	ALESHIRE & WYNDER, LLP DAVID J. ALESHIRE, Bar No. 65022 WILLIAM W. WYNDER, Bar No. 84753			
3	WESLEY A. MILIBAND, Bar No. 241283 18881 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 1700			
4	Irvine, CA 92612 Telephone: (949) 223-1170			
5	Facsimile: (949) 223-1180 daleshire@awattorneys.com			
6	wwynder@awattorneys.com wmiliband@awattorneys.com			
7 8	Attorneys for Defendant and Cross-Complainant, Phelan Piñon Hills Community Services District			
9	SUPERIOR COURT	OF CALIFORNIA		
10	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - CENTRAL DISTRICT			
11		•		
12	Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 1550(b))	Judicial Council Coordination ProceedingNo. 4408		
13 14	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES)) (For Filing Purposes Only:. Santa Clara) County Case No.: 1-05-CV-049053)		
15	Included Actions:	Assigned for All Purposes To:		
16	Los Angeles County Waterworks District) Judge: Hon. Jack Komar)) (Filing Fees Exempt, Per Gov't Code § 6103)		
17	No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., et al.	(Fining Fees Exempt, Fer Gov't Code § 6103) CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT		
18	Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC 325 201	OF PHELAN PIÑON HILLS OF COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT		
19	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v.)		
20	Diamond Farming Co., et al. Kern County Superior Court, Case No.))		
21	S-1500-CV-254-348) DATE: October 12, 2011		
22) TIME: 10:00 a.m.		
23	Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster))		
24	Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water))		
25	Dist. Riverside County Superior Court,))		
26	Consolidated Action, Case Nos. RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668	(
27 28	AND RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS	<i>)</i>))		

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD HEREIN: 1 Phelan Piñon Hills Community Services District ("PPHCSD") hereby submits this Case 2 Management Conference Statement regarding the Case Management Conference set for October 3 12, 2011. 4 Through its Minute Order dated August 30, 2011, the Court directed the parties to identify 5 their respective positions with regard to setting the case for trial on the next phase. 6 As a preliminary matter, PPHCSD supports the ongoing mediation sessions before the 7 8 Honorable Justice Ronald B. Robie, as well as ongoing settlement discussions among various 9 parties. PPHCSD has been actively participating in these mediation sessions and settlement meetings. If these efforts fail, however, PPHCSD will be ready to litigate in preparation for the 10 next phase of trial, and PPHCSD anticipates being ready to proceed with the next phase of trial 11 provided adequate time is afforded for preparation and the scope of issues are clearly identified for 12 the next phase of trial. 13 14 With regard to the scope of issues to be addressed during the next phase of trial, PPHCSD supports the Court's desire for the next phase of trial to address a physical solution, including – as 15 16 stated by the Court during the hearing on July 11, 2011 – appointment of a watermaster or similar Specifically, PPHCSD believes the physical solution should contain various mechanism. 17 components, consisting of those set forth in the Public Water Suppliers' Case Management 18 19 Conference statement. In light of the ongoing efforts by numerous parties to resolve this matter, PPHCSD requests 20 a Case Management Conference be set approximately forty-five (45) days from now in order to 21 22 encourage further settlement discussions and to allow the parties to provide the Court with /// 23 /// 24 25 /// 26 | /// 27 /// 28

			·
1	additional information as the Court deems appropriate based on the outcome of the Case		
2	Management Conference set for October 12, 2011.		
3	Dated: October 7, 2011	ALE	SHIRE & WYNDER, LLP /ID J. ALESHIRE
4		WIL	LIAM W. WYNDER SLEY A. MILIBAND
5			
6		By:	
7			Wesley A. Miliband Attorneys for Cross-Defendant and
8			Cross-Complainant, Phelan Piñon Hills Community Services District
10			Services District
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408 For Filing Purposes Only: Santa Clara County Case No.: 1-05-CV-049053			
2				
3	PROOF OF SERVICE			
4	I, Marie W. Young,			
5 6	I am employed in the County of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 18881 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 1700 Irvine, CA 92612.			
7 8	On October 7, 2011, I served the within document(s) described as CAS MANAGEMENT STATEMENT OF PHELAN PINON HILLS COMMUNITY SERVICE			
9 10	(ELECTRONIC SERVICE) By posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Court website in regard to Antelope Valley Groundwater matter pursuant to the Court's Clarification Order. Electronic service and electronic posting completed through www.scefiling.org.			
11 12	(BY MAIL) By placing a true copy of the foregoing document(s) in a sealed envelope addressed as set forth above. I placed each such envelope for collection and mailing following			
13 14	deposited with the United States Postal Service on that same day, with postage thereon full prepaid at Irvine, California, in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the			
15	party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.			
16 17 18	by Overnight Express, an express service carrier, or delivered to a courier or driver authorized by said express service carrier to receive documents, a true copy of the foregoing document(s) in sealed envelope or package designated by the express service carrier, addressed as set forth above			
19	Executed on October 7, 2011, at Irvine, California.			
20	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that foregoing is true and correct.			
21	M - 51331			
22	Marie W. Young (Type or print name) (Signature)			
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	-1-			
	PROOF OF SERVICE			

01133/0012/93114.1