- 11			
1	Michael Duane Davis, SBN 093678		
1	Marlene L. Allen-Hammarlund, SBN 126418		
2	Derek R. Hoffman, SBN 285784		
	GRESHAM SAVAGE NOLAN & TILDEN, PC		
3	3750 University Avenue, Suite 250		
4	Riverside, CA 92501-3335 Telephone: (951) 684-2171		
4	Facsimile: (951) 684-2170		
5	(951) 661 2156		
	Attorneys for Cross-Defendant, ADA	M	S
6	BENNETT INVESTMENTS, LLC; Cross-		
_	Defendants and Cross-Complainants, ANTELOPE		
7			
8	[comprised of Antelope Park Mutual Water Co., Aqua-J Mutual Water Co., Averydale Mutual Water		
°∥	Co., Baxter Mutual Water Co., Bleich Flat Mutual		
9			
	Mutual Water Co., Evergreen Mutual Water Co.,		
10			
11	Water Co., Shadow Acres Mutual Water Co., Sundale Mutual Water Co., Sunnyside Farms		
11	Mutual Water Co., Sunnyside Farins Mutual Water Co., Tierra Bonita Mutual Water Co.,		
12	Westside Park Mutual Water Co. and White Fence		
	Farms Mutual Water Co.]; and Cross-Defendants,		
13	MIRACLE IMPROVEMENT CORPORATION		
14	DBA GOLDEN SANDS MOBILE HOME PARK,		
14	AKA GOLDEN SANDS TRAILER PARK, NAMED AS ROE 1121; SAINT ANDREW'S		
15	ABBEY, INC., NAMED AS ROE 623; SERVICE		
	ROCK PRODUCTS, L.P.; and SHEEP CRI		
16	WATER COMPANY		
1.7	CUDEDIOD COUDT OF TH	T. (STATE OF CALIFORNIA
17	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
18	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
10		ı	
19	Coordination Proceeding)	Judicial Council Coordination
20	Special Title (Rule 1550(b)))	Proceeding No. 4408
	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER	1	Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053
21	CASES	K	Assigned to the Honorable Jack Komar
22		Ú	Department 17C
22	Including Consolidated Actions:)	•
23)	DECLARATION OF A MEMBER OF
	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co.	(CROSS-DEFENDANTS / CROSS- COMPLAINANTS, ANTELOPE
24	Superior Court of California, County of Los	K	VALLEY UNITED MUTUALS GROUP,
25	Angeles, Case No. BC 325 201		SPECIFICALLY, WHITE FENCE
25		Ĺ	FARMS MUTUAL WATER CO., IN
26		ĺ)	SUPPORT OF PROVE-UP TRIAL
	CAPTION CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE	(
27	CATTION CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE	1	

- 1. This declaration is made in support of the [Proposed] Judgment and Physical Solution filed with the court on March 4, 2015 on behalf of Cross-Defendants / Cross-Complainants, Antelope Valley United Mutuals Group, specifically **WHITE FENCE FARMS MUTUAL WATER CO.** ("Declarant").
- 2. The signatory to this Declaration has personal knowledge of each fact herein and would testify competently thereto under oath.
 - 3. The signatory to this Declaration is Declarant's President.
- 4. Declarant is an active California mutual benefit non-profit corporation, with an entity address of 41901 20th St. West, Palmdale, CA 93551. It was formed on June 17, 1948. Its membership is comprised of the owners of the 514 mostly 2½ acre lots that are situated within its hereafter-described service area. Declarant's 453 active services serve the properties within Declarant's service area that have been improved with a residence, and stand by to serve the unimproved properties when improved. Upon the formation of Declarant as a mutual benefit, non-profit corporation, the overlying groundwater rights of the owners of the properties within the service area (who became the initial shareholders) became the rights of the mutual water company and have, ever since, been exercised by the mutual water company and not by the individual property owners/shareholders. There was no known express reservation of the

