1 Michael Duane Davis, SBN 093678 Marlene Allen-Hammarlund, SBN 126418 GRESHAM SAVAGE NOLAN & 2 TILDEN, A Professional Corporation 3750 University Avenue, Suite 250 3 Riverside, CA 92501-3335 (951) 684-2171 4 Telephone: Facsimile: (951) 684-2150 5 Attorneys for Cross-Defendant Saint Andrew's Abbey, Inc., named herein as ROE 623 6 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 9 10 11 Coordination Proceeding Judicial Council Coordination Special Title (Rule 1550(b)) Proceeding No. 4408 12 ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 13 CASES Assigned to the Honorable Jack Komar Department 17 14 Including Actions: ANSWER OF CROSS-DEFENDANT 15 Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 SAINT ANDREW'S ABBEY, INC., v. Diamond Farming Co. NAMED HEREIN AS ROE 623 16 Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 325 201 17 Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 18 v. Diamond Farming Co. Superior Court of California, County of Kern. 19 Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348 20 Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist. 21 Superior Court of California, County of 22 Riverside, consolidated actions, Case Nos. RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668 23 24 ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT; LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS 25 DISTRICT NO. 40: 26 PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT; CITY OF LANCASTER; CITY OF PALMDALE; LITTLEROCK CREEK IRRIGATION 27 DISTRICT; PALM RANCH IRRIGATION 28

-1-

```
DISTRICT:
1
   QUARTZ HILL DISTRICT; and
   CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE COMPANY,
2
         Cross-Complainants,
3
4
   VS.
   DIAMOND FARMING COMPANY;
5
   WM. BOLTHOUSE FARMS, INC.;
   BOLTHOUSE PROPERTIES LLC; ABC
6
    WILLIAMS ENTERPRISES LP;
   ACEH CAPITAL LLC;
   JACQUELINE ACKERMANN;
   CENON ADVINCULA;
8
   OLIVA M. ADVINCULA;
   MASHALLAH AFSHAR;
   ANTONIO U. AGUSTINES;
   AIRTRUST SINGAPORE PRIVATE LIMITED;
10
   MARWAN M. ALDAIS;
    ALLEN ALEVY;
11
    ALLEN ALEVY AND ALEVY FAMILY
12
    TRUST;
    GEORGINE J. ARCHER;
    GEORGINE J. ARCHER AS TRUSTEE FOR
13
    THE GEORGINE J. ARCHER TRUST;
    A V MATERIALS, INC.;
    GUSS A. BARKS, JR.;
15
    PETER G. BARKS;
    ILDEFONSO S. BAYANI;
    NILDA V. BAYANI;
16
    BIG WEST CORP.;
    RANDALL Y. BLAYNEY;
17
    MELODY S. BLOOM;
    BOLTHOUSE PROPERTIES, INC.;
18
    DAVID L. BOWERS;
    RONALD E. BOWERS;
19
    LEROY DANIEL BRONSTON;
    MARILYN BURGESS;
20
    LAVERNE C. BURROUGHS;
    LAVERNE C. BURROUGHS, TRUSTEE OF
21
    THE BURROUGHS FAMILY IRREVOCABLE
    TRUST DATED AUGUST 1, 1995; BRUCE
22
    BURROWS;
    JOHN & B. CALANDRI 2001 TRUST;
23
    CALIFORNIA PORTLAND CEMENT
    COMPANY;
24
    CALMAT LAND CO.;
    MELINDA E. CAMERON;
25
    CASTLE BUTTE DEV CORP.;
    CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT
26
    CORPORATION;
    BONG S. CHANG;
27
    JEANNA Y. CHANG;
    MOON S. CHANG;
```

-2-

```
JACOB CHETRIT;
1
   FRANK S. CHIODO;
   LEE S. CHIOU;
2
   M S CHUNG;
   CITY OF LOS ANGELES;
31
   CAROL K. CLAYPOOL;
   CLIFFORD N. CLAYPOOL;
41
   W. F. CLUNEN, JR.;
   W. F. CLUNEN, JR., AS TRUSTEE FOR THE
5
   P C REV INTER VIVOS TRUST;
   CONSOLIDATED ROCK PRODUCTS CO.;
6
   COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT NO. 14
   OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY;
   COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT NO. 20
   OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY;
8
   RUTH A. CUMMING;
   RUTH A. CUMMING, AS TRUSTEE OF THE
    CUMMING FAMILY TRUST;
10
   CATHARINE M. DAVIS;
   MILTON S. DAVIS;
   DEL SUR RANCH LLC;
11
    DIAMOND FARMING COMPANY;
    SARKIS DJANIBEKYAN;
12
    HONG DONG;
13
    YING X. DONG
    DOROTHY DREIER;
    GEORGE E. DREIER;
141
    EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE, CA,
    MORTEZA M. FOROUGHI;
15
    MORTEZA M. FOROUGHI, AS TRUSTEE OF
    THE FOROUGHI FAMILY TRUST;
16
    LEWIS FREDRICHSEN;
    LEWIS FREDRICHSEN, AS TRUSTEE OF
17
    THE FREDRICHSEN FAMILY TRUST;
    JOAN A. FUNK;
18
    EUGENE GABRYCH;
    MARIAN GABRYCH;
19
    AURORA P. GABUYA;
20
    RODRIGO L. GABUYA;
    GGF LLC:
21
    GENUS LP:
    BETTY GLUCKSTEIN;
    JOSEPH H. GLUCKSTEIN;
22 l
    FORREST G. GODDE;
    FORREST G. GODDE, AS TRUSTEE OF THE
23
    FORREST G. GODDE TRUST;
24
    LAWRENCE A. GODDE;
    LAWRENCE A. GODDE AND GODDE
    TRUST;
25
    MARIA B. GORRINDO;
    MARIA B. GORRINDO, AS TRUSTEE FOR
26
    THE M. GORRINDO TRUST;
    WENDELL G. HANKS;
27
    ANDREAS HAUKE;
    MARILYN HAUKE
28
```

