. Groundwater pumping in the Basin has never been subject to any limits. This lack of groundwater management caused the Basin to lose an estimated eight million acre feet of water

over the past eighty years.

24. Uncontrolled pumping caused repeated instances of land subsidence. It is the sinking of the Earth's surface due to subsurface movement of earth materials and is primarily caused by groundwater pumping. The Public Water Suppliers are informed and believe, and thereupon allege, that portions of the Basin have subsided as much as six feet because of chronically low groundwater levels caused by unlimited pumping. The harmful effects of land subsidence observed in the Basin include loss of groundwater storage space, cracks and fissures on the ground's surface, and damage to real property. Land subsidence problems continue and will continue because of unlimited pumping.

25. The declining groundwater levels, diminished groundwater storage, and land subsidence damage the Basin, injure the public welfare, and threaten communities that depend upon the Basin as a reliable source of water. These damaging effects will continue, and likely worsen until the court establishes a safe yield for the Basin and limits pumping to the safe yield.

PUBLIC WATER SUPPLIERS SUPPLEMENT AND COMMINGLE THEIR SUPPLEMENTAL SUPPLY OF WATER WITH BASIN WATER

26. Due to the shortage of water in the Basin, certain Public Water Suppliers purchase State Water Project water from the Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency. State Project water originates in northern California and would not reach the Basin absent the Public Water Suppliers purchases.

27. Public Water Suppliers purchase State Project water each year. They deliver the State Project water to their customers through waterworks systems. The Public Water Suppliers' customers use the State Project water for irrigation, domestic, municipal and industrial uses.

After the Public Water Suppliers' customers use the water, some of the imported State Project

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

> 22 23

21

24

25

27

26

28

water commingles with other percolating groundwater in the Basin. In this way, State Project water augments the natural supply of Basin water.

28. Public Water Suppliers depend on the Basin as their source of water. But for the Public Water Suppliers' substantial investment in State Project water, they would need to pump additional groundwater each year. By storing State Project water or other imported water in the Basin, Public Water Suppliers can recover the stored water during times of drought, water supply emergencies, or other water shortages to ensure a safe and reliable supply of water to the public.

THE BASIN HAS BEEN IN A STATE OF OVER-DRAFT FOR OVER FIVE YEARS

- 29. The Public Water Providers are informed and believe, and upon that basis allege, that the Basin is and has been in an overdraft condition for more than five (5) consecutive years before the filing of this cross-complaint. During these time periods, the total annual demand on the Basin has exceeded the supply of water from natural sources. Consequently, there is and has been a progressive and chronic decline in Basin water levels and the available natural supply is being and has been chronically depleted. Based on the present trends, demand on the Basin will continue to exceed supply. Until limited by order and judgment of the court, potable Basin water will be exhausted and land subsidence will continue.
- 30. Upon information and belief, the cross-defendants have, and continue to pump. appropriate and divert water from the natural supply of the Basin, and/or claim some interest in the Basin water. The Public Water Suppliers are informed and believe, and upon that basis allege, that cross-defendants' combined extraction of water exceeds the Basin's safe yield.
- 31. Upon information and belief, each cross-defendant claims a right to take water and threatens to increase its taking of water without regard to the Public Water Suppliers' rights. Cross-defendants' pumping reduces Basin water tables and contributes to the deficiency of the

32.

subsidence.

and benefit.

33. Cross-defendants' continued and increasing extraction of Basin water has and will deprive the Public Water Suppliers of their rights to provide water for the public health, welfare

in, and will result in a diminution, reduction and impairment of the Basin's water supply, and land

Cross-defendants' continued and increasing extraction of Basin water has resulted

THERE IS A DISPUTE AMONG THE PARTIES REGARDING THE EXTENT AND PRIORITY OF THEIR RESPECTIVE WATER RIGHTS

- 34. The Public Water Suppliers are informed and believe, and thereon allege, there are conflicting claims of rights to the Basin and/or its water.
- 35. The Public Water Suppliers are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that cross-defendants who own real property in the Basin claim an overlying right to pump Basin water. The overlying right is limited to the native safe yield of the Basin. The Public Water Suppliers allege that, because subsidence is occurring in the Basin, cross-defendants have been pumping, and continue to pump water in amounts greater than the Basin's safe yield.
- 36. The Public Water Suppliers are informed and believe, and thereon allege, they have appropriative and prescriptive rights to groundwater in the Antelope Valley Basin. The Public Water Suppliers are informed and believe, and thereon allege, they and/or their predecessors-in-interest, have pumped water from the Antelope Valley Basin for more than five years prior to the filing of this cross-complaint.