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

overlying groundwater rights by the individual property owners at the time of formation. The property owners/shareholders simply pooled their collective water and (through the mutual water company) constructed, operated and maintained the production, storage and distribution system by which they all receive water for their domestic purposes. Accordingly, the property owners/ shareholders exchanged their overlying water rights for the right to have water delivered to their individual properties. [See Erwin v. Gage Canal Company (1964) 226 Cal.App.2d 189; see also Hildreth v. Montecito Creek Water Co. (1903) 139 Cal. 22, 29; see also City of Glendale v. Crescenta etc. Water Co. (1955) 135 Cal.App.2d 784, 801]. As such, though each shareholder has an interest in the Declarant which owns the water rights, production, storage and distribution facilities, and has the right to receive water upon development and demand, the Declarant is the owner of those certain rights, titles and interests in properties that overlie the Antelope Valley Area of Adjudication as determined by the Court. [From Declarant's Responses to December 12, 2012 Discovery Order for Phase 4 Trial, Responses I.1.a., I.1.b., I.1.j. and I.3.c.; and supporting documents at Attachments I.1.a. and I.3.c.i. thereto; Declarant's First Supplemental Response to December 12, 2012 Discovery Order for Phase 4 Trial, Response I.3.c.; and supporting documents at Attachment I.3.c.i. thereto].

- 5. Declarant's service area ("Property"), including the production, storage and distribution facilities thereto appertaining, is located in the County of Los Angeles, and is described as follows: Bounded on Ave M to the North, South Boundary Ave N, East Boundary 20th St West, West Boundary 30th St West; and North Boundary Ave L, South Boundary Ave M, East Boundary 10th St West, West Boundary 20th St West. [From Declarant's Responses to December 12, 2012 Discovery Order for Phase 4 Trial, Response I.1.a.; and supporting documents at Attachment I.1.a. thereto; Declarant's First Supplemental Response to December 12, 2012 Discovery Order for Phase 4 Trial, Response I.3.b.; and supporting documents at Attachments I.3.b.i. thereto].
- 6. Based on Los Angeles County Assessor's Map information, Declarant's service area is comprised of one thousand two hundred eighty (1280) acres. [From Declarant's

27

Responses to December 12, 2012 Discovery Order for Phase 4 Trial, Response I.1.a.; and supporting documents at Attachment I.1.a. thereto; Declarant's First Supplemental Response to December 12, 2012 Discovery Order for Phase 4 Trial, Response I.3.b.; and supporting documents at Attachment I.3.b.i. thereto].

- 7. Declarant claims the right to produce and use groundwater to serve its shareholders / customers within its service area(s), as described in Paragraph 5, above. [From Declarant's Responses to December 12, 2012 Discovery Order for Phase 4 Trial, Responses I.1., I.1.g., I.2. and I.3.; and supporting documents at Attachment I.1. and I.3. thereto; Declarant's First Supplemental Response to December 12, 2012 Discovery Order for Phase 4 Trial, Responses I.1.e., I.3.a., I.3.b. and I.3.c.; and supporting documents at Attachments I.3.a.i., I.3.b.i. and I.3.c.i. thereto].
- 8. The maximum amount of groundwater produced from the Property, measured in acre feet, for the following calendar years is as follows:
 - a. 2000: 681.6
 - b. 2001: 877.6
 - c. 2002: 815.6
 - d. 2003: 850.8
 - e. 2004: 927
 - f. 2011: 782.8
 - g. 2012 [January through November]: 783.3

Additionally, Declarant purchased "In-Lieu Water" from AVEK for use on the Property for the following calendar years, as follows:

- h. 2000: 192
- i. 2001: 220
- j. 2002: 311
- k. 2003: 283
- 1. 2004: 208

m. 2011: 156.7

n. 2012: 197.4

The maximum amount of groundwater produced from the Property for the members of the Antelope Valley United Mutuals Group (which was allocated by and amongst them), including that supplemented by the In-Lieu Water purchased from AVEK by some of the members, is collectively greater than both the Pre-Rampdown Production and Overlying Production Right stated in the Proposed Judgment and Physical Solution. [From Declarant's Responses to December 12, 2012 Discovery Order for Phase 4 Trial, Responses I.1.e., I.3.a. and III.1.d.; and supporting documents at Attachment I.3.a.i. thereto; Declarant's First Supplemental Response to December 12, 2012 Discovery Order for Phase 4 Trial, Responses I.1.e., I.3.a. and III.1.; and supporting documents at Attachments I.3.a.i. and III.1. thereto; and Declarant's Second Supplemental Responses to December 12, 2012 Discovery Order for Phase 4 Trial, Response I.3.a.; and supporting documents at Attachments thereto; Exhibit 4 to [Proposed] Judgment and Physical Solution].