-3-

```
HEALY ENTERPRISES, INC.;
   WALTER E. HELMICK;
   DONNA L. HIGELMIRE:
2
   MICHAEL N. HIGELMIRE;
   DAVIS L. AND DIANA D. HINES FAMILY
3
   TRUST;
   HOOSHPACK DEV INC.;
4
   CHI S. HUANG;
   SUCHU T. HUANG;
5
   JOHN HUI;
   HYPERICUM INTERESTS LLC;
6
   DARYUSH IRANINEZHAD;
   MINOO IRANINEZHAD;
   ESFANDIAR KADIVAR;
   ESFANDIAR KADIVAR, AS TRUSTEE OF
8
    THE KADIVAR FAMILY TRUST;
   A. DAVID KAGON;
9
    A. DAVID KAGON, AS TRUSTEE FOR THE
   KAGON TRUST;
10
    JACK D. KAHLO:
    CHENG LIN KANG;
11
    HERBERT KATZ;
    HERBERT KATZ, AS TRUSTEE FOR THE
12
    KATZ FAMILY TRUST:
    MARIANNE KATZ;
13
    LILIAN S. KAUFMAN;
    LILIAN S. KAUFMAN, AS TRUSTEE FOR
    THE KAUFMAN FAMILY TRUST;
    KAZUKO YOSHIMATSU;
15
    BARBARA L. KEYS;
    BARBARA L. KEYS, AS TRUSTEE OF THE
16
    BARBARA L. KEYS FAMILY TRUST;
    BILL H. KIM;
17
    ILLY KING;
    ILLY KING, AS TRUSTEE OF THE ILLY
18
    KING FAMILY TRUST;
    KOOTENAI PROPERTIES, INC.;
19
    KUTU INVESTMENT CO.;
    GAILEN KYLE;
20
    GAILEN KYLE, AS TRUSTEE OF THE KYLE
    TRUST:
21
    JAMES W. KYLE;
    JAMES W. KYLE, AS TRUSTEE OF THE
22
    KYLE FAMILY TRUST;
    JULIA KYLE;
23
    WANDA E. KYLE;
    FARES A. LAHOUD;
    EVA LAI;
25
    PAUL LAI;
    YING WAH;
    LAND BUSINESS CORPORATION;
26
    RICHARD E. LANDFIELD;
    RICHARD E. LANDFIELD, AS TRUSTEE OF
27
    THE RICHARD E. LANDFIELD TRUST;
    LAWRENCE CHARLES TRUST:
28
```

-4-

```
WILLIAM LEWIS:
1
   MARY LEWIS;
2
   PEI CHI LIN;
   MAN C. LO;
   SHIUNG RU LO;
3
   LYMAN C. MILES:
   LYMAN C. MILES, AS TRUSTEE FOR THE
4
   MILES FAMILY TRUST;
   MALLOY FAMILY PARTNERS LP;
5
   MISSION BELL RANCH DEVELOPMENT;
6
   BARRY S. MUNZ;
   KATHLEEN M. MUNZ;
   TERRY A. MUNZ;
   M. R. NASIR;
8
   SOUAD R. NASIR;
   EUGENE B. NEBEKER;
   SIMIN C. NEMAN;
   HENRY NGO;
   FRANK T. NGUYEN;
10
   JUANITA R. NICHOLS;
   OLIVER NICHOLS;
11
   OLIVER NICHOLS, AS TRUSTEE OF THE
   NICHOLS FAMILY TRUST;
12
    OWL PROPERTIES, INC.;
   PALMDALE HILLS PROPERTY LLC;
13
    NORMAN L. POULSEN:
   MARILYN J. PREWOZNIK;
14
   MARILYN J. PREWOZNIK, AS TRUSTEE OF
    THE MARILYN J. PREWOZNIK TRUST;
15
    ELIAS OARMOUT;
    VICTORIA RAHIMI;
16
    R AND M RANCH, INC.;
    PATRICIA A. RECHT;
17
    VERONIKA REINELT;
    REINELT ROSENLOECHER CORP. PSP;
18
    PATRICIA J. RIGGINS;
    PATRICIA J. RIGGINS, AS TRUSTEE OF
19
    THE RIGGINS FAMILY TRUST;
20
    EDGAR C. RITTER;
    PAULA E. RITTER;
    PAULA E. RITTER, AS TRUSTEE OF THE
21
    RITTER FAMILY TRUST;
    ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHBISHOP OF LOS
22
    ANGELES:
    ROMO LAKE LOS ANGELES
23
    PARTNERSHIP;
    ROSEMOUNT ÉQUITIES LLC SERIES;
24
    ROYAL INVESTORS GROUP:
    ROYAL WESTERN PROPERTIES LLC;
25
    OSCAR RUDNICK;
    REBECCA RUDNICK;
26
    SANTA MONICA MOUNTAINS
    CONSERVANCY;
27
    MARYGRACE H. SANTORO;
    MARYGRACE H. SANTORO, AS TRUSTEE
28
```