27

28

1 37. The Public Water Suppliers have pumped water from, and/or stored water in the 2 Antelope Valley Basin, by reasonable extraction means. They have used the Basin and/or its 3 water for reasonable and beneficial purposes; and they have done so under a claim of right in an actual, open, notorious, exclusive, continuous, uninterrupted, hostile, adverse use and/or manner 4 5 for a period of time of at least five years and before filing this cross-complaint. 6 7 38. To provide water to the public, the Public Water Suppliers have and claim the 8 following rights: 9 10 (A) The right to pump groundwater from the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin in an annual amount equal to the highest volume of groundwater extracted by each of the 11 12 Public Water Suppliers in any year preceding entry of judgment in this action; 13 14 **(B)** The right to pump or authorize others to extract from the Antelope Valley 15 Groundwater Basin an amount of water equal in quantity to that amount of water previously purchased by each of the Public Water Suppliers from the Antelope Valley-East Kern Water 16 17 Agency; and which has augmented the supply of water in the Basin in any year preceding entry of 18 judgment in this action. 19 20 (C) The right to pump or authorize others to extract from the Antelope Valley 21 Groundwater Basin an amount of water equal in quantity to that amount of water purchased in the 22 future by each of the Public Water Suppliers from the Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency 23 which augments the supply of water in the Basin; and 24 25 (D) The right to pump or authorize others to extract from the Antelope Valley

Basin an amount of water equal in quantity to that volume of water injected into the Basin or

placed within the Basin by each of the Public Water Suppliers or on behalf of any of them.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Declaratory Relief – Prescriptive Rights – Against All Cross-Defendants Except the United

States And Other Public Entity Cross-Defendants)

- 39. The Public Water Suppliers re-allege and incorporate by reference each and all of the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 40. For over fifty years, the California Supreme Court has recognized prescriptive water rights. The Public Water Suppliers allege that, for more than five years and before the date of this cross-complaint, they have pumped water from the Basin for reasonable and beneficial purposes, and done so under a claim of right in an actual, open, notorious, exclusive, continuous, hostile and adverse manner. The Public Water Suppliers further allege that each cross-defendant had actual and/or constructive notice of these activities, either of which is sufficient to establish the Public Water Suppliers' prescriptive rights.
- 41. Public Water Suppliers contend that each cross-defendant's rights to pump water from the Basin are subordinate to the Public Water Suppliers' prescriptive rights and to the general welfare of the citizens, inhabitants and customers within the Public Water Suppliers' respective service areas and/or jurisdictions.
- 42. An actual controversy has arisen between the Public Water Suppliers and cross-defendants, and each of them. Public Water Suppliers allege, on information and belief, that each cross-defendant disputes the Public Water Suppliers' contentions, as described in the immediately preceding paragraph.
- 43. Public Water Suppliers seek a judicial determination as to the correctness of their contentions and an *inter se* finding as to the priority and amount of water they and each cross-defendant are entitled to pump from the Basin.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Declaratory Relief - Appropriative Rights - Against All Cross-Dendants)

- 44. The Public Water Suppliers re-allege and incorporate by reference each and all of the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 45. Public Water Suppliers allege that, in addition or alternatively to their prescriptive rights, they have appropriative rights to pump water from the Basin.
 - 46. Appropriative rights attach to surplus water from the Basin.
- 47. Surplus water exists when the pumping from the Basin is less than the safe yield. It is the maximum quantity of water which can be withdrawn annually from a groundwater Basin under a given set of conditions without causing an undesirable result. "Undesirable results" generally refer to gradual lowering of the groundwater levels in the Basin, but also includes subsidence.
- 48. Persons and/or entities with overlying rights to water in the Basin are only entitled to make reasonable and beneficial use of the Basin's native safe yield.
- 49. An actual controversy has arisen between the Public Water Suppliers and crossdefendants, and each of them. The Public Water Suppliers allege, on information and belief, that all cross-defendants, and each of them, seek to prevent the Public Water Suppliers from pumping surplus water.
- 50. The Public Water Suppliers seek a judicial determination as to the Basin's safe yield, the quantity of surplus water available, if any, the correlative overlying rights of each crossdefendant to the safe yield and an inter se determination of the rights of persons an/or entities

