1	ALESHIRE & WYNDER, LLP DAVID J. ALESHIRE, Bar No. 65022				
2	WILLIAM W. WYNDER, Bar No. 84753 WESLEY A. MILIBAND, Bar No. 241283				
3	18881 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 1700 Irvine, CA 92612				
4	Telephone: (949) 223-1170 Facsimile: (949) 223-1180				
5	daleshire@awattorneys.com wwynder@awattorneys.com				
6	wmiliband@awattorneys.com				
7	Attorneys for Defendant and Cross-Complainant, Phelan Piñon Hills Community Services District				
8					
9					
10	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	S - CENTRAL DISTRICT			
11					
12	Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 1550(b))	Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408			
13 14	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES	(For Filing Purposes Only: Santa Clara County Case No.: 1-05-CV-049053)			
15	Included Actions:	Assigned for All Purposes To: Judge: Hon. Jack Komar			
16	Los Angeles County Waterworks District	) (Filing Fees Exempt, Per Gov't Code § 6103)			
17	No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., et al.				
18	Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC 325 201	PHELAN PIÑON HILLS COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT'S OFFER OF			
19	Los Angeles County Waterworks District	PROOF AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE SAME; DECLARATION OF WESLEY A.			
20	No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., et al.	MILIBAND IN SUPPORT THEREOF			
21	Kern County Superior Court, Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348	) )			
22		) Phase Four Trial ) Date: May 28, 2013			
	Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of	) Time: 10:00 a.m. ) Location: Central Civil West			
	Lancaster Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster	600 S. Commonwealth Avenue, 17th Floor, Dept. 322			
	Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water  Dist.	Los Angeles, California			
	Riverside County Superior Court,	) )			
	Consolidated Action, Case Nos. RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668				
27	AND RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS	<i>)</i>			

25 | ///

24

declarations or proposed stipulations.

26 ///

27 \| ///

28

I
2
3
4
5

PPHCSD's Well 14	2011 Groundwater Pumping	2012 Groundwater Pumping
Pumping for 2011 and 2012 is for PPHCSD's Well 14, which is the only PPHCSD well located within the Antelope Valley Adjudication Area.	1,053.14 acre feet	1,035.26 acre feet <sup>5</sup>

## II. ARGUMENT.

This Court is vested with broad discretionary powers, evident from the history of this most unique case requiring significant case management decisions in order to navigate toward global resolution. PPHCSD joins in the legal argument and authorities set forth by the City of Los Angeles by and through its Department of Airports ("LAWA") in its Motion In Limine For Admission Into Evidence Of Undisputed Portions Of Stipulation posted at page 5, lines 18 through 24. These authorities speak to the Court's inherent and broad supervisory and administrative powers which include implementing specific procedures and requirements.<sup>6</sup>

Also, and as stated in the Fourth Amendment to the CMO:

"The Court intends for this Order to establish, consistent with the unique circumstances of these coordinated cases, a process for narrowing the factual disputes to be determined at the Trial and to eliminate, to the extent possible, the necessity of presenting evidence through witnesses at Trial. The Court finds that the parties have had adequate time to review the substantial amounts of information disclosed pursuant to the Court's prior orders for Phase Four of this matter."

<sup>7</sup> Fourth Amendment to the CMO, ¶ 1, p. 3, lines 6-11 (emphasis added).

-4-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> PPHCSD timely submitted on January 31, 2013 its records for Well 14 for calendar years 2011 and 2012, including handwritten "Well Logs" for all of the flowmeter and related readings involving the quantities of water produced by Well 14. PPHCSD provided a computation of groundwater production through November 30, 2012 based on that being the time frame set by the First Amendment to the CMO, however, with the Fifth Amendment indicating this trial phase seeks groundwater production "during" 2011 and 2012 (perhaps not just through November 2012), PPHCSD's 2012 groundwater production stated above is for the entire calendar year and based upon the previously served records.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See, e.g., Rutherford v. Owens-Illinois, Inc. (1997) 16 Cal.4<sup>th</sup> 953, 967; First State Ins. Co. v. Superior Court (2000) 79 Cal.App.4<sup>th</sup> 324, 336.)