-5-

```
FOR THE MARYGRACE H. SANTORO REV
   TRUST:
   SAN YU ENTERPRISES, INC.;
   DANIEL SAPARZEDEH;
   HELEN STATHATOS;
   SAVAS STATHATOS;
   SAVAS STATHATOS, AS TRUSTEE FOR
   THE STATHATOS FAMILY TRUST;
   SEVEN STAR UNITED LLC;
5
   MARK H. SHAFRON:
   ROBERT L. SHAFRON;
61
   KAMRAM S. SHAKIB;
   DONNA L. SIMPSON;
    GARETH L. SIMPSON;
   GARETH L. SIMPSON, AS TRUSTEE OF
8
    THE SIMPSON FAMILY TRUST;
    SOARING VISTA PROPERTIES, INC.;
9
    STATE OF CALIFORNIA;
    GEORGE C. STEVENS, JR.;
10
    GEORGE C. STEVENS, JR., AS TRUSTEE OF
    THE GEORGE C. STEVENS, JR. TRUST;
11
    GEORGE L. STIMSON, JR.;
   GEORGE L. STIMSON, JR., AS TRUSTEE OF
    THE GEORGE L. STIMSON, JR. TRUST;
    TEJON RANCHCORP;
13 l
    MARK E. THOMPSON A P C PROFIT
    SHARING PLAN;
    TIERRA BONITA RANCH COMPANY;
    TIONG D. TIU;
15
    BEVERLY J. TOBIAS;
    BEVERLY J. TOBIAS, AS TRUSTEE OF THE
16
    TOBIAS FAMILY TRUST;
    JUNG N. TOM;
17
    WILLIAM BOLTHOUSE FARMS, INC.;
    WILMA D. TRUEBLOOD;
18
    WILMA D. TRUEBLOOD, AS TRUSTEE OF
    THE TRUEBLOOD FAMILY TRUST;
19
    UNISON INVESTMENT CO., LLC;
    DELMAR D. VAN DAM;
20
    GERTRUDE J. VAN DAM;
    KEITH E. WALES;
21
    E C WHEELER LLC;
    ALEX WODCHIS;
22
    ELIZABETH WONG;
    MARY WONG;
23
    MIKE M. WU;
    MIKE M. WU, AS TRUSTEE OF THE WU
24
    FAMILY TRUST:
    STATE OF CALIFORNIA 50<sup>TH</sup> DISTRICT
25
    AND AGRICULTURAL ASSOCIATION;
    THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA;
26
    U.S. BORAX, INC.;
    and ROES 1 through 100,000, inclusive,
27
28
          Cross-Defendants.
```

-6-

12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19 20

22

23

21

24 25

27 28

26

ANSWERS

Preliminary Statements

Submission to Jurisdiction. This Answering Cross-Defendant is the owner of the A. real properties described in Paragraph 11, upon which it operates a Benedictine Monastery of the Congregation of the Annunciation that was re-established from the Priory of Chengtu, China to its present location in 1954, under the parent Abbey of Sint Andries Zevenkerken in Brugge, Belgium, in the Archdiocese of Los Angeles. This Answering Cross-Defendant has been informed that its default has not been entered, although a Request for Entry of Default was filed. Counsel for Cross-Complainants has agreed to remove this Answering Cross-Defendant from the list of parties to be defaulted.

Declination to Join Classes. This Answering Cross-Defendant is aware of the В. existence of the "Willis Class" (un-exercised Overlyers) and of the "Wood Class" (producers of less than twenty-five (25) acre-feet per year). This Answering Cross-Defendant expressly declines to join either the Willis Class or the Wood Class, and elects to proceed as a named Cross-Defendant.

1218-MDD -- 839608.1

Introduction

1. Answering Paragraph 1 of the "Introduction" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant acknowledges that the action purports to seek a judicial determination of all rights to groundwater within an area described as the "Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin (the 'Basin')"; and, except therefor, this Answering Cross-Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.

Cross-Complainants

- 2. Answering Paragraph 2 of the "Cross-Complainants" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.
- 3. Answering Paragraph 3 of the "Cross-Complainants" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant admits the first sentence commencing with the name "Los Angeles" on line 8 of page 8, through the word "Valley" on line 11 of page 8; and, except therefore, this Answering Cross-Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 4. Answering Paragraph 4 of the "Cross-Complainants" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.

- 5. Answering Paragraph 5 of the "Cross-Complainants" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 6. Answering Paragraph 6 of the "Cross-Complainants" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant admits the first sentence commencing with the word "The" on line 22 of page 8, through the name "Los Angeles" on line 22 of page 8; and, except therefore, this Answering Cross-Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 7. Answering Paragraph 7 of the "Cross-Complainants" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant admits the first sentence commencing with the word "The" on line 25 of page 8, through the word "uses" on line 27 of page 8; and, except therefore, this Answering Cross-Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 8. Answering Paragraph 8 of the "Cross-Complainants" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 9. Answering Paragraph 9 of the "Cross-Complainants" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations

contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.