İ

with overlying, appropriative and prescriptive rights to pump water from the Basin.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Declaratory Relief - Physical Solution - Against All Cross-defendants)

- 51. The Public Water Suppliers re-allege and incorporate by reference each and all of the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 52. Upon information and belief, the Public Water Suppliers allege that cross-defendants, and each of them, claim an interest or right to Basin water; and further claim they can increase their pumping without regard to the rights of the Public Water Suppliers. Unless restrained by order of the court, cross-defendants will continue to take increasing amounts of water from the Basin, causing great and irreparable damage and injury to the Public Water Suppliers and to the Basin. Money damages cannot compensate for the damage and injury to the Basin.
- 53. The amount of Basin water available to the Public Water Suppliers has been reduced because cross-defendants have extracted, and continue to extract increasingly large amounts of water from the Basin. Unless the court enjoins and restrains cross-defendants, and each of them, the aforementioned conditions will worsen. Consequently, the Basin's groundwater supply will be further depleted, thus reducing the amount of Basin water available to the public.
- 54. California law makes it the duty of the trial court to consider a "physical solution" to water rights disputes. A physical solution is a common-sense approach to resolving water rights litigation that seeks to satisfy the reasonable and beneficial needs of all parties through augmenting the water supply or other practical measures. The physical solution is a practical way of fulfilling the mandate of the California Constitution (Article X, section 2) that the water resources of the State be put to use to the fullest extent of which they are capable.

55. This court must determine, impose and retain continuing jurisdiction in order to enforce a physical solution upon the parties who pump water from the Basin, and thereby prevent irreparable injury to the Basin. Available solutions to the Basin problems may include, but are not limited to, the court appointment of a watermaster, and monetary and metering and assessments upon water extraction from the Basin. Such assessments would pay for the purchase, delivery of supplemental supply of water to the Basin.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(For Declaratory Relief - Municipal Priority - Against All Cross-Defendants)

- 56. The Public Water Suppliers re-allege and incorporate by reference each and all of the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 57. The Public Water Suppliers have rights to pump water from the Basin to meet existing public water needs, and also to take increased amounts of Basin water as necessary to meet future public needs. The Public Water Suppliers' rights to Basin water exist both as a result of the priority and extent of their appropriative and prescriptive rights, and as a matter of law and public policy of the State of California: "It is hereby declared to be the established policy of this State that the use of water for domestic purposes is the highest use of water and that the next highest use is for irrigation." (Water Code §106.)
- 58. Water Code Section 106.5 provides: "It is hereby declared to be the established policy of this State that the right of a municipality to acquire and hold rights to the use of water should be protected to the fullest extent necessary for existing and future uses. . . ."
- 59. Under *Water Code* sections 106 and 106.5, the Public Water Suppliers have a prior and paramount right to Basin water as against all non-municipal uses.

60. An actual controversy has arisen between the Public Water Suppliers and cross-defendants. The Public Water Suppliers allege, on information and belief, that cross-defendants dispute the contentions in Paragraphs 1 through 43, inclusive, of this cross-complaint. The Public Water Suppliers are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that the majority of the cross-defendants pump groundwater from the Basin for agricultural purposes.

61. The Public Water Suppliers seek a judicial determination as to the correctness of their contentions and to the amount of water the parties may pump from the Basin. The Public Water Suppliers also seek a declaration of their right to pump water from the Basin to meet their reasonable present and future needs, and that such rights are prior and paramount to the rights, if any, of cross-defendants to use Basin water for irrigation purposes.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Declaratory Relief - Storage Of Imported Water - Against All Cross-defendants)

