1 2	Michael Duane Davis, SBN 093678 Marlene Allen-Hammarlund, SBN 126418 Derek R. Hoffman, SBN 285784		
3	GRESHAM SAVAGE NOLAN & TILDEN, A Professional Corporation 3750 University Avenue, Suite 250		
4 5	Riverside, CA 92501-3335 Telephone: (951) 684-2171 Facsimile: (951) 684-2150		
6	Attorneys for Cross-Defendant		
7	BAXTER MUTUAL WATER COMPANY, INC., a member of the Antelope Valley United Mutual Group		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9			
10	IN AND FOR THE COU	NTY OF LOS ANGELES	
11			
12	Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 1550(b))) Judicial Council Coordination) Proceeding No. 4408	
13	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES) Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053) Assigned to the Honorable Jack Komar	
14	Including Actions:) Department 17	
15		() [PROPOSED] STIPULATION	
16	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co. Superior Court of California, County of Los) REGARDING THE DEPOSITION AND) TRIAL TESTIMONY OF CROSS-) DEFENDANT BAXTER MUTUAL 	
17	Angeles, Case No. BC 325 201	WATER COMPANY, INC., A MEMBEROF THE ANTELOPE VALLEY UNITED	
18	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co.) MUTUAL GROUP	
19	Superior Court of California, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348))	
20		ĺ	
21	Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster)	
22	Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist.) }	
23	Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, consolidated actions, Case Nos. RIC		
24	353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668	_{	
25	AND RELATED ACTIONS.		
26		_/	
27			

This Stipulation Regarding the Deposition and Trial Testimony of Cross-Defendant BAXTER MUTUAL WATER COMPANY, INC., a member of the Antelope Valley United Mutual Group, is submitted pursuant to Paragraph 2 of the Court's January 17, 2013 First Amendment to Case Management Order for Phase Four Trial and in connection with the deposition and trial testimony therefore, which Trial which is currently scheduled to commence on May 28, 2013, in the above-encaptioned matter.

Baxter Mutual Water Company, Inc. ("Baxter") has provided, under penalty of perjury, the facts, information and documents submitted to the Court and posted on the Court's website on December 21, 2012 (the "Discovery Responses") and on January 29, 2013, (the "Supplemental Discovery Responses"), including, but not limited to, the following:

1. Baxter is an active California mutual benefit non-profit corporation, with an entity address of 12501 East Avenue H, Lancaster, CA 93535. It was formed on September 20, 1954. Its membership is comprised of the owners of 15 lots on 20 acres that are situated within its below-described service area. Baxter's 14 active connections serve the properties within the Baxter service area that have been improved with a residence, and stand by to serve the unimproved properties when improved.

Reference: Cross-Defendant/Cross-Complainant, Antelope Valley United Mutual Group's (verified) Information and Materials Responsive to December 12, 2012 Discovery Order for Phase 4 Trial for Baxter Mutual Water Company ("Discovery Responses"), Paragraphs ("¶") I.1.b., I.3.b.ii., I.3.c.ii.; Baxter Mutual Water Company Shareholder Properties Overview; California Secretary of State, Business Entity Detail (December 14, 2012); Cross-Defendant/Cross-Complainant, Antelope Valley United Mutual Group, Specifically Baxter Mutual Water Company's, (verified) First Supplemental Response to December 12, 2012 Discovery Order for Phase 4 Trial ("Supplemental Discovery Responses"); ¶ I.3.c.; Baxter Mutual Water Company; Baxter Mutual Water Company share certificate

(sample); ¶ I.3.b.; Baxter Mutual Water Company property served (aerial photograph).

2. Baxter's service area consists of 20 acres, which are located approximately 10 miles east of Lancaster at 125th Street E and Avenue H. Baxter is the owner of the water rights and the production, storage and distribution facilities. Baxter services its members / shareholders identified by the Assessor's Parcel Numbers ("APN") listed in the *Discovery Responses*. The properties within the Baxter service area are single family residential parcels.

Reference: Discovery Responses ¶ I.1.a., I.1.h., I.1.j., I.3.b.ii.; Baxter Mutual Water Company Assessor's ID Numbers; Baxter Mutual Water Company parcel map; Baxter Mutual Water Company Shareholder Properties Overview; Supplemental Discovery Responses ¶ I.3.b.; Baxter Mutual Water Company property served (aerial photograph); ¶ I.3.c.; Baxter Mutual Water Company Articles of Incorporation; By-laws of Baxter Mutual Water Company; Baxter Mutual Water Company share certificate (sample).