10. Answering Paragraph 10 of the "Cross-Complainants" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.

Cross-Defendants

Answering Paragraph 11 of the "Cross-Defendants" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, notwithstanding that it is not named therein, this Answering Cross-Defendant admits that it is the fee owner of the hereinafter-described real properties (collectively "this Answering Cross-Defendant's Properties") that are situated in that portion of the State of California comprised of portions of the Counties of Los Angeles and Kern, which is described in Paragraph 19 of the *Cross-Complaint*:

31001 North Valyermo Road [the old Hidden Springs Ranch], Valyermo, CA; Los Angeles County Assessor's Parcel Numbers: 3060-008-017, 3060-009-014, 3060-014-006, 3060-016-001, 3060-016-002, 3060-016-012, 3060-018-011, 3060-018-033, 3061-003-004, 3061-004-008, 3061-004-009, 3061-005-015, 3061-005-016, 3061-006-004, 3061-006-019, 3061-012-007, 3061-023-002, 3061-023-005, 3061-023-011, 3061-024-001, 3061-024-002, 3061-024-004, 3061-025-003, 3061-025-004, 3061-025-005, 3061-025-007, 3061-025-010, 3061-025-011 and 3061-025-017.

Further Answering said Paragraph 11, this Answering Cross-Defendant admits that it claims some right, title or interest some of the water in the groundwater basins and/or sub-basins underlying Cross-Defendant's Properties, and to a portion of the surface and underflow of Big Rock Creek, Pallet Creek and the other creeks and washes to which this Answering Cross-

Defendant's Properties are and may be riparian, and that it produces and/or intends to produce water from said groundwater basins and/or sub-basins, and from the surface and underflow of said creeks and washes for its reasonable and beneficial uses. Further Answering said Paragraph 11, this Answering Cross-Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph as to all named Cross-Defendants save and except this Answering Cross-Defendant, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein as to the other named Cross-Defendants.

12. Answering Paragraph 12 of the "Cross-Defendants" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.

The United States is a Necessary Party to this Action

- 13. Answering Paragraph 13 of the "The United States is a Necessary Party to this Action" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein as to the other named Cross-Defendants.
- 14. Answering Paragraph 14 of the "The United States is a Necessary Party to this Action" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein as to the other named Cross-Defendants.

15.

allegation contained therein.

other named Cross-Defendants.

16.

17.

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

18.

other named Cross-Defendants.

The History of the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin

Answering Paragraph 15 of the "The United States is a Necessary Party to this

Answering Paragraph 16 of the "The United States is a Necessary Party to this

Answering Paragraph 17 of the "The United States is a Necessary Party to this

Action" portion of the preliminary allegations to the Cross-Complaint on file in the instant

action, this Answering Cross-Defendant admits the application of 43 U.S.C. § 666 (the

"McCarran Amendment"); and, save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or

information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on

that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other

Action" portion of the preliminary allegations to the Cross-Complaint on file in the instant

action, this Answering Cross-Defendant admits the application of the McCarran Amendment;

and, save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the

truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and

specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein as to the

Action" portion of the preliminary allegations to the Cross-Complaint on file in the instant

action, this Answering Cross-Defendant admits the application of the McCarran Amendment;

and, save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the

truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and

specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein as to the

Answering Paragraph 18 of "The History of the Antelope Valley Groundwater

Basin" portion of the preliminary allegations to the Cross-Complaint on file in the instant action,

this Answering Cross-Defendant admits the allegations contained therein.

Answering Paragraph 19 of "The History of the Antelope Valley Groundwater 19. Basin" portion of the preliminary allegations to the Cross-Complaint on file in the instant action,

-12-

12

13

16

21

23

admits that the Basin is located in an arid valley in the Mojave Desert, about fifty miles northeast of the City of Los Angeles; and, save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.

Answering Paragraph 20 of "The History of the Antelope Valley Groundwater 20. Basin" portion of the preliminary allegations to the Cross-Complaint on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant admits that the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin is divided into a number of "sub-basins," and acknowledges that the court has already determined the interconnectivity of those sub-basins. Further Answering said Paragraph 20, this Answering Cross-Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the physical locations, compositions, dimensions and hydrologic interconnections between and amongst the various sub-basins, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein with respect to that specific allegation that the subbasins are sufficiently hydrologically connected to justify treating them as a single source of water for purposes of adjudicating the parties' water rights. Further Answering said Paragraph 20, Cross-Complainants have not alleged any facts from which this Answering Cross-Defendant can ascertain the number and location of Cross-Complainants' production facilities, or the production capacities and histories of Cross-Complainants wells and other water production facilities, and must therefore denies that any present, historic or future production from this Answering Cross-Defendant's facilities have, are, or will have, any adverse impacts on Cross-Complainants' abilities to meet their reasonable water requirements from their unidentified production facilities. Finally, Cross-Complainants have not alleged any facts from which this Answering Cross-Defendant can ascertain the number, location, characteristics and/or capacity of the sub-basins in which Cross-Complainants allege they have stored imported and other water, as well as the amounts, quality and disposition of the water allegedly stored therein, and must therefore deny that any present, historic or future production from this Answering CrossDefendant's facilities have, are, or will have, any adverse impacts on the waters allegedly stored by some or all of Cross-Complainants in said sub-basins.

- 21. Answering Paragraph 21 of "The History of the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant states that it lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein. Further Answering said Paragraph 21, this Answering Cross-Defendant states that the Court has yet to determine the locations and extent to which the groundwater basin and/or subbasins have suffered destruction of groundwater level equilibrium or a systematic long-term decline in groundwater levels and storage.
- 22. Answering Paragraph 22 of "The History of the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant admits that portion of the second sentence in said Paragraph commencing with the word "urbanization" at line 24 through the word "water" at line 25, all on page 13; and, save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 23. Answering Paragraph 23 of "The History of the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 24. Answering Paragraph 24 of "The History of the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant admits that land subsidence is the general sinking of the Earth's surface and that some of the harmful effects of land subsidence can include a loss of groundwater

1218-MDD -- 839608.1

storage space, cracks and fissures in the Earth's surface and damage to real property; and, save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein. Further Answering said Paragraph 24, this Answering Cross-Defendant states that the Court has yet to determine the locations and extent to which the groundwater basin and/or sub-basins have suffered destruction of groundwater level equilibrium or a systematic long-term decline in groundwater levels and storage, and to which any properties appear to have suffered land subsidence.