- 62. The Public Water Suppliers re-allege and incorporate by reference each and all of the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 63. The Public Water Suppliers purchase and use water from the State Water Project. State Project water is not native to the Basin. Importing State Project water decreases the Public Water Suppliers' need to pump water from the Basin. The Public Water Suppliers' purchase and delivery of State Project water is the reason it has been brought to the Basin. The Public Water Suppliers pay a substantial annual cost to import State Project water; this amount is subject to periodic increases.
- 64. The Public Water Suppliers allege there is underground space available in the Basin for storing imported State Project water.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	1
14	
15	
16	
17	30000000
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	1000000
24	
25	
26	
27	١

65. As importers of State Project water, the Public Water Suppliers have the right to store imported State Project water underground in the Basin, and also have the sole right to pump or otherwise use such stored State Project water. The rights of cross-defendants, if any, are limited to the native supply of the Basin and to their own imported water. Cross-defendants' rights, if any, do not extend to water imported into the Basin by the Public Water Suppliers.

66. An actual controversy has arisen between the Public Water Suppliers and cross-defendants. The Public Water Suppliers allege, on information and belief, that cross-defendants dispute their contentions in Paragraphs 1 through 39, of this cross-complaint.

67. The Public Water Suppliers seek a judicial determination as to the correctness of their contentions that they may store imported State Project water in the Basin, recapture such imported State Project water, and that they have the sole right to pump or otherwise use such imported State Project water.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Declaratory Relief - Recapture Of Return Flows

From Imported Water Stored in The Basin – Against All Cross-defendants)

- 68. The Public Water Suppliers re-allege and incorporate by reference each and all of the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 69. Some of the State Project water typically returns and/or enters the Basin, and will continue to do so. This water is commonly known as "return flows." These return flows further augment the Basin's water supply.
- 70. The Public Water Suppliers allege there is underground space available in the Basin to store return flows from imported State Project water.

3	1
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	1
9	Charles American
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	Charles and Charles
17	The state of the state of
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

28

1

2

71. The Public Water Suppliers have the sole right to recapture return flows attributable to their State Project water, or such water imported on their behalf. The rights of cross-defendants, if any, are limited to the Basin's native supply and/or to their imported water, and do not extend to groundwater attributable to the Public Water Suppliers' return flows.

- 72. An actual controversy has arisen between the Public Water Suppliers and cross-defendants. The Public Water Suppliers allege, on information and belief, that cross-defendants dispute their contentions in Paragraphs 1 through 43 of this cross-complaint.
- 73. The Public Water Suppliers seek a judicial determination as to the correctness of their contentions, and that they have the sole right to recapture return flows in the Basin, both at present and in the future.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Unreasonable Use Of Water - Against All Cross-Defendants Except Public Entity Cross-Defendants)

- 74. The Public Water Suppliers re-allege and incorporate by reference each and all of the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 75. The California Constitution (Article X, Section 2) provides the cardinal principle of California water law, superior to any water rights priorities and requires that water use not be unreasonable or wasteful. The reasonable use of water depends on the facts and circumstances of each case; what may be reasonable in areas of abundant water may be unreasonable in an area of scarcity; and, what is a beneficial use at one time may become a waste of water at a later time.
- 76. The Public Water Suppliers are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that some cross-defendants' use of water is unreasonable in the arid Antelope Valley and therefore

constitutes waste, unreasonable use or an unreasonable method of diversion or use within the meaning of the California Constitution (Article X, section 2). Such uses are thereby unlawful.

- 77. An actual controversy has arisen between the Public Water Suppliers and cross-defendants. The Public Water Suppliers allege, on information and belief, that the cross-defendants dispute their contentions in Paragraphs 1 through 43 of this Cross-Complaint.
- 78. The Public Water Suppliers seek a judicial declaration that cross-defendants have no right to any unreasonable use, unreasonable methods of use, or waste of water. Cross-defendants' rights, if any, must be determined *inter se* based on the reasonable use of water in the Antelope Valley rather than upon the amount of water actually used.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Declaratory Relief Re Boundaries Of Basin)

- 91. The Public Water Suppliers re-allege and incorporate by reference each and all of the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 92. An actual controversy has arisen between the Public Water Suppliers and cross-defendants, and each of them, regarding the actual physical dimensions and description of the Basin for purposes of determining the parties rights to water located therein. The Public Water Suppliers allege, on information and belief, that cross-defendants dispute the Public Water Suppliers' contentions, as set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 38, inclusive, of this cross-complaint.
- 93. The Public Water Suppliers seek a judicial determination as to the correctness of their contentions and an *inter se* finding as to the actual physical dimensions and description of the Basin.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Public Water Suppliers pray for judgment as follows:

- Judicial declarations consistent with the Public Water Suppliers' contentions in the 1. First, Second, Third, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Seventh and Eighth Causes of Action in this crosscomplaint;
- For preliminary and permanent injunctions which prohibit cross-defendants, and 2. each of them, from taking, wasting or failing to conserve water from the Basin in any manner which interferes with the rights of the Public Water Suppliers to take water from or store water in the Basin to meet their reasonable present and future needs;
 - 3. For prejudgment interest as permitted by law;
- 4. For attorney, appraisal and expert witness fees and costs incurred in this action; and
 - 5. Such other relief as the court deems just and proper.

Dated: January 18, 2006

BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP

By

S. EHRLICH

JILLVN. WILLIS

Attorneys for Cross-Complainants ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES

DISTRICT, ET AL.

LAW OFFICES OF BESTBESTE KRIEGER LLP 5 PARK PLAZA SUITE I SOO IRWNE, CALIFORNIA B261 4

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Kerry V. Keefe, declare:

I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is Best & Krieger LLP, 5 Park Plaza, Suite 1500, Irvine, California 92614. On January 19, 2006, I served the within document(s):

CROSS-COMPLAINT OF MUNICIPAL PURVEYORS FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND ADJUDICATION OF WATER RIGHTS

- by posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Court website in regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater matter (on January 18, 2006.)
- by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Irvine, California addressed as set forth and indicated with an asterisk (*) below.
- by causing personal delivery by ASAP Corporate Services of the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
- by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
- I caused such envelope to be delivered via overnight delivery addressed as indicated on the attached service list. Such envelope was deposited for delivery by Federal Express following the firm's ordinary business practices.

(SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST)

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on January 19, 2006, at Irvine, California.

Kerry W. Reefe

ORANGE\KKEEFE\23253.1

SERVICE LIST 2 Bob H. Joyce, Esq. Attorneys for Diamond Farming LAW OFFICES OF LEBEAU THELEN, LLP Company (661) 325-1127-Facsimile 3 5001 East Commercenter Drive, Ste. 300 Post Office Box 12092 Bakersfield, CA 93389-2092 4 Douglas J. Evertz, Esq. Attorneys for City of Lancaster 5 STRADLING, YOCCA, CARLSON & RAUTH (949) 725-4100-Facsimile 660 Newport Center Drive, Suite 1600 6 Newport Beach, CA 92660-6522 7 James L. Markman, Esq. Attorneys for City of Palmdale RICHARDS WATSON & GERSHON (714) 990-6230-Facsimile 8 Post Office Box 1059 Brea, CA 92822-1059 9 Steve R. Orr, Esq. Attorneys for City of Palmdale Bruce G. McCarthy, Esq. (213) 626-0078-Facsimile 10 RICHARDS WATSON & GERSHON 355 South Grand Avenue, 40th Floor 11 LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE 1500 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92614 Los Angeles, CA 90071-3101 12 Michael Fife, Esq. Attorneys for Eugene B. Nebeker on HATCH AND PARENT behalf of Nebeker Ranch, Inc., Bob Jones 13 21 East Carrillo Street on behalf of R&M Ranch, Inc., Forrest G. Godde and Steve Godde, Gailen Kyle 14 Santa Barbara, CA 93101-2782 on behalf of Kyle & Kyle Ranch, Inc. and John Calandri on behalf of 15 Calandri/Sonrise Farms, collectively known as the Antelope Valley Ground 16 Water Agreement Association 17 ("AGWA") (805) 965-4333-Facsimile 18 19 Richard Zimmer, Esq. Attorneys for Bolthouse Properties, Inc. CLIFFORD & BROWN (661) 322-3508-Facsimile 20 1430 Truxtun Avenue, Suite 900 Bakersfield, CA 93301 21 Julie A. Conboy, Esq. Attorneys for Department of Water and 22 Department of Water and Power 111 North Hope Street (213) 241-1416-Facsimile 23 Post Office Box 111 Los Angeles, CA 90012 24 Janet Goldsmith, Esq. 25 Attorneys for City of Los Angeles Kronick, Moskowitz, Tiedemann & Girard (916) 321-4555-Facsimile 400 Capitol Mall, 27th Floor 26 Sacramento, CA 95814-4417 27 28 ORANGE\KKEEFE\23253.1 - 2 -