3. Baxter owned one (1) well and booster with an Edison energy efficiency calibrated motor driven pump that was situated within its service area during the Base Period years 2000 to 2004 and the recent years 2011 to 2012. A water meter was installed and began metering water usage on November 15, 2011. During the years 2000 to 2004 and 2011 to 2012, Baxter used the water produced from this well for the provision of domestic water service to its residential mutual members. All of the groundwater produced by Baxter from these wells was used in its service area by its members.

Reference: *Discovery Responses* ¶¶ I.1.c., I.1.d., I.1.f., I.1.g., I.1.h.; I.3.a.i., I.3.a.ii., I.3.a.iii, I.3.a.iv., I.3.a.v., I.3.a.vi.; Southern California Edison Well Efficiency Test Results: February 16, 2012 test; Southern California Edison Booster Efficiency Test Results: February 16, 2012 test; Baxter Mutual Water Co. Water usage 2000-2004 (Jan 17, 2000 thru Jan 18, 2005); Baxter Mutual Water Co. Water usage Dec 1, 2011 through Dec 1, 2012; Southern California Edison

4. Baxter groundwater production during the years 2000 through 2012 was as follows:

i. 2000: 51.6 acre feet

ii. 2001: 46 acre feet

iii. 2002: 47.81 acre feet

iv. 2003: 47.61 acre feet

v. 2004: 52.45 acre feet

vi. 2011: 44.91 acre feet

vii. 2012 [1/1 – 11/30]: 44.6 acre feet

Reference: *Discovery Responses* ¶ I.1.e., I.1.l.; I.3.a.i., I.3.a.ii., I.3.a.ii., I.3.a.iv., I.3.a.v., I.3.a.v.; Southern California Edison Well Efficiency Test Results: February 16, 2012 test; Southern California Edison Booster Efficiency Test Results: February 16, 2012 test; Baxter Mutual Water Co. Water usage 2000-2004

(Jan17, 2000 thru Jan18, 2005); Baxter Mutual Water Co. Water usage Dec 1, 2011 through Dec 1, 2012; Southern California Edison Electrical Billing History January 1988 – December 2011; Baxter Mutual Water Co. Well Water Extraction for Years 2000-2004 by Larry Gorden, Vice President, Baxter Mutual Water Co. (February 20, 2012); Baxter Mutual Water Co. Well Water Extraction for Years 2000-2004 by Larry Gorden, Vice President, Baxter Mutual Water Co. (February 23, 2012); Baxter Mutual Water Company Well Water Extraction (December 14, 2012); November 2, 2011 Propeller Meter Certified Test Report; *Supplemental Discovery Responses* I.3.a.; Baxter Mutual Water Co. Water usage 2000-2004 and 2011-2012 summary spreadsheets; Southern California Edison electrical billing history Jan. 1988 through Dec. 2011; Southern California Edison electrical usage data Jan. and Feb. 2012; Baxter Mutual Water Company Edison and Flowmeter readings, Dec. 2, 2011 through Jan. 1, 2013 (photographs); Baxter Mutual Water Company Well Water Extraction report by Larry Gorden, Dec. 22, 2012; Baxter Mutual Water Distribution aerial photograph.

groundwater rights of the owners of the properties within the service area (who became the initial shareholders) became the rights of the mutual water company and have, ever since, been exercised by the mutual water company and not by the individual property owners / shareholders. There was no known express reservation of the overlying groundwater rights by the individual property owners at the time of formation. The property owners / shareholders simply pooled their collective water and (through the mutual water company) constructed, operated and maintained the production, storage and distribution system by which they all receive water for their domestic purposes. Simply stated, the property owners / shareholders exchanged their overlying water rights for the right to have water delivered to their individual properties. See *Erwin v. Gage Canal Company* (1964) 226 Cal.App.2d 189; see also *Hildreth v. Montecito Creek Water Co.* (1903) 139 Cal. 22, 29; see also *City of Glendale v. Crescenta etc.*

Water Co. (1955) 135 Cal.App.2d 784, 801. As such, each shareholder has an interest in the water rights, production, storage and distribution facilities of the mutual water company and has the right to receive water upon development and demand.

Reference: *Discovery Responses* ¶ I.1.j.; Baxter Mutual Water Company Articles of Incorporation; *Supplemental Discovery Responses* ¶ I.3.c.; Baxter Mutual Water Company Articles of Incorporation; By-laws of Baxter Mutual Water Company; Baxter Mutual Water Company share certificate (sample).

6. Baxter did not lease any property to anyone during the Base Period years of 2000 to 2004 or the recent years of 2011 to 2012.

Reference: Discovery Responses ¶ I.2.a.