25. Answering Paragraph 25 of "The History of the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant admits that declining groundwater levels, diminished groundwater storage and land subsidence can damage any groundwater basin; and, save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein. Further Answering said Paragraph 24, this Answering Cross-Defendant states that the Court has yet to determine the locations and extent to which the groundwater basin and/or sub-basins have suffered destruction of groundwater level equilibrium or a systematic long-term decline in groundwater levels and storage, and to which any properties appear to have suffered land subsidence.

Public Water Suppliers Supplement and Commingle Their Supplemental Supply of Water With Basin Water

26. Answering Paragraph 26 of the "Public Water Suppliers Supplement and Commingle Their Supplemental Supply of Water With Basin Water" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant admits that State Project water generally originates in Northern California; and save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the

allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.

- 27. Answering Paragraph 27 of the "Public Water Suppliers Supplement and Commingle Their Supplemental Supply of Water With Basin Water" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 28. Answering Paragraph 28 of the "Public Water Suppliers Supplement and Commingle Their Supplemental Supply of Water With Basin Water" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.

The Basin Has Been in a State of Over-Draft for Over Five Years

- 29. Answering Paragraph 29 of "The Basin Has Been in a State of Over-Draft for Over Five Years" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant admits that the court has found the existence of overdraft during some portion of the past fifty or more years, but has not found the extent of such overdraft in any specific location, year or period of years; and on that basis lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 30. Answering Paragraph 30 of "The Basin Has Been in a State of Over-Draft for Over Five Years" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant admits those portions of said Paragraph, as to this Answering Cross-Defendant alone and no other, commencing with the word "cross-

defendant" on line 21 through the word "water" on line 23, all on page 15; and, save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.

- 31. Answering Paragraph 31 of "The Basin Has Been in a State of Over-Draft for Over Five Years" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant admits those portions of said Paragraph, as to this Answering Cross-Defendant alone and no other, commencing with the word "claim" on line 26 through the word "water" on line 27, all on page 15; and, save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 32. Answering Paragraph 32 of "The Basin Has Been in a State of Over-Draft for Over Five Years" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 33. Answering Paragraph 33 of "The Basin Has Been in a State of Over-Draft for Over Five Years" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.

/////

6 /////

///// /////

There is a Dispute Among the Parties Regarding The Extent and Priority of Their Respective Water Rights

- 34. Answering Paragraph 34 of the "There is a Dispute Among the Parties Regarding the Extent and Priority of Their Respective Water Rights" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 35. Answering Paragraph 35 of the "There is a Dispute Among the Parties Regarding the Extent and Priority of Their Respective Water Rights" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant admits those portions of said Paragraph, as to this Answering Cross-Defendant alone and no other, commencing with the word "cross-defendants" on line 18 through the word "water" on line 19, all on page 16; and, save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 36. Answering Paragraph 36 of the "There is a Dispute Among the Parties Regarding the Extent and Priority of Their Respective Water Rights" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant admits that some but not necessarily all of the public water suppliers have appropriative rights to produce water for the public they serve; and, save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 37. Answering Paragraph 37 of the "There is a Dispute Among the Parties Regarding the Extent and Priority of Their Respective Water Rights" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant lacks

sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.

Answering Paragraph 38, including subparagraphs A through E, of the "There is a Dispute Among the Parties Regarding the Extent and Priority of Their Respective Water Rights" portion of the preliminary allegations to the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.

First Cause of Action

(Declaratory Relief – Prescriptive Rights – Against All Cross-Defendants Except the United States and Other Public Entity Cross-Defendants)

- 39. Answering Paragraph 39 of the First Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant realleges and reincorporates its Preliminary Statements and its answers and allegations to Paragraphs 1 through 38, inclusive.
- 40. Answering Paragraph 40 of the First Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, and with reference to and subject to their denials in Preliminary Statement B above, this Answering Cross-Defendant admits those portions of said Paragraph commencing with the word "For" on line 7½ through the word "rights" on line 8½, all on page 18; and, save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation therein.
- 41. Answering Paragraph 41 of the First Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.

- 42. Answering Paragraph 42 of the First Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant admits the allegations contained therein.
- 43. Answering Paragraph 43 of the First Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.

Second Cause of Action

(Declaratory Relief – Appropriative Rights – Against All Cross-Defendants)

- 44. Answering Paragraph 44 of the Second Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant realleges and reincorporates its answers and allegations to Paragraphs 1 through 43, inclusive.
- 45. Answering Paragraph 45 of the Second Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant admits that some but not necessarily all of the public water suppliers have appropriative rights to produce water for the public they serve; and, save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 46. Answering Paragraph 46 of the Second Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein as an oversimplification of the law in California concerning appropriative rights.
- 47. Answering Paragraph 47 of the Second Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant generally admits those portions of said paragraph commencing with the word "safe" on line 11½ through the word "subsidence" on

 line 15½, all on page 19; and, save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.