PROOF OF SERVICE

1

ψ			
	1 2	Wayne K. Lemieux, Esq. Lemieux & O'Neill 2393 Townsgate Road, Suite 201 Westlake Village, California 91361	Attorneys for Littlerock Creek Irrigation District and Palm Ranch Irrigation District (805) 495-2787-Facsimile
	3 4 5	Thomas Bunn, Esq. LAGERLOF, SENECAL, BRADLEY, GOSNEY & KRUSE 301 North Lake Avenue, 10 th Floor Pasadena, CA 91101-4108	Attorneys for Palmdale Water District and Quartz Hill Water District (626) 793-5900-Facsimile
	6 7 8	Henry Weinstock, Esq. NOSSAMAN, GUTHNER, KNOX, ELLIOTT LLP 445 South Figueroa Street, 31st Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071	Attorneys for Tejon Ranch (213) 612-7801-Facsimile
. O w	9 10 11	Wm. Matthew Ditzhazy, Esq. City Attorney CITY OF PALMDALE Legal Department 38300 North Sierra Highway	Attorneys for City of Palmdale (805) 267-5178-Facsimile
LAW OFFICES OF BEST & KREGER LLP 5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE 1500 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92614	12 13	Palmdale, CA 93550 John Tootle, Esq. CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE COMPANY 2632 West 237 th Street	Attorneys for California Water Service Company (310) 325-4605-Facsimile
BEST BE 5 PARK F IRVINE, C	14 15 16	Torrance, CA 90505 Presiding Judge of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles County Courthouse	* *
	17 18 19	111 North Hill Street Los Angeles, CA 90012-3014 Chair, Judicial Council of California Administrative Office of the Courts	*
÷	20	Attn: Appellate & Trial Court Judicial Services (Civil Case Coordination) 455 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, California 94102-3688	
	22 23 24	Christopher M. Sanders, Esq. Ellison Schneider & Harris 2015 H Street Sacramento, California 95814-3109	Attorneys for County Sanitation District No. 14 of Los Angeles County, and County Sanitation District No. 20 of Los Angeles County
	25 26	Loretta Slaton, Esq.	(916) 447-2166 (916) 447-3512-Facsimile Attorneys for Air Trust Singapore
	27 28	Law Office of Loretta Slaton 2294 Via Puerta, Suite O Laguna Hills, CA 92653	Limited (949) 587-2832 (949) 855-1959-Facsimile *
		ORANGE\KKEEFE\23253.1 - 3 -	