## **DECLARATION OF WESLEY A. MILIBAND**

I, Wesley A. Miliband, declare:

- 1. I am a partner with the law firm of Aleshire & Wynder, LLP and I am the attorney of record for Cross-Complainant Phelan Piñon Hills Community Services District ("PPHCSD") in this action. I have personal knowledge of each fact stated in this Declaration, which I make in support of PPHCSD's Offer of Proof for the Phase Four Trial.
- 2. Attached to this Declaration as Exhibit A are true and correct copies from the Court's website of pertinent sections of Objections by Bolthouse Properties, LLC *et al.* filed on May 3, 2013.
- 3. Attached to this Declaration as Exhibit B are true and correct copies from the Court's website of pertinent sections of Objections by Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 *et al.* filed on May 3, 2013.
- 4. Attached to this Declaration as Exhibit C are true and correct copies from the Court's website of PPHCSD's Proposed Stipulation and Exhibits, as electronically served on all parties on March 11, 2013 ("Proposed Stipulation").
- 5. PPHCSD timely submitted on January 31, 2013, at my direction, its records for Well 14 for calendar years 2011 and 2012, including handwritten "Well Logs" for all of the flowmeter and related readings involving the quantities of water produced by Well 14. PPHCSD provided a computation of groundwater production through November 30, 2012 based on that being the time frame set by the First Amendment to the CMO, however, with the Fifth Amendment indicating this trial phase seeks groundwater production "during" 2011 and 2012 (perhaps not just through November 2012), PPHCSD's 2012 groundwater production stated above is for the entire calendar year and based upon the previously served records.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California on this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of May 2013 that the foregoing is true and correct.

By:

Wesley A. Miliband

## [PROPOSED] ORDER

THE COURT FINDS THAT the Offer of Proof made by PHELAN PIÑON HILLS COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT is either stipulated to or not materially contested by any party.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS THAT the Offer of Proof satisfies the requirements of this Court for the purposes of the Phase 4 Trial proceedings.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this Order shall not result in any determination of any water right, or the reasonableness of PHELAN PIÑON HILLS COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT'S water use or manner of applying water to the use. This Order will not preclude PHELAN PIÑON HILLS COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT from introducing in a later phase evidence to support its claimed water rights, or any other party to this action from introducing in a later phase evidence to contest PHELAN PIÑON HILLS COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT'S claimed water rights, including, without limitation, evidence of water use in years other than 2011 and 2012. All parties reserve their rights to produce any evidence to support their claimed water rights and to make any related legal arguments including, without limitation, arguments based on any applicable constitutional, statutory, or decisional authority.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to the Stipulation(s) of the parties to this action and/or upon the failure of any party to make a valid objection thereto; and based upon the offer of proof and/or evidence presented to this Court, the groundwater pumping claimed by PHELAN PIÑON HILLS COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT for purposes of the Phase 4 trial is as follows:

PERIOD	ACRE FEET PER YEAR	
2011	1,053.14 acre feet	
2012	1,035.26 acre feet	

IT IS SO ORDERED:

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2013

HONORABLE JACK KOMAR

1 2	Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408 For Filing Purposes Only: Santa Clara County Case No.: 1-05-CV-049053					
3	PROOF OF SERVICE					
4	I, Linda Yarvis,					
5	I am employed in the County of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and					
6	not a party to the within action. My business address is 18881 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 1700 Irvine, CA 92612.					
7 8	COMMUNITY SÉRVICES DISTRICT'S OFFER OF PROOF AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE SAME; DECLARATION OF WESLEY A. MILIBAND IN SUPPORT THEREOF as follows:					
9 10 11	(ELECTRONIC SERVICE) By posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Court website in regard to Antelope Valley Groundwater matter pursuant to the Court's Clarification Order. Electronic service and electronic posting completed through www.scefiling.org.					
12 13 14 15	addressed as set forth above. I placed each such envelope for collection and mailing following ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this Firm's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, the correspondence would be deposited with the United States Postal Service on that same day, with postage thereon fully prepaid at Irvine, California, in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the					
<ul><li>16</li><li>17</li><li>18</li></ul>	(BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY) I deposited in a box or other facility regularly maintained by Overnight Express, an express service carrier, or delivered to a courier or driver authorized by said express service carrier to receive documents, a true copy of the foregoing document(s) in a sealed envelope or package designated by the express service carrier, addressed as set forth above, with fees for overnight delivery paid or provided for.					
19	Executed on May 24, 2013, at Irvine, California.					
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li></ul>	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.					
22	Linda Yarvis					
23	(Type or print name) (Signature)					
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
20	-1-					
	PROOF OF SERVICE					

001472

01133/0012/93114.01