- Answering Paragraph 48 of the Second Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant admits that all uses of water in California are subject to the "reasonable and beneficial" requirements of Article X, Section 2 of the *California Constitution* and the Reasonable Use Doctrine; and, save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.
- 49. Answering Paragraph 48 of the Second Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant admits the allegations contained in the first sentence thereof; and save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 50. Answering Paragraph 48 of the Second Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant admits the allegations contained therein.

Third Cause of Action

(Declaratory Relief - Physical Solution - Against All Cross-Defendants)

- 51. Answering Paragraph 51 of the Third Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant realleges and reincorporates its answers and allegations to Paragraphs 1 through 50, inclusive.
- 52. Answering Paragraph 52 of the Third Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant admits those portions of said -21-

Paragraph commencing with the word "cross-defendants" on line 8½ through the word "pumping" on line 10½, all on page 20, as the allegations relates to this Answering Cross-Defendant alone and to no others; and, save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.

- 53. Answering Paragraph 53 of the Third Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein, as the allegations relates to this Answering Cross-Defendant alone and to no others. Further Answering said Paragraph 53, this Answering Cross-Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.
- 54. Answering Paragraph 54 of the Third Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant admits those portions of said Paragraph commencing with the word "California" on line 22½ through the word "capable" on line 27½, all on page 20, as the allegation relates to this Answering Cross-Defendant alone and to no others, and to the extent that the mechanism of a physical solution has the potential for providing a practical solution if it is properly developed and implemented; and excepting therefore, this Answering Cross-Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.
- 55. Answering Paragraph 55 of the Third Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein. Further Answering said Paragraph 55, this Answering Cross-Defendant admits that a physical solution may, but need not, contain the factors identified in said Paragraph 55.

Fourth Cause of Action

(Declaratory Relief - Municipal Priority - Against All Cross-Defendants)

- 56. Answering Paragraph 56 of the Fourth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant realleges and reincorporates its answers and allegations to Paragraphs 1 through 55, inclusive.
- 57. Answering Paragraph 57 of the Fourth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant admits those portions of said Paragraph commencing with the word "It" on line 17½ through the citation to "Water Code § 106" on line 19½, all on page 21; and, save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.
- 58. Answering Paragraph 58 of the Fourth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant admits that the quote is a portion of "Water Code § 106.5"; denies that it is the entirety of said Section 106.5; and further denies that it is an accurate reflection of the will and actions of the California Legislature relative to the water rights of a public water supplier.
- 59. Answering Paragraph 59 of the Fourth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.
- 60. Answering Paragraph 60 of the Fourth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant admits those portions of said Paragraph commencing with the word "An" on line 1 through the word "cross-defendants" on lines 1 and 2, all on page 22; and, save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.

61. Answering Paragraph 61 of the Fourth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant admits the allegations contained therein.

Fifth Cause of Action

(Declaratory Relief - Storage of Imported Water - Against All Cross-Defendants)

- 62. Answering Paragraph 62 of the Fifth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant realleges and reincorporates its answers and allegations to Paragraphs 1 through 61, inclusive.
- 63. Answering Paragraph 63 of the Fifth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant admits those portions of said Paragraph commencing with the first word "State" on line 19½ through the word "Basin" on line 20½, all on page 22; and, save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.
- 64. Answering Paragraph 64 of the Fifth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.
- 65. Answering Paragraph 65 of the Fifth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.
- 66. Answering Paragraph 66 of the Fifth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant admits those portions of said Paragraph commencing with the word "An" on line 8 through the word "cross-defendants" on

lines 7 and 8, all on page 23; re-allege and re-incorporate their answers to Paragraphs 1 through 39 of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action; and, save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.

67. Answering Paragraph 67 of the Fifth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant admits the allegations contained therein.

Sixth Cause of Action

(Declaratory Relief - Recapture of Return Flows

from Imported Water Stored in the Basin - Against All Cross-Defendants)

- 68. Answering Paragraph 68 of the Sixth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant realleges and reincorporates its answers and allegations to Paragraphs 1 through 67, inclusive.
- 69. Answering Paragraph 69 of the Sixth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.
- 70. Answering Paragraph 70 of the Sixth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.
- 71. Answering Paragraph 71 of the Sixth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis,

denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.

- 72. Answering Paragraph 72 of the Sixth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant admits those portions of said Paragraph commencing with the word "An" on line 6 through the word "cross-defendants" on lines 6 and 7, all on page 24; re-allege and re-incorporate their answers to Paragraphs 1 through 43 of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action; and, save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.
- 73. Answering Paragraph 73 of the Sixth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant admits the allegations contained therein.

Seventh Cause of Action

(Unreasonable Use of Water – Against All Cross-Defendants Except Public Entity Cross-Defendants)

- 74. Answering Paragraph 74 of the Seventh Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant realleges and reincorporates its answers and allegations to Paragraphs 1 through 73, inclusive.
- 75. Answering Paragraph 75 of the Seventh Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant admits those portions of said Paragraph commencing with the first word "The" on line 20½ through the word "case" on line 23½, all on page 24; and, save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.
- 76. Answering Paragraph 76 of the Seventh Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant denies, generally and specifically,

jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein, as for themselves and for no others; and, save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein as to the other named cross-defendants, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.

- 77. Answering Paragraph 77 of the Seventh Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant admits those portions of said Paragraph commencing with the word "An" on line 4 through the word "cross-defendants" on lines 4 and 5, all on page 25; re-allege and re-incorporate their answers to Paragraphs 1 through 43 of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action; and, save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.
- 78. Answering Paragraph 78 of the Seventh Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant admits the allegations contained therein.