- 9. The use(s) to which the groundwater produced from and In-Lieu water purchased for the Property for the calendar years listed in Paragraph 8 was for the provision of domestic water service to its mutual members, all of which are single family residential properties, except for twenty-six (26) commercial properties and four (4) churches. [From Declarant's Responses to December 12, 2012 Discovery Order for Phase 4 Trial, Responses I.1.f., I.1.g. and I.1.h.; and supporting documents at Attachment I.1.a. thereto; Declarant's First Supplemental Response to December 12, 2012 Discovery Order for Phase 4 Trial, Responses I.3.a. and I.3.b.; and supporting documents at Attachments I.3.a.i. and I.3.b.i. thereto; and Declarant's Second Supplemental Responses to December 12, 2012 Discovery Order for Phase 4 Trial, Response I.3.a.; and supporting documents at Attachments thereto].
- 10. The manner and method for determining the amount of groundwater produced from the Property included: well logs and meter records, pump tests and SCE electric power records. [From Declarant's Responses to December 12, 2012 Discovery Order for Phase 4

Trial, Responses I.1.a. and I.3.a.; and supporting documents at Attachments I.1.a. and I.3.a.i. thereto; Declarant's First Supplemental Response to December 12, 2012 Discovery Order for Phase 4 Trial, Response I.3.a.; and supporting documents at Attachment I.3.a.i. thereto; and Declarant's Second Supplemental Responses to December 12, 2012 Discovery Order for Phase 4 Trial, Response I.3.a.; and supporting documents at Attachments thereto].

- 11. All the information contained in the evidence introduced at the Phase 4 Trial is expressly incorporated herein and made a part of this Declaration for the benefit of the Declarant, as if stated verbatim as follows:
- a. Declarant's Responses to December 12, 2012 Discovery Order for Phase 4 Trial, dated December 21, 2012, which was marked for identification and admitted into evidence as 4-WHITEFENCEFARMS-1.
- b. Declarant's Supplemental Responses to December 12, 2012 Discovery Order for Phase 4 Trial, dated January 30, 2013, which was marked for identification and admitted into evidence as 4-WHITEFENCEFARMS-2.
- c. Declarant's Second Supplemental Responses to December 12, 2012 Discovery Order for Phase 4 Trial, dated May 29, 2013, which was marked for identification and admitted into evidence as 4-WHITEFENCEFARMS-3.

The undersigned declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this of April, 2015, at faluciale, California.

By

LARRY ACOBS

TS: President

27

26

PROOF OF SERVICE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

Re: ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES

Los Angeles County Superior Court Judicial Council Coordinated Proceedings No. 4408; Santa Clara County Superior Court Case No. 1-05-CV-049053

I am employed in the County of San Bernardino, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action; my business address is: 550 East Hospitality Lane, Suite 300, San Bernardino, CA 92408-4205.

On Sept. 16, 2015, I served the foregoing document(s) described as **DECLARATION OF A MEMBER OF CROSS-DEFENDANTS / CROSS-COMPLAINANTS, ANTELOPE VALLEY UNITED MUTUALS GROUP, SPECIFICALLY, WHITE FENCE FARMS MUTUAL WATER CO., IN SUPPORT OF PROVE-UP TRIAL** on the interested parties in this action in the following manner:

(X) **BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE** – I posted the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Court website, http://www.scefiling.org, in the action of the Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases,

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on Sept. 16, 2015, at San Bernardino, California.

DINA M. SNIDER