PROOF OF SERVICE

	0.00	7 - E		
	X	(C)		(
8				6.2.6.50
	1	Robert Schachter, Esq.		Attorneys for Gus A. Barks, Jr. and Peter
	2	Hitchcock Bowman & Schachter 21515 Hawthorne Blvd., Suite 1030		G. Barks (310) 540-2202
	3	Torrance, CA 90503-6579		(310) 540-8734 Facsimile
		Mark E. Thompson, A.P.C.		Attorneys for Barbara J. Calandri and
	4	Attorney At Law 857 W. Lancaster Blvd.		Barbara J. Calandri Trust (661) 945-5868
	5	Lancaster, CA 93534-2348		(661) 723-7089 Facsimile
	6	Barry R. Gore, Esq.		Attorneys for Ruth Cumming and Ruth
	7	Clarkson, Gore & Marsella 3424 Carson St., Suite 350		A. Cumming as Trustee for the Cumming Family Trust
	8	Torrance, CA 90503		(310) 542-0111
				(310) 214-7254 Facsimile
	9	David McDonnell, Esq. Attorney At Law		Attorneys for Chi S. Haung and Suchu T. Haung
	10	27405 Puerta Real, Suite 360		(949) 305-7614
* O 4	11	Mission Viejo, CA 92630		(949) 305-7670 Facsimile *
F ULF 150	12	Stuart I. Schneider, Esq. Law Office of Stuart I. Schneider		Attorneys for Lillian Kauffman, and the Kauffman Family Trust
RIEGE SUITE		250 N. Westlake Blvd., Suite 240		(805) 777-1179 °
OFFIC AZA LIFOR	13	Thousand Oaks, CA 91362		(805) 777-1725 Facsimile
LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KREGER 1 5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE 11 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 926	14	Wendell Hanks 12702 Groveside Avenue		On behalf of Wendell Hanks, William Lewis, Mary Lewis (erroneously listed as
8 5 E	15	La Mirada, CA 90638		Lyman Miles, Doe Defendant #111 and
	16			Doe Defendant #112) (562) 943-2721
	17	Manuel Rivas, Jr., Esq.		* Attorneys for Elias Qarmout
		Law Offices of Friedland Farling & Hecht		(408) 297-5300
	18	95 S. Market St., #640 San Jose, CA 95113		(408) 297-0900 Facsimile
	19	Marvin G. Burns, Esq.		Attorneys for George C. Stevens, Jr., and
	20	Marvin G. Burns, a Law Corporation		George C. Stevens, Jr. Trust
	21	9107 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 800 Beverly Hills, CA 90210-5533		(310) 278-6500 (310) 203-9608 Facsimile
	22	William M. Sloan, Esq.		Attorneys for US Borax, Inc.
	İ	Edward Washburn, Esq.		(415) 268-7000
	23	Morrison & Forester, LLP 425 Market Street		(415) 268-7522 Facsimile
	24	San Francisco, CA 94105-2482		
	25			
	26			
	27			
	28	280		
	20	ORANGE\KKEEFE\23253.1	- 4 -	
	ŀ		•	

PROOF OF SERVICE

1 2	Mark J. Hattam, Esq. Allen Matkins 501 West Broadway, 15 th Floor	Attorneys for Del Sur Ranch LLC (619) 233-1155
3	San Diego, CA 92101-3547	(619) 233-1158-Facsimile *
4	Elliot Luchs, Esq. 6377 Riverside Avenue, Ste. 200	Attorneys for Genus LP (951) 274-2484
5	Riverside, CA 92506	(951) 786-3604-Facsimile
6	Robert P. Allenby, Esq. Sullivan, Hill, Lewin, Rez & Engel	Attorneys for Jung N. Tom and Sheng Tom (619) 233-4100
7	550 West C Street, Ste. 1500 San Diego, CA 92101-3540	(619) 231-4372-Facsimile
8	R. Lee Leininger, Esq.	Attorneys for the United States Department of
9	U.S. Department of Justice Environment and Natural Resources Division	Justice (303) 312-7300
10	999 18 th Street, Ste. 945 North Tower Denver, CO 80202	(303) 312-7331-Facsimile
11	Dale Murad, Esq.	Attorneys for U.S. Department of the Air Force
12	AFLSA/JACE 1501 Wilson Blvd., Ste. 629	- Edwards Air Force Base (703) 696-9166
13	Arlington, VA 22209-2403	(703) 696-9184-Facsimile
14	Craig A. Parton, Esq. Price, Postel & Parma LLP	Attorneys for Mark Santoro, Marygrace H. Santoro, The Marygrace H. Santoro Revocable
15	200 East Carrillo St., Ste. 400 Santa Barbara, CA 93101-2190	Trust, Esfandiar Kadivar, Esfandiar Kadivar, as Trustee of the Kadivar Family Trust, Terry
16	,	Munz, Barry Munz, Kathleen Munz, The Arnold R. and Reva R. Munz Family Trust,
17		Morteza Foroughi, Morteza Foroughi, as Trustee of the Foroughi Family Trust, and
18		Sharon Santoro Anderson (805) 962-0011
19		(805) 965-3978-Facsimile
20	Marlene L. Allen-Hammarlund, Esq. Gresham, Savage, Nolan & Tilden, APC	Attorneys for Service Rock Products Corporation ("Owl Properties, Inc."); Richard
21	3750 University Avenue, Ste. 250 Riverside, CA 92501-3335	Landfield (951) 684-2171
22	,	(951) 684-2150-Facsimile
23	Charles M. Stringer, Esq. 5700 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 330	Attorneys for Western Development (323) 936-9303
24	Los Angeles, CA 90036	(323) 930-9114-Facsimile
25	Allan J. Graf, Esq. Carlsmith Ball LLP	Attorneys for Roman Catholic Archdiocese of Los Angeles
26	444 So. Flower Street, 9 th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071-2901	(213) 955-1200
27	200 1 digolog, OA 700/1-2701	(213) 623-0032-Facsimile
28		

LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KREGER LLP 5 PARK PLAZA, SUTE 1500 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92614

ORANGE\KKEEFE\23253.1

		L_{cor}	1- N
	4.		
×	1		
of GER LLP TE 1500 192614		Karl H. Knickmeyer, Esq.	Attorneys for ABC Williams, LP
	2	Law Office of Karl H. Knickmeyer 12011 San Vicente Blvd., Ste. 600	(310) 471-9970 (310) 741-0482-Facsimile
	3	Los Angeles, CA 90049	*
	4	Dennis G. Merenbach, Esq. Attorney at Law	Attorney for Melinda E. Cameron and Keith E. Wales
	5	225 East Carrillo Street, Ste. 202 Santa Barbara, CA 93101-2185	(805) 963-4484
	6	·	(805) 966-7548-Facsimile
	7	Edward J. Casey, Esq. Weston Benshoof Rochefort Rubalcava	Attorneys for Palmdale Hills Property LLC *
	8	MacCuish LLP 333 So. Hope Street, 16 th Floor	
	9	Los Angeles, CA 90071	
	10	Scott K. Kuney, Esq. The Law offices of Young Wooldridge, LLP 1800 30 th Street, Fourth Floor	Attorneys for Gertrude J. Van Dam and Delmar D. Van Dam (661) 327-9661
	11	Bakersfield, CA 93301-5298	(661) 327-1087-Facsimile
	12	Marlene L. Allen-Hammarlund, Esq.	Attorneys for Healy Enterprises, Inc.
LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KRIEGER L 5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE 15 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 926	13	Gresham Savage Nolan & Tilden 3750 University Ave., Suite 250 Riverside, CA 92501-3335	(951) 684-2171 (951) 684-2150-Facsimile
T BES	14	Andrew D. Stein, Esq.	Attorneys for Randall Blayney
BES 5 PA IRVIN	15	Blanchard Stein & Stein 424 Bamboo Lane	(213) 687-4004
	16	Los Angeles, CA 90012	(213) 687-4007-Facsimile
	17	Heather A. McConnell, Esq. 1346 S. Sierra Bonita Ave.	Attorney for Michael Higelmire and Donna Higelmire
	18	Los Angeles, CA 90019	(323) 938-1833 (213) 910-8920-Facsimile
	19		(213) 710-3720-1 acsimic *
	20	A. David Kagon, Esq. (Ret.) 3099 Sumac Ridge Road	Representing A. David Kagon
	21	Malibu, CA 90265	(310) 456-2651 (310) 456-3751-Facsimile
	22		T and the second
	23		ja
	24		
	25		
	26		
	27		
	28		

ORANGE\KKEEFE\23253.1

	2	,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Michael L. Crow, Esq. Deputy Attorney General State of California – Dept. of Justice 1300 I Street, Ste. 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 James J. Waldorf, Esq. Irsfeld, Irsfeld & Younger LLP 100 West Broadway, Ste. 900 Glendale, CA 91210-1296 Denis M. O'Rourke, Esq. O'Rourke & Fong L.L.P.	Attorneys for the State of California; Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy; and the 50 th District Agricultural Association (916) 327-7856 (916) 327-2319-Facsimile Attorneys for Melinda Gillman on behalf of Roland N. Grubb (818) 242-6859 (818) 240-7728-Facsimile Attorneys for GGF, LLC (818) 247-4303
9	100 West Broadway, Ste. 1250 Glendale, CA 91210	(818) 247-1451-Facsimile
10	Daniel M. Hattis, Esq. Law Offices of Angelo Salvatore Parise	Attorneys for the Burroughs Family Irrevocable Trust
11	16870 West Bernardo Drive, Ste. 400 San Diego, CA 92127	(858) 674-6660 (858) 674-6661-Facsmile
12	Jan 210g0, 611 72127	(656) 674-0001-Pacsimic
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
27		
28		
	ORANGE\KKEEFE\23253.1	-7-

PROOF OF SERVICE

LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KRIEGER 1.LP 5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE 1500 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92614