Note: There are no paragraphs numbered 79 through 90 in the copies of the Cross-Complaint on file in the instant action.

Eighth Cause of Action

(Declaratory Relief re Boundaries of Basin)

- 91. Answering Paragraph 91 of the Eighth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant realleges and reincorporates its answers and allegations to Paragraphs 1 through 78, inclusive.
- 92. Answering Paragraph 92 of the Eighth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant acknowledges that the court has determined that the "legal" Basin boundaries and admits those portions of said Paragraph

commencing with the word "An" on line 18½ through the word "Basin" on line 20½, all on page 25; re-allege and re-incorporate their answers to Paragraphs 1 through 38 of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action; and, save and except therefor, lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, jointly and severally, each and every allegation contained therein.

93. Answering Paragraph 93 of the Eighth Cause of Action of the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant admits the allegations contained therein.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

As and for affirmative defenses to the causes of action purported to be set forth against it in the *Cross-Complaint* on file in the instant action, this Answering Cross-Defendant alleges as follows:

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to State Cause of Action)

As and for a first separate and affirmative defense to said *Cross-Complaint*, and each cause of action thereof, whether considered separately or apart, this Answering Cross-Defendant alleges that the *Cross-Complaint*, and each cause of action thereof, fails to state a cause of action against this Answering Cross-Defendant upon which the Court can grant relief.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Estoppel)

As and for a second separate and affirmative defense to said *Cross-Complaint*, and each cause of action thereof, whether considered separately or apart, this Answering Cross-Defendant is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Cross-Complainants are estopped from asserting each and every cause of action against this Answering Cross-Defendant by reason of

-28-

the facts that, there are a number of groundwater basins and sub-basins situated in that portion of the State of California generally described in the *Cross-Complaint* as the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin; that the physical locations, composition, dimensions and interconnections between and amongst those groundwater basins and sub-basins has not been sufficiently alleged by Cross-Complainants to state a cause of action against this Answering Cross-Defendant; that some or all of said basins and sub-basins over which this Answering Cross-Defendant's Properties, and water production facilities are situated may be, to some presently unknown extent, separate and independent from some or all of the remaining groundwater basins and sub-basins; and that Cross-Complainants have failed to specifically identify the locations of each and every of the wells that it alleges to have drilled, equipped, operated and maintained.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Reasonable and Beneficial Use)

As and for a third separate and affirmative defense to said *Cross-Complaint*, and each cause of action thereof, whether considered separately or apart, this Answering Cross-Defendant alleges that Cross-Complainants' use of water, both presently and in the future, is limited by the reasonable and beneficial use limitations set forth in Article X, Section 2 of the *California Constitution* and *Water Code* § 100.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Riparian Rights)

As and for a fourth separate and affirmative defense to said *Cross-Complaint*, and each cause of action thereof, whether considered separately or apart, this Answering Cross-Defendant claims riparian rights to the surface and underflow of the Big Rock Creek, Pallet Creek and other creeks and washes to which this Answering Cross-Defendant's Properties are or may be riparian, consistent with the provisions of *Water Code* § 101; and that some or all of said production is from the underflow of the Big Rock Creek, Pallet Creek and other creeks and washes; and to that

extent, this Answering Cross-Defendant alleges that Cross-Complainants are barred from the relief sought on each and every cause of action against this Answering Cross-Defendant.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Appropriative Rights)

As and for a fifth separate and affirmative defense to said *Cross-Complaint*, and each cause of action thereof, whether considered separately or apart, this Answering Cross-Defendant claims the appropriative rights to produce water from the basins and sub-basins over which this Answering Cross-Defendant's Properties and water production facilities are situated; and, to the extent that the basins and sub-basins over which Cross-Complainants' water production facilities are situated are separate and independent from the basins and sub-basins over which this Answering Cross-Defendant's Properties and water production facilities are situated, this Answering Cross-Defendant alleges that Cross-Complainants are barred from the relief sought on each and every cause of action against this Answering Cross-Defendant.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Permitted Rights to Extract)

As and for a sixth separate and affirmative defense to said *Cross-Complaint*, and each cause of action thereof, whether considered separately or apart, this Answering Cross-Defendant alleges that its predecessor(s) may have established and/or permitted rights to extract water from the basins and sub-basins over which this Answering Cross-Defendant's Properties and water production facilities are situated.

<u>SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>

(Waiver)

As and for a seventh separate and affirmative defense to said *Cross-Complaint*, and each cause of action thereof, whether considered separately or apart, this Answering Cross-Defendant alleges that even if the facts alleged in the *Cross-Complaint* are true, and this Answering Cross-

Defendant denies the same, Cross-Complainants have engaged in conduct and activities that it knew or should have known that this Answering Cross-Defendant would, in fact, rely on to their prejudice and detriment, sufficient to constitute a waiver of any claims and demands against this Answering Cross-Defendant; and, accordingly, Cross-Complainants are barred from the relief sought on each and every cause of action against this Answering Cross-Defendant.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to Mitigate Damages)

As and for an eighth separate and affirmative defense to said *Cross-Complaint*, and each cause of action thereof, whether considered separately or apart, this Answering Cross-Defendant is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that Cross-Complainants failed to take reasonable, prudent, and necessary steps to diminish, control and/or mitigate the damages allegedly suffered by Cross-Complainants, if any.