7. Baxter did not import or acquire any imported water from the Antelope Valley – East Kern Water Agency, Palmdale Water District, Littlerock Creek Irrigation District or any other entity having rights to State Water Project water, during the Base Period years of 2000 to 2004 or the recent years of 2011 to 2012, and claims no return flows.

Reference: Discovery Responses ¶¶ III.1.a., III.1.b., III.1.d.

8. As stated above, the groundwater claimed by Baxter is an overlying water right. The historic production upon which this claim is based, however, does not include water produced for the undeveloped properties within Baxter's service areas, whose owners, as members of Baxter, have the right to receive water service to their properties when built out. Baxter also claims an amount of water sufficient to provide water to these presently un-served properties when water service thereto has been demanded. Other than as set forth herein, Baxter does not claim any other groundwater rights that are the subject of this Adjudication for purposes of the Phase 4 Trial.

Reference: Discovery Responses ¶¶ I.1.g., I.1.j., II.1.a., III.1.a., III.1.b., III.1.d..

111

26 ///

27 1/1

28

STIPULATION

The parties hereto stipulate and agree that the facts, information and documents provided herein and in the verified *Discovery Responses* posted on the Court's website on December 21, 2012 and on the verified *Supplemental Discovery Responses* that were posted on the Court's website on January 29, 2013, will not be contested, and are therefore deemed accepted, for purposes of the Phase 4 Trial which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2013.

The parties further stipulate and agree that neither deposition testimony nor trial testimony will be required of Baxter for purposes of the Phase 4 Trial.

10 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Dated: January <u>30</u> , 2013.	GRESHAM SAVAGE NOLAN & TILDEN, PO

By: Michael Duane Davis

Marlene L. Allen-Hammarlund Derek R. Hoffman Attorneys for Cross-Defendant, Baxter Mutual Water Company, a member of th

Mutual Water Company, a member of the Antelope Valley United Mutual Group

Dated: January ____, 2013. CHARLTON WEEKS LLP

Ву:

Bradley T. Weeks Attorneys for Cross-Complainant, Quartz Hill Water District

Dated: January ____, 2013. BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP

By: ______Eric L. Garner

Jeffrey V. Dunn Stefanie D. Hedlund Attorneys for Cross-Complainant, Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40

-7-

1	Dated: January, 2013.	RICHARDS, WATSON & GERSHON
2		D.
3		By:Steven Orr
4		James L. Markman Attorneys for Cross-Complainant, City of
5		Palmdale
6	D. 1.1. 2012	MIDDIX 0 EXEDES
7	Dated: January, 2013.	MURPHY & EVERTZ
8		Th.
9		By: Douglas J. Evertz
10		Attorneys for Cross-Complainant, City of Lancaster and Rosamond Community
11		Services District
12		
13	Dated: January, 2013.	CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE COMPANY
14		The state of the s
15		By: John Tootle
16		Attorneys for Cross-Complainant, California Water Service Company
17	2010	A FINANCIA CONTRA A
18	Dated: January, 2013.	LEMIEUX & O'NEILL
19		
20		By: Wayne Lemieux
21		Attorneys for Cross-Complainant, Littlerock Creek Irrigation District, Palm Ranch
22		Irrigation District, et al.
23		
24	Dated: January, 2013.	LAGERLOF SENECAL GOSNEY & KRUSE
25		
26		By:Thomas Bunn III
27		Attorneys for Cross-Complainant, Palmdale Water District
28		-8-
		V

Gresham Savage Nolan & Tilden, A Professional Corporation 3750 University Ave., 57E 250 Riverside, CA 92501-3335 (951) 684-2171

PROOF OF SERVICE 1 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE 2 ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES 3 Re: Los Angeles County Superior Court Judicial Council Coordinated Proceedings No. 4408; Santa Clara County Superior Court Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 4 5 I am employed in the County of Riverside, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action; my business address is: 3750 University Avenue. Suite 250, Riverside, CA 92501-3335. 6 On March 15, 2013, I served the foregoing document(s) described [PROPOSED] 7 STIPULATION REGARDING THE DEPOSITION AND TRIAL TESTIMONY OF CROSS-DEFENDANT, BAXTER MUTUAL WATER COMPANY, INC., A MEMBER 8 OF THE ANTELOPE VALLEY UNITED MUTUAL GROUP on the interested parties in this action in the following manner: 9 (X) BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE – I posted the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Court website, http://www.scefiling.org, in the action of the 10 Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases, 11 12 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. 13 Executed on March 15, 2013 at Riverside, California. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

GRESHAM SAVAGE NOLAN & TILDEN A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 750 UNIVERSITY AVE., SUITE 250 RIVERSIDE, CA 92501-3335 (951) 684-2171

28

-1-