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to Do Equity)

As and for a ninth separate and affirmative defense to said *Cross-Complaint*, and each cause of action thereof, whether considered separately or apart, this Answering Cross-Defendant is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that Cross-Complainants' ability to obtain relief as prayed in the *Cross-Complaint* is or may be limited by reason of Cross-Complainants' failure to do equity in the matters alleged in the *Cross-Complaint* filed herein.

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Comparative Fault)

As and for a tenth separate and affirmative defense to said *Cross-Complaint*, and each cause of action thereof, whether considered separately or apart, this Answering Cross-Defendant is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that Cross-Complainants failed to exercise ordinary care, caution and prudence in connection with their water production and the use of

water by their customers; and that Cross-Complainants' lack of care, caution and prudence was independent of and unrelated to the actions, if any, of this Answering Cross-Defendant; and to that extent, Cross-Complainants' production and uses are unreasonable and beneficial, and Cross-Complainants' remedies and recovery, if any, should be proportionately reduced.

ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Doctrine of Laches)

As and for an eleventh separate and affirmative defense to said *Cross-Complaint*, and each cause of action thereof, whether considered separately or apart, this Answering Cross-Defendant alleges that some of Cross-Complainants' claims are barred by the doctrine of laches.

TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Excuse)

As and for a twelfth separate and affirmative defense to said *Cross-Complaint*, and each cause of action thereof, whether considered separately or apart, this Answering Cross-Defendant alleges that any purported misconduct on their part, which is denied but alleged herein solely for the purpose of asserting this affirmative defense, has been excused by some or all of Cross-Complainants' own misconduct.

THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Justification)

As and for a thirteenth separate and affirmative defense to said *Cross-Complaint*, and each cause of action thereof, whether considered separately or apart, this Answering Cross-Defendant alleges that this Answering Cross-Defendant's productions and use of water is and has been justified.

-32-

FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Good Faith)

As and for a fourteenth separate and affirmative defense to said *Cross-Complaint*, and each cause of action thereof, whether considered separately or apart, this Answering Cross-Defendant alleges a good faith belief that it had the rights to produce and use water it have produced and used.

FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Lack of Good Faith)

As and for a fifteenth separate and affirmative defense to said *Cross-Complaint*, and each cause of action thereof, whether considered separately or apart, this Answering Cross-Defendant alleges that some or all of Cross-Complainants failed to act in good faith and to deal fairly with this Answering Cross-Defendant and on that basis, Cross-Complainants are precluded from obtaining some or all of the relief sought in their *Cross-Complaint*.

SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Statute of Limitations)

As and for a sixteenth separate and affirmative defense to said *Cross-Complaint*, and each cause of action thereof, whether considered separately or apart, this Answering Cross-Defendant alleges that Cross-Complainants' claims, and each of them, are barred by the applicable statute of limitation provisions of the *Code of Civil Procedure*.

SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Additional Affirmative Defenses)

As and for a seventeenth separate and affirmative defense to said *Cross-Complaint*, and each cause of action thereof, whether considered separately or apart, this Answering Cross-Defendant is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that it may have additional affirmative defenses available of which this Answering Cross-Defendant are not fully aware at

the present time. This Answering Cross-Defendant reserves the right to assert additional affirmative defenses after the same have been ascertained.

WHEREFORE, this Answering Cross-Defendant prays as follows:

- 1. That Cross-Complainants take nothing by their *Cross-Complaint*, and that it be dismissed with prejudice;
 - 2. For attorneys' fees as authorized by law;
 - 3. For costs of suit herein incurred; and
 - 4. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: March__8, 2012

GRESHAM SAVAGE NOLAN & TILDEN, A Professional Corporation

By:

Michael Duane Davis

Marlene L. Allen-Hammarlund

Attorneys for Cross-Defendant, Saint Andrew's

Abbey, Inc., named herein as ROE 623

PROOF OF SERVICE 1 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE 2 3 ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES Re: Los Angeles County Superior Court Judicial Council Coordinated Proceedings No. 4408; Santa Clara County Superior Court Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 4 5 I am employed in the County of Riverside, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action; my business address is: 3750 University Avenue, Suite 250, Riverside, CA 92501-3335. 6 On March 8, 2012, I served the foregoing document(s) described as ANSWER OF CROSS-7 DEFENDANT SAINT ANDREW'S ABBEY, INC., NAMED HEREIN AS ROE 623 on the interested parties in this action in the following manner: 8 9 (X) BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE – I posted the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Court website, http://www.scefiling.org, in the action of the 10 Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases, 11 (X) BY MAIL - I served a true copy of the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope and placed for collection and mailing following the usual business practice of the Firm. I am "readily familiar" with the Firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, it would be deposited with the United States 12 Postal Service with postage thereon fully prepaid at Riverside, California, on the same day in the ordinary course of business, addressed as follows: 13 14 Honorable Jack Komar Santa Clara County Superior Court 15 191 North First Street, Dept. 17C San Jose, CA 95113 16 17 Superior Court of California [Original Documents to be filed at this location] County of Los Angeles Stanley Mosk Courthouse, Dept. 1, Room 534 18 111 North Hill Street 19 Los Angeles, CA 90012 20 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the 21 foregoing is true and correct. 22 Executed on March 8, 2012, at Riverside, California. 23 24 25 26 27

GRESHAM SAVAGE NOLAN & TILDEN A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 3750 UNIVERSITY AVE., SUITE 250 RIVERSIDE, CA 92501-3335 (951) 684-2171

28

296570.1